

The following are the SPSS results of the Core Drug & Alcohol Survey conducted at Illinois State University.

Frequencies

Statistics

		Sp code row A	Sp code row B	Sp code row C	Sp code row D	Sp code row E
N	Valid	0	0	0	0	0
	Missing	955	955	955	955	955

Frequency Table

Sp code row A

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	System	955	100.0

Sp code row B

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	System	955	100.0

Sp code row C

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	System	955	100.0

Sp code row D

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	System	955	100.0

Sp code row E

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	System	955	100.0

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Classification * Gender	950	99.5%	5	.5%	955	100.0%
Ethnic origin * Gender	949	99.4%	6	.6%	955	100.0%
Marital status * Gender	950	99.5%	5	.5%	955	100.0%
Residence * Gender	949	99.4%	6	.6%	955	100.0%
Working * Gender	950	99.5%	5	.5%	955	100.0%
LIVING ARRANGEMENTS:WHERE * Gender	950	99.5%	5	.5%	955	100.0%
LIVING WITH ROOMATE * Gender	949	99.4%	6	.6%	955	100.0%
LIVING WITH ALONE * Gender	949	99.4%	6	.6%	955	100.0%
LIVING WITH PARENTS * Gender	949	99.4%	6	.6%	955	100.0%
LIVING WITH SPOUSE * Gender	949	99.4%	6	.6%	955	100.0%
LIVING WITH CHILDREN * Gender	949	99.4%	6	.6%	955	100.0%
LIVING WITH OTHER * Gender	949	99.4%	6	.6%	955	100.0%
Grades * Gender	950	99.5%	5	.5%	955	100.0%
AVAILABIILTY :DRUGS * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
AVAILABIILTY :ALCOHOL * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Student status * Gender	949	99.4%	6	.6%	955	100.0%
Campus has a/d policies * Gender	949	99.4%	6	.6%	955	100.0%
Campus a/d policies enforced * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Campus has a/d prev prog * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Campus concerned w/ a/d prev * Gender	949	99.4%	6	.6%	955	100.0%
I am involved in a/d prev * Gender	946	99.1%	9	.9%	955	100.0%
Permanent residence * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
5+ drinks in last 2 wks * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
First use: tobacco * Gender	947	99.2%	8	.8%	955	100.0%
First use: alcohol * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
First use: marijuana * Gender	944	98.8%	11	1.2%	955	100.0%
First use: cocaine * Gender	945	99.0%	10	1.0%	955	100.0%

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
First use: amphetamines * Gender	946	99.1%	9	.9%	955	100.0%
First use: sedatives * Gender	946	99.1%	9	.9%	955	100.0%
First use: hallucinogens * Gender	945	99.0%	10	1.0%	955	100.0%
First use: opiates * Gender	939	98.3%	16	1.7%	955	100.0%
First use: inhalants * Gender	943	98.7%	12	1.3%	955	100.0%
First use: designer * Gender	945	99.0%	10	1.0%	955	100.0%
First use: steroids * Gender	944	98.8%	11	1.2%	955	100.0%
First use: other * Gender	947	99.2%	8	.8%	955	100.0%
Use last yr: tobacco * Gender	947	99.2%	8	.8%	955	100.0%
Use last yr: alcohol * Gender	947	99.2%	8	.8%	955	100.0%
Use last yr: marijuana * Gender	942	98.6%	13	1.4%	955	100.0%
Use last yr: cocaine * Gender	947	99.2%	8	.8%	955	100.0%
Use last yr: amphetamines * * Gender	947	99.2%	8	.8%	955	100.0%
Use last yr: sedatives * Gender	943	98.7%	12	1.3%	955	100.0%
Use last yr: hallucinogens * * Gender	944	98.8%	11	1.2%	955	100.0%
Use last yr: opiates * Gender	946	99.1%	9	.9%	955	100.0%
Use last yr: inhalants * Gender	946	99.1%	9	.9%	955	100.0%
Use last yr: designer * Gender	941	98.5%	14	1.5%	955	100.0%
Use last yr: steroids * Gender	944	98.8%	11	1.2%	955	100.0%
Use last yr: other * Gender	947	99.2%	8	.8%	955	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS USE:TOBACCO * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS USE:ALCOHOL * Gender	943	98.7%	12	1.3%	955	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS USE:MARIJUANA * Gender	944	98.8%	11	1.2%	955	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS USE:COCAINE * Gender	946	99.1%	9	.9%	955	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS USE:AMPHETAMINES * Gender	945	99.0%	10	1.0%	955	100.0%

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
PAST 30 DAYS USE:SEDATIVES * Gender	943	98.7%	12	1.3%	955	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS USE:HALLUCINOGENS * Gender	945	99.0%	10	1.0%	955	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS USE:OPIATES * Gender	946	99.1%	9	.9%	955	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS USE:INHALANTS * Gender	945	99.0%	10	1.0%	955	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS USE:DESIGNER * Gender	947	99.2%	8	.8%	955	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS USE:STEROIDS * Gender	946	99.1%	9	.9%	955	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS USE:OTHER * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL * Gender	947	99.2%	8	.8%	955	100.0%
AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
AVERAGE USE:COCAINE * Gender	947	99.2%	8	.8%	955	100.0%
AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES * Gender	944	98.8%	11	1.2%	955	100.0%
AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES * Gender	944	98.8%	11	1.2%	955	100.0%
AVERAGE USE:HALLUCINOGENS * Gender	944	98.8%	11	1.2%	955	100.0%
AVERAGE USE:OPIATES * Gender	938	98.2%	17	1.8%	955	100.0%
AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS * Gender	939	98.3%	16	1.7%	955	100.0%
AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER * Gender	945	99.0%	10	1.0%	955	100.0%
AVERAGE USE:STEROIDS * Gender	940	98.4%	15	1.6%	955	100.0%
AVERAGE USE:OTHER * Gender	947	99.2%	8	.8%	955	100.0%

Classification * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Classification	Freshman	Count	71	134	205
		Expected Count	84.4	120.6	205.0
		% within Classification	34.6%	65.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	18.2%	24.0%	21.6%
		% of Total	7.5%	14.1%	21.6%
	Sophomore	Count	73	122	195
		Expected Count	80.3	114.7	195.0
		% within Classification	37.4%	62.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	18.7%	21.8%	20.5%
		% of Total	7.7%	12.8%	20.5%
	Junior	Count	115	153	268
		Expected Count	110.3	157.7	268.0
		% within Classification	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	29.4%	27.4%	28.2%
		% of Total	12.1%	16.1%	28.2%
	Senior	Count	127	146	273
		Expected Count	112.4	160.6	273.0
		% within Classification	46.5%	53.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	32.5%	26.1%	28.7%
		% of Total	13.4%	15.4%	28.7%
Grad/professional	Count	1	1	2	
	Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0	
	% within Classification	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%	
	% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%	
Other	Count	4	3	7	
	Expected Count	2.9	4.1	7.0	
	% within Classification	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.0%	.5%	.7%	
	% of Total	.4%	.3%	.7%	
Total	Count	391	559	950	
	Expected Count	391.0	559.0	950.0	
	% within Classification	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.102 ^a	5	.105
Likelihood Ratio	9.130	5	.104
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.981	1	.003
N of Valid Cases	950		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

Ethnic origin * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Ethnic origin	Amer Ind/AK native	Count	3	2	5
		Expected Count	2.1	2.9	5.0
		% within Ethnic origin	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.8%	.4%	.5%
		% of Total	.3%	.2%	.5%
	Hispanic	Count	14	20	34
		Expected Count	14.0	20.0	34.0
		% within Ethnic origin	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.6%	3.6%	3.6%
		% of Total	1.5%	2.1%	3.6%
	Asian/Pac Isl	Count	14	9	23
		Expected Count	9.5	13.5	23.0
		% within Ethnic origin	60.9%	39.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.6%	1.6%	2.4%
		% of Total	1.5%	.9%	2.4%
	White (non-Hisp)	Count	349	502	851
		Expected Count	350.6	500.4	851.0
		% within Ethnic origin	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	89.3%	90.0%	89.7%
		% of Total	36.8%	52.9%	89.7%
Black (non-Hisp)	Count	6	20	26	
	Expected Count	10.7	15.3	26.0	
	% within Ethnic origin	23.1%	76.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.5%	3.6%	2.7%	
	% of Total	.6%	2.1%	2.7%	
Other	Count	5	5	10	
	Expected Count	4.1	5.9	10.0	
	% within Ethnic origin	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.3%	.9%	1.1%	
	% of Total	.5%	.5%	1.1%	
Total	Count	391	558	949	
	Expected Count	391.0	558.0	949.0	
	% within Ethnic origin	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.260 ^a	5	.142
Likelihood Ratio	8.431	5	.134
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.637	1	.201
N of Valid Cases	949		

a. 3 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.06.

Marital status * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Marital status	Single	Count	370	532	902
		Expected Count	371.2	530.8	902.0
		% within Marital status	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	94.6%	95.2%	94.9%
		% of Total	38.9%	56.0%	94.9%
	Married	Count	19	23	42
		Expected Count	17.3	24.7	42.0
		% within Marital status	45.2%	54.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.9%	4.1%	4.4%
		% of Total	2.0%	2.4%	4.4%
	Separated	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Marital status	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.2%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
	Divorced	Count	2	3	5
		Expected Count	2.1	2.9	5.0
		% within Marital status	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.5%	.5%
		% of Total	.2%	.3%	.5%
Total	Count	391	559	950	
	Expected Count	391.0	559.0	950.0	
	% within Marital status	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.998 ^a	3	.802
Likelihood Ratio	1.357	3	.716
Linear-by-Linear Association	.024	1	.876
N of Valid Cases	950		

a. 4 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

Residence * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Residence	On campus	Count	176	302	478
		Expected Count	196.9	281.1	478.0
		% within Residence	36.8%	63.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	45.0%	54.1%	50.4%
		% of Total	18.5%	31.8%	50.4%
	Off campus	Count	215	256	471
		Expected Count	194.1	276.9	471.0
		% within Residence	45.6%	54.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	55.0%	45.9%	49.6%
		% of Total	22.7%	27.0%	49.6%
Total	Count	391	558	949	
	Expected Count	391.0	558.0	949.0	
	% within Residence	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.631 ^b	1	.006		
Continuity Correction ^a	7.271	1	.007		
Likelihood Ratio	7.641	1	.006		
Fisher's Exact Test				.007	.003
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.623	1	.006		
N of Valid Cases	949				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 194.06.

Working * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Working	Full time	Count	29	35	64
		Expected Count	26.3	37.7	64.0
		% within Working	45.3%	54.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.4%	6.3%	6.7%
		% of Total	3.1%	3.7%	6.7%
	Part time	Count	182	290	472
		Expected Count	194.3	277.7	472.0
		% within Working	38.6%	61.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	46.5%	51.9%	49.7%
		% of Total	19.2%	30.5%	49.7%
	No	Count	180	234	414
		Expected Count	170.4	243.6	414.0
		% within Working	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	46.0%	41.9%	43.6%
		% of Total	18.9%	24.6%	43.6%
Total	Count	391	559	950	
	Expected Count	391.0	559.0	950.0	
	% within Working	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.693 ^a	2	.260
Likelihood Ratio	2.693	2	.260
Linear-by-Linear Association	.570	1	.450
N of Valid Cases	950		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 26.34.

LIVING ARRANGEMENTS:WHERE * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender	
			Male	Female
LIVING ARRANGEMENTS: WHERE	HOUSE/APARTMENT	Count	213	263
		Expected Count	195.9	280.1
		% within LIVING ARRANGEMENTS:WHERE	44.7%	55.3%
		% within Gender	54.5%	47.0%
		% of Total	22.4%	27.7%
	RESIDENCE HALL	Count	162	284
		Expected Count	183.6	262.4
		% within LIVING ARRANGEMENTS:WHERE	36.3%	63.7%
		% within Gender	41.4%	50.8%
		% of Total	17.1%	29.9%
	APPROVED HOUSING	Count	1	1
		Expected Count	.8	1.2
		% within LIVING ARRANGEMENTS:WHERE	50.0%	50.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.2%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%
	FRATERNITY/ SORORITY	Count	13	9
		Expected Count	9.1	12.9
		% within LIVING ARRANGEMENTS:WHERE	59.1%	40.9%
		% within Gender	3.3%	1.6%
		% of Total	1.4%	.9%
OTHER	Count	2	2	
	Expected Count	1.6	2.4	
	% within LIVING ARRANGEMENTS:WHERE	50.0%	50.0%	
	% within Gender	.5%	.4%	
	% of Total	.2%	.2%	
Total	Count	391	559	
	Expected Count	391.0	559.0	
	% within LIVING ARRANGEMENTS:WHERE	41.2%	58.8%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	

Crosstab

			Total
LIVING ARRANGEMENTS: WHERE	HOUSE/APARTMENT	Count	476
		Expected Count	476.0
		% within LIVING ARRANGEMENTS:WHERE	100.0%
		% within Gender	50.1%
		% of Total	50.1%
	RESIDENCE HALL	Count	446
		Expected Count	446.0
		% within LIVING ARRANGEMENTS:WHERE	100.0%
		% within Gender	46.9%
		% of Total	46.9%
	APPROVED HOUSING	Count	2
		Expected Count	2.0
		% within LIVING ARRANGEMENTS:WHERE	100.0%
		% within Gender	.2%
		% of Total	.2%
	FRATERNITY/ SORORITY	Count	22
		Expected Count	22.0
		% within LIVING ARRANGEMENTS:WHERE	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.3%
		% of Total	2.3%
OTHER	Count	4	
	Expected Count	4.0	
	% within LIVING ARRANGEMENTS:WHERE	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.4%	
	% of Total	.4%	
Total	Count	950	
	Expected Count	950.0	
	% within LIVING ARRANGEMENTS:WHERE	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	
	% of Total	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.953 ^a	4	.041
Likelihood Ratio	9.928	4	.042
Linear-by-Linear Association	.625	1	.429
N of Valid Cases	950		

a. 4 cells (40.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

LIVING WITH ROOMATE * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
LIVING WITH ROOMMATE	NO	Count	82	127	209
		Expected Count	85.9	123.1	209.0
		% within LIVING WITH ROOMMATE	39.2%	60.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	21.0%	22.7%	22.0%
		% of Total	8.6%	13.4%	22.0%
	YES	Count	308	432	740
		Expected Count	304.1	435.9	740.0
		% within LIVING WITH ROOMMATE	41.6%	58.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	79.0%	77.3%	78.0%
		% of Total	32.5%	45.5%	78.0%
Total	Count	390	559	949	
	Expected Count	390.0	559.0	949.0	
	% within LIVING WITH ROOMMATE	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.384 ^b	1	.536		
Continuity Correction ^a	.291	1	.589		
Likelihood Ratio	.385	1	.535		
Fisher's Exact Test				.578	.295
Linear-by-Linear Association	.383	1	.536		
N of Valid Cases	949				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 85.89.

LIVING WITH ALONE * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
LIVING WITH ALONE	NO	Count	355	492	847
		Expected Count	348.1	498.9	847.0
		% within LIVING WITH ALONE	41.9%	58.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	91.0%	88.0%	89.3%
		% of Total	37.4%	51.8%	89.3%
	YES	Count	35	67	102
		Expected Count	41.9	60.1	102.0
		% within LIVING WITH ALONE	34.3%	65.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.0%	12.0%	10.7%
		% of Total	3.7%	7.1%	10.7%
Total	Count	390	559	949	
	Expected Count	390.0	559.0	949.0	
	% within LIVING WITH ALONE	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.172 ^b	1	.141		
Continuity Correction ^a	1.869	1	.172		
Likelihood Ratio	2.211	1	.137		
Fisher's Exact Test				.166	.085
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.169	1	.141		
N of Valid Cases	949				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 41.92.

LIVING WITH PARENTS * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
LIVING WITH PARENTS	NO	Count	372	535	907
		Expected Count	372.7	534.3	907.0
		% within LIVING WITH PARENTS	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	95.4%	95.7%	95.6%
		% of Total	39.2%	56.4%	95.6%
	YES	Count	18	24	42
		Expected Count	17.3	24.7	42.0
		% within LIVING WITH PARENTS	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.6%	4.3%	4.4%
		% of Total	1.9%	2.5%	4.4%
Total	Count	390	559	949	
	Expected Count	390.0	559.0	949.0	
	% within LIVING WITH PARENTS	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.056 ^b	1	.812		
Continuity Correction ^a	.006	1	.939		
Likelihood Ratio	.056	1	.813		
Fisher's Exact Test				.873	.466
Linear-by-Linear Association	.056	1	.813		
N of Valid Cases	949				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 17.26.

LIVING WITH SPOUSE * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
LIVING WITH SPOUSE	NO	Count	370	533	903
		Expected Count	371.1	531.9	903.0
		% within LIVING WITH SPOUSE	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	94.9%	95.3%	95.2%
		% of Total	39.0%	56.2%	95.2%
	YES	Count	20	26	46
		Expected Count	18.9	27.1	46.0
		% within LIVING WITH SPOUSE	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.1%	4.7%	4.8%
		% of Total	2.1%	2.7%	4.8%
Total	Count	390	559	949	
	Expected Count	390.0	559.0	949.0	
	% within LIVING WITH SPOUSE	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.113 ^b	1	.736		
Continuity Correction ^a	.034	1	.855		
Likelihood Ratio	.113	1	.737		
Fisher's Exact Test				.760	.424
Linear-by-Linear Association	.113	1	.736		
N of Valid Cases	949				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 18.90.

LIVING WITH CHILDREN * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
LIVING WITH CHILDREN	NO	Count	377	540	917
		Expected Count	376.8	540.2	917.0
		% within LIVING WITH CHILDREN	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	96.7%	96.6%	96.6%
		% of Total	39.7%	56.9%	96.6%
	YES	Count	13	19	32
		Expected Count	13.2	18.8	32.0
		% within LIVING WITH CHILDREN	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.3%	3.4%	3.4%
		% of Total	1.4%	2.0%	3.4%
Total	Count	390	559	949	
	Expected Count	390.0	559.0	949.0	
	% within LIVING WITH CHILDREN	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.003 ^b	1	.956		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.003	1	.956		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.555
Linear-by-Linear Association	.003	1	.956		
N of Valid Cases	949				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 13.15.

LIVING WITH OTHER * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
LIVING WITH OTHER	NO	Count	379	548	927
		Expected Count	381.0	546.0	927.0
		% within LIVING WITH OTHER	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.2%	98.0%	97.7%
		% of Total	39.9%	57.7%	97.7%
	YES	Count	11	11	22
		Expected Count	9.0	13.0	22.0
		% within LIVING WITH OTHER	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.8%	2.0%	2.3%
		% of Total	1.2%	1.2%	2.3%
Total	Count	390	559	949	
	Expected Count	390.0	559.0	949.0	
	% within LIVING WITH OTHER	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.738 ^b	1	.390		
Continuity Correction ^a	.409	1	.522		
Likelihood Ratio	.726	1	.394		
Fisher's Exact Test				.391	.259
Linear-by-Linear Association	.737	1	.391		
N of Valid Cases	949				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.04.

Grades * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Grades	F	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Grades	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Grades	D-	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Grades	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
	D	Count	1	4	5
		Expected Count	2.1	2.9	5.0
		% within Grades	20.0%	80.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.7%	.5%
		% of Total	.1%	.4%	.5%
	D+	Count	2	4	6
		Expected Count	2.5	3.5	6.0
		% within Grades	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.7%	.6%
		% of Total	.2%	.4%	.6%
	C-	Count	2	10	12
		Expected Count	4.9	7.1	12.0
		% within Grades	16.7%	83.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	1.8%	1.3%
		% of Total	.2%	1.1%	1.3%
C	Count	29	26	55	
	Expected Count	22.6	32.4	55.0	
	% within Grades	52.7%	47.3%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	7.4%	4.7%	5.8%	
	% of Total	3.1%	2.7%	5.8%	
C+	Count	44	43	87	
	Expected Count	35.8	51.2	87.0	
	% within Grades	50.6%	49.4%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	11.3%	7.7%	9.2%	
	% of Total	4.6%	4.5%	9.2%	
B-	Count	50	59	109	
	Expected Count	44.9	64.1	109.0	
	% within Grades	45.9%	54.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	12.8%	10.6%	11.5%	
	% of Total	5.3%	6.2%	11.5%	
B	Count	77	105	182	
	Expected Count	74.9	107.1	182.0	
	% within Grades	42.3%	57.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	19.7%	18.8%	19.2%	
	% of Total	8.1%	11.1%	19.2%	
B+	Count	69	99	168	
	Expected Count	69.1	98.9	168.0	
	% within Grades	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	17.6%	17.7%	17.7%	
	% of Total	7.3%	10.4%	17.7%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Grades	A-	Count	44	69	113
		Expected Count	46.5	66.5	113.0
		% within Grades	38.9%	61.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.3%	12.3%	11.9%
		% of Total	4.6%	7.3%	11.9%
	A	Count	50	118	168
		Expected Count	69.1	98.9	168.0
		% within Grades	29.8%	70.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	12.8%	21.1%	17.7%
		% of Total	5.3%	12.4%	17.7%
	A+	Count	21	22	43
		Expected Count	17.7	25.3	43.0
		% within Grades	48.8%	51.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.4%	3.9%	4.5%
		% of Total	2.2%	2.3%	4.5%
Total	Count	391	559	950	
	Expected Count	391.0	559.0	950.0	
	% within Grades	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	24.518 ^a	12	.017
Likelihood Ratio	25.895	12	.011
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.113	1	.013
N of Valid Cases	950		

a. 9 cells (34.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

AVAILABILTY :DRUGS * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVAILABIILTY :DRUGS	Available	Count	68	69	137
		Expected Count	56.4	80.6	137.0
		% within AVAILABIILTY :DRUGS	49.6%	50.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	17.4%	12.4%	14.5%
		% of Total	7.2%	7.3%	14.5%
		Not available	Count	322	489
	Expected Count	333.6	477.4	811.0	
	% within AVAILABIILTY :DRUGS	39.7%	60.3%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	82.6%	87.6%	85.5%	
	% of Total	34.0%	51.6%	85.5%	
	Total	Count	390	558	948
	Expected Count	390.0	558.0	948.0	
% within AVAILABIILTY :DRUGS	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%		
% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%		

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.773 ^b	1	.029		
Continuity Correction ^a	4.372	1	.037		
Likelihood Ratio	4.713	1	.030		
Fisher's Exact Test				.031	.019
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.768	1	.029		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 56.36.

AVAILABIILTY :ALCOHOL * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVAILABIILTY :ALCOHOL	Available	Count	313	434	747
		Expected Count	307.3	439.7	747.0
		% within AVAILABIILTY :ALCOHOL	41.9%	58.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	80.3%	77.8%	78.8%
		% of Total	33.0%	45.8%	78.8%
	Not available	Count	77	124	201
		Expected Count	82.7	118.3	201.0
		% within AVAILABIILTY :ALCOHOL	38.3%	61.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	19.7%	22.2%	21.2%
		% of Total	8.1%	13.1%	21.2%
Total	Count	390	558	948	
	Expected Count	390.0	558.0	948.0	
	% within AVAILABIILTY :ALCOHOL	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.844 ^b	1	.358		
Continuity Correction ^a	.702	1	.402		
Likelihood Ratio	.849	1	.357		
Fisher's Exact Test				.375	.201
Linear-by-Linear Association	.843	1	.358		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 82.69.

Student status * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Student status	FULL TIME	Count	371	539	910
		Expected Count	374.9	535.1	910.0
		% within Student status	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	94.9%	96.6%	95.9%
		% of Total	39.1%	56.8%	95.9%
	PART TIME	Count	20	19	39
		Expected Count	16.1	22.9	39.0
		% within Student status	51.3%	48.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.1%	3.4%	4.1%
		% of Total	2.1%	2.0%	4.1%
Total	Count	391	558	949	
	Expected Count	391.0	558.0	949.0	
	% within Student status	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.706 ^b	1	.191		
Continuity Correction ^a	1.300	1	.254		
Likelihood Ratio	1.678	1	.195		
Fisher's Exact Test				.244	.128
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.704	1	.192		
N of Valid Cases	949				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 16.07.

Campus has a/d policies * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Campus has a/d policies	Yes	Count	366	513	879
		Expected Count	362.2	516.8	879.0
		% within Campus has a/d policies	41.6%	58.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	93.6%	91.9%	92.6%
		% of Total	38.6%	54.1%	92.6%
	No	Count	4	2	6
		Expected Count	2.5	3.5	6.0
		% within Campus has a/d policies	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	.4%	.6%
		% of Total	.4%	.2%	.6%
	Don't know	Count	21	43	64
		Expected Count	26.4	37.6	64.0
		% within Campus has a/d policies	32.8%	67.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.4%	7.7%	6.7%
		% of Total	2.2%	4.5%	6.7%
Total	Count	391	558	949	
	Expected Count	391.0	558.0	949.0	
	% within Campus has a/d policies	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.534 ^a	2	.171
Likelihood Ratio	3.557	2	.169
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.439	1	.230
N of Valid Cases	949		

a. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.47.

Campus a/d policies enforced * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Campus a/d policies enforced	Yes	Count	313	397	710
		Expected Count	292.1	417.9	710.0
		% within Campus a/d policies enforced	44.1%	55.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	80.3%	71.1%	74.9%
		% of Total	33.0%	41.9%	74.9%
	No	Count	12	21	33
		Expected Count	13.6	19.4	33.0
		% within Campus a/d policies enforced	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.1%	3.8%	3.5%
		% of Total	1.3%	2.2%	3.5%
	Don't know	Count	65	140	205
		Expected Count	84.3	120.7	205.0
		% within Campus a/d policies enforced	31.7%	68.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	16.7%	25.1%	21.6%
		% of Total	6.9%	14.8%	21.6%
Total	Count	390	558	948	
	Expected Count	390.0	558.0	948.0	
	% within Campus a/d policies enforced	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.386 ^a	2	.006
Likelihood Ratio	10.604	2	.005
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.344	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	948		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 13.58.

Campus has a/d prev prog * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Campus has a/d prev prog	Yes	Count	248	252	500
		Expected Count	206.2	293.8	500.0
		% within Campus has a/d prev prog	49.6%	50.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	63.4%	45.2%	52.7%
		% of Total	26.2%	26.6%	52.7%
	No	Count	3	6	9
		Expected Count	3.7	5.3	9.0
		% within Campus has a/d prev prog	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.8%	1.1%	.9%
		% of Total	.3%	.6%	.9%
	Don't know	Count	140	299	439
		Expected Count	181.1	257.9	439.0
		% within Campus has a/d prev prog	31.9%	68.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	35.8%	53.7%	46.3%
		% of Total	14.8%	31.5%	46.3%
Total	Count	391	557	948	
	Expected Count	391.0	557.0	948.0	
	% within Campus has a/d prev prog	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	30.487 ^a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	30.751	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	30.253	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	948		

a. 1 cells (16.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.71.

Campus concerned w/ a/d prev * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Campus concerned w/ a/d prev	Yes	Count	316	434	750
		Expected Count	309.0	441.0	750.0
		% within Campus concerned w/ a/d prev	42.1%	57.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	80.8%	77.8%	79.0%
		% of Total	33.3%	45.7%	79.0%
	No	Count	31	42	73
		Expected Count	30.1	42.9	73.0
		% within Campus concerned w/ a/d prev	42.5%	57.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.9%	7.5%	7.7%
		% of Total	3.3%	4.4%	7.7%
	Don't know	Count	44	82	126
		Expected Count	51.9	74.1	126.0
		% within Campus concerned w/ a/d prev	34.9%	65.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.3%	14.7%	13.3%
		% of Total	4.6%	8.6%	13.3%
Total	Count	391	558	949	
	Expected Count	391.0	558.0	949.0	
	% within Campus concerned w/ a/d prev	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.369 ^a	2	.306
Likelihood Ratio	2.406	2	.300
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.967	1	.161
N of Valid Cases	949		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 30.08.

I am involved in a/d prev * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
I am involved in a/d prev	Yes	Count	40	36	76
		Expected Count	31.3	44.7	76.0
		% within I am involved in a/d prev	52.6%	47.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.3%	6.5%	8.0%
		% of Total	4.2%	3.8%	8.0%
	No	Count	349	521	870
		Expected Count	357.7	512.3	870.0
		% within I am involved in a/d prev	40.1%	59.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	89.7%	93.5%	92.0%
		% of Total	36.9%	55.1%	92.0%
Total	Count	389	557	946	
	Expected Count	389.0	557.0	946.0	
	% within I am involved in a/d prev	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.523 ^b	1	.033		
Continuity Correction ^a	4.020	1	.045		
Likelihood Ratio	4.446	1	.035		
Fisher's Exact Test				.039	.023
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.518	1	.034		
N of Valid Cases	946				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 31.25.

Permanent residence * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Permanent residence	In state	Count	381	543	924
		Expected Count	381.1	542.9	924.0
		% within Permanent residence	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.4%	97.5%	97.5%
		% of Total	40.2%	57.3%	97.5%
	USA, other state	Count	9	10	19
		Expected Count	7.8	11.2	19.0
		% within Permanent residence	47.4%	52.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.3%	1.8%	2.0%
		% of Total	.9%	1.1%	2.0%
	Another country	Count	1	4	5
		Expected Count	2.1	2.9	5.0
		% within Permanent residence	20.0%	80.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.7%	.5%
		% of Total	.1%	.4%	.5%
Total	Count	391	557	948	
	Expected Count	391.0	557.0	948.0	
	% within Permanent residence	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.225 ^a	2	.542
Likelihood Ratio	1.312	2	.519
Linear-by-Linear Association	.100	1	.752
N of Valid Cases	948		

a. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.06.

5+ drinks in last 2 wks * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
5+ drinks in last 2 wks	None	Count	135	283	418
		Expected Count	172.0	246.0	418.0
		% within 5+ drinks in last 2 wks	32.3%	67.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	34.6%	50.7%	44.1%
		% of Total	14.2%	29.9%	44.1%
	Once	Count	58	91	149
		Expected Count	61.3	87.7	149.0
		% within 5+ drinks in last 2 wks	38.9%	61.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	14.9%	16.3%	15.7%
		% of Total	6.1%	9.6%	15.7%
	Twice	Count	50	79	129
		Expected Count	53.1	75.9	129.0
		% within 5+ drinks in last 2 wks	38.8%	61.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	12.8%	14.2%	13.6%
		% of Total	5.3%	8.3%	13.6%
	3-5 times	Count	99	82	181
		Expected Count	74.5	106.5	181.0
		% within 5+ drinks in last 2 wks	54.7%	45.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	25.4%	14.7%	19.1%
		% of Total	10.4%	8.6%	19.1%
6-9 times	Count	42	18	60	
	Expected Count	24.7	35.3	60.0	
	% within 5+ drinks in last 2 wks	70.0%	30.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	10.8%	3.2%	6.3%	
	% of Total	4.4%	1.9%	6.3%	
10+ times	Count	6	5	11	
	Expected Count	4.5	6.5	11.0	
	% within 5+ drinks in last 2 wks	54.5%	45.5%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.5%	.9%	1.2%	
	% of Total	.6%	.5%	1.2%	
Total	Count	390	558	948	
	Expected Count	390.0	558.0	948.0	
	% within 5+ drinks in last 2 wks	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	49.294 ^a	5	.000
Likelihood Ratio	49.135	5	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	43.191	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	948		

a. 1 cells (8.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.53.

First use: tobacco * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: tobacco	Did not use	Count	143	311	454
		Expected Count	187.4	266.6	454.0
		% within First use: tobacco	31.5%	68.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	36.6%	55.9%	47.9%
		% of Total	15.1%	32.8%	47.9%
Under 10		Count	7	2	9
		Expected Count	3.7	5.3	9.0
		% within First use: tobacco	77.8%	22.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.8%	.4%	1.0%
		% of Total	.7%	.2%	1.0%
10-11		Count	8	11	19
		Expected Count	7.8	11.2	19.0
		% within First use: tobacco	42.1%	57.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%
		% of Total	.8%	1.2%	2.0%
12-13		Count	21	28	49
		Expected Count	20.2	28.8	49.0
		% within First use: tobacco	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.4%	5.0%	5.2%
		% of Total	2.2%	3.0%	5.2%
14-15		Count	33	48	81
		Expected Count	33.4	47.6	81.0
		% within First use: tobacco	40.7%	59.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.4%	8.6%	8.6%
		% of Total	3.5%	5.1%	8.6%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: tobacco	16-17	Count	74	82	156
		Expected Count	64.4	91.6	156.0
		% within First use: tobacco	47.4%	52.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	18.9%	14.7%	16.5%
		% of Total	7.8%	8.7%	16.5%
	18-20	Count	96	68	164
		Expected Count	67.7	96.3	164.0
		% within First use: tobacco	58.5%	41.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	24.6%	12.2%	17.3%
		% of Total	10.1%	7.2%	17.3%
	21-25	Count	8	6	14
		Expected Count	5.8	8.2	14.0
		% within First use: tobacco	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.0%	1.1%	1.5%
		% of Total	.8%	.6%	1.5%
	26+	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within First use: tobacco	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total	Count	391	556	947	
	Expected Count	391.0	556.0	947.0	
	% within First use: tobacco	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	48.394 ^a	8	.000
Likelihood Ratio	48.877	8	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	37.991	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	947		

a. 3 cells (16.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

First use: alcohol * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: alcohol	Did not use	Count	34	56	90
		Expected Count	37.1	52.9	90.0
		% within First use: alcohol	37.8%	62.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.7%	10.1%	9.5%
		% of Total	3.6%	5.9%	9.5%
Under 10		Count	7	4	11
		Expected Count	4.5	6.5	11.0
		% within First use: alcohol	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.8%	.7%	1.2%
		% of Total	.7%	.4%	1.2%
10-11		Count	3	6	9
		Expected Count	3.7	5.3	9.0
		% within First use: alcohol	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.8%	1.1%	.9%
		% of Total	.3%	.6%	.9%
12-13		Count	11	28	39
		Expected Count	16.1	22.9	39.0
		% within First use: alcohol	28.2%	71.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.8%	5.0%	4.1%
		% of Total	1.2%	3.0%	4.1%
14-15		Count	65	99	164
		Expected Count	67.6	96.4	164.0
		% within First use: alcohol	39.6%	60.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	16.6%	17.8%	17.3%
		% of Total	6.9%	10.4%	17.3%
16-17		Count	113	161	274
		Expected Count	113.0	161.0	274.0
		% within First use: alcohol	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	28.9%	28.9%	28.9%
		% of Total	11.9%	17.0%	28.9%
18-20		Count	144	166	310
		Expected Count	127.9	182.1	310.0
		% within First use: alcohol	46.5%	53.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	36.8%	29.8%	32.7%
		% of Total	15.2%	17.5%	32.7%
21-25		Count	14	37	51
		Expected Count	21.0	30.0	51.0
		% within First use: alcohol	27.5%	72.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.6%	6.6%	5.4%
		% of Total	1.5%	3.9%	5.4%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Total	Count	391	557	948
	Expected Count	391.0	557.0	948.0
	% within First use: alcohol	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.339 ^a	7	.064
Likelihood Ratio	13.599	7	.059
Linear-by-Linear Association	.666	1	.415
N of Valid Cases	948		

a. 2 cells (12.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.71.

First use: marijuana * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: marijuana	Did not use	Count	179	337	516
		Expected Count	212.6	303.4	516.0
		% within First use: marijuana	34.7%	65.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	46.0%	60.7%	54.7%
		% of Total	19.0%	35.7%	54.7%
Under 10		Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within First use: marijuana	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.2%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
10-11		Count	3	0	3
		Expected Count	1.2	1.8	3.0
		% within First use: marijuana	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.8%	.0%	.3%
		% of Total	.3%	.0%	.3%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: marijuana	12-13	Count	6	12	18
		Expected Count	7.4	10.6	18.0
		% within First use: marijuana	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.5%	2.2%	1.9%
		% of Total	.6%	1.3%	1.9%
	14-15	Count	29	46	75
		Expected Count	30.9	44.1	75.0
		% within First use: marijuana	38.7%	61.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.5%	8.3%	7.9%
		% of Total	3.1%	4.9%	7.9%
	16-17	Count	71	71	142
		Expected Count	58.5	83.5	142.0
		% within First use: marijuana	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	18.3%	12.8%	15.0%
		% of Total	7.5%	7.5%	15.0%
	18-20	Count	90	79	169
		Expected Count	69.6	99.4	169.0
		% within First use: marijuana	53.3%	46.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	23.1%	14.2%	17.9%
		% of Total	9.5%	8.4%	17.9%
	21-25	Count	10	9	19
		Expected Count	7.8	11.2	19.0
		% within First use: marijuana	52.6%	47.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.6%	1.6%	2.0%
		% of Total	1.1%	1.0%	2.0%
	26+	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within First use: marijuana	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	389	555	944
		Expected Count	389.0	555.0	944.0
		% within First use: marijuana	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	31.794 ^a	8	.000
Likelihood Ratio	33.449	8	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	23.382	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	944		

a. 6 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

First use: cocaine * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: cocaine	Did not use	Count	362	523	885
		Expected Count	365.2	519.8	885.0
		% within First use: cocaine	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	92.8%	94.2%	93.7%
		% of Total	38.3%	55.3%	93.7%
	12-13	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within First use: cocaine	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.2%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
14-15	Count	1	2	3	
	Expected Count	1.2	1.8	3.0	
	% within First use: cocaine	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.3%	.4%	.3%	
	% of Total	.1%	.2%	.3%	
16-17	Count	4	9	13	
	Expected Count	5.4	7.6	13.0	
	% within First use: cocaine	30.8%	69.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.0%	1.6%	1.4%	
	% of Total	.4%	1.0%	1.4%	
18-20	Count	16	18	34	
	Expected Count	14.0	20.0	34.0	
	% within First use: cocaine	47.1%	52.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	4.1%	3.2%	3.6%	
	% of Total	1.7%	1.9%	3.6%	
21-25	Count	5	2	7	
	Expected Count	2.9	4.1	7.0	
	% within First use: cocaine	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.3%	.4%	.7%	
	% of Total	.5%	.2%	.7%	
26+	Count	2	0	2	
	Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0	
	% within First use: cocaine	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.5%	.0%	.2%	
	% of Total	.2%	.0%	.2%	
Total	Count	390	555	945	
	Expected Count	390.0	555.0	945.0	
	% within First use: cocaine	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.364 ^a	6	.288
Likelihood Ratio	8.414	6	.209
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.539	1	.215
N of Valid Cases	945		

a. 8 cells (57.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

First use: amphetamines * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: amphetamines	Did not use	Count	358	500	858
		Expected Count	353.7	504.3	858.0
		% within First use: amphetamines	41.7%	58.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	91.8%	89.9%	90.7%
		% of Total	37.8%	52.9%	90.7%
Under 10		Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within First use: amphetamines	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.2%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
12-13		Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within First use: amphetamines	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.2%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
14-15		Count	3	4	7
		Expected Count	2.9	4.1	7.0
		% within First use: amphetamines	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.8%	.7%	.7%
		% of Total	.3%	.4%	.7%
16-17		Count	8	19	27
		Expected Count	11.1	15.9	27.0
		% within First use: amphetamines	29.6%	70.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.1%	3.4%	2.9%
		% of Total	.8%	2.0%	2.9%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: amphetamines	18-20	Count	15	27	42
		Expected Count	17.3	24.7	42.0
		% within First use: amphetamines	35.7%	64.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.8%	4.9%	4.4%
		% of Total	1.6%	2.9%	4.4%
	21-25	Count	5	2	7
		Expected Count	2.9	4.1	7.0
		% within First use: amphetamines	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	.4%	.7%
		% of Total	.5%	.2%	.7%
	26+	Count	1	2	3
		Expected Count	1.2	1.8	3.0
		% within First use: amphetamines	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.4%	.3%
		% of Total	.1%	.2%	.3%
Total	Count	390	556	946	
	Expected Count	390.0	556.0	946.0	
	% within First use: amphetamines	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.236 ^a	7	.512
Likelihood Ratio	7.005	7	.428
Linear-by-Linear Association	.507	1	.477
N of Valid Cases	946		

a. 10 cells (62.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

First use: sedatives * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: sedatives	Did not use	Count	365	531	896
		Expected Count	370.3	525.7	896.0
		% within First use: sedatives	40.7%	59.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	93.4%	95.7%	94.7%
		% of Total	38.6%	56.1%	94.7%
14-15		Count	2	3	5
		Expected Count	2.1	2.9	5.0
		% within First use: sedatives	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.5%	.5%
		% of Total	.2%	.3%	.5%
16-17		Count	8	8	16
		Expected Count	6.6	9.4	16.0
		% within First use: sedatives	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.0%	1.4%	1.7%
		% of Total	.8%	.8%	1.7%
18-20		Count	13	10	23
		Expected Count	9.5	13.5	23.0
		% within First use: sedatives	56.5%	43.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.3%	1.8%	2.4%
		% of Total	1.4%	1.1%	2.4%
21-25		Count	3	3	6
		Expected Count	2.5	3.5	6.0
		% within First use: sedatives	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.8%	.5%	.6%
		% of Total	.3%	.3%	.6%
Total		Count	391	555	946
		Expected Count	391.0	555.0	946.0
		% within First use: sedatives	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.005 ^a	4	.557
Likelihood Ratio	2.951	4	.566
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.652	1	.103
N of Valid Cases	946		

a. 4 cells (40.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.07.

First use: hallucinogens * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: hallucinogens	Did not use	Count	356	528	884
		Expected Count	363.9	520.1	884.0
		% within First use: hallucinogens	40.3%	59.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	91.5%	95.0%	93.5%
		% of Total	37.7%	55.9%	93.5%
	Under 10	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within First use: hallucinogens	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
	14-15	Count	2	2	4
		Expected Count	1.6	2.4	4.0
		% within First use: hallucinogens	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.4%	.4%
		% of Total	.2%	.2%	.4%
	16-17	Count	8	9	17
		Expected Count	7.0	10.0	17.0
		% within First use: hallucinogens	47.1%	52.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.1%	1.6%	1.8%
		% of Total	.8%	1.0%	1.8%
18-20	Count	20	14	34	
	Expected Count	14.0	20.0	34.0	
	% within First use: hallucinogens	58.8%	41.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	5.1%	2.5%	3.6%	
	% of Total	2.1%	1.5%	3.6%	
21-25	Count	1	3	4	
	Expected Count	1.6	2.4	4.0	
	% within First use: hallucinogens	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.3%	.5%	.4%	
	% of Total	.1%	.3%	.4%	
26+	Count	1	0	1	
	Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0	
	% within First use: hallucinogens	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%	
	% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%	
Total	Count	389	556	945	
	Expected Count	389.0	556.0	945.0	
	% within First use: hallucinogens	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.332 ^a	6	.215
Likelihood Ratio	8.955	6	.176
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.164	1	.041
N of Valid Cases	945		

a. 8 cells (57.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

First use: opiates * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: opiates	Did not use	Count	374	545	919
		Expected Count	378.8	540.2	919.0
		% within First use: opiates	40.7%	59.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	96.6%	98.7%	97.9%
		% of Total	39.8%	58.0%	97.9%
	14-15	Count	0	2	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within First use: opiates	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.4%	.2%
		% of Total	.0%	.2%	.2%
	16-17	Count	5	4	9
		Expected Count	3.7	5.3	9.0
		% within First use: opiates	55.6%	44.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	.7%	1.0%
		% of Total	.5%	.4%	1.0%
	18-20	Count	7	1	8
		Expected Count	3.3	4.7	8.0
		% within First use: opiates	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.8%	.2%	.9%
		% of Total	.7%	.1%	.9%
21-25	Count	1	0	1	
	Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0	
	% within First use: opiates	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%	
	% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%	
Total	Count	387	552	939	
	Expected Count	387.0	552.0	939.0	
	% within First use: opiates	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.768 ^a	4	.029
Likelihood Ratio	12.192	4	.016
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.165	1	.013
N of Valid Cases	939		

a. 7 cells (70.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

First use: inhalants * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: inhalants	Did not use	Count	377	544	921
		Expected Count	379.9	541.1	921.0
		% within First use: inhalants	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	96.9%	98.2%	97.7%
		% of Total	40.0%	57.7%	97.7%
Under 10		Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within First use: inhalants	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%
10-11		Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within First use: inhalants	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.2%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
12-13		Count	0	3	3
		Expected Count	1.2	1.8	3.0
		% within First use: inhalants	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.5%	.3%
		% of Total	.0%	.3%	.3%
14-15		Count	2	2	4
		Expected Count	1.7	2.3	4.0
		% within First use: inhalants	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.4%	.4%
		% of Total	.2%	.2%	.4%
16-17		Count	2	2	4
		Expected Count	1.7	2.3	4.0
		% within First use: inhalants	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.4%	.4%
		% of Total	.2%	.2%	.4%
18-20		Count	7	1	8
		Expected Count	3.3	4.7	8.0
		% within First use: inhalants	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.8%	.2%	.8%
		% of Total	.7%	.1%	.8%
Total		Count	389	554	943
		Expected Count	389.0	554.0	943.0
		% within First use: inhalants	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.224 ^a	6	.116
Likelihood Ratio	12.037	6	.061
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.822	1	.051
N of Valid Cases	943		

a. 12 cells (85.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

First use: designer * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: designer	Did not use	Count	366	529	895
		Expected Count	370.3	524.7	895.0
		% within First use: designer	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	93.6%	95.5%	94.7%
		% of Total	38.7%	56.0%	94.7%
Under 10		Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within First use: designer	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
14-15		Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within First use: designer	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%
16-17		Count	8	9	17
		Expected Count	7.0	10.0	17.0
		% within First use: designer	47.1%	52.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.0%	1.6%	1.8%
		% of Total	.8%	1.0%	1.8%
18-20		Count	11	12	23
		Expected Count	9.5	13.5	23.0
		% within First use: designer	47.8%	52.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.8%	2.2%	2.4%
		% of Total	1.2%	1.3%	2.4%
21-25		Count	3	2	5
		Expected Count	2.1	2.9	5.0
		% within First use: designer	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.8%	.4%	.5%
		% of Total	.3%	.2%	.5%
26+		Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within First use: designer	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%
Total		Count	391	554	945
		Expected Count	391.0	554.0	945.0
		% within First use: designer	41.4%	58.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.4%	58.6%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.961 ^a	6	.814
Likelihood Ratio	3.286	6	.772
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.373	1	.241
N of Valid Cases	945		

a. 8 cells (57.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

First use: steroids * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: steroids	Did not use	Count	381	553	934
		Expected Count	384.9	549.1	934.0
		% within First use: steroids	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.9%	99.6%	98.9%
		% of Total	40.4%	58.6%	98.9%
18-20		Count	6	2	8
		Expected Count	3.3	4.7	8.0
		% within First use: steroids	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.5%	.4%	.8%
		% of Total	.6%	.2%	.8%
21-25		Count	2	0	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within First use: steroids	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.0%	.2%
		% of Total	.2%	.0%	.2%
Total		Count	389	555	944
		Expected Count	389.0	555.0	944.0
		% within First use: steroids	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.691 ^a	2	.035
Likelihood Ratio	7.379	2	.025
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.454	1	.011
N of Valid Cases	944		

a. 4 cells (66.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

First use: other * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: other	Did not use	Count	371	533	904
		Expected Count	372.3	531.7	904.0
		% within First use: other	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	95.1%	95.7%	95.5%
		% of Total	39.2%	56.3%	95.5%
14-15		Count	0	3	3
		Expected Count	1.2	1.8	3.0
		% within First use: other	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.5%	.3%
		% of Total	.0%	.3%	.3%
16-17		Count	5	8	13
		Expected Count	5.4	7.6	13.0
		% within First use: other	38.5%	61.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	1.4%	1.4%
		% of Total	.5%	.8%	1.4%
18-20		Count	11	10	21
		Expected Count	8.6	12.4	21.0
		% within First use: other	52.4%	47.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.8%	1.8%	2.2%
		% of Total	1.2%	1.1%	2.2%
21-25		Count	2	3	5
		Expected Count	2.1	2.9	5.0
		% within First use: other	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.5%	.5%
		% of Total	.2%	.3%	.5%
26+		Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within First use: other	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	390	557	947
		Expected Count	390.0	557.0	947.0
		% within First use: other	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.666 ^a	5	.458
Likelihood Ratio	6.077	5	.299
Linear-by-Linear Association	.410	1	.522
N of Valid Cases	947		

a. 6 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

Use last yr: tobacco * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: tobacco	Never used	Count	198	378	576
		Expected Count	236.6	339.4	576.0
		% within Use last yr: tobacco	34.4%	65.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	50.9%	67.7%	60.8%
		% of Total	20.9%	39.9%	60.8%
	Once/year	Count	29	46	75
		Expected Count	30.8	44.2	75.0
		% within Use last yr: tobacco	38.7%	61.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.5%	8.2%	7.9%
		% of Total	3.1%	4.9%	7.9%
	6 times/year	Count	34	37	71
		Expected Count	29.2	41.8	71.0
		% within Use last yr: tobacco	47.9%	52.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.7%	6.6%	7.5%
		% of Total	3.6%	3.9%	7.5%
	Once/month	Count	18	19	37
		Expected Count	15.2	21.8	37.0
		% within Use last yr: tobacco	48.6%	51.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.6%	3.4%	3.9%
		% of Total	1.9%	2.0%	3.9%
	Twice/month	Count	22	16	38
		Expected Count	15.6	22.4	38.0
		% within Use last yr: tobacco	57.9%	42.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.7%	2.9%	4.0%
		% of Total	2.3%	1.7%	4.0%
	Once/week	Count	23	8	31
		Expected Count	12.7	18.3	31.0
		% within Use last yr: tobacco	74.2%	25.8%	100.0%
% within Gender		5.9%	1.4%	3.3%	
% of Total		2.4%	.8%	3.3%	
3 times/week	Count	14	12	26	
	Expected Count	10.7	15.3	26.0	
	% within Use last yr: tobacco	53.8%	46.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	3.6%	2.2%	2.7%	
	% of Total	1.5%	1.3%	2.7%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: tobacco	5 times/week	Count	13	8	21
		Expected Count	8.6	12.4	21.0
		% within Use last yr: tobacco	61.9%	38.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.3%	1.4%	2.2%
		% of Total	1.4%	.8%	2.2%
	Every day	Count	38	34	72
		Expected Count	29.6	42.4	72.0
		% within Use last yr: tobacco	52.8%	47.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.8%	6.1%	7.6%
		% of Total	4.0%	3.6%	7.6%
Total	Count	389	558	947	
	Expected Count	389.0	558.0	947.0	
	% within Use last yr: tobacco	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	41.181 ^a	8	.000
Likelihood Ratio	41.024	8	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	29.532	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	947		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.63.

Use last yr: alcohol * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: alcohol	Never used	Count	43	60	103
		Expected Count	42.3	60.7	103.0
		% within Use last yr: alcohol	41.7%	58.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.1%	10.8%	10.9%
		% of Total	4.5%	6.3%	10.9%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: alcohol	Once/year	Count	17	40	57
		Expected Count	23.4	33.6	57.0
		% within Use last yr: alcohol	29.8%	70.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.4%	7.2%	6.0%
		% of Total	1.8%	4.2%	6.0%
	6 times/year	Count	25	61	86
		Expected Count	35.3	50.7	86.0
		% within Use last yr: alcohol	29.1%	70.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.4%	10.9%	9.1%
		% of Total	2.6%	6.4%	9.1%
Once/month	Count	26	43	69	
	Expected Count	28.3	40.7	69.0	
	% within Use last yr: alcohol	37.7%	62.3%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	6.7%	7.7%	7.3%	
	% of Total	2.7%	4.5%	7.3%	
Twice/month	Count	44	83	127	
	Expected Count	52.2	74.8	127.0	
	% within Use last yr: alcohol	34.6%	65.4%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	11.3%	14.9%	13.4%	
	% of Total	4.6%	8.8%	13.4%	
Once/week	Count	97	169	266	
	Expected Count	109.3	156.7	266.0	
	% within Use last yr: alcohol	36.5%	63.5%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	24.9%	30.3%	28.1%	
	% of Total	10.2%	17.8%	28.1%	
3 times/week	Count	122	98	220	
	Expected Count	90.4	129.6	220.0	
	% within Use last yr: alcohol	55.5%	44.5%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	31.4%	17.6%	23.2%	
	% of Total	12.9%	10.3%	23.2%	
5 times/week	Count	13	4	17	
	Expected Count	7.0	10.0	17.0	
	% within Use last yr: alcohol	76.5%	23.5%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	3.3%	.7%	1.8%	
	% of Total	1.4%	.4%	1.8%	
Every day	Count	2	0	2	
	Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0	
	% within Use last yr: alcohol	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.5%	.0%	.2%	
	% of Total	.2%	.0%	.2%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Total	Count	389	558	947
	Expected Count	389.0	558.0	947.0
	% within Use last yr: alcohol	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	43.416 ^a	8	.000
Likelihood Ratio	44.142	8	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	13.565	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	947		

a. 2 cells (11.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

Use last yr: marijuana * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: marijuana	Never used	Count	247	415	662
		Expected Count	272.7	389.3	662.0
		% within Use last yr: marijuana	37.3%	62.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	63.7%	74.9%	70.3%
		% of Total	26.2%	44.1%	70.3%
	Once/year	Count	38	45	83
		Expected Count	34.2	48.8	83.0
		% within Use last yr: marijuana	45.8%	54.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.8%	8.1%	8.8%
		% of Total	4.0%	4.8%	8.8%
	6 times/year	Count	39	40	79
		Expected Count	32.5	46.5	79.0
		% within Use last yr: marijuana	49.4%	50.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.1%	7.2%	8.4%
		% of Total	4.1%	4.2%	8.4%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: marijuana	Once/month	Count	18	16	34
		Expected Count	14.0	20.0	34.0
		% within Use last yr: marijuana	52.9%	47.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.6%	2.9%	3.6%
		% of Total	1.9%	1.7%	3.6%
	Twice/month	Count	14	7	21
		Expected Count	8.6	12.4	21.0
		% within Use last yr: marijuana	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.6%	1.3%	2.2%
		% of Total	1.5%	.7%	2.2%
	Once/week	Count	4	8	12
		Expected Count	4.9	7.1	12.0
		% within Use last yr: marijuana	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	1.4%	1.3%
		% of Total	.4%	.8%	1.3%
	3 times/week	Count	9	7	16
		Expected Count	6.6	9.4	16.0
		% within Use last yr: marijuana	56.3%	43.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.3%	1.3%	1.7%
		% of Total	1.0%	.7%	1.7%
5 times/week	Count	10	8	18	
	Expected Count	7.4	10.6	18.0	
	% within Use last yr: marijuana	55.6%	44.4%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	2.6%	1.4%	1.9%	
	% of Total	1.1%	.8%	1.9%	
Every day	Count	9	8	17	
	Expected Count	7.0	10.0	17.0	
	% within Use last yr: marijuana	52.9%	47.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	2.3%	1.4%	1.8%	
	% of Total	1.0%	.8%	1.8%	
Total	Count	388	554	942	
	Expected Count	388.0	554.0	942.0	
	% within Use last yr: marijuana	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	18.887 ^a	8	.015
Likelihood Ratio	18.690	8	.017
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.634	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	942		

a. 1 cells (5.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.94.

Use last yr: cocaine * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: cocaine	Never used	Count	369	548	917
		Expected Count	376.7	540.3	917.0
		% within Use last yr: cocaine	40.2%	59.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	94.9%	98.2%	96.8%
		% of Total	39.0%	57.9%	96.8%
	Once/year	Count	9	6	15
		Expected Count	6.2	8.8	15.0
		% within Use last yr: cocaine	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.3%	1.1%	1.6%
		% of Total	1.0%	.6%	1.6%
	6 times/year	Count	8	3	11
		Expected Count	4.5	6.5	11.0
		% within Use last yr: cocaine	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.1%	.5%	1.2%
		% of Total	.8%	.3%	1.2%
	Once/month	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within Use last yr: cocaine	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%
	Twice/month	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use last yr: cocaine	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
% of Total		.1%	.0%	.1%	
5 times/week	Count	1	0	1	
	Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0	
	% within Use last yr: cocaine	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%	
	% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%	
Total	Count	389	558	947	
	Expected Count	389.0	558.0	947.0	
	% within Use last yr: cocaine	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.972 ^a	5	.076
Likelihood Ratio	10.580	5	.060
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.403	1	.004
N of Valid Cases	947		

a. 7 cells (58.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

Use last yr: amphetamines * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: amphetamines	Never used	Count	379	531	910
		Expected Count	373.8	536.2	910.0
		% within Use last yr: amphetamines	41.6%	58.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.4%	95.2%	96.1%
		% of Total	40.0%	56.1%	96.1%
	Once/year	Count	3	7	10
		Expected Count	4.1	5.9	10.0
		% within Use last yr: amphetamines	30.0%	70.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.8%	1.3%	1.1%
		% of Total	.3%	.7%	1.1%
	6 times/year	Count	3	4	7
		Expected Count	2.9	4.1	7.0
		% within Use last yr: amphetamines	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.8%	.7%	.7%
		% of Total	.3%	.4%	.7%
	Once/month	Count	1	5	6
		Expected Count	2.5	3.5	6.0
		% within Use last yr: amphetamines	16.7%	83.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.9%	.6%
		% of Total	.1%	.5%	.6%
Twice/month	Count	1	2	3	
	Expected Count	1.2	1.8	3.0	
	% within Use last yr: amphetamines	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.3%	.4%	.3%	
	% of Total	.1%	.2%	.3%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: amphetamines	Once/week	Count	1	2	3
		Expected Count	1.2	1.8	3.0
		% within Use last yr: amphetamines	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.4%	.3%
		% of Total	.1%	.2%	.3%
	3 times/week	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use last yr: amphetamines	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
	5 times/week	Count	0	2	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within Use last yr: amphetamines	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.4%	.2%
		% of Total	.0%	.2%	.2%
	Every day	Count	0	5	5
		Expected Count	2.1	2.9	5.0
		% within Use last yr: amphetamines	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.9%	.5%
		% of Total	.0%	.5%	.5%
Total	Count	389	558	947	
	Expected Count	389.0	558.0	947.0	
	% within Use last yr: amphetamines	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.579 ^a	8	.379
Likelihood Ratio	11.657	8	.167
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.279	1	.039
N of Valid Cases	947		

a. 15 cells (83.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

Use last yr: sedatives * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: sedatives	Never used	Count	377	545	922
		Expected Count	377.4	544.6	922.0
		% within Use last yr: sedatives	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.7%	97.8%	97.8%
		% of Total	40.0%	57.8%	97.8%
	Once/year	Count	3	4	7
		Expected Count	2.9	4.1	7.0
		% within Use last yr: sedatives	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.8%	.7%	.7%
		% of Total	.3%	.4%	.7%
	6 times/year	Count	4	1	5
		Expected Count	2.0	3.0	5.0
		% within Use last yr: sedatives	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	.2%	.5%
		% of Total	.4%	.1%	.5%
	Once/month	Count	1	3	4
		Expected Count	1.6	2.4	4.0
		% within Use last yr: sedatives	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.5%	.4%
		% of Total	.1%	.3%	.4%
Twice/month	Count	1	2	3	
	Expected Count	1.2	1.8	3.0	
	% within Use last yr: sedatives	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.3%	.4%	.3%	
	% of Total	.1%	.2%	.3%	
Once/week	Count	0	1	1	
	Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0	
	% within Use last yr: sedatives	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.0%	.2%	.1%	
	% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%	
3 times/week	Count	0	1	1	
	Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0	
	% within Use last yr: sedatives	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.0%	.2%	.1%	
	% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%	
Total	Count	386	557	943	
	Expected Count	386.0	557.0	943.0	
	% within Use last yr: sedatives	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.045 ^a	6	.538
Likelihood Ratio	5.832	6	.442
Linear-by-Linear Association	.264	1	.608
N of Valid Cases	943		

a. 12 cells (85.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

Use last yr: hallucinogens * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: hallucinogens	Never used	Count	377	545	922
		Expected Count	378.0	544.0	922.0
		% within Use last yr: hallucinogens	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.4%	97.8%	97.7%
		% of Total	39.9%	57.7%	97.7%
	Once/year	Count	8	9	17
		Expected Count	7.0	10.0	17.0
		% within Use last yr: hallucinogens	47.1%	52.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.1%	1.6%	1.8%
		% of Total	.8%	1.0%	1.8%
	6 times/year	Count	2	2	4
		Expected Count	1.6	2.4	4.0
		% within Use last yr: hallucinogens	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.4%	.4%
		% of Total	.2%	.2%	.4%
	Once/month	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use last yr: hallucinogens	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.2%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
Total		Count	387	557	944
		Expected Count	387.0	557.0	944.0
		% within Use last yr: hallucinogens	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.092 ^a	3	.779
Likelihood Ratio	1.446	3	.695
Linear-by-Linear Association	.027	1	.869
N of Valid Cases	944		

a. 4 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

Use last yr: opiates * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: opiates	Never used	Count	383	557	940
		Expected Count	385.5	554.5	940.0
		% within Use last yr: opiates	40.7%	59.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	98.7%	99.8%	99.4%
		% of Total	40.5%	58.9%	99.4%
	Once/year	Count	2	0	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within Use last yr: opiates	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.0%	.2%
		% of Total	.2%	.0%	.2%
	6 times/year	Count	2	1	3
		Expected Count	1.2	1.8	3.0
		% within Use last yr: opiates	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.2%	.3%
		% of Total	.2%	.1%	.3%
	Twice/month	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use last yr: opiates	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total	Count	388	558	946	
	Expected Count	388.0	558.0	946.0	
	% within Use last yr: opiates	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.159 ^a	3	.161
Likelihood Ratio	6.177	3	.103
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.568	1	.059
N of Valid Cases	946		

a. 6 cells (75.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

Use last yr: inhalants * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: inhalants	Never used	Count	388	557	945
		Expected Count	388.6	556.4	945.0
		% within Use last yr: inhalants	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	100.0%	99.9%
		% of Total	41.0%	58.9%	99.9%
	Once/year	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use last yr: inhalants	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total	Count	389	557	946	
	Expected Count	389.0	557.0	946.0	
	% within Use last yr: inhalants	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.433 ^b	1	.231		
Continuity Correction ^a	.033	1	.857		
Likelihood Ratio	1.779	1	.182		
Fisher's Exact Test				.411	.411
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.432	1	.231		
N of Valid Cases	946				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

Use last yr: designer * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: designer	Never used	Count	381	548	929
		Expected Count	382.1	546.9	929.0
		% within Use last yr: designer	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	98.4%	98.9%	98.7%
		% of Total	40.5%	58.2%	98.7%
	Once/year	Count	6	4	10
		Expected Count	4.1	5.9	10.0
		% within Use last yr: designer	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.6%	.7%	1.1%
		% of Total	.6%	.4%	1.1%
	6 times/year	Count	0	2	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within Use last yr: designer	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.4%	.2%
		% of Total	.0%	.2%	.2%
Total	Count	387	554	941	
	Expected Count	387.0	554.0	941.0	
	% within Use last yr: designer	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.873 ^a	2	.238
Likelihood Ratio	3.564	2	.168
Linear-by-Linear Association	.014	1	.907
N of Valid Cases	941		

a. 3 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

Use last yr: steroids * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: steroids	Never used	Count	387	556	943
		Expected Count	387.6	555.4	943.0
		% within Use last yr: steroids	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	100.0%	99.9%
		% of Total	41.0%	58.9%	99.9%
	Once/year	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use last yr: steroids	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total	Count	388	556	944	
	Expected Count	388.0	556.0	944.0	
	% within Use last yr: steroids	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.435 ^b	1	.231		
Continuity Correction ^a	.033	1	.856		
Likelihood Ratio	1.780	1	.182		
Fisher's Exact Test				.411	.411
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.433	1	.231		
N of Valid Cases	944				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

Use last yr: other * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: other	Never used	Count	382	548	930
		Expected Count	382.0	548.0	930.0
		% within Use last yr: other	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	98.2%	98.2%	98.2%
		% of Total	40.3%	57.9%	98.2%
Once/year		Count	6	6	12
		Expected Count	4.9	7.1	12.0
		% within Use last yr: other	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.5%	1.1%	1.3%
		% of Total	.6%	.6%	1.3%
6 times/year		Count	0	3	3
		Expected Count	1.2	1.8	3.0
		% within Use last yr: other	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.5%	.3%
		% of Total	.0%	.3%	.3%
Twice/month		Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use last yr: other	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
3 times/week		Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use last yr: other	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.2%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
Total		Count	389	558	947
		Expected Count	389.0	558.0	947.0
		% within Use last yr: other	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.618 ^a	4	.329
Likelihood Ratio	6.399	4	.171
Linear-by-Linear Association	.124	1	.725
N of Valid Cases	947		

a. 7 cells (70.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

PAST 30 DAYS USE:TOBACCO * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS USE:TOBACCO	0 DAYS	Count	264	460	724
		Expected Count	297.1	426.9	724.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:TOBACCO	36.5%	63.5%	100.0%
	1-2 DAYS	% within Gender	67.9%	82.3%	76.4%
		% of Total	27.8%	48.5%	76.4%
		Count	38	37	75
	1-2 DAYS	Expected Count	30.8	44.2	75.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:TOBACCO	50.7%	49.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.8%	6.6%	7.9%
	3-5 DAYS	% of Total	4.0%	3.9%	7.9%
Count		21	11	32	
Expected Count		13.1	18.9	32.0	
3-5 DAYS	% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:TOBACCO	65.6%	34.4%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	5.4%	2.0%	3.4%	
	% of Total	2.2%	1.2%	3.4%	
6-9 DAYS	Count	13	5	18	
	Expected Count	7.4	10.6	18.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:TOBACCO	72.2%	27.8%	100.0%	
6-9 DAYS	% within Gender	3.3%	.9%	1.9%	
	% of Total	1.4%	.5%	1.9%	
	Count	12	10	22	
10-19 DAYS	Expected Count	9.0	13.0	22.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:TOBACCO	54.5%	45.5%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	3.1%	1.8%	2.3%	
10-19 DAYS	% of Total	1.3%	1.1%	2.3%	
	Count	19	11	30	
	Expected Count	12.3	17.7	30.0	
20-29 DAYS	% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:TOBACCO	63.3%	36.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	4.9%	2.0%	3.2%	
	% of Total	2.0%	1.2%	3.2%	
ALL 30 DAYS	Count	22	25	47	
	Expected Count	19.3	27.7	47.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:TOBACCO	46.8%	53.2%	100.0%	
ALL 30 DAYS	% within Gender	5.7%	4.5%	5.0%	
	% of Total	2.3%	2.6%	5.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Total	Count	389	559	948
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
	% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:TOBACCO	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	32.832 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	32.485	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	16.195	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	948		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.39.

PAST 30 DAYS USE:ALCOHOL * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS USE:ALCOHOL	0 DAYS	Count	70	130	200
		Expected Count	81.9	118.1	200.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:ALCOHOL	35.0%	65.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	18.1%	23.3%	21.2%
		% of Total	7.4%	13.8%	21.2%
	1-2 DAYS	Count	77	131	208
		Expected Count	85.1	122.9	208.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:ALCOHOL	37.0%	63.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	19.9%	23.5%	22.1%
		% of Total	8.2%	13.9%	22.1%
3-5 DAYS	Count	69	128	197	
	Expected Count	80.6	116.4	197.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:ALCOHOL	35.0%	65.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	17.9%	23.0%	20.9%	
	% of Total	7.3%	13.6%	20.9%	
6-9 DAYS	Count	87	104	191	
	Expected Count	78.2	112.8	191.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:ALCOHOL	45.5%	54.5%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	22.5%	18.7%	20.3%	
	% of Total	9.2%	11.0%	20.3%	
10-19 DAYS	Count	72	61	133	
	Expected Count	54.4	78.6	133.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:ALCOHOL	54.1%	45.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	18.7%	11.0%	14.1%	
	% of Total	7.6%	6.5%	14.1%	
20-29 DAYS	Count	9	3	12	
	Expected Count	4.9	7.1	12.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:ALCOHOL	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	2.3%	.5%	1.3%	
	% of Total	1.0%	.3%	1.3%	
ALL 30 DAYS	Count	2	0	2	
	Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:ALCOHOL	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.5%	.0%	.2%	
	% of Total	.2%	.0%	.2%	
Total	Count	386	557	943	
	Expected Count	386.0	557.0	943.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:ALCOHOL	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	26.991 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	27.563	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	19.141	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	943		

a. 3 cells (21.4%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

PAST 30 DAYS USE:MARIJUANA * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS USE:MARIJUANA	0 DAYS	Count	310	476	786
		Expected Count	323.9	462.1	786.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:MARIJUANA	39.4%	60.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	79.7%	85.8%	83.3%
		% of Total	32.8%	50.4%	83.3%
	1-2 DAYS	Count	32	38	70
		Expected Count	28.8	41.2	70.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:MARIJUANA	45.7%	54.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.2%	6.8%	7.4%
		% of Total	3.4%	4.0%	7.4%
	3-5 DAYS	Count	12	8	20
		Expected Count	8.2	11.8	20.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:MARIJUANA	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.1%	1.4%	2.1%
		% of Total	1.3%	.8%	2.1%
6-9 DAYS	Count	7	10	17	
	Expected Count	7.0	10.0	17.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:MARIJUANA	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	
	% of Total	.7%	1.1%	1.8%	
10-19 DAYS	Count	12	10	22	
	Expected Count	9.1	12.9	22.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:MARIJUANA	54.5%	45.5%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	3.1%	1.8%	2.3%	
	% of Total	1.3%	1.1%	2.3%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS USE:MARIJUANA	20-29 DAYS	Count	9	10	19
		Expected Count	7.8	11.2	19.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS	47.4%	52.6%	100.0%
	ALL 30 DAYS	Count	7	3	10
		Expected Count	4.1	5.9	10.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS	70.0%	30.0%	100.0%
Total	USE:MARIJUANA	% within Gender	2.3%	1.8%	2.0%
		% of Total	1.0%	1.1%	2.0%
		Count	389	555	944
	Expected Count	389.0	555.0	944.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%		

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.851 ^a	6	.131
Likelihood Ratio	9.714	6	.137
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.487	1	.011
N of Valid Cases	944		

a. 1 cells (7.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.12.

PAST 30 DAYS USE:COCAINE * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS USE:COCAINE	0 DAYS	Count	385	554	939
		Expected Count	385.1	553.9	939.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:COCAINE	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.2%	99.3%	99.3%
		% of Total	40.7%	58.6%	99.3%
	1-2 DAYS	Count	3	3	6
		Expected Count	2.5	3.5	6.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:COCAINE	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.8%	.5%	.6%
		% of Total	.3%	.3%	.6%
3-5 DAYS	Count	0	1	1	
	Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:COCAINE	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.0%	.2%	.1%	
	% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%	
Total	Count	388	558	946	
	Expected Count	388.0	558.0	946.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:COCAINE	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.896 ^a	2	.639
Likelihood Ratio	1.253	2	.535
Linear-by-Linear Association	.033	1	.856
N of Valid Cases	946		

a. 4 cells (66.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

PAST 30 DAYS USE:AMPHETAMINES * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS USE:AMPHETAMINES	0 DAYS	Count	383	545	928
		Expected Count	381.0	547.0	928.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:AMPHETAMINES	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	98.7%	97.8%	98.2%
		% of Total	40.5%	57.7%	98.2%
	1-2 DAYS	Count	3	4	7
		Expected Count	2.9	4.1	7.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:AMPHETAMINES	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.8%	.7%	.7%
		% of Total	.3%	.4%	.7%
	3-5 DAYS	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:AMPHETAMINES	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%
	6-9 DAYS	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:AMPHETAMINES	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
% within Gender		.0%	.2%	.1%	
% of Total		.0%	.1%	.1%	
10-19 DAYS	Count	1	4	5	
	Expected Count	2.1	2.9	5.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:AMPHETAMINES	20.0%	80.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.3%	.7%	.5%	
	% of Total	.1%	.4%	.5%	
ALL 30 DAYS	Count	0	2	2	
	Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:AMPHETAMINES	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.0%	.4%	.2%	
	% of Total	.0%	.2%	.2%	
Total	Count	388	557	945	
	Expected Count	388.0	557.0	945.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:AMPHETAMINES	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.099 ^a	5	.685
Likelihood Ratio	4.269	5	.511
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.322	1	.128
N of Valid Cases	945		

a. 10 cells (83.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

PAST 30 DAYS USE:SEDATIVES * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS USE:SEDATIVES	0 DAYS	Count	382	552	934
		Expected Count	381.3	552.7	934.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:SEDATIVES	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.2%	98.9%	99.0%
		% of Total	40.5%	58.5%	99.0%
	1-2 DAYS	Count	1	3	4
		Expected Count	1.6	2.4	4.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:SEDATIVES	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.5%	.4%
		% of Total	.1%	.3%	.4%
	3-5 DAYS	Count	2	0	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:SEDATIVES	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.0%	.2%
		% of Total	.2%	.0%	.2%
	6-9 DAYS	Count	0	3	3
Expected Count		1.2	1.8	3.0	
% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:SEDATIVES		.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
% within Gender		.0%	.5%	.3%	
% of Total		.0%	.3%	.3%	
Total	Count	385	558	943	
	Expected Count	385.0	558.0	943.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:SEDATIVES	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.385 ^a	3	.146
Likelihood Ratio	7.175	3	.067
Linear-by-Linear Association	.402	1	.526
N of Valid Cases	943		

a. 6 cells (75.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

PAST 30 DAYS USE:HALLUCINOGENS * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS USE:HALLUCINOGENS	0 DAYS	Count	387	553	940
		Expected Count	385.9	554.1	940.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:HALLUCINOGENS	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	99.3%	99.5%
		% of Total	41.0%	58.5%	99.5%
	1-2 DAYS	Count	1	4	5
		Expected Count	2.1	2.9	5.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:HALLUCINOGENS	20.0%	80.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.7%	.5%
		% of Total	.1%	.4%	.5%
Total		Count	388	557	945
		Expected Count	388.0	557.0	945.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:HALLUCINOGENS	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.921 ^b	1	.337		
Continuity Correction ^a	.254	1	.614		
Likelihood Ratio	1.010	1	.315		
Fisher's Exact Test				.654	.318
Linear-by-Linear Association	.920	1	.337		
N of Valid Cases	945				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.05.

PAST 30 DAYS USE:OPIATES * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS USE:OPIATES	0 DAYS	Count	386	558	944
		Expected Count	387.2	556.8	944.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:OPIATES	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.5%	100.0%	99.8%
		% of Total	40.8%	59.0%	99.8%
	1-2 DAYS	Count	2	0	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:OPIATES	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.0%	.2%
		% of Total	.2%	.0%	.2%
Total	Count	388	558	946	
	Expected Count	388.0	558.0	946.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:OPIATES	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.882 ^b	1	.090		
Continuity Correction ^a	.957	1	.328		
Likelihood Ratio	3.571	1	.059		
Fisher's Exact Test				.168	.168
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.879	1	.090		
N of Valid Cases	946				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

PAST 30 DAYS USE:INHALANTS * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS USE:INHALANTS	0 DAYS	Count	388	557	945
		Expected Count	388.0	557.0	945.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		USE:INHALANTS			
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
Total		Count	388	557	945
		Expected Count	388.0	557.0	945.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		USE:INHALANTS			
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value
Pearson Chi-Square	. ^a
N of Valid Cases	945

a. No statistics are computed because PAST 30 DAYS USE:INHALANTS is a constant.

PAST 30 DAYS USE:DESIGNER * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS USE:DESIGNER	0 DAYS	Count	389	556	945
		Expected Count	388.2	556.8	945.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:DESIGNER	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	99.6%	99.8%
		% of Total	41.1%	58.7%	99.8%
		1-2 DAYS	Count	0	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:DESIGNER	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.4%	.2%
		% of Total	.0%	.2%	.2%
Total		Count	389	558	947
		Expected Count	389.0	558.0	947.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:DESIGNER	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.397 ^b	1	.237		
Continuity Correction ^a	.214	1	.644		
Likelihood Ratio	2.119	1	.146		
Fisher's Exact Test				.515	.347
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.396	1	.237		
N of Valid Cases	947				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

PAST 30 DAYS USE:STERIODS * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS USE:STERIODS	0 DAYS	Count	389	557	946
		Expected Count	389.0	557.0	946.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:STERIODS	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
Total		Count	389	557	946
		Expected Count	389.0	557.0	946.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:STERIODS	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value
Pearson Chi-Square	. ^a
N of Valid Cases	946

a. No statistics are computed because PAST 30 DAYS USE:STERIODS is a constant.

PAST 30 DAYS USE:OTHER * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS USE:OTHER	0 DAYS	Count	387	557	944
		Expected Count	387.4	556.6	944.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:OTHER	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.5%	99.6%	99.6%
		% of Total	40.8%	58.8%	99.6%
	1-2 DAYS	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:OTHER	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%
	3-5 DAYS	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:OTHER	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
	6-9 DAYS	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:OTHER	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.2%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:OTHER	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.200 ^a	3	.532
Likelihood Ratio	2.904	3	.407
Linear-by-Linear Association	.004	1	.947
N of Valid Cases	948		

a. 6 cells (75.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO	Never used	Count	22	14	36
		Expected Count	14.8	21.2	36.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO	61.1%	38.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.7%	2.5%	3.8%
		% of Total	2.3%	1.5%	3.8%
	Once/year	Count	5	5	10
		Expected Count	4.1	5.9	10.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	.9%	1.1%
		% of Total	.5%	.5%	1.1%
	6 times/year	Count	8	17	25
		Expected Count	10.3	14.7	25.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO	32.0%	68.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.1%	3.0%	2.6%
		% of Total	.8%	1.8%	2.6%
	Once/month	Count	20	35	55
		Expected Count	22.6	32.4	55.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.1%	6.3%	5.8%
		% of Total	2.1%	3.7%	5.8%
Twice/month	Count	22	34	56	
	Expected Count	23.0	33.0	56.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO	39.3%	60.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	5.7%	6.1%	5.9%	
	% of Total	2.3%	3.6%	5.9%	
Once/week	Count	73	96	169	
	Expected Count	69.3	99.7	169.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO	43.2%	56.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	18.8%	17.2%	17.8%	
	% of Total	7.7%	10.1%	17.8%	
3 times/week	Count	127	133	260	
	Expected Count	106.7	153.3	260.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO	48.8%	51.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	32.6%	23.8%	27.4%	
	% of Total	13.4%	14.0%	27.4%	
5 times/week	Count	42	95	137	
	Expected Count	56.2	80.8	137.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO	30.7%	69.3%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	10.8%	17.0%	14.5%	
	% of Total	4.4%	10.0%	14.5%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO	Every day	Count	70	130	200
		Expected Count	82.1	117.9	200.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO	35.0%	65.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	18.0%	23.3%	21.1%
		% of Total	7.4%	13.7%	21.1%
Total		Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	23.730 ^a	8	.003
Likelihood Ratio	23.798	8	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.663	1	.017
N of Valid Cases	948		

a. 1 cells (5.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.10.

AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL	Never used	Count	6	5	11
		Expected Count	4.5	6.5	11.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL	54.5%	45.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.5%	.9%	1.2%
		% of Total	.6%	.5%	1.2%
	Once/year	Count	0	2	2
	Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.0%	.4%	.2%	
	% of Total	.0%	.2%	.2%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL	Once/month	Count	3	3	6
		Expected Count	2.5	3.5	6.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.8%	.5%	.6%
		% of Total	.3%	.3%	.6%
	Twice/month	Count	5	18	23
		Expected Count	9.4	13.6	23.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL	21.7%	78.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	3.2%	2.4%
		% of Total	.5%	1.9%	2.4%
	Once/week	Count	122	137	259
		Expected Count	106.4	152.6	259.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL	47.1%	52.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	31.4%	24.6%	27.3%
		% of Total	12.9%	14.5%	27.3%
	3 times/week	Count	205	306	511
		Expected Count	209.9	301.1	511.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL	40.1%	59.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	52.7%	54.8%	54.0%
		% of Total	21.6%	32.3%	54.0%
	5 times/week	Count	30	64	94
		Expected Count	38.6	55.4	94.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL	31.9%	68.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.7%	11.5%	9.9%
% of Total		3.2%	6.8%	9.9%	
Every day	Count	18	23	41	
	Expected Count	16.8	24.2	41.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	4.6%	4.1%	4.3%	
	% of Total	1.9%	2.4%	4.3%	
Total	Count	389	558	947	
	Expected Count	389.0	558.0	947.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.447 ^a	7	.062
Likelihood Ratio	14.498	7	.043
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.470	1	.225
N of Valid Cases	947		

a. 5 cells (31.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA	Never used	Count	18	23	41
		Expected Count	16.8	24.2	41.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.6%	4.1%	4.3%
		% of Total	1.9%	2.4%	4.3%
	Once/year	Count	37	22	59
		Expected Count	24.2	34.8	59.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA	62.7%	37.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.5%	3.9%	6.2%
		% of Total	3.9%	2.3%	6.2%
	6 times/year	Count	43	57	100
		Expected Count	41.0	59.0	100.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA	43.0%	57.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.1%	10.2%	10.5%
		% of Total	4.5%	6.0%	10.5%
	Once/month	Count	63	76	139
		Expected Count	57.0	82.0	139.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA	45.3%	54.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	16.2%	13.6%	14.7%
		% of Total	6.6%	8.0%	14.7%
Twice/month	Count	52	111	163	
	Expected Count	66.9	96.1	163.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA	31.9%	68.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	13.4%	19.9%	17.2%	
	% of Total	5.5%	11.7%	17.2%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA	Once/week	Count	94	148	242
		Expected Count	99.3	142.7	242.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA	38.8%	61.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	24.2%	26.5%	25.5%
		% of Total	9.9%	15.6%	25.5%
	3 times/week	Count	60	84	144
		Expected Count	59.1	84.9	144.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA	41.7%	58.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	15.4%	15.0%	15.2%
		% of Total	6.3%	8.9%	15.2%
	5 times/week	Count	10	23	33
		Expected Count	13.5	19.5	33.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA	30.3%	69.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.6%	4.1%	3.5%
		% of Total	1.1%	2.4%	3.5%
Every day	Count	12	15	27	
	Expected Count	11.1	15.9	27.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA	44.4%	55.6%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	3.1%	2.7%	2.8%	
	% of Total	1.3%	1.6%	2.8%	
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	20.638 ^a	8	.008
Likelihood Ratio	20.616	8	.008
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.074	1	.024
N of Valid Cases	948		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 11.08.

AVERAGE USE:COCAINE * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:COCAINE	Never used	Count	163	192	355
		Expected Count	145.8	209.2	355.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:COCAINE	45.9%	54.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	41.9%	34.4%	37.5%
		% of Total	17.2%	20.3%	37.5%
	Once/year	Count	92	136	228
		Expected Count	93.7	134.3	228.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:COCAINE	40.4%	59.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	23.7%	24.4%	24.1%
		% of Total	9.7%	14.4%	24.1%
6 times/year	Count	43	86	129	
	Expected Count	53.0	76.0	129.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:COCAINE	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	11.1%	15.4%	13.6%	
	% of Total	4.5%	9.1%	13.6%	
Once/month	Count	37	45	82	
	Expected Count	33.7	48.3	82.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:COCAINE	45.1%	54.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	9.5%	8.1%	8.7%	
	% of Total	3.9%	4.8%	8.7%	
Twice/month	Count	21	47	68	
	Expected Count	27.9	40.1	68.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:COCAINE	30.9%	69.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	5.4%	8.4%	7.2%	
	% of Total	2.2%	5.0%	7.2%	
Once/week	Count	20	41	61	
	Expected Count	25.1	35.9	61.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:COCAINE	32.8%	67.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	5.1%	7.3%	6.4%	
	% of Total	2.1%	4.3%	6.4%	
3 times/week	Count	7	9	16	
	Expected Count	6.6	9.4	16.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:COCAINE	43.8%	56.3%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.8%	1.6%	1.7%	
	% of Total	.7%	1.0%	1.7%	
Every day	Count	6	2	8	
	Expected Count	3.3	4.7	8.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:COCAINE	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.5%	.4%	.8%	
	% of Total	.6%	.2%	.8%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Total	Count	389	558	947
	Expected Count	389.0	558.0	947.0
	% within AVERAGE USE:COCAINE	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.736 ^a	7	.028
Likelihood Ratio	15.910	7	.026
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.322	1	.128
N of Valid Cases	947		

a. 2 cells (12.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.29.

AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES	Never used	Count	170	157	327
		Expected Count	134.4	192.6	327.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES	52.0%	48.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	43.8%	28.2%	34.6%
		% of Total	18.0%	16.6%	34.6%
	Once/year	Count	73	90	163
		Expected Count	67.0	96.0	163.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES	44.8%	55.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	18.8%	16.2%	17.3%
		% of Total	7.7%	9.5%	17.3%
	6 times/year	Count	48	79	127
		Expected Count	52.2	74.8	127.0
% within AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES		37.8%	62.2%	100.0%	
% within Gender		12.4%	14.2%	13.5%	
		% of Total	5.1%	8.4%	13.5%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES	Once/month	Count	31	57	88
		Expected Count	36.2	51.8	88.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES	35.2%	64.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.0%	10.3%	9.3%
		% of Total	3.3%	6.0%	9.3%
	Twice/month	Count	23	49	72
		Expected Count	29.6	42.4	72.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES	31.9%	68.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.9%	8.8%	7.6%
		% of Total	2.4%	5.2%	7.6%
	Once/week	Count	25	65	90
		Expected Count	37.0	53.0	90.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES	27.8%	72.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.4%	11.7%	9.5%
		% of Total	2.6%	6.9%	9.5%
	3 times/week	Count	10	36	46
		Expected Count	18.9	27.1	46.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES	21.7%	78.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.6%	6.5%	4.9%
		% of Total	1.1%	3.8%	4.9%
5 times/week	Count	2	13	15	
	Expected Count	6.2	8.8	15.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES	13.3%	86.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.5%	2.3%	1.6%	
	% of Total	.2%	1.4%	1.6%	
Every day	Count	6	10	16	
	Expected Count	6.6	9.4	16.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.5%	1.8%	1.7%	
	% of Total	.6%	1.1%	1.7%	
Total	Count	388	556	944	
	Expected Count	388.0	556.0	944.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	39.831 ^a	8	.000
Likelihood Ratio	41.320	8	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	34.828	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	944		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.17.

AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES	Never used	Count	194	209	403
		Expected Count	166.1	236.9	403.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES	48.1%	51.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	49.9%	37.7%	42.7%
		% of Total	20.6%	22.1%	42.7%
	Once/year	Count	75	111	186
		Expected Count	76.6	109.4	186.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES	40.3%	59.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	19.3%	20.0%	19.7%
		% of Total	7.9%	11.8%	19.7%
	6 times/year	Count	44	79	123
		Expected Count	50.7	72.3	123.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES	35.8%	64.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.3%	14.2%	13.0%
		% of Total	4.7%	8.4%	13.0%
	Once/month	Count	29	60	89
		Expected Count	36.7	52.3	89.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES	32.6%	67.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.5%	10.8%	9.4%
		% of Total	3.1%	6.4%	9.4%
Twice/month	Count	16	32	48	
	Expected Count	19.8	28.2	48.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	4.1%	5.8%	5.1%	
	% of Total	1.7%	3.4%	5.1%	

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES	Once/week	Count	17	47	64
		Expected Count	26.4	37.6	64.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES	26.6%	73.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.4%	8.5%	6.8%
		% of Total	1.8%	5.0%	6.8%
	3 times/week	Count	5	9	14
		Expected Count	5.8	8.2	14.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES	35.7%	64.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	1.6%	1.5%
		% of Total	.5%	1.0%	1.5%
	5 times/week	Count	5	5	10
		Expected Count	4.1	5.9	10.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	.9%	1.1%
		% of Total	.5%	.5%	1.1%
Every day	Count	4	3	7	
	Expected Count	2.9	4.1	7.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.0%	.5%	.7%	
	% of Total	.4%	.3%	.7%	
Total	Count	389	555	944	
	Expected Count	389.0	555.0	944.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	20.405 ^a	8	.009
Likelihood Ratio	20.721	8	.008
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.499	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	944		

a. 3 cells (16.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.88.

AVERAGE USE:HALLUCINOGENS * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:HALLUCINOGENS	Never used	Count	196	224	420
		Expected Count	172.6	247.4	420.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:HALLUCINOGENS	46.7%	53.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	50.5%	40.3%	44.5%
		% of Total	20.8%	23.7%	44.5%
	Once/year	Count	95	151	246
		Expected Count	101.1	144.9	246.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:HALLUCINOGENS	38.6%	61.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	24.5%	27.2%	26.1%
		% of Total	10.1%	16.0%	26.1%
	6 times/year	Count	36	70	106
		Expected Count	43.6	62.4	106.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:HALLUCINOGENS	34.0%	66.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.3%	12.6%	11.2%
		% of Total	3.8%	7.4%	11.2%
	Once/month	Count	23	39	62
		Expected Count	25.5	36.5	62.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:HALLUCINOGENS	37.1%	62.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.9%	7.0%	6.6%
		% of Total	2.4%	4.1%	6.6%
	Twice/month	Count	17	32	49
		Expected Count	20.1	28.9	49.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:HALLUCINOGENS	34.7%	65.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.4%	5.8%	5.2%
		% of Total	1.8%	3.4%	5.2%
	Once/week	Count	11	32	43
		Expected Count	17.7	25.3	43.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:HALLUCINOGENS	25.6%	74.4%	100.0%
% within Gender		2.8%	5.8%	4.6%	
% of Total		1.2%	3.4%	4.6%	
3 times/week	Count	4	5	9	
	Expected Count	3.7	5.3	9.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:HALLUCINOGENS	44.4%	55.6%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.0%	.9%	1.0%	
	% of Total	.4%	.5%	1.0%	
5 times/week	Count	1	1	2	
	Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:HALLUCINOGENS	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%	
	% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:HALLUCINOGENS	Every day	Count	5	2	7
		Expected Count	2.9	4.1	7.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:HALLUCINOGENS	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	.4%	.7%
		% of Total	.5%	.2%	.7%
Total		Count	388	556	944
		Expected Count	388.0	556.0	944.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:HALLUCINOGENS	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.519 ^a	8	.036
Likelihood Ratio	16.762	8	.033
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.949	1	.026
N of Valid Cases	944		

a. 5 cells (27.8%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

AVERAGE USE:OPIATES * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:OPIATES	Never used	Count	228	277	505
		Expected Count	207.3	297.7	505.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OPIATES	45.1%	54.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	59.2%	50.1%	53.8%
		% of Total	24.3%	29.5%	53.8%
	Once/year	Count	80	133	213
		Expected Count	87.4	125.6	213.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OPIATES	37.6%	62.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	20.8%	24.1%	22.7%
		% of Total	8.5%	14.2%	22.7%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:OPIATES	6 times/year	Count	31	51	82
		Expected Count	33.7	48.3	82.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OPIATES	37.8%	62.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.1%	9.2%	8.7%
		% of Total	3.3%	5.4%	8.7%
	Once/month	Count	18	40	58
		Expected Count	23.8	34.2	58.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OPIATES	31.0%	69.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.7%	7.2%	6.2%
		% of Total	1.9%	4.3%	6.2%
	Twice/month	Count	9	19	28
		Expected Count	11.5	16.5	28.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OPIATES	32.1%	67.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.3%	3.4%	3.0%
		% of Total	1.0%	2.0%	3.0%
	Once/week	Count	9	23	32
		Expected Count	13.1	18.9	32.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OPIATES	28.1%	71.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.3%	4.2%	3.4%
		% of Total	1.0%	2.5%	3.4%
3 times/week	Count	3	7	10	
	Expected Count	4.1	5.9	10.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:OPIATES	30.0%	70.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.8%	1.3%	1.1%	
	% of Total	.3%	.7%	1.1%	
5 times/week	Count	0	1	1	
	Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:OPIATES	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.0%	.2%	.1%	
	% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%	
Every day	Count	7	2	9	
	Expected Count	3.7	5.3	9.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:OPIATES	77.8%	22.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.8%	.4%	1.0%	
	% of Total	.7%	.2%	1.0%	
Total	Count	385	553	938	
	Expected Count	385.0	553.0	938.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:OPIATES	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.685 ^a	8	.034
Likelihood Ratio	17.284	8	.027
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.437	1	.064
N of Valid Cases	938		

a. 4 cells (22.2%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS	Never used	Count	223	260	483
		Expected Count	198.5	284.5	483.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS	46.2%	53.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	57.8%	47.0%	51.4%
		% of Total	23.7%	27.7%	51.4%
	Once/year	Count	81	138	219
		Expected Count	90.0	129.0	219.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS	37.0%	63.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	21.0%	25.0%	23.3%
		% of Total	8.6%	14.7%	23.3%
	6 times/year	Count	27	50	77
		Expected Count	31.7	45.3	77.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS	35.1%	64.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.0%	9.0%	8.2%
		% of Total	2.9%	5.3%	8.2%
	Once/month	Count	21	36	57
		Expected Count	23.4	33.6	57.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS	36.8%	63.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.4%	6.5%	6.1%
		% of Total	2.2%	3.8%	6.1%
Twice/month	Count	11	29	40	
	Expected Count	16.4	23.6	40.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS	27.5%	72.5%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	2.8%	5.2%	4.3%	
	% of Total	1.2%	3.1%	4.3%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS	Once/week	Count	13	29	42
		Expected Count	17.3	24.7	42.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS	31.0%	69.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.4%	5.2%	4.5%
		% of Total	1.4%	3.1%	4.5%
	3 times/week	Count	6	8	14
		Expected Count	5.8	8.2	14.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.6%	1.4%	1.5%
		% of Total	.6%	.9%	1.5%
	Every day	Count	4	3	7
		Expected Count	2.9	4.1	7.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%
% within Gender		1.0%	.5%	.7%	
% of Total		.4%	.3%	.7%	
Total	Count	386	553	939	
	Expected Count	386.0	553.0	939.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.849 ^a	7	.054
Likelihood Ratio	14.036	7	.051
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.607	1	.018
N of Valid Cases	939		

a. 2 cells (12.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.88.

AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER	Never used	Count	172	195	367
		Expected Count	150.7	216.3	367.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER	46.9%	53.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	44.3%	35.0%	38.8%
		% of Total	18.2%	20.6%	38.8%
	Once/year	Count	93	142	235
		Expected Count	96.5	138.5	235.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER	39.6%	60.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	24.0%	25.5%	24.9%
		% of Total	9.8%	15.0%	24.9%
	6 times/year	Count	42	82	124
		Expected Count	50.9	73.1	124.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER	33.9%	66.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.8%	14.7%	13.1%
		% of Total	4.4%	8.7%	13.1%
	Once/month	Count	30	54	84
		Expected Count	34.5	49.5	84.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER	35.7%	64.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.7%	9.7%	8.9%
		% of Total	3.2%	5.7%	8.9%
	Twice/month	Count	23	41	64
		Expected Count	26.3	37.7	64.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER	35.9%	64.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.9%	7.4%	6.8%
		% of Total	2.4%	4.3%	6.8%
	Once/week	Count	17	30	47
		Expected Count	19.3	27.7	47.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER	36.2%	63.8%	100.0%
% within Gender		4.4%	5.4%	5.0%	
% of Total		1.8%	3.2%	5.0%	
3 times/week	Count	6	9	15	
	Expected Count	6.2	8.8	15.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.5%	1.6%	1.6%	
	% of Total	.6%	1.0%	1.6%	
5 times/week	Count	1	1	2	
	Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%	
	% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER	Every day	Count	4	3	7
		Expected Count	2.9	4.1	7.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	.5%	.7%
		% of Total	.4%	.3%	.7%
Total		Count	388	557	945
		Expected Count	388.0	557.0	945.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.947 ^a	8	.205
Likelihood Ratio	10.961	8	.204
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.777	1	.052
N of Valid Cases	945		

a. 4 cells (22.2%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

AVERAGE USE:STERIODS * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:STERIODS	Never used	Count	171	185	356
		Expected Count	146.2	209.8	356.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:STERIODS	48.0%	52.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	44.3%	33.4%	37.9%
		% of Total	18.2%	19.7%	37.9%
	Once/year	Count	66	108	174
		Expected Count	71.5	102.5	174.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:STERIODS	37.9%	62.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	17.1%	19.5%	18.5%
		% of Total	7.0%	11.5%	18.5%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:STEROIDS	6 times/year	Count	50	74	124
		Expected Count	50.9	73.1	124.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:STEROIDS	40.3%	59.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	13.0%	13.4%	13.2%
		% of Total	5.3%	7.9%	13.2%
	Once/month	Count	30	67	97
		Expected Count	39.8	57.2	97.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:STEROIDS	30.9%	69.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.8%	12.1%	10.3%
		% of Total	3.2%	7.1%	10.3%
	Twice/month	Count	27	46	73
		Expected Count	30.0	43.0	73.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:STEROIDS	37.0%	63.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.0%	8.3%	7.8%
		% of Total	2.9%	4.9%	7.8%
	Once/week	Count	23	37	60
		Expected Count	24.6	35.4	60.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:STEROIDS	38.3%	61.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.0%	6.7%	6.4%
		% of Total	2.4%	3.9%	6.4%
3 times/week	Count	10	26	36	
	Expected Count	14.8	21.2	36.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:STEROIDS	27.8%	72.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	2.6%	4.7%	3.8%	
	% of Total	1.1%	2.8%	3.8%	
5 times/week	Count	5	4	9	
	Expected Count	3.7	5.3	9.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:STEROIDS	55.6%	44.4%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.3%	.7%	1.0%	
	% of Total	.5%	.4%	1.0%	
Every day	Count	4	7	11	
	Expected Count	4.5	6.5	11.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:STEROIDS	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.0%	1.3%	1.2%	
	% of Total	.4%	.7%	1.2%	
Total	Count	386	554	940	
	Expected Count	386.0	554.0	940.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:STEROIDS	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.191 ^a	8	.040
Likelihood Ratio	16.359	8	.038
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.288	1	.007
N of Valid Cases	940		

a. 2 cells (11.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.70.

AVERAGE USE:OTHER * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:OTHER	Never used	Count	190	221	411
		Expected Count	168.8	242.2	411.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OTHER	46.2%	53.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	48.8%	39.6%	43.4%
		% of Total	20.1%	23.3%	43.4%
	Once/year	Count	96	150	246
		Expected Count	101.0	145.0	246.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OTHER	39.0%	61.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	24.7%	26.9%	26.0%
		% of Total	10.1%	15.8%	26.0%
	6 times/year	Count	39	57	96
		Expected Count	39.4	56.6	96.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OTHER	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.0%	10.2%	10.1%
		% of Total	4.1%	6.0%	10.1%
	Once/month	Count	25	49	74
		Expected Count	30.4	43.6	74.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OTHER	33.8%	66.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.4%	8.8%	7.8%
		% of Total	2.6%	5.2%	7.8%
Twice/month	Count	11	27	38	
	Expected Count	15.6	22.4	38.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:OTHER	28.9%	71.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	2.8%	4.8%	4.0%	
	% of Total	1.2%	2.9%	4.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:OTHER	Once/week	Count	14	29	43
		Expected Count	17.7	25.3	43.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OTHER	32.6%	67.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.6%	5.2%	4.5%
		% of Total	1.5%	3.1%	4.5%
	3 times/week	Count	7	17	24
		Expected Count	9.9	14.1	24.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OTHER	29.2%	70.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.8%	3.0%	2.5%
		% of Total	.7%	1.8%	2.5%
	5 times/week	Count	2	3	5
		Expected Count	2.1	2.9	5.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OTHER	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.5%	.5%
		% of Total	.2%	.3%	.5%
	Every day	Count	5	5	10
		Expected Count	4.1	5.9	10.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OTHER	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	.9%	1.1%
		% of Total	.5%	.5%	1.1%
Total	Count	389	558	947	
	Expected Count	389.0	558.0	947.0	
	% within AVERAGE USE:OTHER	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.906 ^a	8	.155
Likelihood Ratio	12.085	8	.147
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.638	1	.010
N of Valid Cases	947		

a. 3 cells (16.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.05.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Use tobacco: never * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use tobacco: on campus * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use tobacco: res hall * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use tobacco: frat/sor * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use tobacco: bar/restaurant * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use tobacco: where live * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use tobacco: in car * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use tobacco: private parties * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use tobacco: other * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use alcohol: never * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use alcohol: on campus * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use alcohol: res hall * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use alcohol: frat/sor * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use alcohol: bar/restaurant * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use alcohol: where live * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use alcohol: in car * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use alcohol: private parties * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use alcohol: other * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use marijuana: never * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use marijuana: on campus * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use marijuana: res hall * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use marijuana: frat/sor * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use marijuana: bar/restaurant * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use marijuana: where live * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use marijuana: in car * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use marijuana: private parties * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Use marijuana: other * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use cocaine: never * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use cocaine: on campus * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use cocaine: res hall * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use cocaine: frat/sor * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use cocaine: bar/restaurant * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use cocaine: where live * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use cocaine: in car * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use cocaine: private parties * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use cocaine: other * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use amphetamines: never * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use amphetamines: on campus * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use amphetamines: res hall * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use amphetamines: frat/sor * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use amphetamines: bar/restaurant * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use amphetamines: where live * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use amphetamines: in car * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use amphetamines: private parties * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use amphetamines: other * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use sedatives: never * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use sedatives: on campus * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use sedatives: res hall * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use sedatives: frat/sor * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use sedatives: bar/restaurant * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use sedatives: where live * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use sedatives: in car * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Use sedatives: private parties * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use sedatives: other * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use hallucinogens: never * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use hallucinogens: on campus * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use hallucinogens: res hall * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use hallucinogens: frat/sor * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use hallucinogens: bar/restaurant * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use hallucinogens: where live * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use hallucinogens: in car * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use hallucinogens: private parties * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use hallucinogens: other * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use opiates: never * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use opiates: on campus * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use opiates: res hall * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use opiates: frat/sor * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use opiates: bar/restaurant * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use opiates: where live * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use opiates: in car * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use opiates: private parties * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use opiates: other * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use inhalants: never * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use inhalants: on campus * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use inhalants: res hall * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use inhalants: frat/sor * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use inhalants: bar/restaurant * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use inhalants: where live * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Use inhalants: in car * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use inhalants: private parties * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use inhalants: other * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use designer drugs: never * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use designer drugs: on campus * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use designer drugs: res hall * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use designer drugs: frat/sor * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use designer drugs: bar/restaurant * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use designer drugs: where live * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use designer drugs: in car * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use designer drugs: private parties * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use designer drugs: other * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use steroids: never * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use steroids: on campus * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use steroids: res hall * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use steroids: frat/sor * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use steroids: bar/restaurant * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use steroids: where live * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use steroids: in car * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use steroids: private parties * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use steroids: other * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use other drugs: never * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use other drugs: on campus * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use other drugs: res hall * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use other drugs: frat/sor * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use other drugs: bar/restaurant * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Use other drugs: where live * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use other drugs: in car * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use other drugs: private parties * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Use other drugs: other * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%

Use tobacco: never * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use tobacco: never	No	Count	240	247	487
		Expected Count	199.8	287.2	487.0
		% within Use tobacco: never	49.3%	50.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	61.7%	44.2%	51.4%
		% of Total	25.3%	26.1%	51.4%
Yes	Yes	Count	149	312	461
		Expected Count	189.2	271.8	461.0
		% within Use tobacco: never	32.3%	67.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	38.3%	55.8%	48.6%
		% of Total	15.7%	32.9%	48.6%
Total	Total	Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within Use tobacco: never	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	28.154 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	27.458	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	28.349	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	28.124	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 189.17.

Use tobacco: on campus * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use tobacco: on campus	No	Count	332	523	855
		Expected Count	350.8	504.2	855.0
		% within Use tobacco: on campus	38.8%	61.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	85.3%	93.6%	90.2%
		% of Total	35.0%	55.2%	90.2%
	Yes	Count	57	36	93
		Expected Count	38.2	54.8	93.0
		% within Use tobacco: on campus	61.3%	38.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	14.7%	6.4%	9.8%
		% of Total	6.0%	3.8%	9.8%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use tobacco: on campus	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	17.487 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	16.571	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	17.162	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	17.468	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 38.16.

Use tobacco: res hall * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use tobacco: res hall	No	Count	330	529	859
		Expected Count	352.5	506.5	859.0
		% within Use tobacco: res hall	38.4%	61.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	84.8%	94.6%	90.6%
		% of Total	34.8%	55.8%	90.6%
	Yes	Count	59	30	89
		Expected Count	36.5	52.5	89.0
		% within Use tobacco: res hall	66.3%	33.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	15.2%	5.4%	9.4%
		% of Total	6.2%	3.2%	9.4%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use tobacco: res hall	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	25.898 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	24.759	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	25.496	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	25.871	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 36.52.

Use tobacco: frat/sor * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use tobacco: frat/sor	No	Count	321	504	825
		Expected Count	338.5	486.5	825.0
		% within Use tobacco: frat/sor	38.9%	61.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	82.5%	90.2%	87.0%
		% of Total	33.9%	53.2%	87.0%
	Yes	Count	68	55	123
		Expected Count	50.5	72.5	123.0
		% within Use tobacco: frat/sor	55.3%	44.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	17.5%	9.8%	13.0%
		% of Total	7.2%	5.8%	13.0%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use tobacco: frat/sor	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.863 ^b	1	.001		
Continuity Correction ^a	11.196	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	11.658	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test				.001	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.850	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 50.47.

Use tobacco: bar/restaurant * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use tobacco: bar/restaurant	No	Count	271	446	717
		Expected Count	294.2	422.8	717.0
		% within Use tobacco: bar/restaurant	37.8%	62.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	69.7%	79.8%	75.6%
		% of Total	28.6%	47.0%	75.6%
	Yes	Count	118	113	231
		Expected Count	94.8	136.2	231.0
		% within Use tobacco: bar/restaurant	51.1%	48.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	30.3%	20.2%	24.4%
		% of Total	12.4%	11.9%	24.4%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use tobacco: bar/restaurant	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.746 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	12.202	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	12.605	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.732	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 94.79.

Use tobacco: where live * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use tobacco: where live	No	Count	239	432	671
		Expected Count	275.3	395.7	671.0
		% within Use tobacco: where live	35.6%	64.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	61.4%	77.3%	70.8%
		% of Total	25.2%	45.6%	70.8%
	Yes	Count	150	127	277
		Expected Count	113.7	163.3	277.0
		% within Use tobacco: where live	54.2%	45.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	38.6%	22.7%	29.2%
		% of Total	15.8%	13.4%	29.2%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use tobacco: where live	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	27.832 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	27.072	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	27.566	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	27.803	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 113.66.

Use tobacco: in car * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use tobacco: in car	No	Count	263	426	689
		Expected Count	282.7	406.3	689.0
		% within Use tobacco: in car	38.2%	61.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	67.6%	76.2%	72.7%
		% of Total	27.7%	44.9%	72.7%
	Yes	Count	126	133	259
		Expected Count	106.3	152.7	259.0
		% within Use tobacco: in car	48.6%	51.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	32.4%	23.8%	27.3%
		% of Total	13.3%	14.0%	27.3%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use tobacco: in car	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.540 ^b	1	.003		
Continuity Correction ^a	8.113	1	.004		
Likelihood Ratio	8.468	1	.004		
Fisher's Exact Test				.004	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.531	1	.003		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 106.28.

Use tobacco: private parties * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use tobacco: private parties	No	Count	216	382	598
		Expected Count	245.4	352.6	598.0
		% within Use tobacco: private parties	36.1%	63.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	55.5%	68.3%	63.1%
		% of Total	22.8%	40.3%	63.1%
	Yes	Count	173	177	350
		Expected Count	143.6	206.4	350.0
		% within Use tobacco: private parties	49.4%	50.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	44.5%	31.7%	36.9%
		% of Total	18.2%	18.7%	36.9%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use tobacco: private parties	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.160 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	15.615	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	16.086	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	16.143	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 143.62.

Use tobacco: other * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use tobacco: other	No	Count	314	511	825
		Expected Count	338.5	486.5	825.0
		% within Use tobacco: other	38.1%	61.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	80.7%	91.4%	87.0%
		% of Total	33.1%	53.9%	87.0%
	Yes	Count	75	48	123
		Expected Count	50.5	72.5	123.0
		% within Use tobacco: other	61.0%	39.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	19.3%	8.6%	13.0%
		% of Total	7.9%	5.1%	13.0%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use tobacco: other	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	23.230 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	22.292	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	22.823	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	23.205	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 50.47.

Use alcohol: never * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use alcohol: never	No	Count	355	503	858
		Expected Count	352.1	505.9	858.0
		% within Use alcohol: never	41.4%	58.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	91.3%	90.0%	90.5%
		% of Total	37.4%	53.1%	90.5%
	Yes	Count	34	56	90
		Expected Count	36.9	53.1	90.0
		% within Use alcohol: never	37.8%	62.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.7%	10.0%	9.5%
		% of Total	3.6%	5.9%	9.5%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use alcohol: never	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.436 ^b	1	.509		
Continuity Correction ^a	.300	1	.584		
Likelihood Ratio	.439	1	.508		
Fisher's Exact Test				.574	.293
Linear-by-Linear Association	.435	1	.509		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 36.93.

Use alcohol: on campus * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use alcohol: on campus	No	Count	311	485	796
		Expected Count	326.6	469.4	796.0
		% within Use alcohol: on campus	39.1%	60.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	79.9%	86.8%	84.0%
		% of Total	32.8%	51.2%	84.0%
	Yes	Count	78	74	152
		Expected Count	62.4	89.6	152.0
		% within Use alcohol: on campus	51.3%	48.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	20.1%	13.2%	16.0%
		% of Total	8.2%	7.8%	16.0%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use alcohol: on campus	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.910 ^b	1	.005		
Continuity Correction ^a	7.412	1	.006		
Likelihood Ratio	7.799	1	.005		
Fisher's Exact Test				.005	.003
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.901	1	.005		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 62.37.

Use alcohol: res hall * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use alcohol: res hall	No	Count	209	317	526
		Expected Count	215.8	310.2	526.0
		% within Use alcohol: res hall	39.7%	60.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	53.7%	56.7%	55.5%
		% of Total	22.0%	33.4%	55.5%
	Yes	Count	180	242	422
		Expected Count	173.2	248.8	422.0
		% within Use alcohol: res hall	42.7%	57.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	46.3%	43.3%	44.5%
		% of Total	19.0%	25.5%	44.5%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use alcohol: res hall	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.825 ^b	1	.364		
Continuity Correction ^a	.709	1	.400		
Likelihood Ratio	.825	1	.364		
Fisher's Exact Test				.388	.200
Linear-by-Linear Association	.824	1	.364		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 173.16.

Use alcohol: frat/sor * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use alcohol: frat/sor	No	Count	211	309	520
		Expected Count	213.4	306.6	520.0
		% within Use alcohol: frat/sor	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	54.2%	55.3%	54.9%
		% of Total	22.3%	32.6%	54.9%
	Yes	Count	178	250	428
		Expected Count	175.6	252.4	428.0
		% within Use alcohol: frat/sor	41.6%	58.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	45.8%	44.7%	45.1%
		% of Total	18.8%	26.4%	45.1%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use alcohol: frat/sor	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.099 ^b	1	.753		
Continuity Correction ^a	.062	1	.803		
Likelihood Ratio	.099	1	.753		
Fisher's Exact Test				.791	.402
Linear-by-Linear Association	.099	1	.753		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 175.62.

Use alcohol: bar/restaurant * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use alcohol: bar/restaurant	No	Count	145	247	392
		Expected Count	160.9	231.1	392.0
		% within Use alcohol: bar/restaurant	37.0%	63.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	37.3%	44.2%	41.4%
		% of Total	15.3%	26.1%	41.4%
	Yes	Count	244	312	556
		Expected Count	228.1	327.9	556.0
		% within Use alcohol: bar/restaurant	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	62.7%	55.8%	58.6%
		% of Total	25.7%	32.9%	58.6%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use alcohol: bar/restaurant	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.517 ^b	1	.034		
Continuity Correction ^a	4.237	1	.040		
Likelihood Ratio	4.535	1	.033		
Fisher's Exact Test				.038	.020
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.513	1	.034		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 160.85.

Use alcohol: where live * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use alcohol: where live	No	Count	121	192	313
		Expected Count	128.4	184.6	313.0
		% within Use alcohol: where live	38.7%	61.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	31.1%	34.3%	33.0%
		% of Total	12.8%	20.3%	33.0%
	Yes	Count	268	367	635
		Expected Count	260.6	374.4	635.0
		% within Use alcohol: where live	42.2%	57.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	68.9%	65.7%	67.0%
		% of Total	28.3%	38.7%	67.0%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use alcohol: where live	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.090 ^b	1	.296		
Continuity Correction ^a	.948	1	.330		
Likelihood Ratio	1.094	1	.296		
Fisher's Exact Test				.326	.165
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.089	1	.297		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 128.44.

Use alcohol: in car * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use alcohol: in car	No	Count	328	493	821
		Expected Count	336.9	484.1	821.0
		% within Use alcohol: in car	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	84.3%	88.2%	86.6%
		% of Total	34.6%	52.0%	86.6%
	Yes	Count	61	66	127
		Expected Count	52.1	74.9	127.0
		% within Use alcohol: in car	48.0%	52.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	15.7%	11.8%	13.4%
		% of Total	6.4%	7.0%	13.4%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use alcohol: in car	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.968 ^b	1	.085		
Continuity Correction ^a	2.643	1	.104		
Likelihood Ratio	2.934	1	.087		
Fisher's Exact Test				.099	.053
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.965	1	.085		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 52.11.

Use alcohol: private parties * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use alcohol: private parties	No	Count	94	138	232
		Expected Count	95.2	136.8	232.0
		% within Use alcohol: private parties	40.5%	59.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	24.2%	24.7%	24.5%
		% of Total	9.9%	14.6%	24.5%
	Yes	Count	295	421	716
		Expected Count	293.8	422.2	716.0
		% within Use alcohol: private parties	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	75.8%	75.3%	75.5%
		% of Total	31.1%	44.4%	75.5%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use alcohol: private parties	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.034 ^b	1	.854		
Continuity Correction ^a	.012	1	.915		
Likelihood Ratio	.034	1	.854		
Fisher's Exact Test				.878	.458
Linear-by-Linear Association	.034	1	.854		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 95.20.

Use alcohol: other * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use alcohol: other	No	Count	306	474	780
		Expected Count	320.1	459.9	780.0
		% within Use alcohol: other	39.2%	60.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	78.7%	84.8%	82.3%
		% of Total	32.3%	50.0%	82.3%
	Yes	Count	83	85	168
		Expected Count	68.9	99.1	168.0
		% within Use alcohol: other	49.4%	50.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	21.3%	15.2%	17.7%
		% of Total	8.8%	9.0%	17.7%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use alcohol: other	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.913 ^b	1	.015		
Continuity Correction ^a	5.500	1	.019		
Likelihood Ratio	5.843	1	.016		
Fisher's Exact Test				.016	.010
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.907	1	.015		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 68.94.

Use marijuana: never * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use marijuana: never	No	Count	185	205	390
		Expected Count	160.0	230.0	390.0
		% within Use marijuana: never	47.4%	52.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	47.6%	36.7%	41.1%
		% of Total	19.5%	21.6%	41.1%
	Yes	Count	204	354	558
		Expected Count	229.0	329.0	558.0
		% within Use marijuana: never	36.6%	63.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	52.4%	63.3%	58.9%
		% of Total	21.5%	37.3%	58.9%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use marijuana: never	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.224 ^b	1	.001		
Continuity Correction ^a	10.779	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	11.198	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test				.001	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.212	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 160.03.

Use marijuana: on campus * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use marijuana: on campus	No	Count	375	551	926
		Expected Count	380.0	546.0	926.0
		% within Use marijuana: on campus	40.5%	59.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	96.4%	98.6%	97.7%
		% of Total	39.6%	58.1%	97.7%
	Yes	Count	14	8	22
		Expected Count	9.0	13.0	22.0
		% within Use marijuana: on campus	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.6%	1.4%	2.3%
		% of Total	1.5%	.8%	2.3%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use marijuana: on campus	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.755 ^b	1	.029		
Continuity Correction ^a	3.847	1	.050		
Likelihood Ratio	4.662	1	.031		
Fisher's Exact Test				.046	.026
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.750	1	.029		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.03.

Use marijuana: res hall * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use marijuana: res hall	No	Count	344	528	872
		Expected Count	357.8	514.2	872.0
		% within Use marijuana: res hall	39.4%	60.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	88.4%	94.5%	92.0%
		% of Total	36.3%	55.7%	92.0%
	Yes	Count	45	31	76
		Expected Count	31.2	44.8	76.0
		% within Use marijuana: res hall	59.2%	40.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.6%	5.5%	8.0%
		% of Total	4.7%	3.3%	8.0%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use marijuana: res hall	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.282 ^b	1	.001		
Continuity Correction ^a	10.480	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	11.062	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test				.001	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.270	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 31.19.

Use marijuana: frat/sor * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use marijuana: frat/sor	No	Count	360	537	897
		Expected Count	368.1	528.9	897.0
		% within Use marijuana: frat/sor	40.1%	59.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	92.5%	96.1%	94.6%
		% of Total	38.0%	56.6%	94.6%
	Yes	Count	29	22	51
		Expected Count	20.9	30.1	51.0
		% within Use marijuana: frat/sor	56.9%	43.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.5%	3.9%	5.4%
		% of Total	3.1%	2.3%	5.4%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use marijuana: frat/sor	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.581 ^b	1	.018		
Continuity Correction ^a	4.911	1	.027		
Likelihood Ratio	5.470	1	.019		
Fisher's Exact Test				.020	.014
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.576	1	.018		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 20.93.

Use marijuana: bar/restaurant * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use marijuana: bar/restaurant	No	Count	373	552	925
		Expected Count	379.6	545.4	925.0
		% within Use marijuana: bar/restaurant	40.3%	59.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	95.9%	98.7%	97.6%
		% of Total	39.3%	58.2%	97.6%
	Yes	Count	16	7	23
		Expected Count	9.4	13.6	23.0
		% within Use marijuana: bar/restaurant	69.6%	30.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.1%	1.3%	2.4%
		% of Total	1.7%	.7%	2.4%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use marijuana: bar/restaurant	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.930 ^b	1	.005		
Continuity Correction ^a	6.768	1	.009		
Likelihood Ratio	7.825	1	.005		
Fisher's Exact Test				.008	.005
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.922	1	.005		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.44.

Use marijuana: where live * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use marijuana: where live	No	Count	287	467	754
		Expected Count	309.4	444.6	754.0
		% within Use marijuana: where live	38.1%	61.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	73.8%	83.5%	79.5%
		% of Total	30.3%	49.3%	79.5%
	Yes	Count	102	92	194
		Expected Count	79.6	114.4	194.0
		% within Use marijuana: where live	52.6%	47.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	26.2%	16.5%	20.5%
		% of Total	10.8%	9.7%	20.5%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use marijuana: where live	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.433 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	12.840	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	13.254	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	13.419	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 79.61.

Use marijuana: in car * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use marijuana: in car	No	Count	303	471	774
		Expected Count	317.6	456.4	774.0
		% within Use marijuana: in car	39.1%	60.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	77.9%	84.3%	81.6%
		% of Total	32.0%	49.7%	81.6%
	Yes	Count	86	88	174
		Expected Count	71.4	102.6	174.0
		% within Use marijuana: in car	49.4%	50.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	22.1%	15.7%	18.4%
		% of Total	9.1%	9.3%	18.4%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use marijuana: in car	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.202 ^b	1	.013		
Continuity Correction ^a	5.785	1	.016		
Likelihood Ratio	6.129	1	.013		
Fisher's Exact Test				.014	.008
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.196	1	.013		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 71.40.

Use marijuana: private parties * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use marijuana: private parties	No	Count	259	422	681
		Expected Count	279.4	401.6	681.0
		% within Use marijuana: private parties	38.0%	62.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	66.6%	75.5%	71.8%
		% of Total	27.3%	44.5%	71.8%
	Yes	Count	130	137	267
		Expected Count	109.6	157.4	267.0
		% within Use marijuana: private parties	48.7%	51.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	33.4%	24.5%	28.2%
		% of Total	13.7%	14.5%	28.2%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use marijuana: private parties	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.002 ^b	1	.003		
Continuity Correction ^a	8.567	1	.003		
Likelihood Ratio	8.929	1	.003		
Fisher's Exact Test				.003	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.993	1	.003		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 109.56.

Use marijuana: other * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use marijuana: other	No	Count	353	518	871
		Expected Count	357.4	513.6	871.0
		% within Use marijuana: other	40.5%	59.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	90.7%	92.7%	91.9%
		% of Total	37.2%	54.6%	91.9%
	Yes	Count	36	41	77
		Expected Count	31.6	45.4	77.0
		% within Use marijuana: other	46.8%	53.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.3%	7.3%	8.1%
		% of Total	3.8%	4.3%	8.1%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use marijuana: other	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.133 ^b	1	.287		
Continuity Correction ^a	.890	1	.345		
Likelihood Ratio	1.121	1	.290		
Fisher's Exact Test				.334	.173
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.132	1	.287		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 31.60.

Use cocaine: never * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use cocaine: never	No	Count	23	27	50
		Expected Count	20.5	29.5	50.0
		% within Use cocaine: never	46.0%	54.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.9%	4.8%	5.3%
		% of Total	2.4%	2.8%	5.3%
	Yes	Count	366	532	898
		Expected Count	368.5	529.5	898.0
		% within Use cocaine: never	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	94.1%	95.2%	94.7%
		% of Total	38.6%	56.1%	94.7%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use cocaine: never	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.538 ^b	1	.463		
Continuity Correction ^a	.343	1	.558		
Likelihood Ratio	.533	1	.465		
Fisher's Exact Test				.464	.277
Linear-by-Linear Association	.537	1	.463		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 20.52.

Use cocaine: on campus * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use cocaine: on campus	No	Count	387	559	946
		Expected Count	388.2	557.8	946.0
		% within Use cocaine: on campus	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.5%	100.0%	99.8%
		% of Total	40.8%	59.0%	99.8%
	Yes	Count	2	0	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within Use cocaine: on campus	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.0%	.2%
		% of Total	.2%	.0%	.2%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use cocaine: on campus	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.880 ^b	1	.090		
Continuity Correction ^a	.956	1	.328		
Likelihood Ratio	3.569	1	.059		
Fisher's Exact Test				.168	.168
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.877	1	.090		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

Use cocaine: res hall * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use cocaine: res hall	No	Count	385	558	943
		Expected Count	386.9	556.1	943.0
		% within Use cocaine: res hall	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.0%	99.8%	99.5%
		% of Total	40.6%	58.9%	99.5%
	Yes	Count	4	1	5
		Expected Count	2.1	2.9	5.0
		% within Use cocaine: res hall	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	.2%	.5%
		% of Total	.4%	.1%	.5%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use cocaine: res hall	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.154 ^b	1	.076		
Continuity Correction ^a	1.743	1	.187		
Likelihood Ratio	3.195	1	.074		
Fisher's Exact Test				.165	.095
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.151	1	.076		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.05.

Use cocaine: frat/sor * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use cocaine: frat/sor	No	Count	388	558	946
		Expected Count	388.2	557.8	946.0
		% within Use cocaine: frat/sor	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	99.8%	99.8%
		% of Total	40.9%	58.9%	99.8%
	Yes	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within Use cocaine: frat/sor	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use cocaine: frat/sor	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.067 ^b	1	.796		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.066	1	.798		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.653
Linear-by-Linear Association	.067	1	.796		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

Use cocaine: bar/restaurant * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use cocaine: bar/restaurant	No	Count	387	557	944
		Expected Count	387.4	556.6	944.0
		% within Use cocaine: bar/restaurant	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.5%	99.6%	99.6%
		% of Total	40.8%	58.8%	99.6%
	Yes	Count	2	2	4
		Expected Count	1.6	2.4	4.0
		% within Use cocaine: bar/restaurant	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.4%	.4%
		% of Total	.2%	.2%	.4%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use cocaine: bar/restaurant	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.133 ^b	1	.715		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.131	1	.717		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.543
Linear-by-Linear Association	.133	1	.715		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.64.

Use cocaine: where live * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use cocaine: where live	No	Count	377	550	927
		Expected Count	380.4	546.6	927.0
		% within Use cocaine: where live	40.7%	59.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	96.9%	98.4%	97.8%
		% of Total	39.8%	58.0%	97.8%
	Yes	Count	12	9	21
		Expected Count	8.6	12.4	21.0
		% within Use cocaine: where live	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.1%	1.6%	2.2%
		% of Total	1.3%	.9%	2.2%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use cocaine: where live	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.303 ^b	1	.129		
Continuity Correction ^a	1.673	1	.196		
Likelihood Ratio	2.255	1	.133		
Fisher's Exact Test				.177	.099
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.301	1	.129		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.62.

Use cocaine: in car * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use cocaine: in car	No	Count	381	551	932
		Expected Count	382.4	549.6	932.0
		% within Use cocaine: in car	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.9%	98.6%	98.3%
		% of Total	40.2%	58.1%	98.3%
	Yes	Count	8	8	16
		Expected Count	6.6	9.4	16.0
		% within Use cocaine: in car	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.1%	1.4%	1.7%
		% of Total	.8%	.8%	1.7%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use cocaine: in car	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.541 ^b	1	.462		
Continuity Correction ^a	.229	1	.632		
Likelihood Ratio	.532	1	.466		
Fisher's Exact Test				.457	.313
Linear-by-Linear Association	.540	1	.462		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.57.

Use cocaine: private parties * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use cocaine: private parties	No	Count	373	536	909
		Expected Count	373.0	536.0	909.0
		% within Use cocaine: private parties	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	95.9%	95.9%	95.9%
		% of Total	39.3%	56.5%	95.9%
	Yes	Count	16	23	39
		Expected Count	16.0	23.0	39.0
		% within Use cocaine: private parties	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.1%	4.1%	4.1%
		% of Total	1.7%	2.4%	4.1%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use cocaine: private parties	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.000 ^b	1	.999		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.000	1	.999		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.569
Linear-by-Linear Association	.000	1	.999		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 16.00.

Use cocaine: other * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use cocaine: other	No	Count	386	558	944
		Expected Count	387.4	556.6	944.0
		% within Use cocaine: other	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.2%	99.8%	99.6%
		% of Total	40.7%	58.9%	99.6%
	Yes	Count	3	1	4
		Expected Count	1.6	2.4	4.0
		% within Use cocaine: other	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.8%	.2%	.4%
		% of Total	.3%	.1%	.4%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use cocaine: other	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.915 ^b	1	.166		
Continuity Correction ^a	.765	1	.382		
Likelihood Ratio	1.910	1	.167		
Fisher's Exact Test				.311	.191
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.913	1	.167		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.64.

Use amphetamines: never * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use amphetamines: never	No	Count	23	45	68
		Expected Count	27.9	40.1	68.0
		% within Use amphetamines: never	33.8%	66.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.9%	8.1%	7.2%
		% of Total	2.4%	4.7%	7.2%
	Yes	Count	366	514	880
		Expected Count	361.1	518.9	880.0
		% within Use amphetamines: never	41.6%	58.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	94.1%	91.9%	92.8%
		% of Total	38.6%	54.2%	92.8%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use amphetamines: never	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.574 ^b	1	.210		
Continuity Correction ^a	1.269	1	.260		
Likelihood Ratio	1.606	1	.205		
Fisher's Exact Test				.250	.129
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.572	1	.210		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 27.90.

Use amphetamines: on campus * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use amphetamines: on campus	No	Count	387	557	944
		Expected Count	387.4	556.6	944.0
		% within Use amphetamines: on campus	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.5%	99.6%	99.6%
		% of Total	40.8%	58.8%	99.6%
	Yes	Count	2	2	4
		Expected Count	1.6	2.4	4.0
		% within Use amphetamines: on campus	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.4%	.4%
		% of Total	.2%	.2%	.4%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use amphetamines: on campus	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.133 ^b	1	.715		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.131	1	.717		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.543
Linear-by-Linear Association	.133	1	.715		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.64.

Use amphetamines: res hall * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use amphetamines: res hall	No	Count	387	547	934
		Expected Count	383.3	550.7	934.0
		% within Use amphetamines: res hall	41.4%	58.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.5%	97.9%	98.5%
		% of Total	40.8%	57.7%	98.5%
	Yes	Count	2	12	14
		Expected Count	5.7	8.3	14.0
		% within Use amphetamines: res hall	14.3%	85.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	2.1%	1.5%
		% of Total	.2%	1.3%	1.5%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use amphetamines: res hall	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.202 ^b	1	.040		
Continuity Correction ^a	3.155	1	.076		
Likelihood Ratio	4.819	1	.028		
Fisher's Exact Test				.053	.033
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.197	1	.040		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.74.

Use amphetamines: frat/sor * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use amphetamines: frat/sor	No	Count	388	558	946
		Expected Count	388.2	557.8	946.0
		% within Use amphetamines: frat/sor	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	99.8%	99.8%
		% of Total	40.9%	58.9%	99.8%
	Yes	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within Use amphetamines: frat/sor	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use amphetamines: frat/sor	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.067 ^b	1	.796		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.066	1	.798		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.653
Linear-by-Linear Association	.067	1	.796		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

Use amphetamines: bar/restaurant * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use amphetamines: bar/restaurant	No	Count	388	558	946
		Expected Count	388.2	557.8	946.0
		% within Use amphetamines: bar/restaurant	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	99.8%	99.8%
		% of Total	40.9%	58.9%	99.8%
	Yes	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within Use amphetamines: bar/restaurant	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%
Total		Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within Use amphetamines: bar/restaurant	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.067 ^b	1	.796		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.066	1	.798		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.653
Linear-by-Linear Association	.067	1	.796		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

Use amphetamines: where live * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use amphetamines: where live	No	Count	372	520	892
		Expected Count	366.0	526.0	892.0
		% within Use amphetamines: where live	41.7%	58.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	95.6%	93.0%	94.1%
		% of Total	39.2%	54.9%	94.1%
	Yes	Count	17	39	56
		Expected Count	23.0	33.0	56.0
		% within Use amphetamines: where live	30.4%	69.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.4%	7.0%	5.9%
		% of Total	1.8%	4.1%	5.9%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use amphetamines: where live	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.804 ^b	1	.094		
Continuity Correction ^a	2.354	1	.125		
Likelihood Ratio	2.899	1	.089		
Fisher's Exact Test				.123	.061
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.801	1	.094		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 22.98.

Use amphetamines: in car * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use amphetamines: in car	No	Count	385	554	939
		Expected Count	385.3	553.7	939.0
		% within Use amphetamines: in car	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.0%	99.1%	99.1%
		% of Total	40.6%	58.4%	99.1%
	Yes	Count	4	5	9
		Expected Count	3.7	5.3	9.0
		% within Use amphetamines: in car	44.4%	55.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	.9%	.9%
		% of Total	.4%	.5%	.9%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use amphetamines: in car	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.044 ^b	1	.834		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.043	1	.835		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.544
Linear-by-Linear Association	.044	1	.835		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.69.

Use amphetamines: private parties * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use amphetamines: private parties	No	Count	382	553	935
		Expected Count	383.7	551.3	935.0
		% within Use amphetamines: private parties	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	98.2%	98.9%	98.6%
		% of Total	40.3%	58.3%	98.6%
	Yes	Count	7	6	13
		Expected Count	5.3	7.7	13.0
		% within Use amphetamines: private parties	53.8%	46.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.8%	1.1%	1.4%
		% of Total	.7%	.6%	1.4%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use amphetamines: private parties	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.894 ^b	1	.344		
Continuity Correction ^a	.438	1	.508		
Likelihood Ratio	.877	1	.349		
Fisher's Exact Test				.400	.252
Linear-by-Linear Association	.893	1	.345		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.33.

Use amphetamines: other * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use amphetamines: other	No	Count	385	555	940
		Expected Count	385.7	554.3	940.0
		% within Use amphetamines: other	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.0%	99.3%	99.2%
		% of Total	40.6%	58.5%	99.2%
	Yes	Count	4	4	8
		Expected Count	3.3	4.7	8.0
		% within Use amphetamines: other	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	.7%	.8%
		% of Total	.4%	.4%	.8%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use amphetamines: other	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.268 ^b	1	.605		
Continuity Correction ^a	.025	1	.875		
Likelihood Ratio	.264	1	.608		
Fisher's Exact Test				.723	.430
Linear-by-Linear Association	.268	1	.605		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.28.

Use sedatives: never * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use sedatives: never	No	Count	17	20	37
		Expected Count	15.2	21.8	37.0
		% within Use sedatives: never	45.9%	54.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.4%	3.6%	3.9%
		% of Total	1.8%	2.1%	3.9%
	Yes	Count	372	539	911
		Expected Count	373.8	537.2	911.0
		% within Use sedatives: never	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	95.6%	96.4%	96.1%
		% of Total	39.2%	56.9%	96.1%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use sedatives: never	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.384 ^b	1	.535		
Continuity Correction ^a	.202	1	.653		
Likelihood Ratio	.380	1	.538		
Fisher's Exact Test				.610	.324
Linear-by-Linear Association	.384	1	.536		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 15.18.

Use sedatives: on campus * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use sedatives: on campus	No	Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within Use sedatives: on campus	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within Use sedatives: on campus	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value
Pearson Chi-Square	.a
N of Valid Cases	948

a. No statistics are computed because Use sedatives: on campus is a constant.

Use sedatives: res hall * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use sedatives: res hall	No	Count	388	554	942
		Expected Count	386.5	555.5	942.0
		% within Use sedatives: res hall	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	99.1%	99.4%
		% of Total	40.9%	58.4%	99.4%
	Yes	Count	1	5	6
		Expected Count	2.5	3.5	6.0
		% within Use sedatives: res hall	16.7%	83.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.9%	.6%
		% of Total	.1%	.5%	.6%
Total		Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within Use sedatives: res hall	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.482 ^b	1	.224	.410	.217
Continuity Correction ^a	.642	1	.423		
Likelihood Ratio	1.666	1	.197		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.480	1	.224		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.46.

Use sedatives: frat/sor * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use sedatives: frat/sor	No	Count	388	557	945
		Expected Count	387.8	557.2	945.0
		% within Use sedatives: frat/sor	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	99.6%	99.7%
		% of Total	40.9%	58.8%	99.7%
	Yes	Count	1	2	3
		Expected Count	1.2	1.8	3.0
		% within Use sedatives: frat/sor	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.4%	.3%
		% of Total	.1%	.2%	.3%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use sedatives: frat/sor	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.074 ^b	1	.786	1.000	.633
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.076	1	.783		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	.074	1	.786		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.23.

Use sedatives: bar/restaurant * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use sedatives: bar/restaurant	No	Count	388	558	946
		Expected Count	388.2	557.8	946.0
		% within Use sedatives: bar/restaurant	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	99.8%	99.8%
		% of Total	40.9%	58.9%	99.8%
	Yes	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within Use sedatives: bar/restaurant	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use sedatives: bar/restaurant	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.067 ^b	1	.796		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.066	1	.798		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.653
Linear-by-Linear Association	.067	1	.796		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

Use sedatives: where live * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use sedatives: where live	No	Count	378	546	924
		Expected Count	379.2	544.8	924.0
		% within Use sedatives: where live	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.2%	97.7%	97.5%
		% of Total	39.9%	57.6%	97.5%
	Yes	Count	11	13	24
		Expected Count	9.8	14.2	24.0
		% within Use sedatives: where live	45.8%	54.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.8%	2.3%	2.5%
		% of Total	1.2%	1.4%	2.5%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use sedatives: where live	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.234 ^b	1	.628		
Continuity Correction ^a	.075	1	.784		
Likelihood Ratio	.232	1	.630		
Fisher's Exact Test				.677	.388
Linear-by-Linear Association	.234	1	.628		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.85.

Use sedatives: in car * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use sedatives: in car	No	Count	388	556	944
		Expected Count	387.4	556.6	944.0
		% within Use sedatives: in car	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	99.5%	99.6%
		% of Total	40.9%	58.6%	99.6%
	Yes	Count	1	3	4
		Expected Count	1.6	2.4	4.0
		% within Use sedatives: in car	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.5%	.4%
		% of Total	.1%	.3%	.4%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use sedatives: in car	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.427 ^b	1	.514		
Continuity Correction ^a	.021	1	.886		
Likelihood Ratio	.454	1	.500		
Fisher's Exact Test				.648	.457
Linear-by-Linear Association	.426	1	.514		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.64.

Use sedatives: private parties * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use sedatives: private parties	No	Count	385	548	933
		Expected Count	382.8	550.2	933.0
		% within Use sedatives: private parties	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.0%	98.0%	98.4%
		% of Total	40.6%	57.8%	98.4%
	Yes	Count	4	11	15
		Expected Count	6.2	8.8	15.0
		% within Use sedatives: private parties	26.7%	73.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	2.0%	1.6%
		% of Total	.4%	1.2%	1.6%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use sedatives: private parties	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.300 ^b	1	.254		
Continuity Correction ^a	.767	1	.381		
Likelihood Ratio	1.370	1	.242		
Fisher's Exact Test				.300	.192
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.299	1	.254		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.16.

Use sedatives: other * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use sedatives: other	No	Count	386	558	944
		Expected Count	387.4	556.6	944.0
		% within Use sedatives: other	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.2%	99.8%	99.6%
		% of Total	40.7%	58.9%	99.6%
	Yes	Count	3	1	4
		Expected Count	1.6	2.4	4.0
		% within Use sedatives: other	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.8%	.2%	.4%
		% of Total	.3%	.1%	.4%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use sedatives: other	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.915 ^b	1	.166		
Continuity Correction ^a	.765	1	.382		
Likelihood Ratio	1.910	1	.167		
Fisher's Exact Test				.311	.191
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.913	1	.167		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.64.

Use hallucinogens: never * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use hallucinogens: never	No	Count	22	27	49
		Expected Count	20.1	28.9	49.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: never	44.9%	55.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.7%	4.8%	5.2%
		% of Total	2.3%	2.8%	5.2%
	Yes	Count	367	532	899
		Expected Count	368.9	530.1	899.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: never	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	94.3%	95.2%	94.8%
		% of Total	38.7%	56.1%	94.8%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use hallucinogens: never	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.319 ^b	1	.572		
Continuity Correction ^a	.173	1	.678		
Likelihood Ratio	.316	1	.574		
Fisher's Exact Test				.655	.337
Linear-by-Linear Association	.319	1	.572		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 20.11.

Use hallucinogens: on campus * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use hallucinogens: on campus	No	Count	389	558	947
		Expected Count	388.6	558.4	947.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: on campus	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	99.8%	99.9%
		% of Total	41.0%	58.9%	99.9%
	Yes	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: on campus	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.2%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use hallucinogens: on campus	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.697 ^b	1	.404		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	1.057	1	.304		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.590
Linear-by-Linear Association	.696	1	.404		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

Use hallucinogens: res hall * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use hallucinogens: res hall	No	Count	387	555	942
		Expected Count	386.5	555.5	942.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: res hall	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.5%	99.3%	99.4%
		% of Total	40.8%	58.5%	99.4%
	Yes	Count	2	4	6
		Expected Count	2.5	3.5	6.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: res hall	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.7%	.6%
		% of Total	.2%	.4%	.6%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use hallucinogens: res hall	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.148 ^b	1	.700		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.152	1	.697		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.523
Linear-by-Linear Association	.148	1	.701		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.46.

Use hallucinogens: frat/sor * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use hallucinogens: frat/sor	No	Count	388	558	946
		Expected Count	388.2	557.8	946.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: frat/sor	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	99.8%	99.8%
		% of Total	40.9%	58.9%	99.8%
	Yes	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: frat/sor	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use hallucinogens: frat/sor	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.067 ^b	1	.796		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.066	1	.798		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.653
Linear-by-Linear Association	.067	1	.796		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

Use hallucinogens: bar/restaurant * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use hallucinogens: bar/restaurant	No	Count	389	557	946
		Expected Count	388.2	557.8	946.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: bar/restaurant	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	99.6%	99.8%
		% of Total	41.0%	58.8%	99.8%
	Yes	Count	0	2	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: bar/restaurant	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.4%	.2%
		% of Total	.0%	.2%	.2%
Total		Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: bar/restaurant	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.395 ^b	1	.238		
Continuity Correction ^a	.213	1	.644		
Likelihood Ratio	2.116	1	.146		
Fisher's Exact Test				.516	.347
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.393	1	.238		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

Use hallucinogens: where live * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use hallucinogens: where live	No	Count	376	544	920
		Expected Count	377.5	542.5	920.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: where live	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	96.7%	97.3%	97.0%
		% of Total	39.7%	57.4%	97.0%
	Yes	Count	13	15	28
		Expected Count	11.5	16.5	28.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: where live	46.4%	53.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.3%	2.7%	3.0%
		% of Total	1.4%	1.6%	3.0%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use hallucinogens: where live	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.347 ^b	1	.556		
Continuity Correction ^a	.155	1	.694		
Likelihood Ratio	.343	1	.558		
Fisher's Exact Test				.564	.344
Linear-by-Linear Association	.347	1	.556		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 11.49.

Use hallucinogens: in car * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use hallucinogens: in car	No	Count	389	553	942
		Expected Count	386.5	555.5	942.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: in car	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	98.9%	99.4%
		% of Total	41.0%	58.3%	99.4%
	Yes	Count	0	6	6
		Expected Count	2.5	3.5	6.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: in car	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	1.1%	.6%
		% of Total	.0%	.6%	.6%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use hallucinogens: in car	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.202 ^b	1	.040		
Continuity Correction ^a	2.669	1	.102		
Likelihood Ratio	6.365	1	.012		
Fisher's Exact Test				.087	.042
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.197	1	.040		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.46.

Use hallucinogens: private parties * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use hallucinogens: private parties	No	Count	378	540	918
		Expected Count	376.7	541.3	918.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: private parties	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.2%	96.6%	96.8%
		% of Total	39.9%	57.0%	96.8%
	Yes	Count	11	19	30
		Expected Count	12.3	17.7	30.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: private parties	36.7%	63.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.8%	3.4%	3.2%
		% of Total	1.2%	2.0%	3.2%
Total		Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: private parties	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.244 ^b	1	.621		
Continuity Correction ^a	.093	1	.760		
Likelihood Ratio	.247	1	.619		
Fisher's Exact Test				.708	.384
Linear-by-Linear Association	.244	1	.621		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 12.31.

Use hallucinogens: other * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use hallucinogens: other	No	Count	386	552	938
		Expected Count	384.9	553.1	938.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: other	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.2%	98.7%	98.9%
		% of Total	40.7%	58.2%	98.9%
	Yes	Count	3	7	10
		Expected Count	4.1	5.9	10.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: other	30.0%	70.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.8%	1.3%	1.1%
		% of Total	.3%	.7%	1.1%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use hallucinogens: other	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.509 ^b	1	.476		
Continuity Correction ^a	.152	1	.697		
Likelihood Ratio	.528	1	.468		
Fisher's Exact Test				.539	.356
Linear-by-Linear Association	.508	1	.476		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.10.

Use opiates: never * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use opiates: never	No	Count	9	12	21
		Expected Count	8.6	12.4	21.0
		% within Use opiates: never	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.3%	2.1%	2.2%
		% of Total	.9%	1.3%	2.2%
	Yes	Count	380	547	927
		Expected Count	380.4	546.6	927.0
		% within Use opiates: never	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.7%	97.9%	97.8%
		% of Total	40.1%	57.7%	97.8%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use opiates: never	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.030 ^b	1	.864		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.029	1	.864		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.516
Linear-by-Linear Association	.029	1	.864		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.62.

Use opiates: on campus * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use opiates: on campus	No	Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within Use opiates: on campus	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within Use opiates: on campus	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value
Pearson Chi-Square	.a
N of Valid Cases	948

a. No statistics are computed because Use opiates: on campus is a constant.

Use opiates: res hall * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use opiates: res hall	No	Count	389	558	947
		Expected Count	388.6	558.4	947.0
		% within Use opiates: res hall	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	99.8%	99.9%
		% of Total	41.0%	58.9%	99.9%
	Yes	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use opiates: res hall	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.2%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
Total		Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within Use opiates: res hall	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.697 ^b	1	.404	1.000	.590
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	1.057	1	.304		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	.696	1	.404		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

Use opiates: frat/sor * Gender

Crosstab

		Gender		Total	
		Male	Female		
Use opiates: frat/sor	No	Count	388	558	946
		Expected Count	388.2	557.8	946.0
		% within Use opiates: frat/sor	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	99.8%	99.8%
		% of Total	40.9%	58.9%	99.8%
	Yes	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within Use opiates: frat/sor	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use opiates: frat/sor	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.067 ^b	1	.796	1.000	.653
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.066	1	.798		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	.067	1	.796		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

Use opiates: bar/restaurant * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use opiates: bar/restaurant	No	Count	389	557	946
		Expected Count	388.2	557.8	946.0
		% within Use opiates: bar/restaurant	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	99.6%	99.8%
		% of Total	41.0%	58.8%	99.8%
	Yes	Count	0	2	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within Use opiates: bar/restaurant	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.4%	.2%
		% of Total	.0%	.2%	.2%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use opiates: bar/restaurant	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.395 ^b	1	.238		
Continuity Correction ^a	.213	1	.644		
Likelihood Ratio	2.116	1	.146		
Fisher's Exact Test				.516	.347
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.393	1	.238		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

Use opiates: where live * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use opiates: where live	No	Count	383	553	936
		Expected Count	384.1	551.9	936.0
		% within Use opiates: where live	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	98.5%	98.9%	98.7%
		% of Total	40.4%	58.3%	98.7%
	Yes	Count	6	6	12
		Expected Count	4.9	7.1	12.0
		% within Use opiates: where live	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.5%	1.1%	1.3%
		% of Total	.6%	.6%	1.3%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use opiates: where live	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.404 ^b	1	.525		
Continuity Correction ^a	.116	1	.734		
Likelihood Ratio	.397	1	.528		
Fisher's Exact Test				.564	.362
Linear-by-Linear Association	.403	1	.525		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.92.

Use opiates: in car * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use opiates: in car	No	Count	387	555	942
		Expected Count	386.5	555.5	942.0
		% within Use opiates: in car	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.5%	99.3%	99.4%
		% of Total	40.8%	58.5%	99.4%
	Yes	Count	2	4	6
		Expected Count	2.5	3.5	6.0
		% within Use opiates: in car	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.7%	.6%
		% of Total	.2%	.4%	.6%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use opiates: in car	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.148 ^b	1	.700		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.152	1	.697		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.523
Linear-by-Linear Association	.148	1	.701		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.46.

Use opiates: private parties * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use opiates: private parties	No	Count	387	552	939
		Expected Count	385.3	553.7	939.0
		% within Use opiates: private parties	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.5%	98.7%	99.1%
		% of Total	40.8%	58.2%	99.1%
	Yes	Count	2	7	9
		Expected Count	3.7	5.3	9.0
		% within Use opiates: private parties	22.2%	77.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	1.3%	.9%
		% of Total	.2%	.7%	.9%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use opiates: private parties	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.329 ^b	1	.249		
Continuity Correction ^a	.660	1	.417		
Likelihood Ratio	1.436	1	.231		
Fisher's Exact Test				.322	.212
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.327	1	.249		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.69.

Use opiates: other * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use opiates: other	No	Count	389	557	946
		Expected Count	388.2	557.8	946.0
		% within Use opiates: other	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	99.6%	99.8%
		% of Total	41.0%	58.8%	99.8%
	Yes	Count	0	2	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within Use opiates: other	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.4%	.2%
		% of Total	.0%	.2%	.2%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use opiates: other	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.395 ^b	1	.238		
Continuity Correction ^a	.213	1	.644		
Likelihood Ratio	2.116	1	.146		
Fisher's Exact Test				.516	.347
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.393	1	.238		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

Use inhalants: never * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use inhalants: never	No	Count	8	7	15
		Expected Count	6.2	8.8	15.0
		% within Use inhalants: never	53.3%	46.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.1%	1.3%	1.6%
		% of Total	.8%	.7%	1.6%
	Yes	Count	381	552	933
		Expected Count	382.8	550.2	933.0
		% within Use inhalants: never	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.9%	98.7%	98.4%
		% of Total	40.2%	58.2%	98.4%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use inhalants: never	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.953 ^b	1	.329		
Continuity Correction ^a	.506	1	.477		
Likelihood Ratio	.935	1	.334		
Fisher's Exact Test				.429	.237
Linear-by-Linear Association	.952	1	.329		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.16.

Use inhalants: on campus * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use inhalants: on campus	No	Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within Use inhalants: on campus	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within Use inhalants: on campus	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value
Pearson Chi-Square	.a
N of Valid Cases	948

a. No statistics are computed because Use inhalants: on campus is a constant.

Use inhalants: res hall * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use inhalants: res hall	No	Count	388	558	946
		Expected Count	388.2	557.8	946.0
		% within Use inhalants: res hall	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	99.8%	99.8%
		% of Total	40.9%	58.9%	99.8%
	Yes	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within Use inhalants: res hall	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%
Total		Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within Use inhalants: res hall	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.067 ^b	1	.796	1.000	.653
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.066	1	.798		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	.067	1	.796		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

Use inhalants: frat/sor * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use inhalants: frat/sor	No	Count	388	558	946
		Expected Count	388.2	557.8	946.0
		% within Use inhalants: frat/sor	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	99.8%	99.8%
		% of Total	40.9%	58.9%	99.8%
	Yes	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within Use inhalants: frat/sor	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use inhalants: frat/sor	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.067 ^b	1	.796	1.000	.653
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.066	1	.798		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	.067	1	.796		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

Use inhalants: bar/restaurant * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use inhalants: bar/restaurant	No	Count	389	558	947
		Expected Count	388.6	558.4	947.0
		% within Use inhalants: bar/restaurant	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	99.8%	99.9%
		% of Total	41.0%	58.9%	99.9%
	Yes	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use inhalants: bar/restaurant	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.2%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use inhalants: bar/restaurant	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.697 ^b	1	.404		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	1.057	1	.304		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.590
Linear-by-Linear Association	.696	1	.404		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

Use inhalants: where live * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use inhalants: where live	No	Count	387	556	943
		Expected Count	386.9	556.1	943.0
		% within Use inhalants: where live	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.5%	99.5%	99.5%
		% of Total	40.8%	58.6%	99.5%
	Yes	Count	2	3	5
		Expected Count	2.1	2.9	5.0
		% within Use inhalants: where live	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.5%	.5%
		% of Total	.2%	.3%	.5%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use inhalants: where live	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.002 ^b	1	.962		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.002	1	.962		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.665
Linear-by-Linear Association	.002	1	.962		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.05.

Use inhalants: in car * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use inhalants: in car	No	Count	387	558	945
		Expected Count	387.8	557.2	945.0
		% within Use inhalants: in car	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.5%	99.8%	99.7%
		% of Total	40.8%	58.9%	99.7%
	Yes	Count	2	1	3
		Expected Count	1.2	1.8	3.0
		% within Use inhalants: in car	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.2%	.3%
		% of Total	.2%	.1%	.3%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use inhalants: in car	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.817 ^b	1	.366		
Continuity Correction ^a	.100	1	.752		
Likelihood Ratio	.803	1	.370		
Fisher's Exact Test				.571	.367
Linear-by-Linear Association	.816	1	.366		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.23.

Use inhalants: private parties * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use inhalants: private parties	No	Count	387	556	943
		Expected Count	386.9	556.1	943.0
		% within Use inhalants: private parties	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.5%	99.5%	99.5%
		% of Total	40.8%	58.6%	99.5%
	Yes	Count	2	3	5
		Expected Count	2.1	2.9	5.0
		% within Use inhalants: private parties	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.5%	.5%
		% of Total	.2%	.3%	.5%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use inhalants: private parties	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.002 ^b	1	.962		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.002	1	.962		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.665
Linear-by-Linear Association	.002	1	.962		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.05.

Use inhalants: other * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use inhalants: other	No	Count	389	557	946
		Expected Count	388.2	557.8	946.0
		% within Use inhalants: other	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	99.6%	99.8%
		% of Total	41.0%	58.8%	99.8%
	Yes	Count	0	2	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within Use inhalants: other	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.4%	.2%
		% of Total	.0%	.2%	.2%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use inhalants: other	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.395 ^b	1	.238		
Continuity Correction ^a	.213	1	.644		
Likelihood Ratio	2.116	1	.146		
Fisher's Exact Test				.516	.347
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.393	1	.238		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

Use designer drugs: never * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use designer drugs: never	No	Count	21	24	45
		Expected Count	18.5	26.5	45.0
		% within Use designer drugs: never	46.7%	53.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.4%	4.3%	4.7%
		% of Total	2.2%	2.5%	4.7%
	Yes	Count	368	535	903
		Expected Count	370.5	532.5	903.0
		% within Use designer drugs: never	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	94.6%	95.7%	95.3%
		% of Total	38.8%	56.4%	95.3%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use designer drugs: never	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.620 ^b	1	.431		
Continuity Correction ^a	.399	1	.527		
Likelihood Ratio	.613	1	.434		
Fisher's Exact Test				.441	.262
Linear-by-Linear Association	.619	1	.431		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 18.47.

Use designer drugs: on campus * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use designer drugs: on campus	No	Count	388	559	947
		Expected Count	388.6	558.4	947.0
		% within Use designer drugs: on campus	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	100.0%	99.9%
		% of Total	40.9%	59.0%	99.9%
	Yes	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use designer drugs: on campus	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use designer drugs: on campus	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.439 ^b	1	.230		
Continuity Correction ^a	.033	1	.855		
Likelihood Ratio	1.783	1	.182		
Fisher's Exact Test				.410	.410
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.437	1	.231		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

Use designer drugs: res hall * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use designer drugs: res hall	No	Count	387	557	944
		Expected Count	387.4	556.6	944.0
		% within Use designer drugs: res hall	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.5%	99.6%	99.6%
		% of Total	40.8%	58.8%	99.6%
	Yes	Count	2	2	4
		Expected Count	1.6	2.4	4.0
		% within Use designer drugs: res hall	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.4%	.4%
		% of Total	.2%	.2%	.4%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use designer drugs: res hall	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.133 ^b	1	.715		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.131	1	.717		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.543
Linear-by-Linear Association	.133	1	.715		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.64.

Use designer drugs: frat/sor * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use designer drugs: frat/sor	No	Count	388	558	946
		Expected Count	388.2	557.8	946.0
		% within Use designer drugs: frat/sor	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	99.8%	99.8%
		% of Total	40.9%	58.9%	99.8%
	Yes	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within Use designer drugs: frat/sor	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use designer drugs: frat/sor	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.067 ^b	1	.796		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.066	1	.798		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.653
Linear-by-Linear Association	.067	1	.796		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

Use designer drugs: bar/restaurant * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use designer drugs: bar/restaurant	No	Count	386	555	941
		Expected Count	386.1	554.9	941.0
		% within Use designer drugs: bar/restaurant	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.2%	99.3%	99.3%
		% of Total	40.7%	58.5%	99.3%
	Yes	Count	3	4	7
		Expected Count	2.9	4.1	7.0
		% within Use designer drugs: bar/restaurant	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.8%	.7%	.7%
		% of Total	.3%	.4%	.7%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use designer drugs: bar/restaurant	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.010 ^b	1	.922		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.010	1	.922		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.603
Linear-by-Linear Association	.010	1	.922		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.87.

Use designer drugs: where live * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use designer drugs: where live	No	Count	384	549	933
		Expected Count	382.8	550.2	933.0
		% within Use designer drugs: where live	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	98.7%	98.2%	98.4%
		% of Total	40.5%	57.9%	98.4%
	Yes	Count	5	10	15
		Expected Count	6.2	8.8	15.0
		% within Use designer drugs: where live	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	1.8%	1.6%
		% of Total	.5%	1.1%	1.6%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use designer drugs: where live	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.374 ^b	1	.541		
Continuity Correction ^a	.120	1	.729		
Likelihood Ratio	.382	1	.536		
Fisher's Exact Test				.607	.370
Linear-by-Linear Association	.373	1	.541		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.16.

Use designer drugs: in car * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use designer drugs: in car	No	Count	387	554	941
		Expected Count	386.1	554.9	941.0
		% within Use designer drugs: in car	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.5%	99.1%	99.3%
		% of Total	40.8%	58.4%	99.3%
	Yes	Count	2	5	7
		Expected Count	2.9	4.1	7.0
		% within Use designer drugs: in car	28.6%	71.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.9%	.7%
		% of Total	.2%	.5%	.7%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use designer drugs: in car	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.453 ^b	1	.501		
Continuity Correction ^a	.082	1	.774		
Likelihood Ratio	.473	1	.492		
Fisher's Exact Test				.707	.397
Linear-by-Linear Association	.452	1	.501		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.87.

Use designer drugs: private parties * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use designer drugs: private parties	No	Count	379	538	917
		Expected Count	376.3	540.7	917.0
		% within Use designer drugs: private parties	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.4%	96.2%	96.7%
		% of Total	40.0%	56.8%	96.7%
	Yes	Count	10	21	31
		Expected Count	12.7	18.3	31.0
		% within Use designer drugs: private parties	32.3%	67.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.6%	3.8%	3.3%
		% of Total	1.1%	2.2%	3.3%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use designer drugs: private parties	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.020 ^b	1	.313		
Continuity Correction ^a	.680	1	.410		
Likelihood Ratio	1.048	1	.306		
Fisher's Exact Test				.357	.206
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.019	1	.313		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 12.72.

Use designer drugs: other * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use designer drugs: other	No	Count	387	554	941
		Expected Count	386.1	554.9	941.0
		% within Use designer drugs: other	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.5%	99.1%	99.3%
		% of Total	40.8%	58.4%	99.3%
	Yes	Count	2	5	7
		Expected Count	2.9	4.1	7.0
		% within Use designer drugs: other	28.6%	71.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.9%	.7%
		% of Total	.2%	.5%	.7%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use designer drugs: other	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.453 ^b	1	.501		
Continuity Correction ^a	.082	1	.774		
Likelihood Ratio	.473	1	.492		
Fisher's Exact Test				.707	.397
Linear-by-Linear Association	.452	1	.501		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.87.

Use steroids: never * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use steroids: never	No	Count	4	4	8
		Expected Count	3.3	4.7	8.0
		% within Use steroids: never	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	.7%	.8%
		% of Total	.4%	.4%	.8%
	Yes	Count	385	555	940
		Expected Count	385.7	554.3	940.0
		% within Use steroids: never	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.0%	99.3%	99.2%
		% of Total	40.6%	58.5%	99.2%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use steroids: never	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.268 ^b	1	.605		
Continuity Correction ^a	.025	1	.875		
Likelihood Ratio	.264	1	.608		
Fisher's Exact Test				.723	.430
Linear-by-Linear Association	.268	1	.605		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.28.

Use steroids: on campus * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use steroids: on campus	No	Count	389	558	947
		Expected Count	388.6	558.4	947.0
		% within Use steroids: on campus	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	99.8%	99.9%
		% of Total	41.0%	58.9%	99.9%
	Yes	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use steroids: on campus	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.2%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use steroids: on campus	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.697 ^b	1	.404		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	1.057	1	.304		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.590
Linear-by-Linear Association	.696	1	.404		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

Use steroids: res hall * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use steroids: res hall	No	Count	389	557	946
		Expected Count	388.2	557.8	946.0
		% within Use steroids: res hall	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	99.6%	99.8%
		% of Total	41.0%	58.8%	99.8%
	Yes	Count	0	2	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within Use steroids: res hall	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.4%	.2%
		% of Total	.0%	.2%	.2%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use steroids: res hall	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.395 ^b	1	.238		
Continuity Correction ^a	.213	1	.644		
Likelihood Ratio	2.116	1	.146		
Fisher's Exact Test				.516	.347
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.393	1	.238		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

Use steroids: frat/sor * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use steroids: frat/sor	No	Count	388	558	946
		Expected Count	388.2	557.8	946.0
		% within Use steroids: frat/sor	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	99.8%	99.8%
		% of Total	40.9%	58.9%	99.8%
	Yes	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within Use steroids: frat/sor	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use steroids: frat/sor	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.067 ^b	1	.796		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.066	1	.798		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.653
Linear-by-Linear Association	.067	1	.796		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

Use steroids: bar/restaurant * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use steroids: bar/restaurant	No	Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within Use steroids: bar/restaurant	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within Use steroids: bar/restaurant	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value
Pearson Chi-Square	.a
N of Valid Cases	948

a. No statistics are computed because Use steroids: bar/restaurant is a constant.

Use steroids: where live * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use steroids: where live	No	Count	386	557	943
		Expected Count	386.9	556.1	943.0
		% within Use steroids: where live	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.2%	99.6%	99.5%
		% of Total	40.7%	58.8%	99.5%
	Yes	Count	3	2	5
		Expected Count	2.1	2.9	5.0
		% within Use steroids: where live	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.8%	.4%	.5%
		% of Total	.3%	.2%	.5%
Total		Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within Use steroids: where live	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.747 ^b	1	.387		
Continuity Correction ^a	.167	1	.683		
Likelihood Ratio	.731	1	.392		
Fisher's Exact Test				.406	.335
Linear-by-Linear Association	.746	1	.388		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.05.

Use steroids: in car * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use steroids: in car	No	Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within Use steroids: in car	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within Use steroids: in car	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value
Pearson Chi-Square	. ^a
N of Valid Cases	948

a. No statistics are computed because Use steroids: in car is a constant.

Use steroids: private parties * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use steroids: private parties	No	Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within Use steroids: private parties	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within Use steroids: private parties	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value
Pearson Chi-Square	.a
N of Valid Cases	948

a. No statistics are computed because Use steroids: private parties is a constant.

Use steroids: other * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use steroids: other	No	Count	388	558	946
		Expected Count	388.2	557.8	946.0
		% within Use steroids: other	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	99.8%	99.8%
		% of Total	40.9%	58.9%	99.8%
	Yes	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within Use steroids: other	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%
Total		Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within Use steroids: other	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.067 ^b	1	.796		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.066	1	.798		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.653
Linear-by-Linear Association	.067	1	.796		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

Use other drugs: never * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use other drugs: never	No	Count	10	19	29
		Expected Count	11.9	17.1	29.0
		% within Use other drugs: never	34.5%	65.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.6%	3.4%	3.1%
		% of Total	1.1%	2.0%	3.1%
	Yes	Count	379	540	919
		Expected Count	377.1	541.9	919.0
		% within Use other drugs: never	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.4%	96.6%	96.9%
		% of Total	40.0%	57.0%	96.9%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use other drugs: never	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.531 ^b	1	.466		
Continuity Correction ^a	.288	1	.591		
Likelihood Ratio	.541	1	.462		
Fisher's Exact Test				.567	.299
Linear-by-Linear Association	.530	1	.467		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 11.90.

Use other drugs: on campus * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use other drugs: on campus	No	Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within Use other drugs: on campus	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within Use other drugs: on campus	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value
Pearson Chi-Square	.a
N of Valid Cases	948

a. No statistics are computed because Use other drugs: on campus is a constant.

Use other drugs: res hall * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use other drugs: res hall	No	Count	388	558	946
		Expected Count	388.2	557.8	946.0
		% within Use other drugs: res hall	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	99.8%	99.8%
		% of Total	40.9%	58.9%	99.8%
	Yes	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within Use other drugs: res hall	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%
Total		Count	389	559	948
		Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0
		% within Use other drugs: res hall	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.067 ^b	1	.796		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.066	1	.798		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.653
Linear-by-Linear Association	.067	1	.796		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

Use other drugs: frat/sor * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use other drugs: frat/sor	No	Count	388	558	946
		Expected Count	388.2	557.8	946.0
		% within Use other drugs: frat/sor	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	99.8%	99.8%
		% of Total	40.9%	58.9%	99.8%
	Yes	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within Use other drugs: frat/sor	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use other drugs: frat/sor	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.067 ^b	1	.796		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.066	1	.798		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.653
Linear-by-Linear Association	.067	1	.796		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

Use other drugs: bar/restaurant * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use other drugs: bar/restaurant	No	Count	389	557	946
		Expected Count	388.2	557.8	946.0
		% within Use other drugs: bar/restaurant	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	99.6%	99.8%
		% of Total	41.0%	58.8%	99.8%
	Yes	Count	0	2	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within Use other drugs: bar/restaurant	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.4%	.2%
		% of Total	.0%	.2%	.2%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use other drugs: bar/restaurant	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.395 ^b	1	.238		
Continuity Correction ^a	.213	1	.644		
Likelihood Ratio	2.116	1	.146		
Fisher's Exact Test				.516	.347
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.393	1	.238		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

Use other drugs: where live * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use other drugs: where live	No	Count	383	547	930
		Expected Count	381.6	548.4	930.0
		% within Use other drugs: where live	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	98.5%	97.9%	98.1%
		% of Total	40.4%	57.7%	98.1%
	Yes	Count	6	12	18
		Expected Count	7.4	10.6	18.0
		% within Use other drugs: where live	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.5%	2.1%	1.9%
		% of Total	.6%	1.3%	1.9%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use other drugs: where live	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.450 ^b	1	.502		
Continuity Correction ^a	.184	1	.668		
Likelihood Ratio	.460	1	.498		
Fisher's Exact Test				.631	.339
Linear-by-Linear Association	.449	1	.503		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.39.

Use other drugs: in car * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use other drugs: in car	No	Count	389	556	945
		Expected Count	387.8	557.2	945.0
		% within Use other drugs: in car	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	99.5%	99.7%
		% of Total	41.0%	58.6%	99.7%
	Yes	Count	0	3	3
		Expected Count	1.2	1.8	3.0
		% within Use other drugs: in car	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.5%	.3%
		% of Total	.0%	.3%	.3%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use other drugs: in car	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.094 ^b	1	.148		
Continuity Correction ^a	.739	1	.390		
Likelihood Ratio	3.176	1	.075		
Fisher's Exact Test				.273	.205
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.092	1	.148		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.23.

Use other drugs: private parties * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use other drugs: private parties	No	Count	388	550	938
		Expected Count	384.9	553.1	938.0
		% within Use other drugs: private parties	41.4%	58.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	98.4%	98.9%
		% of Total	40.9%	58.0%	98.9%
	Yes	Count	1	9	10
		Expected Count	4.1	5.9	10.0
		% within Use other drugs: private parties	10.0%	90.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	1.6%	1.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.9%	1.1%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use other drugs: private parties	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.023 ^b	1	.045		
Continuity Correction ^a	2.831	1	.092		
Likelihood Ratio	4.830	1	.028		
Fisher's Exact Test				.054	.040
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.019	1	.045		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.10.

Use other drugs: other * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use other drugs: other	No	Count	387	558	945
		Expected Count	387.8	557.2	945.0
		% within Use other drugs: other	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.5%	99.8%	99.7%
		% of Total	40.8%	58.9%	99.7%
	Yes	Count	2	1	3
		Expected Count	1.2	1.8	3.0
		% within Use other drugs: other	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.2%	.3%
		% of Total	.2%	.1%	.3%
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Use other drugs: other	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.817 ^b	1	.366		
Continuity Correction ^a	.100	1	.752		
Likelihood Ratio	.803	1	.370		
Fisher's Exact Test				.571	.367
Linear-by-Linear Association	.816	1	.366		
N of Valid Cases	948				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.23.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Hangover * Gender	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%
Poor test score * Gender	945	99.0%	10	1.0%	955	100.0%
Trouble w/ police, etc * Gender	944	98.8%	11	1.2%	955	100.0%
Damaged prop, fire alarm * Gender	946	99.1%	9	.9%	955	100.0%
Argument or fight * Gender	947	99.2%	8	.8%	955	100.0%

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Nauseated or vomited * Gender	943	98.7%	12	1.3%	955	100.0%
Driven under influence * Gender	945	99.0%	10	1.0%	955	100.0%
Missed class * Gender	946	99.1%	9	.9%	955	100.0%
Been criticized * Gender	940	98.4%	15	1.6%	955	100.0%
Thought I had a problem * Gender	944	98.8%	11	1.2%	955	100.0%
Had a memory loss * Gender	942	98.6%	13	1.4%	955	100.0%
Later regretted action * Gender	938	98.2%	17	1.8%	955	100.0%
Arrested for DWI/DUI * Gender	942	98.6%	13	1.4%	955	100.0%
HAVE BEEN TAKEN ADVANTAGE SEXUALLY * Gender	939	98.3%	16	1.7%	955	100.0%
HAVE TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF SOMEONE SEXUALLY * Gender	944	98.8%	11	1.2%	955	100.0%
TRIED/FAILED TO STOP * Gender	943	98.7%	12	1.3%	955	100.0%
THOUGHT ABOUT SUICIDE * Gender	941	98.5%	14	1.5%	955	100.0%
TRIED TO COMMIT SUICIDE * Gender	943	98.7%	12	1.3%	955	100.0%
BEEN HURT/INJURED * Gender	946	99.1%	9	.9%	955	100.0%
A/D problems: mother * Gender	935	97.9%	20	2.1%	955	100.0%
A/D problems: father * Gender	935	97.9%	20	2.1%	955	100.0%
A/D problems: stepmother * Gender	935	97.9%	20	2.1%	955	100.0%
A/D problems: stepfather * Gender	935	97.9%	20	2.1%	955	100.0%
A/D problems: br/sister * Gender	935	97.9%	20	2.1%	955	100.0%
A/D problems: mother's parents * Gender	935	97.9%	20	2.1%	955	100.0%
A/D problems: father's parents * Gender	935	97.9%	20	2.1%	955	100.0%
A/D problems: aunts/uncles * Gender	935	97.9%	20	2.1%	955	100.0%
A/D problems: spouse * Gender	935	97.9%	20	2.1%	955	100.0%
A/D problems: children * Gender	935	97.9%	20	2.1%	955	100.0%
A/D problems: none * Gender	935	97.9%	20	2.1%	955	100.0%

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
VOLUNTEERING * Gender	863	90.4%	92	9.6%	955	100.0%
PARTICIPATED- INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS * Gender	946	99.1%	9	.9%	955	100.0%
PARTICIPATED- INTRAMURAL OR CLUB SPORTS * Gender	944	98.8%	11	1.2%	955	100.0%
PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR * Gender	945	99.0%	10	1.0%	955	100.0%
PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS * Gender	945	99.0%	10	1.0%	955	100.0%
PARTICIPATED- INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS * Gender	945	99.0%	10	1.0%	955	100.0%
PARTICIPATED- MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS * Gender	945	99.0%	10	1.0%	955	100.0%
PARTICIPATED- POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS * Gender	942	98.6%	13	1.4%	955	100.0%
PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS * Gender	945	99.0%	10	1.0%	955	100.0%
PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV * Gender	943	98.7%	12	1.3%	955	100.0%
HAPPENED - ETHNIC HARASSMENT * Gender	946	99.1%	9	.9%	955	100.0%
HAPPENED - THREATS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE * Gender	945	99.0%	10	1.0%	955	100.0%
HAPPENED - ACTUAL PHYSICAL VIOLENCE * Gender	944	98.8%	11	1.2%	955	100.0%
HAPPENED -THEFT INVOLVING FORCE * Gender	943	98.7%	12	1.3%	955	100.0%
HAPPENED -FORCED SEXUAL TOUCHING * Gender	943	98.7%	12	1.3%	955	100.0%
HAPPENED - UNWANTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE * Gender	945	99.0%	10	1.0%	955	100.0%

Hangover * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Hangover	Never	Count	114	193	307
		Expected Count	126.0	181.0	307.0
		% within Hangover	37.1%	62.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	29.3%	34.5%	32.4%
		% of Total	12.0%	20.4%	32.4%
	Once	Count	39	55	94
		Expected Count	38.6	55.4	94.0
		% within Hangover	41.5%	58.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.0%	9.8%	9.9%
		% of Total	4.1%	5.8%	9.9%
	Twice	Count	37	79	116
		Expected Count	47.6	68.4	116.0
		% within Hangover	31.9%	68.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.5%	14.1%	12.2%
		% of Total	3.9%	8.3%	12.2%
	3-5 times	Count	55	96	151
		Expected Count	62.0	89.0	151.0
		% within Hangover	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	14.1%	17.2%	15.9%
		% of Total	5.8%	10.1%	15.9%
6-9 times	Count	36	55	91	
	Expected Count	37.3	53.7	91.0	
	% within Hangover	39.6%	60.4%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	9.3%	9.8%	9.6%	
	% of Total	3.8%	5.8%	9.6%	
10+ times	Count	108	81	189	
	Expected Count	77.6	111.4	189.0	
	% within Hangover	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	27.8%	14.5%	19.9%	
	% of Total	11.4%	8.5%	19.9%	
Total	Count	389	559	948	
	Expected Count	389.0	559.0	948.0	
	% within Hangover	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	27.619 ^a	5	.000
Likelihood Ratio	27.344	5	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	13.062	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	948		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 37.34.

Poor test score * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Poor test score	Never	Count	262	418	680
		Expected Count	279.2	400.8	680.0
		% within Poor test score	38.5%	61.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	67.5%	75.0%	72.0%
		% of Total	27.7%	44.2%	72.0%
	Once	Count	56	57	113
		Expected Count	46.4	66.6	113.0
		% within Poor test score	49.6%	50.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	14.4%	10.2%	12.0%
		% of Total	5.9%	6.0%	12.0%
	Twice	Count	35	42	77
		Expected Count	31.6	45.4	77.0
		% within Poor test score	45.5%	54.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.0%	7.5%	8.1%
		% of Total	3.7%	4.4%	8.1%
	3-5 times	Count	23	26	49
		Expected Count	20.1	28.9	49.0
		% within Poor test score	46.9%	53.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.9%	4.7%	5.2%
		% of Total	2.4%	2.8%	5.2%
6-9 times	Count	4	9	13	
	Expected Count	5.3	7.7	13.0	
	% within Poor test score	30.8%	69.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.0%	1.6%	1.4%	
	% of Total	.4%	1.0%	1.4%	
10+ times	Count	8	5	13	
	Expected Count	5.3	7.7	13.0	
	% within Poor test score	61.5%	38.5%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	2.1%	.9%	1.4%	
	% of Total	.8%	.5%	1.4%	
Total	Count	388	557	945	
	Expected Count	388.0	557.0	945.0	
	% within Poor test score	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.307 ^a	5	.097
Likelihood Ratio	9.224	5	.100
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.094	1	.043
N of Valid Cases	945		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.34.

Trouble w/ police, etc * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Trouble w/ police, etc	Never	Count	288	475	763
		Expected Count	312.8	450.2	763.0
		% within Trouble w/ police, etc	37.7%	62.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	74.4%	85.3%	80.8%
		% of Total	30.5%	50.3%	80.8%
	Once	Count	61	67	128
		Expected Count	52.5	75.5	128.0
		% within Trouble w/ police, etc	47.7%	52.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	15.8%	12.0%	13.6%
		% of Total	6.5%	7.1%	13.6%
	Twice	Count	25	12	37
		Expected Count	15.2	21.8	37.0
		% within Trouble w/ police, etc	67.6%	32.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.5%	2.2%	3.9%
		% of Total	2.6%	1.3%	3.9%
	3-5 times	Count	11	2	13
		Expected Count	5.3	7.7	13.0
		% within Trouble w/ police, etc	84.6%	15.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.8%	.4%	1.4%
		% of Total	1.2%	.2%	1.4%
6-9 times	Count	2	0	2	
	Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0	
	% within Trouble w/ police, etc	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.5%	.0%	.2%	
	% of Total	.2%	.0%	.2%	
10+ times	Count	0	1	1	
	Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0	
	% within Trouble w/ police, etc	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.0%	.2%	.1%	
	% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%	
Total	Count	387	557	944	
	Expected Count	387.0	557.0	944.0	
	% within Trouble w/ police, etc	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	30.278 ^a	5	.000
Likelihood Ratio	31.485	5	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	24.796	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	944		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

Damaged prop, fire alarm * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Damaged prop, fire alarm	Never	Count	332	541	873
		Expected Count	358.1	514.9	873.0
		% within Damaged prop, fire alarm	38.0%	62.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	85.6%	97.0%	92.3%
		% of Total	35.1%	57.2%	92.3%
	Once	Count	31	11	42
		Expected Count	17.2	24.8	42.0
		% within Damaged prop, fire alarm	73.8%	26.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.0%	2.0%	4.4%
		% of Total	3.3%	1.2%	4.4%
	Twice	Count	10	5	15
		Expected Count	6.2	8.8	15.0
		% within Damaged prop, fire alarm	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.6%	.9%	1.6%
		% of Total	1.1%	.5%	1.6%
	3-5 times	Count	12	1	13
		Expected Count	5.3	7.7	13.0
		% within Damaged prop, fire alarm	92.3%	7.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.1%	.2%	1.4%
		% of Total	1.3%	.1%	1.4%
6-9 times	Count	2	0	2	
	Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0	
	% within Damaged prop, fire alarm	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.5%	.0%	.2%	
	% of Total	.2%	.0%	.2%	
10+ times	Count	1	0	1	
	Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0	
	% within Damaged prop, fire alarm	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%	
	% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%	
Total	Count	388	558	946	
	Expected Count	388.0	558.0	946.0	
	% within Damaged prop, fire alarm	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	44.418 ^a	5	.000
Likelihood Ratio	46.558	5	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	38.389	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	946		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

Argument or fight * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Argument or fight	Never	Count	206	329	535
		Expected Count	219.8	315.2	535.0
		% within Argument or fight	38.5%	61.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	53.0%	59.0%	56.5%
		% of Total	21.8%	34.7%	56.5%
	Once	Count	59	81	140
		Expected Count	57.5	82.5	140.0
		% within Argument or fight	42.1%	57.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	15.2%	14.5%	14.8%
		% of Total	6.2%	8.6%	14.8%
	Twice	Count	49	70	119
		Expected Count	48.9	70.1	119.0
		% within Argument or fight	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	12.6%	12.5%	12.6%
		% of Total	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%
	3-5 times	Count	46	55	101
		Expected Count	41.5	59.5	101.0
		% within Argument or fight	45.5%	54.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.8%	9.9%	10.7%
		% of Total	4.9%	5.8%	10.7%
6-9 times	Count	15	16	31	
	Expected Count	12.7	18.3	31.0	
	% within Argument or fight	48.4%	51.6%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	3.9%	2.9%	3.3%	
	% of Total	1.6%	1.7%	3.3%	
10+ times	Count	14	7	21	
	Expected Count	8.6	12.4	21.0	
	% within Argument or fight	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	3.6%	1.3%	2.2%	
	% of Total	1.5%	.7%	2.2%	
Total	Count	389	558	947	
	Expected Count	389.0	558.0	947.0	
	% within Argument or fight	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.728 ^a	5	.120
Likelihood Ratio	8.622	5	.125
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.472	1	.011
N of Valid Cases	947		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.63.

Nauseated or vomited * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Nauseated or vomited	Never	Count	151	215	366
		Expected Count	151.0	215.0	366.0
		% within Nauseated or vomited	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	38.8%	38.8%	38.8%
		% of Total	16.0%	22.8%	38.8%
	Once	Count	61	99	160
		Expected Count	66.0	94.0	160.0
		% within Nauseated or vomited	38.1%	61.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	15.7%	17.9%	17.0%
		% of Total	6.5%	10.5%	17.0%
	Twice	Count	64	97	161
		Expected Count	66.4	94.6	161.0
		% within Nauseated or vomited	39.8%	60.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	16.5%	17.5%	17.1%
		% of Total	6.8%	10.3%	17.1%
	3-5 times	Count	73	91	164
		Expected Count	67.7	96.3	164.0
		% within Nauseated or vomited	44.5%	55.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	18.8%	16.4%	17.4%
		% of Total	7.7%	9.7%	17.4%
6-9 times	Count	21	32	53	
	Expected Count	21.9	31.1	53.0	
	% within Nauseated or vomited	39.6%	60.4%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	5.4%	5.8%	5.6%	
	% of Total	2.2%	3.4%	5.6%	
10+ times	Count	19	20	39	
	Expected Count	16.1	22.9	39.0	
	% within Nauseated or vomited	48.7%	51.3%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	4.9%	3.6%	4.1%	
	% of Total	2.0%	2.1%	4.1%	
Total	Count	389	554	943	
	Expected Count	389.0	554.0	943.0	
	% within Nauseated or vomited	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.469 ^a	5	.781
Likelihood Ratio	2.458	5	.783
Linear-by-Linear Association	.594	1	.441
N of Valid Cases	943		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 16.09.

Driven under influence * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Driven under influence	Never	Count	281	446	727
		Expected Count	299.3	427.7	727.0
		% within Driven under influence	38.7%	61.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	72.2%	80.2%	76.9%
		% of Total	29.7%	47.2%	76.9%
	Once	Count	26	58	84
		Expected Count	34.6	49.4	84.0
		% within Driven under influence	31.0%	69.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.7%	10.4%	8.9%
		% of Total	2.8%	6.1%	8.9%
	Twice	Count	22	20	42
		Expected Count	17.3	24.7	42.0
		% within Driven under influence	52.4%	47.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.7%	3.6%	4.4%
		% of Total	2.3%	2.1%	4.4%
	3-5 times	Count	31	21	52
		Expected Count	21.4	30.6	52.0
		% within Driven under influence	59.6%	40.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.0%	3.8%	5.5%
		% of Total	3.3%	2.2%	5.5%
6-9 times	Count	17	5	22	
	Expected Count	9.1	12.9	22.0	
	% within Driven under influence	77.3%	22.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	4.4%	.9%	2.3%	
	% of Total	1.8%	.5%	2.3%	
10+ times	Count	12	6	18	
	Expected Count	7.4	10.6	18.0	
	% within Driven under influence	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	3.1%	1.1%	1.9%	
	% of Total	1.3%	.6%	1.9%	
Total	Count	389	556	945	
	Expected Count	389.0	556.0	945.0	
	% within Driven under influence	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	31.680 ^a	5	.000
Likelihood Ratio	31.598	5	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	23.381	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	945		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.41.

Missed class * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Missed class	Never	Count	223	363	586
		Expected Count	240.3	345.7	586.0
		% within Missed class	38.1%	61.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	57.5%	65.1%	61.9%
		% of Total	23.6%	38.4%	61.9%
	Once	Count	31	64	95
		Expected Count	39.0	56.0	95.0
		% within Missed class	32.6%	67.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.0%	11.5%	10.0%
		% of Total	3.3%	6.8%	10.0%
	Twice	Count	52	50	102
		Expected Count	41.8	60.2	102.0
		% within Missed class	51.0%	49.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	13.4%	9.0%	10.8%
		% of Total	5.5%	5.3%	10.8%
	3-5 times	Count	41	51	92
		Expected Count	37.7	54.3	92.0
		% within Missed class	44.6%	55.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.6%	9.1%	9.7%
		% of Total	4.3%	5.4%	9.7%
6-9 times	Count	24	19	43	
	Expected Count	17.6	25.4	43.0	
	% within Missed class	55.8%	44.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	6.2%	3.4%	4.5%	
	% of Total	2.5%	2.0%	4.5%	
10+ times	Count	17	11	28	
	Expected Count	11.5	16.5	28.0	
	% within Missed class	60.7%	39.3%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	4.4%	2.0%	3.0%	
	% of Total	1.8%	1.2%	3.0%	
Total	Count	388	558	946	
	Expected Count	388.0	558.0	946.0	
	% within Missed class	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	17.933 ^a	5	.003
Likelihood Ratio	17.769	5	.003
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.372	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	946		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 11.48.

Been criticized * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Been criticized	Never	Count	242	369	611
		Expected Count	250.3	360.8	611.0
		% within Been criticized	39.6%	60.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	62.9%	66.5%	65.0%
		% of Total	25.7%	39.3%	65.0%
	Once	Count	45	72	117
		Expected Count	47.9	69.1	117.0
		% within Been criticized	38.5%	61.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.7%	13.0%	12.4%
		% of Total	4.8%	7.7%	12.4%
	Twice	Count	35	53	88
		Expected Count	36.0	52.0	88.0
		% within Been criticized	39.8%	60.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.1%	9.5%	9.4%
		% of Total	3.7%	5.6%	9.4%
	3-5 times	Count	36	42	78
		Expected Count	31.9	46.1	78.0
		% within Been criticized	46.2%	53.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.4%	7.6%	8.3%
		% of Total	3.8%	4.5%	8.3%
6-9 times	Count	5	11	16	
	Expected Count	6.6	9.4	16.0	
	% within Been criticized	31.3%	68.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.3%	2.0%	1.7%	
	% of Total	.5%	1.2%	1.7%	
10+ times	Count	22	8	30	
	Expected Count	12.3	17.7	30.0	
	% within Been criticized	73.3%	26.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	5.7%	1.4%	3.2%	
	% of Total	2.3%	.9%	3.2%	
Total	Count	385	555	940	
	Expected Count	385.0	555.0	940.0	
	% within Been criticized	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.311 ^a	5	.009
Likelihood Ratio	15.234	5	.009
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.356	1	.012
N of Valid Cases	940		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.55.

Thought I had a problem * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Thought I had a problem	Never	Count	326	507	833
		Expected Count	341.5	491.5	833.0
		% within Thought I had a problem	39.1%	60.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	84.2%	91.0%	88.2%
		% of Total	34.5%	53.7%	88.2%
	Once	Count	23	23	46
		Expected Count	18.9	27.1	46.0
		% within Thought I had a problem	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.9%	4.1%	4.9%
		% of Total	2.4%	2.4%	4.9%
	Twice	Count	13	14	27
		Expected Count	11.1	15.9	27.0
		% within Thought I had a problem	48.1%	51.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.4%	2.5%	2.9%
		% of Total	1.4%	1.5%	2.9%
	3-5 times	Count	12	11	23
		Expected Count	9.4	13.6	23.0
		% within Thought I had a problem	52.2%	47.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.1%	2.0%	2.4%
		% of Total	1.3%	1.2%	2.4%
6-9 times	Count	6	1	7	
	Expected Count	2.9	4.1	7.0	
	% within Thought I had a problem	85.7%	14.3%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.6%	.2%	.7%	
	% of Total	.6%	.1%	.7%	
10+ times	Count	7	1	8	
	Expected Count	3.3	4.7	8.0	
	% within Thought I had a problem	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.8%	.2%	.8%	
	% of Total	.7%	.1%	.8%	
Total	Count	387	557	944	
	Expected Count	387.0	557.0	944.0	
	% within Thought I had a problem	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	17.432 ^a	5	.004
Likelihood Ratio	17.967	5	.003
Linear-by-Linear Association	14.769	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	944		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.87.

Had a memory loss * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Had a memory loss	Never	Count	208	346	554
		Expected Count	227.6	326.4	554.0
		% within Had a memory loss	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	53.7%	62.3%	58.8%
		% of Total	22.1%	36.7%	58.8%
	Once	Count	47	75	122
		Expected Count	50.1	71.9	122.0
		% within Had a memory loss	38.5%	61.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	12.1%	13.5%	13.0%
		% of Total	5.0%	8.0%	13.0%
	Twice	Count	40	47	87
		Expected Count	35.7	51.3	87.0
		% within Had a memory loss	46.0%	54.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.3%	8.5%	9.2%
		% of Total	4.2%	5.0%	9.2%
	3-5 times	Count	52	51	103
		Expected Count	42.3	60.7	103.0
		% within Had a memory loss	50.5%	49.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	13.4%	9.2%	10.9%
		% of Total	5.5%	5.4%	10.9%
6-9 times	Count	19	25	44	
	Expected Count	18.1	25.9	44.0	
	% within Had a memory loss	43.2%	56.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	4.9%	4.5%	4.7%	
	% of Total	2.0%	2.7%	4.7%	
10+ times	Count	21	11	32	
	Expected Count	13.1	18.9	32.0	
	% within Had a memory loss	65.6%	34.4%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	5.4%	2.0%	3.4%	
	% of Total	2.2%	1.2%	3.4%	
Total	Count	387	555	942	
	Expected Count	387.0	555.0	942.0	
	% within Had a memory loss	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.860 ^a	5	.007
Likelihood Ratio	15.674	5	.008
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.611	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	942		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 13.15.

Later regretted action * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Later regretted action	Never	Count	212	331	543
		Expected Count	221.7	321.3	543.0
		% within Later regretted action	39.0%	61.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	55.4%	59.6%	57.9%
		% of Total	22.6%	35.3%	57.9%
	Once	Count	56	89	145
		Expected Count	59.2	85.8	145.0
		% within Later regretted action	38.6%	61.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	14.6%	16.0%	15.5%
		% of Total	6.0%	9.5%	15.5%
	Twice	Count	43	64	107
		Expected Count	43.7	63.3	107.0
		% within Later regretted action	40.2%	59.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.2%	11.5%	11.4%
		% of Total	4.6%	6.8%	11.4%
	3-5 times	Count	41	53	94
		Expected Count	38.4	55.6	94.0
		% within Later regretted action	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.7%	9.5%	10.0%
		% of Total	4.4%	5.7%	10.0%
6-9 times	Count	18	11	29	
	Expected Count	11.8	17.2	29.0	
	% within Later regretted action	62.1%	37.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	4.7%	2.0%	3.1%	
	% of Total	1.9%	1.2%	3.1%	
10+ times	Count	13	7	20	
	Expected Count	8.2	11.8	20.0	
	% within Later regretted action	65.0%	35.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	3.4%	1.3%	2.1%	
	% of Total	1.4%	.7%	2.1%	
Total	Count	383	555	938	
	Expected Count	383.0	555.0	938.0	
	% within Later regretted action	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.583 ^a	5	.041
Likelihood Ratio	11.369	5	.045
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.008	1	.008
N of Valid Cases	938		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.17.

Arrested for DWI/DUI * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Arrested for DWI/DUI	Never	Count	376	554	930
		Expected Count	379.1	550.9	930.0
		% within Arrested for DWI/DUI	40.4%	59.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.9%	99.3%	98.7%
		% of Total	39.9%	58.8%	98.7%
	Once	Count	7	4	11
		Expected Count	4.5	6.5	11.0
		% within Arrested for DWI/DUI	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.8%	.7%	1.2%
	3-5 times	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Arrested for DWI/DUI	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
% within Gender		.3%	.0%	.1%	
Total	Count	384	558	942	
	Expected Count	384.0	558.0	942.0	
	% within Arrested for DWI/DUI	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.879 ^a	2	.144
Likelihood Ratio	4.169	2	.124
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.852	1	.050
N of Valid Cases	942		

a. 3 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

HAVE BEEN TAKEN ADVANTAGE SEXUALLY * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
HAVE BEEN TAKEN ADVANTAGE SEXUALLY	Never	Count	357	501	858
		Expected Count	352.7	505.3	858.0
		% within HAVE BEEN TAKEN ADVANTAGE SEXUALLY	41.6%	58.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	92.5%	90.6%	91.4%
		% of Total	38.0%	53.4%	91.4%
	Once	Count	17	33	50
		Expected Count	20.6	29.4	50.0
		% within HAVE BEEN TAKEN ADVANTAGE SEXUALLY	34.0%	66.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.4%	6.0%	5.3%
		% of Total	1.8%	3.5%	5.3%
	Twice	Count	8	15	23
		Expected Count	9.5	13.5	23.0
		% within HAVE BEEN TAKEN ADVANTAGE SEXUALLY	34.8%	65.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.1%	2.7%	2.4%
		% of Total	.9%	1.6%	2.4%
	3-5 times	Count	2	3	5
		Expected Count	2.1	2.9	5.0
		% within HAVE BEEN TAKEN ADVANTAGE SEXUALLY	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.5%	.5%
		% of Total	.2%	.3%	.5%
	6-9 times	Count	1	0	1
Expected Count		.4	.6	1.0	
% within HAVE BEEN TAKEN ADVANTAGE SEXUALLY		100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
% within Gender		.3%	.0%	.1%	
% of Total		.1%	.0%	.1%	
10+ times	Count	1	1	2	
	Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0	
	% within HAVE BEEN TAKEN ADVANTAGE SEXUALLY	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%	
	% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Total	Count	386	553	939
	Expected Count	386.0	553.0	939.0
	% within HAVE BEEN TAKEN ADVANTAGE SEXUALLY	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.013 ^a	5	.698
Likelihood Ratio	3.387	5	.641
Linear-by-Linear Association	.200	1	.655
N of Valid Cases	939		

a. 6 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

HAVE TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF SOMEONE SEXUALLY * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
HAVE TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF SOMEONE SEXUALLY	Never	Count	377	551	928
		Expected Count	380.4	547.6	928.0
		% within HAVE TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF SOMEONE SEXUALLY	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.4%	98.9%	98.3%
		% of Total	39.9%	58.4%	98.3%
	Once	Count	7	2	9
		Expected Count	3.7	5.3	9.0
		% within HAVE TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF SOMEONE SEXUALLY	77.8%	22.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.8%	.4%	1.0%
		% of Total	.7%	.2%	1.0%
	Twice	Count	1	3	4
		Expected Count	1.6	2.4	4.0
		% within HAVE TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF SOMEONE SEXUALLY	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.5%	.4%
		% of Total	.1%	.3%	.4%
	3-5 times	Count	2	0	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within HAVE TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF SOMEONE SEXUALLY	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.0%	.2%
		% of Total	.2%	.0%	.2%
10+ times	Count	0	1	1	
	Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0	
	% within HAVE TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF SOMEONE SEXUALLY	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.0%	.2%	.1%	
	% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%	
Total	Count	387	557	944	
	Expected Count	387.0	557.0	944.0	
	% within HAVE TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF SOMEONE SEXUALLY	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.083 ^a	4	.059
Likelihood Ratio	10.184	4	.037
Linear-by-Linear Association	.762	1	.383
N of Valid Cases	944		

a. 7 cells (70.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

TRIED/FAILED TO STOP * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
TRIED/FAILED TO STOP	Never	Count	362	543	905
		Expected Count	370.4	534.6	905.0
		% within TRIED/FAILED TO STOP	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	93.8%	97.5%	96.0%
		% of Total	38.4%	57.6%	96.0%
	Once	Count	10	6	16
		Expected Count	6.5	9.5	16.0
		% within TRIED/FAILED TO STOP	62.5%	37.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.6%	1.1%	1.7%
		% of Total	1.1%	.6%	1.7%
	Twice	Count	7	5	12
		Expected Count	4.9	7.1	12.0
		% within TRIED/FAILED TO STOP	58.3%	41.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.8%	.9%	1.3%
		% of Total	.7%	.5%	1.3%
	3-5 times	Count	5	3	8
		Expected Count	3.3	4.7	8.0
		% within TRIED/FAILED TO STOP	62.5%	37.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	.5%	.8%
		% of Total	.5%	.3%	.8%
10+ times	Count	2	0	2	
	Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0	
	% within TRIED/FAILED TO STOP	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.5%	.0%	.2%	
	% of Total	.2%	.0%	.2%	
Total	Count	386	557	943	
	Expected Count	386.0	557.0	943.0	
	% within TRIED/FAILED TO STOP	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.332 ^a	4	.053
Likelihood Ratio	9.888	4	.042
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.026	1	.005
N of Valid Cases	943		

a. 5 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

THOUGHT ABOUT SUICIDE * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
THOUGHT ABOUT SUICIDE	Never	Count	369	537	906
		Expected Count	371.6	534.4	906.0
		% within THOUGHT ABOUT SUICIDE	40.7%	59.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	95.6%	96.8%	96.3%
		% of Total	39.2%	57.1%	96.3%
	Once	Count	9	6	15
		Expected Count	6.2	8.8	15.0
		% within THOUGHT ABOUT SUICIDE	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.3%	1.1%	1.6%
		% of Total	1.0%	.6%	1.6%
	Twice	Count	2	8	10
		Expected Count	4.1	5.9	10.0
		% within THOUGHT ABOUT SUICIDE	20.0%	80.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	1.4%	1.1%
		% of Total	.2%	.9%	1.1%
	3-5 times	Count	3	3	6
		Expected Count	2.5	3.5	6.0
		% within THOUGHT ABOUT SUICIDE	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.8%	.5%	.6%
		% of Total	.3%	.3%	.6%
6-9 times	Count	1	1	2	
	Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0	
	% within THOUGHT ABOUT SUICIDE	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%	
	% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%	
10+ times	Count	2	0	2	
	Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0	
	% within THOUGHT ABOUT SUICIDE	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.5%	.0%	.2%	
	% of Total	.2%	.0%	.2%	
Total	Count	386	555	941	
	Expected Count	386.0	555.0	941.0	
	% within THOUGHT ABOUT SUICIDE	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.234 ^a	5	.204
Likelihood Ratio	8.048	5	.154
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.051	1	.305
N of Valid Cases	941		

a. 7 cells (58.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

TRIED TO COMMIT SUICIDE * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
TRIED TO COMMIT SUICIDE	Never	Count	383	553	936
		Expected Count	383.1	552.9	936.0
		% within TRIED TO COMMIT SUICIDE	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.2%	99.3%	99.3%
		% of Total	40.6%	58.6%	99.3%
	Once	Count	2	3	5
		Expected Count	2.0	3.0	5.0
		% within TRIED TO COMMIT SUICIDE	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.5%	.5%
		% of Total	.2%	.3%	.5%
	Twice	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within TRIED TO COMMIT SUICIDE	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.2%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.2%
Total		Count	386	557	943
		Expected Count	386.0	557.0	943.0
		% within TRIED TO COMMIT SUICIDE	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.070 ^a	2	.966
Likelihood Ratio	.069	2	.966
Linear-by-Linear Association	.032	1	.858
N of Valid Cases	943		

a. 4 cells (66.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

BEEN HURT/INJURED * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
BEEN HURT/INJURED	Never	Count	294	439	733
		Expected Count	300.6	432.4	733.0
		% within BEEN HURT/INJURED	40.1%	59.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	75.8%	78.7%	77.5%
		% of Total	31.1%	46.4%	77.5%
	Once	Count	46	55	101
		Expected Count	41.4	59.6	101.0
		% within BEEN HURT/INJURED	45.5%	54.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.9%	9.9%	10.7%
		% of Total	4.9%	5.8%	10.7%
	Twice	Count	27	40	67
		Expected Count	27.5	39.5	67.0
		% within BEEN HURT/INJURED	40.3%	59.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.0%	7.2%	7.1%
		% of Total	2.9%	4.2%	7.1%
	3-5 times	Count	17	18	35
		Expected Count	14.4	20.6	35.0
		% within BEEN HURT/INJURED	48.6%	51.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.4%	3.2%	3.7%
		% of Total	1.8%	1.9%	3.7%
6-9 times	Count	0	5	5	
	Expected Count	2.1	2.9	5.0	
	% within BEEN HURT/INJURED	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.0%	.9%	.5%	
	% of Total	.0%	.5%	.5%	
10+ times	Count	4	1	5	
	Expected Count	2.1	2.9	5.0	
	% within BEEN HURT/INJURED	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.0%	.2%	.5%	
	% of Total	.4%	.1%	.5%	
Total	Count	388	558	946	
	Expected Count	388.0	558.0	946.0	
	% within BEEN HURT/INJURED	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.563 ^a	5	.128
Likelihood Ratio	10.386	5	.065
Linear-by-Linear Association	.967	1	.326
N of Valid Cases	946		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.05.

A/D problems: mother * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
A/D problems: mother	No	Count	360	516	876
		Expected Count	356.0	520.0	876.0
		% within A/D problems: mother	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	94.7%	93.0%	93.7%
		% of Total	38.5%	55.2%	93.7%
	Yes	Count	20	39	59
		Expected Count	24.0	35.0	59.0
		% within A/D problems: mother	33.9%	66.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.3%	7.0%	6.3%
		% of Total	2.1%	4.2%	6.3%
Total	Count	380	555	935	
	Expected Count	380.0	555.0	935.0	
	% within A/D problems: mother	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.187 ^b	1	.276		
Continuity Correction ^a	.907	1	.341		
Likelihood Ratio	1.211	1	.271		
Fisher's Exact Test				.338	.171
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.186	1	.276		
N of Valid Cases	935				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 23.98.

A/D problems: father * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
A/D problems: father	No	Count	314	453	767
		Expected Count	311.7	455.3	767.0
		% within A/D problems: father	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	82.6%	81.6%	82.0%
		% of Total	33.6%	48.4%	82.0%
	Yes	Count	66	102	168
		Expected Count	68.3	99.7	168.0
		% within A/D problems: father	39.3%	60.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	17.4%	18.4%	18.0%
		% of Total	7.1%	10.9%	18.0%
Total	Count	380	555	935	
	Expected Count	380.0	555.0	935.0	
	% within A/D problems: father	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.156 ^b	1	.693		
Continuity Correction ^a	.095	1	.758		
Likelihood Ratio	.157	1	.692		
Fisher's Exact Test				.729	.380
Linear-by-Linear Association	.156	1	.693		
N of Valid Cases	935				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 68.28.

A/D problems: stepmother * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
A/D problems: stepmother	No	Count	375	551	926
		Expected Count	376.3	549.7	926.0
		% within A/D problems: stepmother	40.5%	59.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	98.7%	99.3%	99.0%
		% of Total	40.1%	58.9%	99.0%
	Yes	Count	5	4	9
		Expected Count	3.7	5.3	9.0
		% within A/D problems: stepmother	55.6%	44.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	.7%	1.0%
		% of Total	.5%	.4%	1.0%
Total	Count	380	555	935	
	Expected Count	380.0	555.0	935.0	
	% within A/D problems: stepmother	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.838 ^b	1	.360		
Continuity Correction ^a	.330	1	.566		
Likelihood Ratio	.819	1	.365		
Fisher's Exact Test				.498	.279
Linear-by-Linear Association	.837	1	.360		
N of Valid Cases	935				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.66.

A/D problems: stepfather * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
A/D problems: stepfather	No	Count	372	541	913
		Expected Count	371.1	541.9	913.0
		% within A/D problems: stepfather	40.7%	59.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.9%	97.5%	97.6%
		% of Total	39.8%	57.9%	97.6%
	Yes	Count	8	14	22
		Expected Count	8.9	13.1	22.0
		% within A/D problems: stepfather	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.1%	2.5%	2.4%
		% of Total	.9%	1.5%	2.4%
Total	Count	380	555	935	
	Expected Count	380.0	555.0	935.0	
	% within A/D problems: stepfather	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.171 ^b	1	.679		
Continuity Correction ^a	.038	1	.846		
Likelihood Ratio	.173	1	.677		
Fisher's Exact Test				.827	.429
Linear-by-Linear Association	.171	1	.679		
N of Valid Cases	935				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.94.

A/D problems: br/sister * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
A/D problems: br/sister	No	Count	347	485	832
		Expected Count	338.1	493.9	832.0
		% within A/D problems: br/sister	41.7%	58.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	91.3%	87.4%	89.0%
		% of Total	37.1%	51.9%	89.0%
	Yes	Count	33	70	103
		Expected Count	41.9	61.1	103.0
		% within A/D problems: br/sister	32.0%	68.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.7%	12.6%	11.0%
		% of Total	3.5%	7.5%	11.0%
Total	Count	380	555	935	
	Expected Count	380.0	555.0	935.0	
	% within A/D problems: br/sister	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.551 ^b	1	.060		
Continuity Correction ^a	3.162	1	.075		
Likelihood Ratio	3.641	1	.056		
Fisher's Exact Test				.070	.037
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.547	1	.060		
N of Valid Cases	935				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 41.86.

A/D problems: mother's parents * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
A/D problems: mother's parents	No	Count	323	472	795
		Expected Count	323.1	471.9	795.0
		% within A/D problems: mother's parents	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	85.0%	85.0%	85.0%
		% of Total	34.5%	50.5%	85.0%
	Yes	Count	57	83	140
		Expected Count	56.9	83.1	140.0
		% within A/D problems: mother's parents	40.7%	59.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	15.0%	15.0%	15.0%
		% of Total	6.1%	8.9%	15.0%
Total	Count	380	555	935	
	Expected Count	380.0	555.0	935.0	
	% within A/D problems: mother's parents	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.000 ^b	1	.985		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.000	1	.985		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.528
Linear-by-Linear Association	.000	1	.985		
N of Valid Cases	935				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 56.90.

A/D problems: father's parents * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
A/D problems: father's parents	No	Count	324	476	800
		Expected Count	325.1	474.9	800.0
		% within A/D problems: father's parents	40.5%	59.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	85.3%	85.8%	85.6%
		% of Total	34.7%	50.9%	85.6%
	Yes	Count	56	79	135
		Expected Count	54.9	80.1	135.0
		% within A/D problems: father's parents	41.5%	58.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	14.7%	14.2%	14.4%
		% of Total	6.0%	8.4%	14.4%
Total	Count	380	555	935	
	Expected Count	380.0	555.0	935.0	
	% within A/D problems: father's parents	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.046 ^b	1	.830		
Continuity Correction ^a	.014	1	.904		
Likelihood Ratio	.046	1	.830		
Fisher's Exact Test				.850	.451
Linear-by-Linear Association	.046	1	.830		
N of Valid Cases	935				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 54.87.

A/D problems: aunts/uncles * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
A/D problems: aunts/uncles	No	Count	254	379	633
		Expected Count	257.3	375.7	633.0
		% within A/D problems: aunts/uncles	40.1%	59.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	66.8%	68.3%	67.7%
		% of Total	27.2%	40.5%	67.7%
	Yes	Count	126	176	302
		Expected Count	122.7	179.3	302.0
		% within A/D problems: aunts/uncles	41.7%	58.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	33.2%	31.7%	32.3%
		% of Total	13.5%	18.8%	32.3%
Total	Count	380	555	935	
	Expected Count	380.0	555.0	935.0	
	% within A/D problems: aunts/uncles	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.216 ^b	1	.642		
Continuity Correction ^a	.155	1	.694		
Likelihood Ratio	.215	1	.643		
Fisher's Exact Test				.669	.347
Linear-by-Linear Association	.216	1	.642		
N of Valid Cases	935				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 122.74.

A/D problems: spouse * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
A/D problems: spouse	No	Count	380	550	930
		Expected Count	378.0	552.0	930.0
		% within A/D problems: spouse	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	99.1%	99.5%
		% of Total	40.6%	58.8%	99.5%
	Yes	Count	0	5	5
		Expected Count	2.0	3.0	5.0
		% within A/D problems: spouse	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.9%	.5%
		% of Total	.0%	.5%	.5%
Total	Count	380	555	935	
	Expected Count	380.0	555.0	935.0	
	% within A/D problems: spouse	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.442 ^b	1	.064		
Continuity Correction ^a	1.956	1	.162		
Likelihood Ratio	5.234	1	.022		
Fisher's Exact Test				.084	.073
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.438	1	.064		
N of Valid Cases	935				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.03.

A/D problems: children * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
A/D problems: children	No	Count	380	554	934
		Expected Count	379.6	554.4	934.0
		% within A/D problems: children	40.7%	59.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	99.8%	99.9%
		% of Total	40.6%	59.3%	99.9%
	Yes	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within A/D problems: children	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.2%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
Total	Count	380	555	935	
	Expected Count	380.0	555.0	935.0	
	% within A/D problems: children	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.685 ^b	1	.408		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	1.044	1	.307		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.594
Linear-by-Linear Association	.685	1	.408		
N of Valid Cases	935				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

A/D problems: none * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
A/D problems: none	No	Count	205	303	508
		Expected Count	206.5	301.5	508.0
		% within A/D problems: none	40.4%	59.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	53.9%	54.6%	54.3%
		% of Total	21.9%	32.4%	54.3%
	Yes	Count	175	252	427
		Expected Count	173.5	253.5	427.0
		% within A/D problems: none	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	46.1%	45.4%	45.7%
		% of Total	18.7%	27.0%	45.7%
Total	Count	380	555	935	
	Expected Count	380.0	555.0	935.0	
	% within A/D problems: none	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.038 ^b	1	.845		
Continuity Correction ^a	.016	1	.898		
Likelihood Ratio	.038	1	.845		
Fisher's Exact Test				.894	.449
Linear-by-Linear Association	.038	1	.845		
N of Valid Cases	935				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 173.54.

VOLUNTEERING * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
VOLUNTEERING	DON'T OR < 1 HOUR	Count	199	217	416
		Expected Count	172.1	243.9	416.0
		% within VOLUNTEERING	47.8%	52.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	55.7%	42.9%	48.2%
		% of Total	23.1%	25.1%	48.2%
	1-4 HOURS	Count	85	147	232
		Expected Count	96.0	136.0	232.0
		% within VOLUNTEERING	36.6%	63.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	23.8%	29.1%	26.9%
		% of Total	9.8%	17.0%	26.9%
	5-9 HOURS	Count	38	80	118
		Expected Count	48.8	69.2	118.0
		% within VOLUNTEERING	32.2%	67.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.6%	15.8%	13.7%
		% of Total	4.4%	9.3%	13.7%
	10-15 HOURS	Count	19	32	51
		Expected Count	21.1	29.9	51.0
		% within VOLUNTEERING	37.3%	62.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.3%	6.3%	5.9%
		% of Total	2.2%	3.7%	5.9%
16 OR MORE HOURS	Count	16	30	46	
	Expected Count	19.0	27.0	46.0	
	% within VOLUNTEERING	34.8%	65.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	4.5%	5.9%	5.3%	
	% of Total	1.9%	3.5%	5.3%	
Total	Count	357	506	863	
	Expected Count	357.0	506.0	863.0	
	% within VOLUNTEERING	41.4%	58.6%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.4%	58.6%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.581 ^a	4	.006
Likelihood Ratio	14.658	4	.005
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.332	1	.002
N of Valid Cases	863		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 19.03.

PARTICIPATED-INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender	
			Male	Female
PARTICIPATED- INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	344	521
		Expected Count	354.8	510.2
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS	39.8%	60.2%
		% within Gender	88.7%	93.4%
		% of Total	36.4%	55.1%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Count	38	29
		Expected Count	27.5	39.5
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS	56.7%	43.3%
		% within Gender	9.8%	5.2%
		% of Total	4.0%	3.1%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	6	8
		Expected Count	5.7	8.3
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS	42.9%	57.1%
		% within Gender	1.5%	1.4%
		% of Total	.6%	.8%
Total	Count	388	558	
	Expected Count	388.0	558.0	
	% within PARTICIPATED- INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS	41.0%	59.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	

Crosstab

			Total	
PARTICIPATED- INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	865	
		Expected Count	865.0	
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS	100.0%	
		% within Gender	91.4%	
			% of Total	91.4%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Count	67	
		Expected Count	67.0	
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS	100.0%	
		% within Gender	7.1%	
			% of Total	7.1%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	14	
		Expected Count	14.0	
% within PARTICIPATED- INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS		100.0%		
% within Gender		1.5%		
		% of Total	1.5%	
Total		Count	946	
		Expected Count	946.0	
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS	100.0%	
		% within Gender	100.0%	
		% of Total	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.403 ^a	2	.025
Likelihood Ratio	7.259	2	.027
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.432	1	.035
N of Valid Cases	946		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.74.

PARTICIPATED-INTRAMURAL OR CLUB SPORTS * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender	
			Male	Female
PARTICIPATED- INTRAMURAL OR CLUB SPORTS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	243	467
		Expected Count	291.1	418.9
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTRAMURAL OR CLUB SPORTS	34.2%	65.8%
		% within Gender	62.8%	83.8%
		% of Total	25.7%	49.5%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Count	131	82
		Expected Count	87.3	125.7
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTRAMURAL OR CLUB SPORTS	61.5%	38.5%
		% within Gender	33.9%	14.7%
		% of Total	13.9%	8.7%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	13	8
		Expected Count	8.6	12.4
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTRAMURAL OR CLUB SPORTS	61.9%	38.1%
		% within Gender	3.4%	1.4%
		% of Total	1.4%	.8%
Total		Count	387	557
		Expected Count	387.0	557.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTRAMURAL OR CLUB SPORTS	41.0%	59.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Total
PARTICIPATED- INTRAMURAL OR CLUB SPORTS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	710
		Expected Count	710.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTRAMURAL OR CLUB SPORTS	100.0%
		% within Gender	75.2%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Count	213
		Expected Count	213.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTRAMURAL OR CLUB SPORTS	100.0%
		% within Gender	22.6%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	21
		Expected Count	21.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTRAMURAL OR CLUB SPORTS	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.2%
Total		Count	944
		Expected Count	944.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTRAMURAL OR CLUB SPORTS	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%
		% of Total	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	54.279 ^a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	53.686	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	49.823	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	944		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.61.

PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender	
			Male	Female
PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR	NOT INVOLVED	Count	320	465
		Expected Count	322.3	462.7
		% within PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR	40.8%	59.2%
		% within Gender	82.5%	83.5%
		% of Total	33.9%	49.2%
	ATTENDED	Count	20	39
		Expected Count	24.2	34.8
		% within PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR	33.9%	66.1%
		% within Gender	5.2%	7.0%
		% of Total	2.1%	4.1%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Count	34	32
		Expected Count	27.1	38.9
		% within PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR	51.5%	48.5%
		% within Gender	8.8%	5.7%
		% of Total	3.6%	3.4%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	14	21
Expected Count		14.4	20.6	
% within PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR		40.0%	60.0%	
% within Gender		3.6%	3.8%	
% of Total		1.5%	2.2%	
Total	Count	388	557	
	Expected Count	388.0	557.0	
	% within PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR	41.1%	58.9%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	

Crosstab

			Total
PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR	NOT INVOLVED	Count	785
		Expected Count	785.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR	100.0%
		% within Gender	83.1%
		% of Total	83.1%
	ATTENDED	Count	59
		Expected Count	59.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.2%
		% of Total	6.2%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Count	66
		Expected Count	66.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.0%
		% of Total	7.0%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	35
Expected Count		35.0	
% within PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR		100.0%	
% within Gender		3.7%	
% of Total		3.7%	
Total		Count	945
		Expected Count	945.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%
		% of Total	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.276 ^a	3	.233
Likelihood Ratio	4.251	3	.236
Linear-by-Linear Association	.543	1	.461
N of Valid Cases	945		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 14.37.

PARTICIPATED-RELIGIOUS GROUPS * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender	
			Male	Female
PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	293	362
		Expected Count	268.2	386.8
		% within PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	44.7%	55.3%
		% within Gender	75.7%	64.9%
		% of Total	31.0%	38.3%
	ATTENDED	Count	58	128
		Expected Count	76.2	109.8
		% within PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	31.2%	68.8%
		% within Gender	15.0%	22.9%
		% of Total	6.1%	13.5%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Count	28	58
		Expected Count	35.2	50.8
		% within PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	32.6%	67.4%
		% within Gender	7.2%	10.4%
		% of Total	3.0%	6.1%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	8	10
Expected Count		7.4	10.6	
% within PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS		44.4%	55.6%	
% within Gender		2.1%	1.8%	
% of Total		.8%	1.1%	
Total	Count	387	558	
	Expected Count	387.0	558.0	
	% within PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	41.0%	59.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	

Crosstab

			Total
PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	655
		Expected Count	655.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	69.3%
		% of Total	69.3%
	ATTENDED	Count	186
		Expected Count	186.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	19.7%
		% of Total	19.7%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Count	86
		Expected Count	86.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.1%
		% of Total	9.1%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	18
Expected Count		18.0	
% within PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS		100.0%	
% within Gender		1.9%	
% of Total		1.9%	
Total		Count	945
		Expected Count	945.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%
		% of Total	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.809 ^a	3	.003
Likelihood Ratio	14.083	3	.003
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.611	1	.006
N of Valid Cases	945		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.37.

PARTICIPATED-INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender	
			Male	Female
PARTICIPATED-INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	370	500
		Expected Count	356.3	513.7
		% within PARTICIPATED-INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	42.5%	57.5%
		% within Gender	95.6%	89.6%
		% of Total	39.2%	52.9%
	ATTENDED	Count	13	36
		Expected Count	20.1	28.9
		% within PARTICIPATED-INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	26.5%	73.5%
		% within Gender	3.4%	6.5%
		% of Total	1.4%	3.8%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Count	3	16
		Expected Count	7.8	11.2
		% within PARTICIPATED-INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	15.8%	84.2%
		% within Gender	.8%	2.9%
		% of Total	.3%	1.7%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	1	6
Expected Count		2.9	4.1	
% within PARTICIPATED-INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS		14.3%	85.7%	
% within Gender		.3%	1.1%	
% of Total		.1%	.6%	
Total	Count	387	558	
	Expected Count	387.0	558.0	
	% within PARTICIPATED-INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	41.0%	59.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Total
PARTICIPATED-INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	870
		Expected Count	870.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	92.1%
		% of Total	92.1%
	ATTENDED	Count	49
		Expected Count	49.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.2%
		% of Total	5.2%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Count	19
		Expected Count	19.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.0%
		% of Total	2.0%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	7
Expected Count		7.0	
% within PARTICIPATED-INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS		100.0%	
% within Gender		.7%	
% of Total		.7%	
Total	Count	945	
	Expected Count	945.0	
	% within PARTICIPATED-INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	
	% of Total	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.142 ^a	3	.007
Likelihood Ratio	13.344	3	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.620	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	945		

a. 2 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.87.

PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender	
			Male	Female
PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	361	516
		Expected Count	359.2	517.8
		% within PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	41.2%	58.8%
		% within Gender	93.3%	92.5%
		% of Total	38.2%	54.6%
		ATTENDED	Count	17
	Expected Count	19.2	27.8	
	% within PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	36.2%	63.8%	
	% within Gender	4.4%	5.4%	
	% of Total	1.8%	3.2%	
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Count	6	9
	Expected Count	6.1	8.9	
	% within PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	40.0%	60.0%	
	% within Gender	1.6%	1.6%	
	% of Total	.6%	1.0%	
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	3	3
	Expected Count	2.5	3.5	
	% within PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	50.0%	50.0%	
	% within Gender	.8%	.5%	
	% of Total	.3%	.3%	
Total	Count	387	558	
Expected Count	387.0	558.0		
% within PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	41.0%	59.0%		
% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%		
% of Total	41.0%	59.0%		

Crosstab

			Total
PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	877
		Expected Count	877.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	92.8%
		% of Total	92.8%
	ATTENDED	Count	47
		Expected Count	47.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.0%
		% of Total	5.0%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Count	15
		Expected Count	15.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.6%
		% of Total	1.6%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	6
Expected Count		6.0	
% within PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS		100.0%	
% within Gender		.6%	
% of Total		.6%	
Total		Count	945
		Expected Count	945.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%
		% of Total	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.669 ^a	3	.880
Likelihood Ratio	.672	3	.880
Linear-by-Linear Association	.022	1	.881
N of Valid Cases	945		

a. 2 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.46.

PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		
			Male	Female	
PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	327	462	
		Expected Count	325.0	464.0	
		% within PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS	41.4%	58.6%	
		% within Gender	84.3%	83.4%	
		% of Total	34.7%	49.0%	
		ATTENDED	Count	40	64
		Expected Count	42.8	61.2	
		% within PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS	38.5%	61.5%	
		% within Gender	10.3%	11.6%	
		% of Total	4.2%	6.8%	
		ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Count	10	15
			Expected Count	10.3	14.7
			% within PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS	40.0%	60.0%
			% within Gender	2.6%	2.7%
			% of Total	1.1%	1.6%
		LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	11	13
			Expected Count	9.9	14.1
			% within PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS	45.8%	54.2%
			% within Gender	2.8%	2.3%
			% of Total	1.2%	1.4%
Total		Count	388	554	
		Expected Count	388.0	554.0	
		% within PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS	41.2%	58.8%	
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Total
PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	789
		Expected Count	789.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	83.8%
		% of Total	83.8%
	ATTENDED	Count	104
		Expected Count	104.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.0%
		% of Total	11.0%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Count	25
		Expected Count	25.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.7%
		% of Total	2.7%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	24
Expected Count		24.0	
% within PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS		100.0%	
% within Gender		2.5%	
% of Total		2.5%	
Total	Count	942	
	Expected Count	942.0	
	% within PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	
	% of Total	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.569 ^a	3	.903
Likelihood Ratio	.569	3	.903
Linear-by-Linear Association	.000	1	.993
N of Valid Cases	942		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.89.

PARTICIPATED-MUSIC,PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender	
			Male	Female
PARTICIPATED-MUSIC,PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	268	367
		Expected Count	260.0	375.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS	42.2%	57.8%
		% within Gender	69.3%	65.8%
		% of Total	28.4%	38.8%
	ATTENDED	Count	72	137
		Expected Count	85.6	123.4
		% within PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS	34.4%	65.6%
		% within Gender	18.6%	24.6%
		% of Total	7.6%	14.5%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Count	34	43
		Expected Count	31.5	45.5
		% within PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS	44.2%	55.8%
		% within Gender	8.8%	7.7%
		% of Total	3.6%	4.6%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	13	11
		Expected Count	9.8	14.2
		% within PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS	54.2%	45.8%
		% within Gender	3.4%	2.0%
		% of Total	1.4%	1.2%
Total	Count	387	558	
	Expected Count	387.0	558.0	
	% within PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS	41.0%	59.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Total
PARTICIPATED-MUSIC,PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	635
		Expected Count	635.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	67.2%
		% of Total	67.2%
	ATTENDED	Count	209
		Expected Count	209.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	22.1%
		% of Total	22.1%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Count	77
		Expected Count	77.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.1%
		% of Total	8.1%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	24
Expected Count		24.0	
% within PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS		100.0%	
% within Gender		2.5%	
% of Total		2.5%	
Total		Count	945
		Expected Count	945.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%
		% of Total	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.126 ^a	3	.106
Likelihood Ratio	6.160	3	.104
Linear-by-Linear Association	.006	1	.940
N of Valid Cases	945		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.83.

PARTICIPATED-NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender	
			Male	Female
PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	NOT INVOLVED	Count	339	506
		Expected Count	345.9	499.1
		% within PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	40.1%	59.9%
		% within Gender	87.8%	90.8%
		% of Total	35.9%	53.7%
	ATTENDED	Count	34	35
		Expected Count	28.2	40.8
		% within PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	49.3%	50.7%
		% within Gender	8.8%	6.3%
		% of Total	3.6%	3.7%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Count	11	9
		Expected Count	8.2	11.8
		% within PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	55.0%	45.0%
		% within Gender	2.8%	1.6%
		% of Total	1.2%	1.0%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	2	7
		Expected Count	3.7	5.3
		% within PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	22.2%	77.8%
		% within Gender	.5%	1.3%
		% of Total	.2%	.7%
Total	Count	386	557	
	Expected Count	386.0	557.0	
	% within PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	40.9%	59.1%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Total
PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	NOT INVOLVED	Count	845
		Expected Count	845.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	100.0%
		% within Gender	89.6%
		% of Total	89.6%
	ATTENDED	Count	69
		Expected Count	69.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.3%
		% of Total	7.3%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Count	20
		Expected Count	20.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.1%
		% of Total	2.1%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	9
Expected Count		9.0	
% within PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV		100.0%	
% within Gender		1.0%	
% of Total		1.0%	
Total		Count	943
		Expected Count	943.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%
		% of Total	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.158 ^a	3	.161
Likelihood Ratio	5.199	3	.158
Linear-by-Linear Association	.787	1	.375
N of Valid Cases	943		

a. 1 cells (12.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.68.

HAPPENED - ETHNIC HARASSMENT * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
HAPPENED - ETHNIC HARASSMENT	NO	Count	358	541	899
		Expected Count	367.8	531.2	899.0
		% within HAPPENED - ETHNIC HARASSMENT	39.8%	60.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	92.5%	96.8%	95.0%
		% of Total	37.8%	57.2%	95.0%
	YES	Count	29	18	47
		Expected Count	19.2	27.8	47.0
		% within HAPPENED - ETHNIC HARASSMENT	61.7%	38.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.5%	3.2%	5.0%
		% of Total	3.1%	1.9%	5.0%
Total	Count	387	559	946	
	Expected Count	387.0	559.0	946.0	
	% within HAPPENED - ETHNIC HARASSMENT	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.846 ^b	1	.003		
Continuity Correction ^a	7.964	1	.005		
Likelihood Ratio	8.664	1	.003		
Fisher's Exact Test				.004	.003
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.836	1	.003		
N of Valid Cases	946				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 19.23.

HAPPENED - THREATS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
HAPPENED - THREATS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	NO	Count	292	529	821
		Expected Count	335.4	485.6	821.0
		% within HAPPENED - THREATS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	35.6%	64.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	75.6%	94.6%	86.9%
		% of Total	30.9%	56.0%	86.9%
	YES	Count	94	30	124
		Expected Count	50.6	73.4	124.0
		% within HAPPENED - THREATS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	75.8%	24.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	24.4%	5.4%	13.1%
		% of Total	9.9%	3.2%	13.1%
Total	Count	386	559	945	
	Expected Count	386.0	559.0	945.0	
	% within HAPPENED - THREATS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	72.196 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	70.540	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	72.230	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	72.120	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	945				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 50.65.

HAPPENED - ACTUAL PHYSICAL VIOLENCE * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
HAPPENED - ACTUAL PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	NO	Count	353	548	901
		Expected Count	369.4	531.6	901.0
		% within HAPPENED - ACTUAL PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	39.2%	60.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	91.2%	98.4%	95.4%
		% of Total	37.4%	58.1%	95.4%
	YES	Count	34	9	43
		Expected Count	17.6	25.4	43.0
		% within HAPPENED - ACTUAL PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	79.1%	20.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.8%	1.6%	4.6%
		% of Total	3.6%	1.0%	4.6%
Total		Count	387	557	944
		Expected Count	387.0	557.0	944.0
		% within HAPPENED - ACTUAL PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	26.999 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	25.375	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	27.247	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	26.971	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	944				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 17.63.

HAPPENED -THEFT INVOLVING FORCE * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
HAPPENED -THEFT INVOLVING FORCE	NO	Count	376	547	923
		Expected Count	377.8	545.2	923.0
		% within HAPPENED -THEFT INVOLVING FORCE	40.7%	59.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.4%	98.2%	97.9%
		% of Total	39.9%	58.0%	97.9%
	YES	Count	10	10	20
		Expected Count	8.2	11.8	20.0
		% within HAPPENED -THEFT INVOLVING FORCE	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.6%	1.8%	2.1%
		% of Total	1.1%	1.1%	2.1%
Total		Count	386	557	943
		Expected Count	386.0	557.0	943.0
		% within HAPPENED -THEFT INVOLVING FORCE	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.695 ^b	1	.405		
Continuity Correction ^a	.364	1	.546		
Likelihood Ratio	.683	1	.408		
Fisher's Exact Test				.492	.271
Linear-by-Linear Association	.694	1	.405		
N of Valid Cases	943				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.19.

HAPPENED -FORCED SEXUAL TOUCHING * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
HAPPENED -FORCED SEXUAL TOUCHING	NO	Count	376	522	898
		Expected Count	368.5	529.5	898.0
		% within HAPPENED -FORCED SEXUAL TOUCHING	41.9%	58.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.2%	93.9%	95.2%
		% of Total	39.9%	55.4%	95.2%
	YES	Count	11	34	45
		Expected Count	18.5	26.5	45.0
		% within HAPPENED -FORCED SEXUAL TOUCHING	24.4%	75.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.8%	6.1%	4.8%
		% of Total	1.2%	3.6%	4.8%
Total		Count	387	556	943
		Expected Count	387.0	556.0	943.0
		% within HAPPENED -FORCED SEXUAL TOUCHING	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.378 ^b	1	.020		
Continuity Correction ^a	4.682	1	.030		
Likelihood Ratio	5.721	1	.017		
Fisher's Exact Test				.020	.013
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.372	1	.020		
N of Valid Cases	943				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 18.47.

HAPPENED - UNWANTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
HAPPENED - UNWANTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE	NO	Count	379	547	926
		Expected Count	378.2	547.8	926.0
		% within HAPPENED - UNWANTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	98.2%	97.9%	98.0%
		% of Total	40.1%	57.9%	98.0%
	YES	Count	7	12	19
		Expected Count	7.8	11.2	19.0
		% within HAPPENED - UNWANTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE	36.8%	63.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.8%	2.1%	2.0%
		% of Total	.7%	1.3%	2.0%
Total	Count	386	559	945	
	Expected Count	386.0	559.0	945.0	
	% within HAPPENED - UNWANTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.129 ^b	1	.720		
Continuity Correction ^a	.015	1	.902		
Likelihood Ratio	.130	1	.718		
Fisher's Exact Test				.816	.457
Linear-by-Linear Association	.129	1	.720		
N of Valid Cases	945				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.76.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
CONSUMED -ETHNIC HARASSMENT * Gender	404	42.3%	551	57.7%	955	100.0%
CONSUMED - THREATS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE * Gender	414	43.4%	541	56.6%	955	100.0%
CONSUMED-ACTUAL PHYSICAL VIOLENCE * Gender	400	41.9%	555	58.1%	955	100.0%
CONSUMED - THEFT INVOLVING FORCE * Gender	391	40.9%	564	59.1%	955	100.0%
CONSUMED - FORCED SEXUAL TOUCHING * Gender	393	41.2%	562	58.8%	955	100.0%
CONSUMED - UNWANTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE * Gender	390	40.8%	565	59.2%	955	100.0%
CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE * Gender	945	99.0%	10	1.0%	955	100.0%
CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY * Gender	943	98.7%	12	1.3%	955	100.0%
CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY * Gender	943	98.7%	12	1.3%	955	100.0%
CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE * Gender	945	99.0%	10	1.0%	955	100.0%
CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY * Gender	943	98.7%	12	1.3%	955	100.0%
CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE * Gender	945	99.0%	10	1.0%	955	100.0%
CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING LSD REGULARLY * Gender	942	98.6%	13	1.4%	955	100.0%
CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE * Gender	938	98.2%	17	1.8%	955	100.0%
CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY * Gender	938	98.2%	17	1.8%	955	100.0%
CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY * Gender	946	99.1%	9	.9%	955	100.0%

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
CLOSE FRIENDS - TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY * Gender	943	98.7%	12	1.3%	955	100.0%
CLOSE FRIENDS -HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING * Gender	941	98.5%	14	1.5%	955	100.0%
CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING * Gender	943	98.7%	12	1.3%	955	100.0%
EFFECTS -BREAKS THE ICE * Gender	944	98.8%	11	1.2%	955	100.0%
EFFECTS - ENHANCES SOCIAL ACTIVITY * Gender	944	98.8%	11	1.2%	955	100.0%
EFFECTS - EASY TO DEAL WITH STRESS * Gender	942	98.6%	13	1.4%	955	100.0%
EFFECTS - FACILITATES A CONNECTION WITH PEERS * Gender	943	98.7%	12	1.3%	955	100.0%
EFFECTS - GIVES PEOPLE SOMETHING TO TALK ABOUT * Gender	942	98.6%	13	1.4%	955	100.0%
EFFECTS - FACILITATES MALE BONDING * Gender	940	98.4%	15	1.6%	955	100.0%
EFFECTS - FACILITATES FEMALE BONDING * Gender	939	98.3%	16	1.7%	955	100.0%
EFFECTS - ALLOWS PEOPLE TO HAVE MORE FUN * Gender	943	98.7%	12	1.3%	955	100.0%
EFFECTS - GIVES PEOPLE SOMETHING TO DO * Gender	943	98.7%	12	1.3%	955	100.0%
EFFECTS - MAKES FOOD TASTE BETTER * Gender	944	98.8%	11	1.2%	955	100.0%
EFFECTS - MAKES WOMEN SEXIER * Gender	943	98.7%	12	1.3%	955	100.0%
EFFECTS - MAKES MEN SEXIER * Gender	943	98.7%	12	1.3%	955	100.0%
EFFECTS - MAKES ME SEXIER * Gender	941	98.5%	14	1.5%	955	100.0%
EFFECTS - FACILITATES SEXUAL OPPORTUNITIES * Gender	943	98.7%	12	1.3%	955	100.0%

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - MALE STUDENTS * Gender	944	98.8%	11	1.2%	955	100.0%
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FEMALE STUDENTS * Gender	945	99.0%	10	1.0%	955	100.0%
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FACULTY * Gender	939	98.3%	16	1.7%	955	100.0%
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - ALUMNI * Gender	941	98.5%	14	1.5%	955	100.0%
DRINKING IS CENTRAL -ATHLETES * Gender	937	98.1%	18	1.9%	955	100.0%
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FRATERNITIES * Gender	941	98.5%	14	1.5%	955	100.0%
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - SORORITIES * Gender	941	98.5%	14	1.5%	955	100.0%
CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT: PROMOTE ALCOHOL USE? * Gender	947	99.2%	8	.8%	955	100.0%
CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT: PROMOTE OTHER DRUG USE? * Gender	946	99.1%	9	.9%	955	100.0%
CAMPUS ENVIRONEMNT:DO YOU FEEL SAFE ON CAMPUS? * Gender	947	99.2%	8	.8%	955	100.0%
COMPARED TO OTHER CAMPUSES:ALCOHOL USE IS * Gender	945	99.0%	10	1.0%	955	100.0%
DO YOU LIVE IN ALCOHOL FREE RESIDENCE HALL * Gender	731	76.5%	224	23.5%	955	100.0%
WOULD YOU LIVE IN ALCOHOL FREE RESIDENCE HALL * Gender	582	60.9%	373	39.1%	955	100.0%
STUDENTS CARE : ALCOHOL -DRUG USE * Gender	938	98.2%	17	1.8%	955	100.0%
STUDENTS CARE : CAMPUS VANDALISM * Gender	940	98.4%	15	1.6%	955	100.0%
STUDENTS CARE : SEXUAL ASSAULT * Gender	938	98.2%	17	1.8%	955	100.0%
STUDENTS CARE : ASSUALTS-NON SEXUAL * Gender	937	98.1%	18	1.9%	955	100.0%
STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - GENDER * Gender	941	98.5%	14	1.5%	955	100.0%

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - SEXUAL ORIENTATION * Gender	938	98.2%	17	1.8%	955	100.0%
STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RACE OR ETHNICITY * Gender	937	98.1%	18	1.9%	955	100.0%
STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RELIGION * Gender	941	98.5%	14	1.5%	955	100.0%
TO WHAT EXTENT : ALCOHOL USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS * Gender	945	99.0%	10	1.0%	955	100.0%
TO WHAT EXTENT :DRUG USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS * Gender	937	98.1%	18	1.9%	955	100.0%
RISK -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE * Gender	940	98.4%	15	1.6%	955	100.0%
RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY * Gender	941	98.5%	14	1.5%	955	100.0%
RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY * Gender	940	98.4%	15	1.6%	955	100.0%
RISK -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE * Gender	939	98.3%	16	1.7%	955	100.0%
RISK -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY * Gender	939	98.3%	16	1.7%	955	100.0%
RISK -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE * Gender	939	98.3%	16	1.7%	955	100.0%
RISK -TAKING LSD REGULARLY * Gender	934	97.8%	21	2.2%	955	100.0%
RISK -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE * Gender	930	97.4%	25	2.6%	955	100.0%
RISK -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY * Gender	935	97.9%	20	2.1%	955	100.0%
RISK -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY * Gender	939	98.3%	16	1.7%	955	100.0%
RISK -TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY * Gender	938	98.2%	17	1.8%	955	100.0%
RISK - HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING * Gender	936	98.0%	19	2.0%	955	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
RISK -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING * Gender	939	98.3%	16	1.7%	955	100.0%
RISK -CONSUME ALCOHOL PRIOR TO SEX * Gender	939	98.3%	16	1.7%	955	100.0%
RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN UNPROTECTED SEX * Gender	936	98.0%	19	2.0%	955	100.0%
RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN MULTIPLE PARTNER, UNPROTECTED SEX * Gender	940	98.4%	15	1.6%	955	100.0%
DID YOU HAVE SEX WITHIN THE LAST YEAR * Gender	941	98.5%	14	1.5%	955	100.0%
DID YOU DRINK ALCOHOL? * Gender	705	73.8%	250	26.2%	955	100.0%
DID YOU USE OTHER DRUGS? * Gender	704	73.7%	251	26.3%	955	100.0%

CONSUMED -ETHNIC HARASSMENT * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CONSUMED -ETHNIC HARASSMENT	NO	Count	179	213	392
		Expected Count	184.4	207.6	392.0
		% within CONSUMED -ETHNIC HARASSMENT	45.7%	54.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	94.2%	99.5%	97.0%
		% of Total	44.3%	52.7%	97.0%
	YES	Count	11	1	12
		Expected Count	5.6	6.4	12.0
		% within CONSUMED -ETHNIC HARASSMENT	91.7%	8.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.8%	.5%	3.0%
		% of Total	2.7%	.2%	3.0%
Total		Count	190	214	404
		Expected Count	190.0	214.0	404.0
		% within CONSUMED -ETHNIC HARASSMENT	47.0%	53.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	47.0%	53.0%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.891 ^b	1	.002		
Continuity Correction ^a	8.131	1	.004		
Likelihood Ratio	11.278	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test				.002	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.867	1	.002		
N of Valid Cases	404				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.64.

CONSUMED - THREATS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CONSUMED - THREATS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	NO	Count	147	203	350
		Expected Count	172.5	177.5	350.0
		% within CONSUMED - THREATS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	42.0%	58.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	72.1%	96.7%	84.5%
		% of Total	35.5%	49.0%	84.5%
	YES	Count	57	7	64
		Expected Count	31.5	32.5	64.0
		% within CONSUMED - THREATS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	89.1%	10.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	27.9%	3.3%	15.5%
		% of Total	13.8%	1.7%	15.5%
Total	Count	204	210	414	
	Expected Count	204.0	210.0	414.0	
	% within CONSUMED - THREATS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	49.3%	50.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	49.3%	50.7%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	47.946 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	46.081	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	53.448	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	47.830	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	414				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 31.54.

CONSUMED-ACTUAL PHYSICAL VIOLENCE * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CONSUMED-ACTUAL PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	NO	Count	169	204	373
		Expected Count	179.0	194.0	373.0
		% within CONSUMED-ACTUAL PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	45.3%	54.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	88.0%	98.1%	93.3%
		% of Total	42.3%	51.0%	93.3%
	YES	Count	23	4	27
		Expected Count	13.0	14.0	27.0
		% within CONSUMED-ACTUAL PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	85.2%	14.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	12.0%	1.9%	6.8%
		% of Total	5.8%	1.0%	6.8%
Total	Count	192	208	400	
	Expected Count	192.0	208.0	400.0	
	% within CONSUMED-ACTUAL PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	48.0%	52.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	48.0%	52.0%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.040 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	14.482	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	17.427	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	16.000	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	400				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 12.96.

CONSUMED - THEFT INVOLVING FORCE * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CONSUMED - THEFT INVOLVING FORCE	NO	Count	180	205	385
		Expected Count	181.2	203.8	385.0
		% within CONSUMED - THEFT INVOLVING FORCE	46.8%	53.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.8%	99.0%	98.5%
		% of Total	46.0%	52.4%	98.5%
	YES	Count	4	2	6
		Expected Count	2.8	3.2	6.0
		% within CONSUMED - THEFT INVOLVING FORCE	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.2%	1.0%	1.5%
		% of Total	1.0%	.5%	1.5%
Total		Count	184	207	391
		Expected Count	184.0	207.0	391.0
		% within CONSUMED - THEFT INVOLVING FORCE	47.1%	52.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	47.1%	52.9%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.940 ^b	1	.332		
Continuity Correction ^a	.311	1	.577		
Likelihood Ratio	.950	1	.330		
Fisher's Exact Test				.426	.289
Linear-by-Linear Association	.938	1	.333		
N of Valid Cases	391				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.82.

CONSUMED - FORCED SEXUAL TOUCHING * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CONSUMED - FORCED SEXUAL TOUCHING	NO	Count	177	184	361
		Expected Count	169.0	192.0	361.0
		% within CONSUMED - FORCED SEXUAL TOUCHING	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	96.2%	88.0%	91.9%
		% of Total	45.0%	46.8%	91.9%
	YES	Count	7	25	32
		Expected Count	15.0	17.0	32.0
		% within CONSUMED - FORCED SEXUAL TOUCHING	21.9%	78.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.8%	12.0%	8.1%
		% of Total	1.8%	6.4%	8.1%
Total	Count	184	209	393	
	Expected Count	184.0	209.0	393.0	
	% within CONSUMED - FORCED SEXUAL TOUCHING	46.8%	53.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	46.8%	53.2%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.706 ^b	1	.003		
Continuity Correction ^a	7.649	1	.006		
Likelihood Ratio	9.285	1	.002		
Fisher's Exact Test				.003	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.683	1	.003		
N of Valid Cases	393				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 14.98.

CONSUMED - UNWANTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CONSUMED - UNWANTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE	NO	Count	178	197	375
		Expected Count	176.9	198.1	375.0
		% within CONSUMED - UNWANTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE	47.5%	52.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	96.7%	95.6%	96.2%
		% of Total	45.6%	50.5%	96.2%
	YES	Count	6	9	15
		Expected Count	7.1	7.9	15.0
		% within CONSUMED - UNWANTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.3%	4.4%	3.8%
		% of Total	1.5%	2.3%	3.8%
Total	Count	184	206	390	
	Expected Count	184.0	206.0	390.0	
	% within CONSUMED - UNWANTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE	47.2%	52.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	47.2%	52.8%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.323 ^b	1	.570		
Continuity Correction ^a	.093	1	.761		
Likelihood Ratio	.325	1	.568		
Fisher's Exact Test				.609	.383
Linear-by-Linear Association	.322	1	.571		
N of Valid Cases	390				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.08.

CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	240	279	519
		Expected Count	212.5	306.5	519.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE	46.2%	53.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	62.0%	50.0%	54.9%
		% of Total	25.4%	29.5%	54.9%
		DISAPPROVE	Count	76	126
	Expected Count	82.7	119.3	202.0	
	% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE	37.6%	62.4%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	19.6%	22.6%	21.4%	
	% of Total	8.0%	13.3%	21.4%	
	STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	71	153	224
	Expected Count	91.7	132.3	224.0	
	% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE	31.7%	68.3%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	18.3%	27.4%	23.7%	
	% of Total	7.5%	16.2%	23.7%	
Total	Count	387	558	945	
Expected Count	387.0	558.0	945.0		
% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%		
% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%		

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.869 ^a	2	.001
Likelihood Ratio	15.052	2	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	14.739	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	945		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 82.72.

CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	169	186	355
		Expected Count	145.7	209.3	355.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY	47.6%	52.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	43.7%	33.5%	37.6%
		% of Total	17.9%	19.7%	37.6%
	DISAPPROVE	Count	111	139	250
		Expected Count	102.6	147.4	250.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY	44.4%	55.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	28.7%	25.0%	26.5%
		% of Total	11.8%	14.7%	26.5%
STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	107	231	338	
	Expected Count	138.7	199.3	338.0	
	% within CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY	31.7%	68.3%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	27.6%	41.5%	35.8%	
	% of Total	11.3%	24.5%	35.8%	
Total		Count	387	556	943
		Expected Count	387.0	556.0	943.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.789 ^a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	20.076	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	18.044	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	943		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 102.60.

CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	82	74	156
		Expected Count	63.7	92.3	156.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY	52.6%	47.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	21.3%	13.3%	16.5%
		% of Total	8.7%	7.8%	16.5%
	DISAPPROVE	Count	118	139	257
		Expected Count	104.9	152.1	257.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY	45.9%	54.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	30.6%	24.9%	27.3%
		% of Total	12.5%	14.7%	27.3%
STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	185	345	530	
	Expected Count	216.4	313.6	530.0	
	% within CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY	34.9%	65.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	48.1%	61.8%	56.2%	
	% of Total	19.6%	36.6%	56.2%	
Total		Count	385	558	943
		Expected Count	385.0	558.0	943.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.341 ^a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	19.273	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	18.991	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	943		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 63.69.

CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	40	32	72
		Expected Count	29.5	42.5	72.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE	55.6%	44.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.3%	5.7%	7.6%
		% of Total	4.2%	3.4%	7.6%
	DISAPPROVE	Count	85	102	187
		Expected Count	76.6	110.4	187.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE	45.5%	54.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	22.0%	18.3%	19.8%
		% of Total	9.0%	10.8%	19.8%
STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	262	424	686	
	Expected Count	280.9	405.1	686.0	
	% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE	38.2%	61.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	67.7%	76.0%	72.6%	
	% of Total	27.7%	44.9%	72.6%	
Total	Count	387	558	945	
	Expected Count	387.0	558.0	945.0	
	% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.078 ^a	2	.006
Likelihood Ratio	9.945	2	.007
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.977	1	.002
N of Valid Cases	945		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 29.49.

CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	12	5	17
		Expected Count	7.0	10.0	17.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY	70.6%	29.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.1%	.9%	1.8%
		% of Total	1.3%	.5%	1.8%
	DISAPPROVE	Count	40	38	78
		Expected Count	31.9	46.1	78.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY	51.3%	48.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.4%	6.8%	8.3%
		% of Total	4.2%	4.0%	8.3%
STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	334	514	848	
	Expected Count	347.1	500.9	848.0	
	% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY	39.4%	60.6%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	86.5%	92.3%	89.9%	
	% of Total	35.4%	54.5%	89.9%	
Total		Count	386	557	943
		Expected Count	386.0	557.0	943.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.477 ^a	2	.005
Likelihood Ratio	10.340	2	.006
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.262	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	943		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.96.

CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	42	37	79
		Expected Count	32.4	46.6	79.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE	53.2%	46.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.9%	6.6%	8.4%
		% of Total	4.4%	3.9%	8.4%
	DISAPPROVE	Count	73	83	156
		Expected Count	63.9	92.1	156.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE	46.8%	53.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	18.9%	14.9%	16.5%
		% of Total	7.7%	8.8%	16.5%
STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	272	438	710	
	Expected Count	290.8	419.2	710.0	
	% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE	38.3%	61.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	70.3%	78.5%	75.1%	
	% of Total	28.8%	46.3%	75.1%	
Total		Count	387	558	945
		Expected Count	387.0	558.0	945.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.125 ^a	2	.010
Likelihood Ratio	9.017	2	.011
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.068	1	.003
N of Valid Cases	945		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 32.35.

CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING LSD REGULARLY * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING LSD REGULARLY	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	15	10	25
		Expected Count	10.2	14.8	25.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING LSD REGULARLY	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.9%	1.8%	2.7%
		% of Total	1.6%	1.1%	2.7%
	DISAPPROVE	Count	43	43	86
		Expected Count	35.1	50.9	86.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING LSD REGULARLY	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.2%	7.7%	9.1%
		% of Total	4.6%	4.6%	9.1%
	STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	326	505	831
		Expected Count	338.8	492.2	831.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING LSD REGULARLY	39.2%	60.8%	100.0%
% within Gender		84.9%	90.5%	88.2%	
% of Total		34.6%	53.6%	88.2%	
Total		Count	384	558	942
		Expected Count	384.0	558.0	942.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING LSD REGULARLY	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.679 ^a	2	.022
Likelihood Ratio	7.542	2	.023
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.668	1	.006
N of Valid Cases	942		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 10.19.

CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	34	43	77
		Expected Count	31.4	45.6	77.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE	44.2%	55.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.9%	7.7%	8.2%
		% of Total	3.6%	4.6%	8.2%
	DISAPPROVE	Count	72	88	160
		Expected Count	65.3	94.7	160.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE	45.0%	55.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	18.8%	15.9%	17.1%
		% of Total	7.7%	9.4%	17.1%
STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	277	424	701	
	Expected Count	286.2	414.8	701.0	
	% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE	39.5%	60.5%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	72.3%	76.4%	74.7%	
	% of Total	29.5%	45.2%	74.7%	
Total		Count	383	555	938
		Expected Count	383.0	555.0	938.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.006 ^a	2	.367
Likelihood Ratio	1.994	2	.369
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.584	1	.208
N of Valid Cases	938		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 31.44.

CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	11	12	23
		Expected Count	9.3	13.7	23.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY	47.8%	52.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.9%	2.2%	2.5%
		% of Total	1.2%	1.3%	2.5%
		DISAPPROVE	Count	43	58
	Expected Count	41.0	60.0	101.0	
	% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY	42.6%	57.4%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	11.3%	10.4%	10.8%	
	% of Total	4.6%	6.2%	10.8%	
	STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	327	487	814
	Expected Count	330.6	483.4	814.0	
% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY	40.2%	59.8%	100.0%		
% within Gender	85.8%	87.4%	86.8%		
% of Total	34.9%	51.9%	86.8%		
Total	Count	381	557	938	
Expected Count	381.0	557.0	938.0		
% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%		
% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
% of Total	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%		

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.723 ^a	2	.697
Likelihood Ratio	.715	2	.699
Linear-by-Linear Association	.682	1	.409
N of Valid Cases	938		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.34.

CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	182	183	365
		Expected Count	149.3	215.7	365.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	49.9%	50.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	47.0%	32.7%	38.6%
		% of Total	19.2%	19.3%	38.6%
	DISAPPROVE	Count	143	237	380
		Expected Count	155.5	224.5	380.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	37.6%	62.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	37.0%	42.4%	40.2%
		% of Total	15.1%	25.1%	40.2%
STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	62	139	201	
	Expected Count	82.2	118.8	201.0	
	% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	30.8%	69.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	16.0%	24.9%	21.2%	
	% of Total	6.6%	14.7%	21.2%	
Total		Count	387	559	946
		Expected Count	387.0	559.0	946.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	22.215 ^a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	22.316	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	21.519	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	946		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 82.23.

**CLOSE FRIENDS - TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY *
Gender**

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CLOSE FRIENDS - TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	54	34	88
		Expected Count	36.0	52.0	88.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS - TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	61.4%	38.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	14.0%	6.1%	9.3%
		% of Total	5.7%	3.6%	9.3%
	DISAPPROVE	Count	148	158	306
		Expected Count	125.3	180.7	306.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS - TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	48.4%	51.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	38.3%	28.4%	32.4%
		% of Total	15.7%	16.8%	32.4%
	STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	184	365	549
		Expected Count	224.7	324.3	549.0
% within CLOSE FRIENDS - TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY		33.5%	66.5%	100.0%	
% within Gender		47.7%	65.5%	58.2%	
	% of Total	19.5%	38.7%	58.2%	
Total	Count	386	557	943	
	Expected Count	386.0	557.0	943.0	
	% within CLOSE FRIENDS - TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	34.678 ^a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	34.537	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	34.587	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	943		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 36.02.

CLOSE FRIENDS -HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CLOSE FRIENDS -HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	245	257	502
		Expected Count	205.4	296.6	502.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING	48.8%	51.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	63.6%	46.2%	53.3%
		% of Total	26.0%	27.3%	53.3%
	DISAPPROVE	Count	61	131	192
		Expected Count	78.6	113.4	192.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING	31.8%	68.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	15.8%	23.6%	20.4%
		% of Total	6.5%	13.9%	20.4%
	STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	79	168	247
		Expected Count	101.1	145.9	247.0
% within CLOSE FRIENDS -HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING		32.0%	68.0%	100.0%	
% within Gender		20.5%	30.2%	26.2%	
	% of Total	8.4%	17.9%	26.2%	
Total	Count	385	556	941	
	Expected Count	385.0	556.0	941.0	
	% within CLOSE FRIENDS -HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	27.717 ^a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	27.959	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	23.114	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	941		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 78.55.

CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	43	14	57
		Expected Count	23.3	33.7	57.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING	75.4%	24.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.1%	2.5%	6.0%
		% of Total	4.6%	1.5%	6.0%
	DISAPPROVE	Count	119	118	237
		Expected Count	97.0	140.0	237.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING	50.2%	49.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	30.8%	21.2%	25.1%
		% of Total	12.6%	12.5%	25.1%
	STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	224	425	649
		Expected Count	265.7	383.3	649.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING	34.5%	65.5%	100.0%
% within Gender		58.0%	76.3%	68.8%	
% of Total		23.8%	45.1%	68.8%	
Total	Count	386	557	943	
	Expected Count	386.0	557.0	943.0	
	% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	47.565 ^a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	47.578	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	46.471	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	943		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 23.33.

EFFECTS -BREAKS THE ICE * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS -BREAKS THE ICE	NO	Count	70	112	182
		Expected Count	74.2	107.8	182.0
		% within EFFECTS -BREAKS THE ICE	38.5%	61.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	18.2%	20.0%	19.3%
		% of Total	7.4%	11.9%	19.3%
	YES	Count	315	447	762
		Expected Count	310.8	451.2	762.0
		% within EFFECTS -BREAKS THE ICE	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	81.8%	80.0%	80.7%
		% of Total	33.4%	47.4%	80.7%
Total	Count	385	559	944	
	Expected Count	385.0	559.0	944.0	
	% within EFFECTS -BREAKS THE ICE	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.504 ^b	1	.478		
Continuity Correction ^a	.391	1	.532		
Likelihood Ratio	.506	1	.477		
Fisher's Exact Test				.503	.266
Linear-by-Linear Association	.503	1	.478		
N of Valid Cases	944				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 74.23.

EFFECTS - ENHANCES SOCIAL ACTIVITY * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - ENHANCES SOCIAL ACTIVITY	NO	Count	72	124	196
		Expected Count	79.9	116.1	196.0
		% within EFFECTS - ENHANCES SOCIAL ACTIVITY	36.7%	63.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	18.7%	22.2%	20.8%
		% of Total	7.6%	13.1%	20.8%
		YES	Count	313	435
	Expected Count	305.1	442.9	748.0	
	% within EFFECTS - ENHANCES SOCIAL ACTIVITY	41.8%	58.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	81.3%	77.8%	79.2%	
	% of Total	33.2%	46.1%	79.2%	
	Total	Count	385	559	944
	Expected Count	385.0	559.0	944.0	
% within EFFECTS - ENHANCES SOCIAL ACTIVITY	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%		
% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
% of Total	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%		

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.679 ^b	1	.195		
Continuity Correction ^a	1.474	1	.225		
Likelihood Ratio	1.694	1	.193		
Fisher's Exact Test				.221	.112
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.678	1	.195		
N of Valid Cases	944				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 79.94.

EFFECTS - EASY TO DEAL WITH STRESS * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - EASY TO DEAL WITH STRESS	NO	Count	210	324	534
		Expected Count	217.7	316.3	534.0
		% within EFFECTS - EASY TO DEAL WITH STRESS	39.3%	60.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	54.7%	58.1%	56.7%
		% of Total	22.3%	34.4%	56.7%
	YES	Count	174	234	408
		Expected Count	166.3	241.7	408.0
		% within EFFECTS - EASY TO DEAL WITH STRESS	42.6%	57.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	45.3%	41.9%	43.3%
		% of Total	18.5%	24.8%	43.3%
Total		Count	384	558	942
		Expected Count	384.0	558.0	942.0
		% within EFFECTS - EASY TO DEAL WITH STRESS	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.057 ^b	1	.304		
Continuity Correction ^a	.923	1	.337		
Likelihood Ratio	1.056	1	.304		
Fisher's Exact Test				.316	.168
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.055	1	.304		
N of Valid Cases	942				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 166.32.

EFFECTS - FACILITATES A CONNECTION WITH PEERS * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - FACILITATES A CONNECTION WITH PEERS	NO	Count	103	179	282
		Expected Count	115.1	166.9	282.0
		% within EFFECTS - FACILITATES A CONNECTION WITH PEERS	36.5%	63.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	26.8%	32.1%	29.9%
		% of Total	10.9%	19.0%	29.9%
	YES	Count	282	379	661
		Expected Count	269.9	391.1	661.0
		% within EFFECTS - FACILITATES A CONNECTION WITH PEERS	42.7%	57.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	73.2%	67.9%	70.1%
		% of Total	29.9%	40.2%	70.1%
Total	Count	385	558	943	
	Expected Count	385.0	558.0	943.0	
	% within EFFECTS - FACILITATES A CONNECTION WITH PEERS	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.082 ^b	1	.079		
Continuity Correction ^a	2.834	1	.092		
Likelihood Ratio	3.105	1	.078		
Fisher's Exact Test				.083	.046
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.079	1	.079		
N of Valid Cases	943				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 115.13.

EFFECTS - GIVES PEOPLE SOMETHING TO TALK ABOUT * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - GIVES PEOPLE SOMETHING TO TALK ABOUT	NO	Count	87	148	235
		Expected Count	96.0	139.0	235.0
		% within EFFECTS - GIVES PEOPLE SOMETHING TO TALK ABOUT	37.0%	63.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	22.6%	26.6%	24.9%
		% of Total	9.2%	15.7%	24.9%
	YES	Count	298	409	707
		Expected Count	289.0	418.0	707.0
		% within EFFECTS - GIVES PEOPLE SOMETHING TO TALK ABOUT	42.1%	57.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	77.4%	73.4%	75.1%
		% of Total	31.6%	43.4%	75.1%
Total	Count	385	557	942	
	Expected Count	385.0	557.0	942.0	
	% within EFFECTS - GIVES PEOPLE SOMETHING TO TALK ABOUT	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.920 ^b	1	.166		
Continuity Correction ^a	1.713	1	.191		
Likelihood Ratio	1.934	1	.164		
Fisher's Exact Test				.169	.095
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.918	1	.166		
N of Valid Cases	942				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 96.05.

EFFECTS - FACILITATES MALE BONDING * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - FACILITATES MALE BONDING	NO	Count	107	208	315
		Expected Count	128.7	186.3	315.0
		% within EFFECTS - FACILITATES MALE BONDING	34.0%	66.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	27.9%	37.4%	33.5%
		% of Total	11.4%	22.1%	33.5%
	YES	Count	277	348	625
		Expected Count	255.3	369.7	625.0
		% within EFFECTS - FACILITATES MALE BONDING	44.3%	55.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	72.1%	62.6%	66.5%
		% of Total	29.5%	37.0%	66.5%
Total	Count	384	556	940	
	Expected Count	384.0	556.0	940.0	
	% within EFFECTS - FACILITATES MALE BONDING	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.288 ^b	1	.002		
Continuity Correction ^a	8.865	1	.003		
Likelihood Ratio	9.396	1	.002		
Fisher's Exact Test				.002	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.278	1	.002		
N of Valid Cases	940				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 128.68.

EFFECTS - FACILITATES FEMALE BONDING * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - FACILITATES FEMALE BONDING	NO	Count	161	256	417
		Expected Count	170.5	246.5	417.0
		% within EFFECTS - FACILITATES FEMALE BONDING	38.6%	61.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	41.9%	46.1%	44.4%
		% of Total	17.1%	27.3%	44.4%
	YES	Count	223	299	522
		Expected Count	213.5	308.5	522.0
		% within EFFECTS - FACILITATES FEMALE BONDING	42.7%	57.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	58.1%	53.9%	55.6%
		% of Total	23.7%	31.8%	55.6%
Total	Count	384	555	939	
	Expected Count	384.0	555.0	939.0	
	% within EFFECTS - FACILITATES FEMALE BONDING	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.621 ^b	1	.203		
Continuity Correction ^a	1.455	1	.228		
Likelihood Ratio	1.623	1	.203		
Fisher's Exact Test				.205	.114
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.619	1	.203		
N of Valid Cases	939				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 170.53.

EFFECTS - ALLOWS PEOPLE TO HAVE MORE FUN * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - ALLOWS PEOPLE TO HAVE MORE FUN	NO	Count	118	194	312
		Expected Count	127.7	184.3	312.0
		% within EFFECTS - ALLOWS PEOPLE TO HAVE MORE FUN	37.8%	62.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	30.6%	34.8%	33.1%
		% of Total	12.5%	20.6%	33.1%
	YES	Count	268	363	631
		Expected Count	258.3	372.7	631.0
		% within EFFECTS - ALLOWS PEOPLE TO HAVE MORE FUN	42.5%	57.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	69.4%	65.2%	66.9%
		% of Total	28.4%	38.5%	66.9%
Total	Count	386	557	943	
	Expected Count	386.0	557.0	943.0	
	% within EFFECTS - ALLOWS PEOPLE TO HAVE MORE FUN	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.868 ^b	1	.172		
Continuity Correction ^a	1.681	1	.195		
Likelihood Ratio	1.877	1	.171		
Fisher's Exact Test				.182	.097
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.866	1	.172		
N of Valid Cases	943				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 127.71.

EFFECTS - GIVES PEOPLE SOMETHING TO DO * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - GIVES PEOPLE SOMETHING TO DO	NO	Count	60	94	154
		Expected Count	62.9	91.1	154.0
		% within EFFECTS - GIVES PEOPLE SOMETHING TO DO	39.0%	61.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	15.6%	16.8%	16.3%
		% of Total	6.4%	10.0%	16.3%
	YES	Count	325	464	789
		Expected Count	322.1	466.9	789.0
		% within EFFECTS - GIVES PEOPLE SOMETHING TO DO	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	84.4%	83.2%	83.7%
		% of Total	34.5%	49.2%	83.7%
Total	Count	385	558	943	
	Expected Count	385.0	558.0	943.0	
	% within EFFECTS - GIVES PEOPLE SOMETHING TO DO	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.265 ^b	1	.606		
Continuity Correction ^a	.181	1	.670		
Likelihood Ratio	.266	1	.606		
Fisher's Exact Test				.654	.336
Linear-by-Linear Association	.265	1	.607		
N of Valid Cases	943				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 62.87.

EFFECTS - MAKES FOOD TASTE BETTER * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - MAKES FOOD TASTE BETTER	NO	Count	289	442	731
		Expected Count	298.1	432.9	731.0
		% within EFFECTS - MAKES FOOD TASTE BETTER	39.5%	60.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	75.1%	79.1%	77.4%
		% of Total	30.6%	46.8%	77.4%
	YES	Count	96	117	213
		Expected Count	86.9	126.1	213.0
		% within EFFECTS - MAKES FOOD TASTE BETTER	45.1%	54.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	24.9%	20.9%	22.6%
		% of Total	10.2%	12.4%	22.6%
Total		Count	385	559	944
		Expected Count	385.0	559.0	944.0
		% within EFFECTS - MAKES FOOD TASTE BETTER	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.093 ^b	1	.148		
Continuity Correction ^a	1.870	1	.171		
Likelihood Ratio	2.079	1	.149		
Fisher's Exact Test				.154	.086
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.091	1	.148		
N of Valid Cases	944				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 86.87.

EFFECTS - MAKES WOMEN SEXIER * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - MAKES WOMEN SEXIER	NO	Count	204	469	673
		Expected Count	274.8	398.2	673.0
		% within EFFECTS - MAKES WOMEN SEXIER	30.3%	69.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	53.0%	84.1%	71.4%
		% of Total	21.6%	49.7%	71.4%
	YES	Count	181	89	270
		Expected Count	110.2	159.8	270.0
		% within EFFECTS - MAKES WOMEN SEXIER	67.0%	33.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	47.0%	15.9%	28.6%
		% of Total	19.2%	9.4%	28.6%
Total	Count	385	558	943	
	Expected Count	385.0	558.0	943.0	
	% within EFFECTS - MAKES WOMEN SEXIER	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	107.577 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	106.062	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	107.292	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	107.463	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	943				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 110.23.

EFFECTS - MAKES MEN SEXIER * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - MAKES MEN SEXIER	NO	Count	313	437	750
		Expected Count	306.2	443.8	750.0
		% within EFFECTS - MAKES MEN SEXIER	41.7%	58.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	81.3%	78.3%	79.5%
		% of Total	33.2%	46.3%	79.5%
	YES	Count	72	121	193
		Expected Count	78.8	114.2	193.0
		% within EFFECTS - MAKES MEN SEXIER	37.3%	62.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	18.7%	21.7%	20.5%
		% of Total	7.6%	12.8%	20.5%
Total	Count	385	558	943	
	Expected Count	385.0	558.0	943.0	
	% within EFFECTS - MAKES MEN SEXIER	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.246 ^b	1	.264		
Continuity Correction ^a	1.069	1	.301		
Likelihood Ratio	1.255	1	.263		
Fisher's Exact Test				.286	.151
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.244	1	.265		
N of Valid Cases	943				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 78.80.

EFFECTS - MAKES ME SEXIER * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - MAKES ME SEXIER	NO	Count	284	472	756
		Expected Count	309.3	446.7	756.0
		% within EFFECTS - MAKES ME SEXIER	37.6%	62.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	73.8%	84.9%	80.3%
		% of Total	30.2%	50.2%	80.3%
	YES	Count	101	84	185
		Expected Count	75.7	109.3	185.0
		% within EFFECTS - MAKES ME SEXIER	54.6%	45.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	26.2%	15.1%	19.7%
		% of Total	10.7%	8.9%	19.7%
Total	Count	385	556	941	
	Expected Count	385.0	556.0	941.0	
	% within EFFECTS - MAKES ME SEXIER	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	17.828 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	17.130	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	17.562	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	17.809	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	941				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 75.69.

EFFECTS - FACILITATES SEXUAL OPPORTUNITIES * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - FACILITATES SEXUAL OPPORTUNITIES	NO	Count	163	304	467
		Expected Count	191.2	275.8	467.0
		% within EFFECTS - FACILITATES SEXUAL OPPORTUNITIES	34.9%	65.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	42.2%	54.6%	49.5%
		% of Total	17.3%	32.2%	49.5%
	YES	Count	223	253	476
		Expected Count	194.8	281.2	476.0
		% within EFFECTS - FACILITATES SEXUAL OPPORTUNITIES	46.8%	53.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	57.8%	45.4%	50.5%
		% of Total	23.6%	26.8%	50.5%
Total	Count	386	557	943	
	Expected Count	386.0	557.0	943.0	
	% within EFFECTS - FACILITATES SEXUAL OPPORTUNITIES	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.911 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	13.422	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	13.955	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	13.897	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	943				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 191.16.

DRINKING IS CENTRAL - MALE STUDENTS * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - MALE STUDENTS	NO	Count	15	28	43
		Expected Count	17.6	25.4	43.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - MALE STUDENTS	34.9%	65.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.9%	5.0%	4.6%
		% of Total	1.6%	3.0%	4.6%
	YES	Count	372	529	901
		Expected Count	369.4	531.6	901.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - MALE STUDENTS	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	96.1%	95.0%	95.4%
		% of Total	39.4%	56.0%	95.4%
Total		Count	387	557	944
		Expected Count	387.0	557.0	944.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - MALE STUDENTS	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.696 ^b	1	.404		
Continuity Correction ^a	.456	1	.499		
Likelihood Ratio	.708	1	.400		
Fisher's Exact Test				.432	.251
Linear-by-Linear Association	.695	1	.404		
N of Valid Cases	944				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 17.63.

DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FEMALE STUDENTS * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FEMALE STUDENTS	NO	Count	43	58	101
		Expected Count	41.5	59.5	101.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FEMALE STUDENTS	42.6%	57.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.1%	10.4%	10.7%
		% of Total	4.6%	6.1%	10.7%
	YES	Count	345	499	844
		Expected Count	346.5	497.5	844.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FEMALE STUDENTS	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	88.9%	89.6%	89.3%
		% of Total	36.5%	52.8%	89.3%
Total		Count	388	557	945
		Expected Count	388.0	557.0	945.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FEMALE STUDENTS	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.107 ^b	1	.743		
Continuity Correction ^a	.049	1	.825		
Likelihood Ratio	.107	1	.744		
Fisher's Exact Test				.749	.411
Linear-by-Linear Association	.107	1	.743		
N of Valid Cases	945				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 41.47.

DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FACULTY * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FACULTY	NO	Count	330	476	806
		Expected Count	332.2	473.8	806.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FACULTY	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	85.3%	86.2%	85.8%
		% of Total	35.1%	50.7%	85.8%
	YES	Count	57	76	133
		Expected Count	54.8	78.2	133.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FACULTY	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	14.7%	13.8%	14.2%
		% of Total	6.1%	8.1%	14.2%
Total	Count	387	552	939	
	Expected Count	387.0	552.0	939.0	
	% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FACULTY	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.173 ^b	1	.678		
Continuity Correction ^a	.103	1	.749		
Likelihood Ratio	.172	1	.678		
Fisher's Exact Test				.704	.373
Linear-by-Linear Association	.172	1	.678		
N of Valid Cases	939				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 54.81.

DRINKING IS CENTRAL - ALUMNI * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - ALUMNI	NO	Count	255	360	615
		Expected Count	252.9	362.1	615.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - ALUMNI	41.5%	58.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	65.9%	65.0%	65.4%
		% of Total	27.1%	38.3%	65.4%
	YES	Count	132	194	326
		Expected Count	134.1	191.9	326.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - ALUMNI	40.5%	59.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	34.1%	35.0%	34.6%
		% of Total	14.0%	20.6%	34.6%
Total	Count	387	554	941	
	Expected Count	387.0	554.0	941.0	
	% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - ALUMNI	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.083 ^b	1	.773		
Continuity Correction ^a	.048	1	.827		
Likelihood Ratio	.083	1	.773		
Fisher's Exact Test				.781	.414
Linear-by-Linear Association	.083	1	.773		
N of Valid Cases	941				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 134.07.

DRINKING IS CENTRAL -ATHLETES * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
DRINKING IS CENTRAL -ATHLETES	NO	Count	150	196	346
		Expected Count	142.9	203.1	346.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL -ATHLETES	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	38.8%	35.6%	36.9%
		% of Total	16.0%	20.9%	36.9%
	YES	Count	237	354	591
		Expected Count	244.1	346.9	591.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL -ATHLETES	40.1%	59.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	61.2%	64.4%	63.1%
		% of Total	25.3%	37.8%	63.1%
Total	Count	387	550	937	
	Expected Count	387.0	550.0	937.0	
	% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL -ATHLETES	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.951 ^b	1	.329		
Continuity Correction ^a	.822	1	.365		
Likelihood Ratio	.950	1	.330		
Fisher's Exact Test				.337	.182
Linear-by-Linear Association	.950	1	.330		
N of Valid Cases	937				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 142.91.

DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FRATERNITIES * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FRATERNITIES	NO	Count	16	8	24
		Expected Count	9.9	14.1	24.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FRATERNITIES	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.1%	1.4%	2.6%
		% of Total	1.7%	.9%	2.6%
	YES	Count	371	546	917
		Expected Count	377.1	539.9	917.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FRATERNITIES	40.5%	59.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	95.9%	98.6%	97.4%
		% of Total	39.4%	58.0%	97.4%
Total		Count	387	554	941
		Expected Count	387.0	554.0	941.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FRATERNITIES	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.635 ^b	1	.010		
Continuity Correction ^a	5.597	1	.018		
Likelihood Ratio	6.526	1	.011		
Fisher's Exact Test				.012	.009
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.628	1	.010		
N of Valid Cases	941				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.87.

DRINKING IS CENTRAL - SORORITIES * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - SORORITIES	NO	Count	36	39	75
		Expected Count	30.7	44.3	75.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - SORORITIES	48.0%	52.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.4%	7.0%	8.0%
		% of Total	3.8%	4.1%	8.0%
	YES	Count	349	517	866
		Expected Count	354.3	511.7	866.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - SORORITIES	40.3%	59.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	90.6%	93.0%	92.0%
		% of Total	37.1%	54.9%	92.0%
Total	Count	385	556	941	
	Expected Count	385.0	556.0	941.0	
	% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - SORORITIES	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.693 ^b	1	.193		
Continuity Correction ^a	1.389	1	.239		
Likelihood Ratio	1.671	1	.196		
Fisher's Exact Test				.221	.120
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.691	1	.193		
N of Valid Cases	941				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 30.69.

CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT:PROMOTE ALCOHOL USE? * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT: PROMOTE ALCOHOL USE?	NO	Count	112	210	322
		Expected Count	131.9	190.1	322.0
		% within CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT: PROMOTE ALCOHOL USE?	34.8%	65.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	28.9%	37.6%	34.0%
		% of Total	11.8%	22.2%	34.0%
	YES	Count	276	349	625
		Expected Count	256.1	368.9	625.0
		% within CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT: PROMOTE ALCOHOL USE?	44.2%	55.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	71.1%	62.4%	66.0%
		% of Total	29.1%	36.9%	66.0%
Total	Count	388	559	947	
	Expected Count	388.0	559.0	947.0	
	% within CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT: PROMOTE ALCOHOL USE?	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.727 ^b	1	.005		
Continuity Correction ^a	7.344	1	.007		
Likelihood Ratio	7.803	1	.005		
Fisher's Exact Test				.006	.003
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.719	1	.005		
N of Valid Cases	947				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 131.93.

CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT:PROMOTE OTHER DRUG USE? * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT: PROMOTE OTHER DRUG USE?	NO	Count	291	470	761
		Expected Count	312.1	448.9	761.0
		% within CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT: PROMOTE OTHER DRUG USE?	38.2%	61.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	75.0%	84.2%	80.4%
		% of Total	30.8%	49.7%	80.4%
	YES	Count	97	88	185
		Expected Count	75.9	109.1	185.0
		% within CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT: PROMOTE OTHER DRUG USE?	52.4%	47.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	25.0%	15.8%	19.6%
		% of Total	10.3%	9.3%	19.6%
Total	Count	388	558	946	
	Expected Count	388.0	558.0	946.0	
	% within CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT: PROMOTE OTHER DRUG USE?	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.392 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	11.812	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	12.223	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.001	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.379	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	946				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 75.88.

CAMPUS ENVIRONEMNT:DO YOU FEEL SAFE ON CAMPUS? * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CAMPUS ENVIRONEMNT:DO YOU FEEL SAFE ON CAMPUS?	NO	Count	16	43	59
		Expected Count	24.2	34.8	59.0
		% within CAMPUS ENVIRONEMNT:DO YOU FEEL SAFE ON CAMPUS?	27.1%	72.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.1%	7.7%	6.2%
		% of Total	1.7%	4.5%	6.2%
	YES	Count	372	516	888
		Expected Count	363.8	524.2	888.0
		% within CAMPUS ENVIRONEMNT:DO YOU FEEL SAFE ON CAMPUS?	41.9%	58.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	95.9%	92.3%	93.8%
		% of Total	39.3%	54.5%	93.8%
Total	Count	388	559	947	
	Expected Count	388.0	559.0	947.0	
	% within CAMPUS ENVIRONEMNT:DO YOU FEEL SAFE ON CAMPUS?	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.993 ^b	1	.025		
Continuity Correction ^a	4.400	1	.036		
Likelihood Ratio	5.235	1	.022		
Fisher's Exact Test				.028	.016
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.987	1	.026		
N of Valid Cases	947				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 24.17.

COMPARED TO OTHER CAMPUSES:ALCOHOL USE IS * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
COMPARED TO OTHER CAMPUSES:ALCOHOL USE IS	GREATER THAN OTHER CAMPUSES	Count	64	71	135
		Expected Count	55.4	79.6	135.0
		% within COMPARED TO OTHER CAMPUSES:ALCOHOL USE IS	47.4%	52.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	16.5%	12.7%	14.3%
		% of Total	6.8%	7.5%	14.3%
		LESS THAN OTHER CAMPUSES	Count	57	73
	Expected Count	53.4	76.6	130.0	
	% within COMPARED TO OTHER CAMPUSES:ALCOHOL USE IS	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	14.7%	13.1%	13.8%	
	% of Total	6.0%	7.7%	13.8%	
	ABOUT THE SAME AS OTHER CAMPUSES	Count	267	413	680
	Expected Count	279.2	400.8	680.0	
% within COMPARED TO OTHER CAMPUSES:ALCOHOL USE IS	39.3%	60.7%	100.0%		
% within Gender	68.8%	74.1%	72.0%		
% of Total	28.3%	43.7%	72.0%		
Total	Count	388	557	945	
Expected Count	388.0	557.0	945.0		
% within COMPARED TO OTHER CAMPUSES:ALCOHOL USE IS	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%		
% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%		

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.570 ^a	2	.168
Likelihood Ratio	3.543	2	.170
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.556	1	.059
N of Valid Cases	945		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 53.38.

DO YOU LIVE IN ALCOHOL FREE RESIDENCE HALL * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
DO YOU LIVE IN ALCOHOL FREE RESIDENCE HALL	NO	Count	171	227	398
		Expected Count	168.8	229.2	398.0
		% within DO YOU LIVE IN ALCOHOL FREE RESIDENCE HALL	43.0%	57.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	55.2%	53.9%	54.4%
		% of Total	23.4%	31.1%	54.4%
	YES	Count	139	194	333
		Expected Count	141.2	191.8	333.0
		% within DO YOU LIVE IN ALCOHOL FREE RESIDENCE HALL	41.7%	58.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	44.8%	46.1%	45.6%
		% of Total	19.0%	26.5%	45.6%
Total		Count	310	421	731
		Expected Count	310.0	421.0	731.0
		% within DO YOU LIVE IN ALCOHOL FREE RESIDENCE HALL	42.4%	57.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	42.4%	57.6%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.111 ^b	1	.739		
Continuity Correction ^a	.067	1	.796		
Likelihood Ratio	.111	1	.739		
Fisher's Exact Test				.764	.398
Linear-by-Linear Association	.111	1	.739		
N of Valid Cases	731				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 141.22.

WOULD YOU LIVE IN ALCOHOL FREE RESIDENCE HALL * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
WOULD YOU LIVE IN ALCOHOL FREE RESIDENCE HALL	NO	Count	193	249	442
		Expected Count	183.8	258.2	442.0
		% within WOULD YOU LIVE IN ALCOHOL FREE RESIDENCE HALL	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	79.8%	73.2%	75.9%
		% of Total	33.2%	42.8%	75.9%
	YES	Count	49	91	140
		Expected Count	58.2	81.8	140.0
		% within WOULD YOU LIVE IN ALCOHOL FREE RESIDENCE HALL	35.0%	65.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	20.2%	26.8%	24.1%
		% of Total	8.4%	15.6%	24.1%
Total	Count	242	340	582	
	Expected Count	242.0	340.0	582.0	
	% within WOULD YOU LIVE IN ALCOHOL FREE RESIDENCE HALL	41.6%	58.4%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.6%	58.4%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.286 ^b	1	.070		
Continuity Correction ^a	2.939	1	.086		
Likelihood Ratio	3.330	1	.068		
Fisher's Exact Test				.077	.043
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.281	1	.070		
N of Valid Cases	582				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 58.21.

STUDENTS CARE : ALCOHOL -DRUG USE * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
STUDENTS CARE : ALCOHOL -DRUG USE	NOT AT ALL	Count	100	90	190
		Expected Count	77.8	112.2	190.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : ALCOHOL -DRUG USE	52.6%	47.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	26.0%	16.2%	20.3%
		% of Total	10.7%	9.6%	20.3%
	SLIGHTLY	Count	171	240	411
		Expected Count	168.3	242.7	411.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : ALCOHOL -DRUG USE	41.6%	58.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	44.5%	43.3%	43.8%
		% of Total	18.2%	25.6%	43.8%
	SOMEWHAT	Count	105	210	315
		Expected Count	129.0	186.0	315.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : ALCOHOL -DRUG USE	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	27.3%	37.9%	33.6%
		% of Total	11.2%	22.4%	33.6%
	VERY MUCH	Count	8	14	22
Expected Count		9.0	13.0	22.0	
% within STUDENTS CARE : ALCOHOL -DRUG USE		36.4%	63.6%	100.0%	
% within Gender		2.1%	2.5%	2.3%	
% of Total		.9%	1.5%	2.3%	
Total	Count	384	554	938	
	Expected Count	384.0	554.0	938.0	
	% within STUDENTS CARE : ALCOHOL -DRUG USE	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	18.546 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	18.520	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	17.052	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	938		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.01.

STUDENTS CARE : CAMPUS VANDALISM * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
STUDENTS CARE : CAMPUS VANDALISM	NOT AT ALL	Count	59	67	126
		Expected Count	51.6	74.4	126.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : CAMPUS VANDALISM	46.8%	53.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	15.3%	12.1%	13.4%
		% of Total	6.3%	7.1%	13.4%
	SLIGHTLY	Count	138	191	329
		Expected Count	134.8	194.3	329.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : CAMPUS VANDALISM	41.9%	58.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	35.8%	34.4%	35.0%
		% of Total	14.7%	20.3%	35.0%
	SOMEWHAT	Count	133	208	341
		Expected Count	139.7	201.3	341.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : CAMPUS VANDALISM	39.0%	61.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	34.5%	37.5%	36.3%
		% of Total	14.1%	22.1%	36.3%
	VERY MUCH	Count	55	89	144
		Expected Count	59.0	85.0	144.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : CAMPUS VANDALISM	38.2%	61.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	14.3%	16.0%	15.3%
		% of Total	5.9%	9.5%	15.3%
Total	Count	385	555	940	
	Expected Count	385.0	555.0	940.0	
	% within STUDENTS CARE : CAMPUS VANDALISM	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.920 ^a	3	.404
Likelihood Ratio	2.904	3	.407
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.587	1	.108
N of Valid Cases	940		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 51.61.

STUDENTS CARE : SEXUAL ASSAULT * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
STUDENTS CARE : SEXUAL ASSAULT	NOT AT ALL	Count	15	10	25
		Expected Count	10.2	14.8	25.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : SEXUAL ASSAULT	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.9%	1.8%	2.7%
		% of Total	1.6%	1.1%	2.7%
	SLIGHTLY	Count	39	43	82
		Expected Count	33.6	48.4	82.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : SEXUAL ASSAULT	47.6%	52.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.2%	7.8%	8.7%
		% of Total	4.2%	4.6%	8.7%
	SOMEWHAT	Count	92	177	269
		Expected Count	110.1	158.9	269.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : SEXUAL ASSAULT	34.2%	65.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	24.0%	31.9%	28.7%
		% of Total	9.8%	18.9%	28.7%
	VERY MUCH	Count	238	324	562
		Expected Count	230.1	331.9	562.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : SEXUAL ASSAULT	42.3%	57.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	62.0%	58.5%	59.9%
		% of Total	25.4%	34.5%	59.9%
Total	Count	384	554	938	
	Expected Count	384.0	554.0	938.0	
	% within STUDENTS CARE : SEXUAL ASSAULT	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.757 ^a	3	.013
Likelihood Ratio	10.757	3	.013
Linear-by-Linear Association	.374	1	.541
N of Valid Cases	938		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 10.23.

STUDENTS CARE : ASSUALTS-NON SEXUAL * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
STUDENTS CARE : ASSUALTS-NON SEXUAL	NOT AT ALL	Count	22	17	39
		Expected Count	15.9	23.1	39.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : ASSUALTS-NON SEXUAL	56.4%	43.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.7%	3.1%	4.2%
		% of Total	2.3%	1.8%	4.2%
	SLIGHTLY	Count	78	92	170
		Expected Count	69.5	100.5	170.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : ASSUALTS-NON SEXUAL	45.9%	54.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	20.4%	16.6%	18.1%
		% of Total	8.3%	9.8%	18.1%
	SOMEWHAT	Count	137	251	388
		Expected Count	158.6	229.4	388.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : ASSUALTS-NON SEXUAL	35.3%	64.7%	100.0%
% within Gender		35.8%	45.3%	41.4%	
% of Total		14.6%	26.8%	41.4%	
VERY MUCH	Count	146	194	340	
	Expected Count	139.0	201.0	340.0	
	% within STUDENTS CARE : ASSUALTS-NON SEXUAL	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	38.1%	35.0%	36.3%	
	% of Total	15.6%	20.7%	36.3%	
Total		Count	383	554	937
		Expected Count	383.0	554.0	937.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : ASSUALTS-NON SEXUAL	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.232 ^a	3	.011
Likelihood Ratio	11.208	3	.011
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.165	1	.281
N of Valid Cases	937		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 15.94.

STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - GENDER * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - GENDER	NOT AT ALL	Count	37	36	73
		Expected Count	29.9	43.1	73.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - GENDER	50.7%	49.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.6%	6.5%	7.8%
		% of Total	3.9%	3.8%	7.8%
	SLIGHTLY	Count	99	128	227
		Expected Count	92.9	134.1	227.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - GENDER	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	25.7%	23.0%	24.1%
		% of Total	10.5%	13.6%	24.1%
	SOMEWHAT	Count	141	240	381
		Expected Count	155.9	225.1	381.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - GENDER	37.0%	63.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	36.6%	43.2%	40.5%
		% of Total	15.0%	25.5%	40.5%
	VERY MUCH	Count	108	152	260
		Expected Count	106.4	153.6	260.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - GENDER	41.5%	58.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	28.1%	27.3%	27.6%
		% of Total	11.5%	16.2%	27.6%
Total	Count	385	556	941	
	Expected Count	385.0	556.0	941.0	
	% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - GENDER	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.013 ^a	3	.111
Likelihood Ratio	5.985	3	.112
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.901	1	.168
N of Valid Cases	941		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 29.87.

STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - SEXUAL ORIENTATION * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - SEXUAL ORIENTATION	NOT AT ALL	Count	38	30	68
		Expected Count	27.8	40.2	68.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - SEXUAL ORIENTATION	55.9%	44.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.9%	5.4%	7.2%
		% of Total	4.1%	3.2%	7.2%
	SLIGHTLY	Count	98	117	215
		Expected Count	88.0	127.0	215.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - SEXUAL ORIENTATION	45.6%	54.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	25.5%	21.1%	22.9%
		% of Total	10.4%	12.5%	22.9%
	SOMEWHAT	Count	156	234	390
		Expected Count	159.7	230.3	390.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - SEXUAL ORIENTATION	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	40.6%	42.2%	41.6%
		% of Total	16.6%	24.9%	41.6%
	VERY MUCH	Count	92	173	265
Expected Count		108.5	156.5	265.0	
% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - SEXUAL ORIENTATION		34.7%	65.3%	100.0%	
% within Gender		24.0%	31.2%	28.3%	
% of Total		9.8%	18.4%	28.3%	
Total	Count	384	554	938	
	Expected Count	384.0	554.0	938.0	
	% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - SEXUAL ORIENTATION	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.582 ^a	3	.006
Likelihood Ratio	12.508	3	.006
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.157	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	938		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 27.84.

STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RACE OR ETHNICITY * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RACE OR ETHNICITY	NOT AT ALL	Count	28	22	50
		Expected Count	20.5	29.5	50.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RACE OR ETHNICITY	56.0%	44.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.3%	4.0%	5.3%
		% of Total	3.0%	2.3%	5.3%
	SLIGHTLY	Count	70	101	171
		Expected Count	70.1	100.9	171.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RACE OR ETHNICITY	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	18.2%	18.3%	18.2%
		% of Total	7.5%	10.8%	18.2%
	SOMEWHAT	Count	125	209	334
		Expected Count	136.9	197.1	334.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RACE OR ETHNICITY	37.4%	62.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	32.6%	37.8%	35.6%
		% of Total	13.3%	22.3%	35.6%
	VERY MUCH	Count	161	221	382
Expected Count		156.6	225.4	382.0	
% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RACE OR ETHNICITY		42.1%	57.9%	100.0%	
% within Gender		41.9%	40.0%	40.8%	
	% of Total	17.2%	23.6%	40.8%	
Total	Count	384	553	937	
	Expected Count	384.0	553.0	937.0	
	% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RACE OR ETHNICITY	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.624 ^a	3	.085
Likelihood Ratio	6.542	3	.088
Linear-by-Linear Association	.614	1	.433
N of Valid Cases	937		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 20.49.

STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RELIGION * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RELIGION	NOT AT ALL	Count	64	52	116
		Expected Count	47.5	68.5	116.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RELIGION	55.2%	44.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	16.6%	9.4%	12.3%
		% of Total	6.8%	5.5%	12.3%
	SLIGHTLY	Count	105	169	274
		Expected Count	112.1	161.9	274.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RELIGION	38.3%	61.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	27.3%	30.4%	29.1%
		% of Total	11.2%	18.0%	29.1%
	SOMEWHAT	Count	136	198	334
		Expected Count	136.7	197.3	334.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RELIGION	40.7%	59.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	35.3%	35.6%	35.5%
		% of Total	14.5%	21.0%	35.5%
	VERY MUCH	Count	80	137	217
		Expected Count	88.8	128.2	217.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RELIGION	36.9%	63.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	20.8%	24.6%	23.1%
		% of Total	8.5%	14.6%	23.1%
Total	Count	385	556	941	
	Expected Count	385.0	556.0	941.0	
	% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RELIGION	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.993 ^a	3	.007
Likelihood Ratio	11.809	3	.008
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.763	1	.016
N of Valid Cases	941		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 47.46.

TO WHAT EXTENT : ALCOHOL USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
TO WHAT EXTENT : ALCOHOL USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS	INCREASED	Count	71	124	195
		Expected Count	79.9	115.1	195.0
		% within TO WHAT EXTENT : ALCOHOL USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	18.3%	22.2%	20.6%
		% of Total	7.5%	13.1%	20.6%
	ABOUT THE SAME	Count	148	182	330
		Expected Count	135.1	194.9	330.0
		% within TO WHAT EXTENT : ALCOHOL USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS	44.8%	55.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	38.2%	32.6%	34.9%
		% of Total	15.7%	19.3%	34.9%
	DECREASED	Count	117	167	284
		Expected Count	116.3	167.7	284.0
		% within TO WHAT EXTENT : ALCOHOL USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	30.2%	29.9%	30.1%
		% of Total	12.4%	17.7%	30.1%
	NOT USED	Count	51	85	136
Expected Count		55.7	80.3	136.0	
% within TO WHAT EXTENT : ALCOHOL USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS		37.5%	62.5%	100.0%	
% within Gender		13.2%	15.2%	14.4%	
% of Total		5.4%	9.0%	14.4%	
Total	Count	387	558	945	
	Expected Count	387.0	558.0	945.0	
	% within TO WHAT EXTENT : ALCOHOL USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.413 ^a	3	.220
Likelihood Ratio	4.423	3	.219
Linear-by-Linear Association	.000	1	.991
N of Valid Cases	945		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 55.70.

TO WHAT EXTENT :DRUG USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
TO WHAT EXTENT :DRUG USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS	INCREASED	Count	27	21	48
		Expected Count	19.6	28.4	48.0
		% within TO WHAT EXTENT :DRUG USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS	56.3%	43.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.1%	3.8%	5.1%
		% of Total	2.9%	2.2%	5.1%
	ABOUT THE SAME	Count	52	54	106
		Expected Count	43.2	62.8	106.0
		% within TO WHAT EXTENT :DRUG USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS	49.1%	50.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	13.6%	9.7%	11.3%
		% of Total	5.5%	5.8%	11.3%
	DECREASED	Count	65	57	122
		Expected Count	49.7	72.3	122.0
		% within TO WHAT EXTENT :DRUG USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS	53.3%	46.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	17.0%	10.3%	13.0%
		% of Total	6.9%	6.1%	13.0%
	NOT USED	Count	238	423	661
		Expected Count	269.5	391.5	661.0
		% within TO WHAT EXTENT :DRUG USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS	36.0%	64.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	62.3%	76.2%	70.5%
		% of Total	25.4%	45.1%	70.5%
Total		Count	382	555	937
		Expected Count	382.0	555.0	937.0
		% within TO WHAT EXTENT :DRUG USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	21.895 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	21.665	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	17.124	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	937		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 19.57.

RISK -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE	NO RISK	Count	208	188	396
		Expected Count	161.8	234.2	396.0
		% within RISK -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE	52.5%	47.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	54.2%	33.8%	42.1%
		% of Total	22.1%	20.0%	42.1%
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	112	221	333
		Expected Count	136.0	197.0	333.0
		% within RISK -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE	33.6%	66.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	29.2%	39.7%	35.4%
		% of Total	11.9%	23.5%	35.4%
	MODERATE RISK	Count	25	70	95
		Expected Count	38.8	56.2	95.0
		% within RISK -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE	26.3%	73.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.5%	12.6%	10.1%
		% of Total	2.7%	7.4%	10.1%
GREAT RISK	Count	20	39	59	
	Expected Count	24.1	34.9	59.0	
	% within RISK -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE	33.9%	66.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	5.2%	7.0%	6.3%	
	% of Total	2.1%	4.1%	6.3%	
CANNOT SAY	Count	19	38	57	
	Expected Count	23.3	33.7	57.0	
	% within RISK -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	4.9%	6.8%	6.1%	
	% of Total	2.0%	4.0%	6.1%	
Total	Count	384	556	940	
	Expected Count	384.0	556.0	940.0	
	% within RISK -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	40.335 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	40.585	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	21.930	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	940		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 23.29.

RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY	NO RISK	Count	101	72	173
		Expected Count	71.0	102.0	173.0
		% within RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY	58.4%	41.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	26.2%	13.0%	18.4%
		% of Total	10.7%	7.7%	18.4%
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	132	176	308
		Expected Count	126.3	181.7	308.0
		% within RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	34.2%	31.7%	32.7%
		% of Total	14.0%	18.7%	32.7%
	MODERATE RISK	Count	92	193	285
		Expected Count	116.9	168.1	285.0
		% within RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY	32.3%	67.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	23.8%	34.8%	30.3%
		% of Total	9.8%	20.5%	30.3%
GREAT RISK	Count	44	88	132	
	Expected Count	54.1	77.9	132.0	
	% within RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	11.4%	15.9%	14.0%	
	% of Total	4.7%	9.4%	14.0%	
CANNOT SAY	Count	17	26	43	
	Expected Count	17.6	25.4	43.0	
	% within RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY	39.5%	60.5%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	4.4%	4.7%	4.6%	
	% of Total	1.8%	2.8%	4.6%	
Total	Count	386	555	941	
	Expected Count	386.0	555.0	941.0	
	% within RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	34.243 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	34.106	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	22.303	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	941		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 17.64.

RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY	NO RISK	Count	38	35	73
		Expected Count	29.9	43.1	73.0
		% within RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY	52.1%	47.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.9%	6.3%	7.8%
		% of Total	4.0%	3.7%	7.8%
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	71	65	136
		Expected Count	55.7	80.3	136.0
		% within RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY	52.2%	47.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	18.4%	11.7%	14.5%
		% of Total	7.6%	6.9%	14.5%
	MODERATE RISK	Count	122	141	263
		Expected Count	107.7	155.3	263.0
		% within RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY	46.4%	53.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	31.7%	25.4%	28.0%
		% of Total	13.0%	15.0%	28.0%
	GREAT RISK	Count	140	288	428
		Expected Count	175.3	252.7	428.0
% within RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY		32.7%	67.3%	100.0%	
% within Gender		36.4%	51.9%	45.5%	
% of Total		14.9%	30.6%	45.5%	
CANNOT SAY	Count	14	26	40	
	Expected Count	16.4	23.6	40.0	
	% within RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY	35.0%	65.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	3.6%	4.7%	4.3%	
	% of Total	1.5%	2.8%	4.3%	
Total	Count	385	555	940	
	Expected Count	385.0	555.0	940.0	
	% within RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	26.666 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	26.753	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	21.999	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	940		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 16.38.

RISK -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE	NO RISK	Count	27	18	45
		Expected Count	18.4	26.6	45.0
		% within RISK -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.0%	3.2%	4.8%
		% of Total	2.9%	1.9%	4.8%
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	87	113	200
		Expected Count	81.8	118.2	200.0
		% within RISK -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	22.7%	20.4%	21.3%
		% of Total	9.3%	12.0%	21.3%
	MODERATE RISK	Count	89	147	236
		Expected Count	96.5	139.5	236.0
		% within RISK -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE	37.7%	62.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	23.2%	26.5%	25.1%
		% of Total	9.5%	15.7%	25.1%
	GREAT RISK	Count	163	247	410
		Expected Count	167.7	242.3	410.0
% within RISK -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE		39.8%	60.2%	100.0%	
% within Gender		42.4%	44.5%	43.7%	
% of Total		17.4%	26.3%	43.7%	
CANNOT SAY	Count	18	30	48	
	Expected Count	19.6	28.4	48.0	
	% within RISK -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	4.7%	5.4%	5.1%	
	% of Total	1.9%	3.2%	5.1%	
Total	Count	384	555	939	
	Expected Count	384.0	555.0	939.0	
	% within RISK -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.795 ^a	4	.066
Likelihood Ratio	8.652	4	.070
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.078	1	.043
N of Valid Cases	939		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 18.40.

RISK -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY	NO RISK	Count	3	2	5
		Expected Count	2.0	3.0	5.0
		% within RISK -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.8%	.4%	.5%
		% of Total	.3%	.2%	.5%
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	4	7	11
		Expected Count	4.5	6.5	11.0
		% within RISK -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	1.3%	1.2%
		% of Total	.4%	.7%	1.2%
	MODERATE RISK	Count	42	45	87
		Expected Count	35.6	51.4	87.0
		% within RISK -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY	48.3%	51.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.9%	8.1%	9.3%
		% of Total	4.5%	4.8%	9.3%
	GREAT RISK	Count	316	474	790
		Expected Count	323.1	466.9	790.0
		% within RISK -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	82.3%	85.4%	84.1%
		% of Total	33.7%	50.5%	84.1%
CANNOT SAY	Count	19	27	46	
	Expected Count	18.8	27.2	46.0	
	% within RISK -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	4.9%	4.9%	4.9%	
	% of Total	2.0%	2.9%	4.9%	
Total		Count	384	555	939
		Expected Count	384.0	555.0	939.0
		% within RISK -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.074 ^a	4	.545
Likelihood Ratio	3.031	4	.553
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.259	1	.262
N of Valid Cases	939		

a. 3 cells (30.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.04.

RISK -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE	NO RISK	Count	25	16	41
		Expected Count	16.8	24.2	41.0
		% within RISK -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE	61.0%	39.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.5%	2.9%	4.4%
		% of Total	2.7%	1.7%	4.4%
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	59	70	129
		Expected Count	52.9	76.1	129.0
		% within RISK -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE	45.7%	54.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	15.3%	12.6%	13.7%
		% of Total	6.3%	7.5%	13.7%
	MODERATE RISK	Count	86	130	216
		Expected Count	88.6	127.4	216.0
		% within RISK -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE	39.8%	60.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	22.3%	23.5%	23.0%
		% of Total	9.2%	13.8%	23.0%
	GREAT RISK	Count	191	302	493
		Expected Count	202.1	290.9	493.0
		% within RISK -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE	38.7%	61.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	49.6%	54.5%	52.5%
		% of Total	20.3%	32.2%	52.5%
CANNOT SAY	Count	24	36	60	
	Expected Count	24.6	35.4	60.0	
	% within RISK -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	6.2%	6.5%	6.4%	
	% of Total	2.6%	3.8%	6.4%	
Total	Count	385	554	939	
	Expected Count	385.0	554.0	939.0	
	% within RISK -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.148 ^a	4	.057
Likelihood Ratio	8.998	4	.061
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.865	1	.015
N of Valid Cases	939		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 16.81.

RISK -TAKING LSD REGULARLY * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -TAKING LSD REGULARLY	NO RISK	Count	4	3	7
		Expected Count	2.9	4.1	7.0
		% within RISK -TAKING LSD REGULARLY	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	.5%	.7%
		% of Total	.4%	.3%	.7%
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	7	4	11
		Expected Count	4.5	6.5	11.0
		% within RISK -TAKING LSD REGULARLY	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.8%	.7%	1.2%
		% of Total	.7%	.4%	1.2%
	MODERATE RISK	Count	31	31	62
		Expected Count	25.4	36.6	62.0
		% within RISK -TAKING LSD REGULARLY	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.1%	5.6%	6.6%
		% of Total	3.3%	3.3%	6.6%
	GREAT RISK	Count	316	481	797
		Expected Count	326.0	471.0	797.0
		% within RISK -TAKING LSD REGULARLY	39.6%	60.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	82.7%	87.1%	85.3%
		% of Total	33.8%	51.5%	85.3%
CANNOT SAY	Count	24	33	57	
	Expected Count	23.3	33.7	57.0	
	% within RISK -TAKING LSD REGULARLY	42.1%	57.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	6.3%	6.0%	6.1%	
	% of Total	2.6%	3.5%	6.1%	
Total		Count	382	552	934
		Expected Count	382.0	552.0	934.0
		% within RISK -TAKING LSD REGULARLY	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.791 ^a	4	.215
Likelihood Ratio	5.691	4	.223
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.304	1	.069
N of Valid Cases	934		

a. 3 cells (30.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.86.

RISK -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE	NO RISK	Count	22	20	42
		Expected Count	17.2	24.8	42.0
		% within RISK -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE	52.4%	47.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.8%	3.6%	4.5%
		% of Total	2.4%	2.2%	4.5%
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	59	96	155
		Expected Count	63.3	91.7	155.0
		% within RISK -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE	38.1%	61.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	15.5%	17.5%	16.7%
		% of Total	6.3%	10.3%	16.7%
	MODERATE RISK	Count	87	127	214
		Expected Count	87.4	126.6	214.0
		% within RISK -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE	40.7%	59.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	22.9%	23.1%	23.0%
		% of Total	9.4%	13.7%	23.0%
	GREAT RISK	Count	177	257	434
		Expected Count	177.3	256.7	434.0
		% within RISK -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	46.6%	46.7%	46.7%
		% of Total	19.0%	27.6%	46.7%
CANNOT SAY	Count	35	50	85	
	Expected Count	34.7	50.3	85.0	
	% within RISK -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	9.2%	9.1%	9.1%	
	% of Total	3.8%	5.4%	9.1%	
Total	Count	380	550	930	
	Expected Count	380.0	550.0	930.0	
	% within RISK -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.817 ^a	4	.589
Likelihood Ratio	2.776	4	.596
Linear-by-Linear Association	.115	1	.735
N of Valid Cases	930		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 17.16.

RISK -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY	NO RISK	Count	2	2	4
		Expected Count	1.6	2.4	4.0
		% within RISK -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.4%	.4%
		% of Total	.2%	.2%	.4%
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	6	14	20
		Expected Count	8.1	11.9	20.0
		% within RISK -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY	30.0%	70.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.6%	2.5%	2.1%
		% of Total	.6%	1.5%	2.1%
	MODERATE RISK	Count	31	59	90
		Expected Count	36.7	53.3	90.0
		% within RISK -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY	34.4%	65.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.1%	10.6%	9.6%
		% of Total	3.3%	6.3%	9.6%
	GREAT RISK	Count	307	433	740
		Expected Count	301.5	438.5	740.0
		% within RISK -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY	41.5%	58.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	80.6%	78.2%	79.1%
		% of Total	32.8%	46.3%	79.1%
CANNOT SAY	Count	35	46	81	
	Expected Count	33.0	48.0	81.0	
	% within RISK -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY	43.2%	56.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	9.2%	8.3%	8.7%	
	% of Total	3.7%	4.9%	8.7%	
Total	Count	381	554	935	
	Expected Count	381.0	554.0	935.0	
	% within RISK -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY	40.7%	59.3%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.7%	59.3%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.950 ^a	4	.566
Likelihood Ratio	3.011	4	.556
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.723	1	.189
N of Valid Cases	935		

a. 2 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.63.

RISK -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	NO RISK	Count	98	48	146
		Expected Count	59.9	86.1	146.0
		% within RISK -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	67.1%	32.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	25.5%	8.7%	15.5%
		% of Total	10.4%	5.1%	15.5%
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	145	171	316
		Expected Count	129.6	186.4	316.0
		% within RISK -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	45.9%	54.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	37.7%	30.9%	33.7%
		% of Total	15.4%	18.2%	33.7%
	MODERATE RISK	Count	89	215	304
		Expected Count	124.6	179.4	304.0
		% within RISK -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	29.3%	70.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	23.1%	38.8%	32.4%
		% of Total	9.5%	22.9%	32.4%
	GREAT RISK	Count	45	105	150
		Expected Count	61.5	88.5	150.0
		% within RISK -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	30.0%	70.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.7%	19.0%	16.0%
		% of Total	4.8%	11.2%	16.0%
CANNOT SAY	Count	8	15	23	
	Expected Count	9.4	13.6	23.0	
	% within RISK -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	34.8%	65.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	2.1%	2.7%	2.4%	
	% of Total	.9%	1.6%	2.4%	
Total	Count	385	554	939	
	Expected Count	385.0	554.0	939.0	
	% within RISK -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	69.450 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	69.715	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	53.004	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	939		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.43.

RISK -TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	NO RISK	Count	8	3	11
		Expected Count	4.5	6.5	11.0
		% within RISK -TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.1%	.5%	1.2%
		% of Total	.9%	.3%	1.2%
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	45	25	70
		Expected Count	28.6	41.4	70.0
		% within RISK -TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	64.3%	35.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.7%	4.5%	7.5%
		% of Total	4.8%	2.7%	7.5%
	MODERATE RISK	Count	135	118	253
		Expected Count	103.3	149.7	253.0
		% within RISK -TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	53.4%	46.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	35.2%	21.3%	27.0%
		% of Total	14.4%	12.6%	27.0%
	GREAT RISK	Count	186	395	581
		Expected Count	237.2	343.8	581.0
		% within RISK -TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	32.0%	68.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	48.6%	71.2%	61.9%
		% of Total	19.8%	42.1%	61.9%
CANNOT SAY	Count	9	14	23	
	Expected Count	9.4	13.6	23.0	
	% within RISK -TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	39.1%	60.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	2.3%	2.5%	2.5%	
	% of Total	1.0%	1.5%	2.5%	
Total	Count	383	555	938	
	Expected Count	383.0	555.0	938.0	
	% within RISK -TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	55.733 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	55.567	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	48.847	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	938		

a. 1 cells (10.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.49.

RISK - HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK - HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING	NO RISK	Count	25	10	35
		Expected Count	14.2	20.8	35.0
		% within RISK - HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.6%	1.8%	3.7%
		% of Total	2.7%	1.1%	3.7%
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	102	89	191
		Expected Count	77.7	113.3	191.0
		% within RISK - HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING	53.4%	46.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	26.8%	16.0%	20.4%
		% of Total	10.9%	9.5%	20.4%
	MODERATE RISK	Count	122	144	266
		Expected Count	108.3	157.7	266.0
		% within RISK - HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING	45.9%	54.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	32.0%	25.9%	28.4%
		% of Total	13.0%	15.4%	28.4%
	GREAT RISK	Count	121	288	409
		Expected Count	166.5	242.5	409.0
		% within RISK - HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING	29.6%	70.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	31.8%	51.9%	43.7%
		% of Total	12.9%	30.8%	43.7%
CANNOT SAY	Count	11	24	35	
	Expected Count	14.2	20.8	35.0	
	% within RISK - HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING	31.4%	68.6%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	2.9%	4.3%	3.7%	
	% of Total	1.2%	2.6%	3.7%	
Total	Count	381	555	936	
	Expected Count	381.0	555.0	936.0	
	% within RISK - HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING	40.7%	59.3%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.7%	59.3%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	51.586 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	51.982	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	47.678	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	936		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 14.25.

RISK -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING	NO RISK	Count	9	2	11
		Expected Count	4.5	6.5	11.0
		% within RISK -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.3%	.4%	1.2%
		% of Total	1.0%	.2%	1.2%
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	40	39	79
		Expected Count	32.2	46.8	79.0
		% within RISK -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING	50.6%	49.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.4%	7.0%	8.4%
		% of Total	4.3%	4.2%	8.4%
	MODERATE RISK	Count	103	139	242
		Expected Count	98.7	143.3	242.0
		% within RISK -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING	42.6%	57.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	26.9%	25.0%	25.8%
		% of Total	11.0%	14.8%	25.8%
	GREAT RISK	Count	206	329	535
		Expected Count	218.2	316.8	535.0
		% within RISK -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING	38.5%	61.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	53.8%	59.2%	57.0%
		% of Total	21.9%	35.0%	57.0%
CANNOT SAY	Count	25	47	72	
	Expected Count	29.4	42.6	72.0	
	% within RISK -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING	34.7%	65.3%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	6.5%	8.5%	7.7%	
	% of Total	2.7%	5.0%	7.7%	
Total	Count	383	556	939	
	Expected Count	383.0	556.0	939.0	
	% within RISK -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.405 ^a	4	.009
Likelihood Ratio	13.514	4	.009
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.989	1	.002
N of Valid Cases	939		

a. 1 cells (10.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.49.

RISK -CONSUME ALCOHOL PRIOR TO SEX * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -CONSUME ALCOHOL PRIOR TO SEX	NO RISK	Count	32	16	48
		Expected Count	19.7	28.3	48.0
		% within RISK -CONSUME ALCOHOL PRIOR TO SEX	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.3%	2.9%	5.1%
		% of Total	3.4%	1.7%	5.1%
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	115	82	197
		Expected Count	80.8	116.2	197.0
		% within RISK -CONSUME ALCOHOL PRIOR TO SEX	58.4%	41.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	29.9%	14.8%	21.0%
		% of Total	12.2%	8.7%	21.0%
	MODERATE RISK	Count	116	193	309
		Expected Count	126.7	182.3	309.0
		% within RISK -CONSUME ALCOHOL PRIOR TO SEX	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	30.1%	34.8%	32.9%
		% of Total	12.4%	20.6%	32.9%
	GREAT RISK	Count	109	225	334
		Expected Count	136.9	197.1	334.0
% within RISK -CONSUME ALCOHOL PRIOR TO SEX		32.6%	67.4%	100.0%	
% within Gender		28.3%	40.6%	35.6%	
% of Total		11.6%	24.0%	35.6%	
CANNOT SAY	Count	13	38	51	
	Expected Count	20.9	30.1	51.0	
	% within RISK -CONSUME ALCOHOL PRIOR TO SEX	25.5%	74.5%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	3.4%	6.9%	5.4%	
	% of Total	1.4%	4.0%	5.4%	
Total	Count	385	554	939	
	Expected Count	385.0	554.0	939.0	
	% within RISK -CONSUME ALCOHOL PRIOR TO SEX	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	53.922 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	53.739	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	48.051	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	939		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 19.68.

RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN UNPROTECTED SEX * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN UNPROTECTED SEX	NO RISK	Count	21	17	38
		Expected Count	15.5	22.5	38.0
		% within RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN UNPROTECTED SEX	55.3%	44.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.5%	3.1%	4.1%
		% of Total	2.2%	1.8%	4.1%
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	113	88	201
		Expected Count	82.2	118.8	201.0
		% within RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN UNPROTECTED SEX	56.2%	43.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	29.5%	15.9%	21.5%
		% of Total	12.1%	9.4%	21.5%
	MODERATE RISK	Count	105	185	290
		Expected Count	118.7	171.3	290.0
		% within RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN UNPROTECTED SEX	36.2%	63.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	27.4%	33.5%	31.0%
		% of Total	11.2%	19.8%	31.0%
	GREAT RISK	Count	130	238	368
Expected Count		150.6	217.4	368.0	
% within RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN UNPROTECTED SEX		35.3%	64.7%	100.0%	
% within Gender		33.9%	43.0%	39.3%	
% of Total		13.9%	25.4%	39.3%	
CANNOT SAY	Count	14	25	39	
	Expected Count	16.0	23.0	39.0	
	% within RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN UNPROTECTED SEX	35.9%	64.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	3.7%	4.5%	4.2%	
	% of Total	1.5%	2.7%	4.2%	
Total	Count	383	553	936	
	Expected Count	383.0	553.0	936.0	
	% within RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN UNPROTECTED SEX	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	30.529 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	30.161	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	21.362	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	936		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 15.55.

**RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN MULTILE PARTNER,UNPROTECTED SEX *
Gender**

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN MULTILE PARTNER, UNPROTECTED SEX	NO RISK	Count	3	3	6
		Expected Count	2.5	3.5	6.0
		% within RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN MULTILE PARTNER, UNPROTECTED SEX	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.8%	.5%	.6%
		% of Total	.3%	.3%	.6%
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	4	1	5
		Expected Count	2.0	3.0	5.0
		% within RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN MULTILE PARTNER, UNPROTECTED SEX	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	.2%	.5%
		% of Total	.4%	.1%	.5%
MODERATE RISK	Count	41	13	54	
	Expected Count	22.1	31.9	54.0	
	% within RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN MULTILE PARTNER, UNPROTECTED SEX	75.9%	24.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	10.7%	2.3%	5.7%	
	% of Total	4.4%	1.4%	5.7%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN MULTILE PARTNER, UNPROTECTED SEX	GREAT RISK	Count	326	523	849
		Expected Count	346.8	502.2	849.0
		% within RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN MULTILE PARTNER, UNPROTECTED SEX	38.4%	61.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	84.9%	94.1%	90.3%
		% of Total	34.7%	55.6%	90.3%
	CANNOT SAY	Count	10	16	26
		Expected Count	10.6	15.4	26.0
		% within RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN MULTILE PARTNER, UNPROTECTED SEX	38.5%	61.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.6%	2.9%	2.8%
		% of Total	1.1%	1.7%	2.8%
Total	Count	384	556	940	
	Expected Count	384.0	556.0	940.0	
	% within RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN MULTILE PARTNER, UNPROTECTED SEX	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	33.049 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	33.056	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	17.320	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	940		

a. 4 cells (40.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.04.

DID YOU HAVE SEX WITHIN THE LAST YEAR * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
DID YOU HAVE SEX WITHIN THE LAST YEAR	NO	Count	110	157	267
		Expected Count	109.8	157.2	267.0
		% within DID YOU HAVE SEX WITHIN THE LAST YEAR	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	28.4%	28.3%	28.4%
		% of Total	11.7%	16.7%	28.4%
	YES	Count	277	397	674
		Expected Count	277.2	396.8	674.0
		% within DID YOU HAVE SEX WITHIN THE LAST YEAR	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	71.6%	71.7%	71.6%
		% of Total	29.4%	42.2%	71.6%
Total	Count	387	554	941	
	Expected Count	387.0	554.0	941.0	
	% within DID YOU HAVE SEX WITHIN THE LAST YEAR	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.001 ^b	1	.977		
Continuity Correction ^a	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.001	1	.977		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.517
Linear-by-Linear Association	.001	1	.977		
N of Valid Cases	941				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 109.81.

DID YOU DRINK ALCOHOL? * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
DID YOU DRINK ALCOHOL?	NO	Count	203	344	547
		Expected Count	226.6	320.4	547.0
		% within DID YOU DRINK ALCOHOL?	37.1%	62.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	69.5%	83.3%	77.6%
		% of Total	28.8%	48.8%	77.6%
	YES	Count	89	69	158
		Expected Count	65.4	92.6	158.0
		% within DID YOU DRINK ALCOHOL?	56.3%	43.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	30.5%	16.7%	22.4%
		% of Total	12.6%	9.8%	22.4%
Total	Count	292	413	705	
	Expected Count	292.0	413.0	705.0	
	% within DID YOU DRINK ALCOHOL?	41.4%	58.6%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.4%	58.6%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	18.659 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	17.876	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	18.427	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	18.633	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	705				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 65.44.

DID YOU USE OTHER DRUGS? * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
DID YOU USE OTHER DRUGS?	NO	Count	271	403	674
		Expected Count	277.6	396.4	674.0
		% within DID YOU USE OTHER DRUGS?	40.2%	59.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	93.4%	97.3%	95.7%
		% of Total	38.5%	57.2%	95.7%
	YES	Count	19	11	30
		Expected Count	12.4	17.6	30.0
		% within DID YOU USE OTHER DRUGS?	63.3%	36.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.6%	2.7%	4.3%
		% of Total	2.7%	1.6%	4.3%
Total	Count	290	414	704	
	Expected Count	290.0	414.0	704.0	
	% within DID YOU USE OTHER DRUGS?	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.341 ^b	1	.012		
Continuity Correction ^a	5.422	1	.020		
Likelihood Ratio	6.224	1	.013		
Fisher's Exact Test				.014	.010
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.332	1	.012		
N of Valid Cases	704				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 12.36.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
PAST 30 DAYS:REFUSED AN OFFER OF ALCOHOL * Gender	942	98.6%	13	1.4%	955	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS:BRAGGED ABOUT ALCOHOL USE * Gender	941	98.5%	14	1.5%	955	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS:HEARD SOMEONE BRAG ABOUT ALCOHOL USE * Gender	942	98.6%	13	1.4%	955	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS:CARRIED A WEAPON * Gender	942	98.6%	13	1.4%	955	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS:EXPERIENCED PEER PRESSURE,ALCOHOL * Gender	941	98.5%	14	1.5%	955	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS:HELD A DRINK-DECOY * Gender	940	98.4%	15	1.6%	955	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS:THOUGHT A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK * Gender	939	98.3%	16	1.7%	955	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS:TOLD A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK * Gender	941	98.5%	14	1.5%	955	100.0%
I FEEL VALUED AS A PERSON * Gender	943	98.7%	12	1.3%	955	100.0%
I FEEL THAT FACULTY CARE ABOUT ME * Gender	944	98.8%	11	1.2%	955	100.0%
I HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO CONTRIBUTE * Gender	943	98.7%	12	1.3%	955	100.0%
MY CAMPUS ENCOURAGES ME TO HELP OTHERS * Gender	943	98.7%	12	1.3%	955	100.0%
I ABIDE BY THE UNIVERSITY POLICY CONCERNING ALCOHOL * Gender	944	98.8%	11	1.2%	955	100.0%
OTHERS : INTERRPUTS STUDYING * Gender	939	98.3%	16	1.7%	955	100.0%
OTHERS : MAKES YOU FEEL UNSAFE * Gender	936	98.0%	19	2.0%	955	100.0%
OTHERS : MESSES UP YOUR SPACE * Gender	939	98.3%	16	1.7%	955	100.0%
OTHERS : AFFECTS YOUR INVOLVEMENTS IN ORGANIZED GROUPS * Gender	933	97.7%	22	2.3%	955	100.0%

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
OTHERS : PREVENTS YOU FROM ENJOYING EVENTS * Gender	931	97.5%	24	2.5%	955	100.0%
OTHERS : INTERFERES IN OTHER WAYS * Gender	936	98.0%	19	2.0%	955	100.0%
OTHERS : DOESNT INTERFERE WITH MY LIFE * Gender	930	97.4%	25	2.6%	955	100.0%

PAST 30 DAYS:REFUSED AN OFFER OF ALCOHOL * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS:REFUSED AN OFFER OF ALCOHOL	0 TIMES	Count	100	139	239
		Expected Count	97.9	141.1	239.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:REFUSED AN OFFER OF ALCOHOL	41.8%	58.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	25.9%	25.0%	25.4%
		% of Total	10.6%	14.8%	25.4%
	ONE TIME	Count	69	108	177
		Expected Count	72.5	104.5	177.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:REFUSED AN OFFER OF ALCOHOL	39.0%	61.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	17.9%	19.4%	18.8%
		% of Total	7.3%	11.5%	18.8%
	TWO TIMES	Count	78	138	216
		Expected Count	88.5	127.5	216.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:REFUSED AN OFFER OF ALCOHOL	36.1%	63.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	20.2%	24.8%	22.9%
		% of Total	8.3%	14.6%	22.9%
	3-5 TIMES	Count	98	133	231
		Expected Count	94.7	136.3	231.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:REFUSED AN OFFER OF ALCOHOL	42.4%	57.6%	100.0%
% within Gender		25.4%	23.9%	24.5%	
% of Total		10.4%	14.1%	24.5%	
6-9 TIMES	Count	25	27	52	
	Expected Count	21.3	30.7	52.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS:REFUSED AN OFFER OF ALCOHOL	48.1%	51.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	6.5%	4.9%	5.5%	
	% of Total	2.7%	2.9%	5.5%	
10 OR MORE TIMES	Count	16	11	27	
	Expected Count	11.1	15.9	27.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS:REFUSED AN OFFER OF ALCOHOL	59.3%	40.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	4.1%	2.0%	2.9%	
	% of Total	1.7%	1.2%	2.9%	
Total	Count	386	556	942	
	Expected Count	386.0	556.0	942.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS:REFUSED AN OFFER OF ALCOHOL	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.494 ^a	5	.186
Likelihood Ratio	7.428	5	.191
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.464	1	.226
N of Valid Cases	942		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 11.06.

PAST 30 DAYS:BRAGGED ABOUT ALCOHOL USE * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS:BRAGGED ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	0 TIMES	Count	282	449	731
		Expected Count	299.9	431.1	731.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:BRAGGED ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	38.6%	61.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	73.1%	80.9%	77.7%
		% of Total	30.0%	47.7%	77.7%
	ONE TIME	Count	43	48	91
		Expected Count	37.3	53.7	91.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:BRAGGED ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	47.3%	52.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.1%	8.6%	9.7%
		% of Total	4.6%	5.1%	9.7%
	TWO TIMES	Count	29	34	63
		Expected Count	25.8	37.2	63.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:BRAGGED ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	46.0%	54.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.5%	6.1%	6.7%
		% of Total	3.1%	3.6%	6.7%
	3-5 TIMES	Count	22	20	42
		Expected Count	17.2	24.8	42.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:BRAGGED ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	52.4%	47.6%	100.0%
% within Gender		5.7%	3.6%	4.5%	
% of Total		2.3%	2.1%	4.5%	
6-9 TIMES	Count	5	3	8	
	Expected Count	3.3	4.7	8.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS:BRAGGED ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	62.5%	37.5%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.3%	.5%	.9%	
	% of Total	.5%	.3%	.9%	
10 OR MORE TIMES	Count	5	1	6	
	Expected Count	2.5	3.5	6.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS:BRAGGED ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.3%	.2%	.6%	
	% of Total	.5%	.1%	.6%	
Total	Count	386	555	941	
	Expected Count	386.0	555.0	941.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS:BRAGGED ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.125 ^a	5	.033
Likelihood Ratio	12.158	5	.033
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.533	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	941		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.46.

PAST 30 DAYS:HEARD SOMEONE BRAG ABOUT ALCOHOL USE * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS:HEARD SOMEONE BRAG ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	0 TIMES	Count	68	73	141
		Expected Count	57.8	83.2	141.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:HEARD SOMEONE BRAG ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	48.2%	51.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	17.6%	13.1%	15.0%
		% of Total	7.2%	7.7%	15.0%
	ONE TIME	Count	27	39	66
		Expected Count	27.0	39.0	66.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:HEARD SOMEONE BRAG ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.0%	7.0%	7.0%
		% of Total	2.9%	4.1%	7.0%
	TWO TIMES	Count	41	85	126
		Expected Count	51.6	74.4	126.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:HEARD SOMEONE BRAG ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	32.5%	67.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.6%	15.3%	13.4%
% of Total		4.4%	9.0%	13.4%	
3-5 TIMES	Count	142	176	318	
	Expected Count	130.3	187.7	318.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS:HEARD SOMEONE BRAG ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	44.7%	55.3%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	36.8%	31.7%	33.8%	
	% of Total	15.1%	18.7%	33.8%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS:HEARD SOMEONE BRAG ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	6-9 TIMES	Count	33	99	132
		Expected Count	54.1	77.9	132.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:HEARD SOMEONE BRAG ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.5%	17.8%	14.0%
		% of Total	3.5%	10.5%	14.0%
	10 OR MORE TIMES	Count	75	84	159
		Expected Count	65.2	93.8	159.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:HEARD SOMEONE BRAG ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	47.2%	52.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	19.4%	15.1%	16.9%
		% of Total	8.0%	8.9%	16.9%
Total	Count	386	556	942	
	Expected Count	386.0	556.0	942.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS:HEARD SOMEONE BRAG ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	25.004 ^a	5	.000
Likelihood Ratio	25.903	5	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	.794	1	.373
N of Valid Cases	942		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 27.04.

PAST 30 DAYS:CARRIED A WEAPON * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS:CARRIED A WEAPON	0 TIMES	Count	360	549	909
		Expected Count	372.5	536.5	909.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:CARRIED A WEAPON	39.6%	60.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	93.3%	98.7%	96.5%
		% of Total	38.2%	58.3%	96.5%
	ONE TIME	Count	4	1	5
		Expected Count	2.0	3.0	5.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:CARRIED A WEAPON	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	.2%	.5%
		% of Total	.4%	.1%	.5%
	TWO TIMES	Count	5	2	7
		Expected Count	2.9	4.1	7.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:CARRIED A WEAPON	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	.4%	.7%
		% of Total	.5%	.2%	.7%
	3-5 TIMES	Count	5	0	5
		Expected Count	2.0	3.0	5.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:CARRIED A WEAPON	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	.0%	.5%
		% of Total	.5%	.0%	.5%
10 OR MORE TIMES	Count	12	4	16	
	Expected Count	6.6	9.4	16.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS:CARRIED A WEAPON	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	3.1%	.7%	1.7%	
	% of Total	1.3%	.4%	1.7%	
Total	Count	386	556	942	
	Expected Count	386.0	556.0	942.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS:CARRIED A WEAPON	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	21.400 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	23.110	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	15.910	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	942		

a. 6 cells (60.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.05.

PAST 30 DAYS:EXPERIENCED PEER PRESSURE,ALCOHOL * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS:EXPERIENCED PEER PRESSURE,ALCOHOL	0 TIMES	Count	188	325	513
		Expected Count	210.4	302.6	513.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:EXPERIENCED PEER PRESSURE,ALCOHOL	36.6%	63.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	48.7%	58.6%	54.5%
		% of Total	20.0%	34.5%	54.5%
	ONE TIME	Count	41	78	119
		Expected Count	48.8	70.2	119.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:EXPERIENCED PEER PRESSURE,ALCOHOL	34.5%	65.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.6%	14.1%	12.6%
		% of Total	4.4%	8.3%	12.6%
	TWO TIMES	Count	52	68	120
		Expected Count	49.2	70.8	120.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:EXPERIENCED PEER PRESSURE,ALCOHOL	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	13.5%	12.3%	12.8%
		% of Total	5.5%	7.2%	12.8%
	3-5 TIMES	Count	78	60	138
Expected Count		56.6	81.4	138.0	
% within PAST 30 DAYS:EXPERIENCED PEER PRESSURE,ALCOHOL		56.5%	43.5%	100.0%	
% within Gender		20.2%	10.8%	14.7%	
% of Total		8.3%	6.4%	14.7%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS:EXPERIENCED PEER PRESSURE,ALCOHOL	6-9 TIMES	Count	14	17	31
		Expected Count	12.7	18.3	31.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:EXPERIENCED PEER PRESSURE,ALCOHOL	45.2%	54.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.6%	3.1%	3.3%
		% of Total	1.5%	1.8%	3.3%
	10 OR MORE TIMES	Count	13	7	20
		Expected Count	8.2	11.8	20.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:EXPERIENCED PEER PRESSURE,ALCOHOL	65.0%	35.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.4%	1.3%	2.1%
		% of Total	1.4%	.7%	2.1%
Total	Count	386	555	941	
	Expected Count	386.0	555.0	941.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS:EXPERIENCED PEER PRESSURE,ALCOHOL	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	25.121 ^a	5	.000
Likelihood Ratio	24.828	5	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	19.214	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	941		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.20.

PAST 30 DAYS:HELD A DRINK-DECOY * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS:HELD A DRINK-DECOY	0 TIMES	Count	331	464	795
		Expected Count	326.5	468.5	795.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:HELD A DRINK-DECOY	41.6%	58.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	85.8%	83.8%	84.6%
		% of Total	35.2%	49.4%	84.6%
	ONE TIME	Count	30	49	79
		Expected Count	32.4	46.6	79.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:HELD A DRINK-DECOY	38.0%	62.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.8%	8.8%	8.4%
		% of Total	3.2%	5.2%	8.4%
	TWO TIMES	Count	14	21	35
		Expected Count	14.4	20.6	35.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:HELD A DRINK-DECOY	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.6%	3.8%	3.7%
		% of Total	1.5%	2.2%	3.7%
	3-5 TIMES	Count	7	17	24
		Expected Count	9.9	14.1	24.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:HELD A DRINK-DECOY	29.2%	70.8%	100.0%
% within Gender		1.8%	3.1%	2.6%	
% of Total		.7%	1.8%	2.6%	
6-9 TIMES	Count	0	2	2	
	Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS:HELD A DRINK-DECOY	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.0%	.4%	.2%	
	% of Total	.0%	.2%	.2%	
10 OR MORE TIMES	Count	4	1	5	
	Expected Count	2.1	2.9	5.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS:HELD A DRINK-DECOY	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.0%	.2%	.5%	
	% of Total	.4%	.1%	.5%	
Total	Count	386	554	940	
	Expected Count	386.0	554.0	940.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS:HELD A DRINK-DECOY	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.364 ^a	5	.272
Likelihood Ratio	7.189	5	.207
Linear-by-Linear Association	.222	1	.638
N of Valid Cases	940		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

PAST 30 DAYS:THOUGHT A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS:THOUGHT A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	0 TIMES	Count	267	408	675
		Expected Count	277.5	397.5	675.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:THOUGHT A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	39.6%	60.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	69.2%	73.8%	71.9%
		% of Total	28.4%	43.5%	71.9%
	ONE TIME	Count	42	62	104
		Expected Count	42.8	61.2	104.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:THOUGHT A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	40.4%	59.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.9%	11.2%	11.1%
		% of Total	4.5%	6.6%	11.1%
	TWO TIMES	Count	38	37	75
		Expected Count	30.8	44.2	75.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:THOUGHT A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	50.7%	49.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.8%	6.7%	8.0%
% of Total		4.0%	3.9%	8.0%	
3-5 TIMES	Count	33	36	69	
	Expected Count	28.4	40.6	69.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS:THOUGHT A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	47.8%	52.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	8.5%	6.5%	7.3%	
	% of Total	3.5%	3.8%	7.3%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS:THOUGHT A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	6-9 TIMES	Count	3	6	9
		Expected Count	3.7	5.3	9.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:THOUGHT A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.8%	1.1%	1.0%
		% of Total	.3%	.6%	1.0%
	10 OR MORE TIMES	Count	3	4	7
		Expected Count	2.9	4.1	7.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:THOUGHT A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.8%	.7%	.7%
		% of Total	.3%	.4%	.7%
Total	Count	386	553	939	
	Expected Count	386.0	553.0	939.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS:THOUGHT A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.045 ^a	5	.410
Likelihood Ratio	4.989	5	.417
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.535	1	.111
N of Valid Cases	939		

a. 3 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.88.

PAST 30 DAYS:TOLD A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS:TOLD A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	0 TIMES	Count	322	453	775
		Expected Count	317.9	457.1	775.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:TOLD A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	41.5%	58.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	83.4%	81.6%	82.4%
		% of Total			

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS:TOLD A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	0 TIMES	% of Total	34.2%	48.1%	82.4%
	ONE TIME	Count	23	42	65
		Expected Count	26.7	38.3	65.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:TOLD A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	35.4%	64.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.0%	7.6%	6.9%
		% of Total	2.4%	4.5%	6.9%
	TWO TIMES	Count	26	31	57
		Expected Count	23.4	33.6	57.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:TOLD A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	45.6%	54.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.7%	5.6%	6.1%
		% of Total	2.8%	3.3%	6.1%
	3-5 TIMES	Count	11	25	36
		Expected Count	14.8	21.2	36.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:TOLD A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	30.6%	69.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.8%	4.5%	3.8%
		% of Total	1.2%	2.7%	3.8%
	6-9 TIMES	Count	2	0	2
		Expected Count	.8	1.2	2.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:TOLD A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.0%	.2%
% of Total		.2%	.0%	.2%	
10 OR MORE TIMES	Count	2	4	6	
	Expected Count	2.5	3.5	6.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS:TOLD A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	.5%	.7%	.6%	
	% of Total	.2%	.4%	.6%	
Total	Count	386	555	941	
	Expected Count	386.0	555.0	941.0	
	% within PAST 30 DAYS:TOLD A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.092 ^a	5	.297
Likelihood Ratio	6.851	5	.232
Linear-by-Linear Association	.322	1	.571
N of Valid Cases	941		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .82.

I FEEL VALUED AS A PERSON * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
I FEEL VALUED AS A PERSON	STRONGLY AGREE	Count	62	66	128
		Expected Count	52.4	75.6	128.0
		% within I FEEL VALUED AS A PERSON	48.4%	51.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	16.1%	11.8%	13.6%
		% of Total	6.6%	7.0%	13.6%
	AGREE	Count	154	242	396
		Expected Count	162.1	233.9	396.0
		% within I FEEL VALUED AS A PERSON	38.9%	61.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	39.9%	43.4%	42.0%
		% of Total	16.3%	25.7%	42.0%
	NEUTRAL	Count	120	183	303
		Expected Count	124.0	179.0	303.0
		% within I FEEL VALUED AS A PERSON	39.6%	60.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	31.1%	32.9%	32.1%
		% of Total	12.7%	19.4%	32.1%
	DISAGREE	Count	29	49	78
		Expected Count	31.9	46.1	78.0
		% within I FEEL VALUED AS A PERSON	37.2%	62.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.5%	8.8%	8.3%
		% of Total	3.1%	5.2%	8.3%
STRONGLY DISAGREE	Count	10	9	19	
	Expected Count	7.8	11.2	19.0	
	% within I FEEL VALUED AS A PERSON	52.6%	47.4%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	2.6%	1.6%	2.0%	
	% of Total	1.1%	1.0%	2.0%	
DONT KNOW	Count	11	8	19	
	Expected Count	7.8	11.2	19.0	
	% within I FEEL VALUED AS A PERSON	57.9%	42.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	2.8%	1.4%	2.0%	
	% of Total	1.2%	.8%	2.0%	
Total	Count	386	557	943	
	Expected Count	386.0	557.0	943.0	
	% within I FEEL VALUED AS A PERSON	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.678 ^a	5	.175
Likelihood Ratio	7.573	5	.181
Linear-by-Linear Association	.000	1	.996
N of Valid Cases	943		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.78.

I FEEL THAT FACULTY CARE ABOUT ME * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
I FEEL THAT FACULTY CARE ABOUT ME	STRONGLY AGREE	Count	91	78	169
		Expected Count	69.3	99.7	169.0
		% within I FEEL THAT FACULTY CARE ABOUT ME	53.8%	46.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	23.5%	14.0%	17.9%
		% of Total	9.6%	8.3%	17.9%
	AGREE	Count	170	301	471
		Expected Count	193.1	277.9	471.0
		% within I FEEL THAT FACULTY CARE ABOUT ME	36.1%	63.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	43.9%	54.0%	49.9%
		% of Total	18.0%	31.9%	49.9%
	NEUTRAL	Count	91	126	217
		Expected Count	89.0	128.0	217.0
		% within I FEEL THAT FACULTY CARE ABOUT ME	41.9%	58.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	23.5%	22.6%	23.0%
		% of Total	9.6%	13.3%	23.0%
	DISAGREE	Count	21	40	61
Expected Count		25.0	36.0	61.0	
% within I FEEL THAT FACULTY CARE ABOUT ME		34.4%	65.6%	100.0%	
% within Gender		5.4%	7.2%	6.5%	
% of Total		2.2%	4.2%	6.5%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
I FEEL THAT FACULTY CARE ABOUT ME	STRONGLY DISAGREE	Count	9	8	17
		Expected Count	7.0	10.0	17.0
		% within I FEEL THAT FACULTY CARE ABOUT ME	52.9%	47.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.3%	1.4%	1.8%
		% of Total	1.0%	.8%	1.8%
	DONT KNOW	Count	5	4	9
		Expected Count	3.7	5.3	9.0
		% within I FEEL THAT FACULTY CARE ABOUT ME	55.6%	44.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	.7%	1.0%
		% of Total	.5%	.4%	1.0%
Total		Count	387	557	944
		Expected Count	387.0	557.0	944.0
		% within I FEEL THAT FACULTY CARE ABOUT ME	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.176 ^a	5	.002
Likelihood Ratio	18.997	5	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.290	1	.256
N of Valid Cases	944		

a. 1 cells (8.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.69.

I HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO CONTRIBUTE * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
I HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO CONTRIBUTE	STRONGLY AGREE	Count	61	71	132
		Expected Count	54.0	78.0	132.0
		% within I HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO CONTRIBUTE	46.2%	53.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	15.8%	12.7%	14.0%
		% of Total	6.5%	7.5%	14.0%
	AGREE	Count	194	292	486
		Expected Count	198.9	287.1	486.0
		% within I HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO CONTRIBUTE	39.9%	60.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	50.3%	52.4%	51.5%
		% of Total	20.6%	31.0%	51.5%
	NEUTRAL	Count	94	164	258
		Expected Count	105.6	152.4	258.0
		% within I HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO CONTRIBUTE	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	24.4%	29.4%	27.4%
		% of Total	10.0%	17.4%	27.4%
	DISAGREE	Count	23	22	45
		Expected Count	18.4	26.6	45.0
		% within I HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO CONTRIBUTE	51.1%	48.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.0%	3.9%	4.8%
		% of Total	2.4%	2.3%	4.8%
STRONGLY DISAGREE	Count	10	2	12	
	Expected Count	4.9	7.1	12.0	
	% within I HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO CONTRIBUTE	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	2.6%	.4%	1.3%	
	% of Total	1.1%	.2%	1.3%	
DONT KNOW	Count	4	6	10	
	Expected Count	4.1	5.9	10.0	
	% within I HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO CONTRIBUTE	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.0%	1.1%	1.1%	
	% of Total	.4%	.6%	1.1%	
Total	Count	386	557	943	
	Expected Count	386.0	557.0	943.0	
	% within I HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO CONTRIBUTE	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.743 ^a	5	.012
Likelihood Ratio	14.954	5	.011
Linear-by-Linear Association	.166	1	.684
N of Valid Cases	943		

a. 2 cells (16.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.09.

MY CAMPUS ENCOURAGES ME TO HELP OTHERS * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
MY CAMPUS ENCOURAGES ME TO HELP OTHERS	STRONGLY AGREE	Count	58	60	118
		Expected Count	48.4	69.6	118.0
		% within MY CAMPUS ENCOURAGES ME TO HELP OTHERS	49.2%	50.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	15.0%	10.8%	12.5%
		% of Total	6.2%	6.4%	12.5%
	AGREE	Count	164	237	401
		Expected Count	164.6	236.4	401.0
		% within MY CAMPUS ENCOURAGES ME TO HELP OTHERS	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	42.4%	42.6%	42.5%
		% of Total	17.4%	25.1%	42.5%
	NEUTRAL	Count	120	200	320
		Expected Count	131.3	188.7	320.0
		% within MY CAMPUS ENCOURAGES ME TO HELP OTHERS	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	31.0%	36.0%	33.9%
		% of Total	12.7%	21.2%	33.9%
	DISAGREE	Count	30	46	76
		Expected Count	31.2	44.8	76.0
		% within MY CAMPUS ENCOURAGES ME TO HELP OTHERS	39.5%	60.5%	100.0%
% within Gender		7.8%	8.3%	8.1%	
% of Total		3.2%	4.9%	8.1%	
STRONGLY DISAGREE	Count	5	5	10	
	Expected Count	4.1	5.9	10.0	
	% within MY CAMPUS ENCOURAGES ME TO HELP OTHERS	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	1.3%	.9%	1.1%	
	% of Total	.5%	.5%	1.1%	
DONT KNOW	Count	10	8	18	
	Expected Count	7.4	10.6	18.0	
	% within MY CAMPUS ENCOURAGES ME TO HELP OTHERS	55.6%	44.4%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	2.6%	1.4%	1.9%	
	% of Total	1.1%	.8%	1.9%	
Total		Count	387	556	943
		Expected Count	387.0	556.0	943.0
		% within MY CAMPUS ENCOURAGES ME TO HELP OTHERS	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.846 ^a	5	.232
Likelihood Ratio	6.776	5	.238
Linear-by-Linear Association	.472	1	.492
N of Valid Cases	943		

a. 1 cells (8.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.10.

I ABIDE BY THE UNIVERSITY POLICY CONCERNING ALCOHOL * Gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
I ABIDE BY THE UNIVERSITY POLICY CONCERNING ALCOHOL	STRONGLY AGREE	Count	102	163	265
		Expected Count	108.6	156.4	265.0
		% within I ABIDE BY THE UNIVERSITY POLICY CONCERNING ALCOHOL	38.5%	61.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	26.4%	29.3%	28.1%
		% of Total	10.8%	17.3%	28.1%
	AGREE	Count	105	159	264
		Expected Count	108.2	155.8	264.0
		% within I ABIDE BY THE UNIVERSITY POLICY CONCERNING ALCOHOL	39.8%	60.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	27.1%	28.5%	28.0%
		% of Total	11.1%	16.8%	28.0%
	NEUTRAL	Count	86	125	211
		Expected Count	86.5	124.5	211.0
		% within I ABIDE BY THE UNIVERSITY POLICY CONCERNING ALCOHOL	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	22.2%	22.4%	22.4%
		% of Total	9.1%	13.2%	22.4%
	DISAGREE	Count	60	77	137
Expected Count		56.2	80.8	137.0	
% within I ABIDE BY THE UNIVERSITY POLICY CONCERNING ALCOHOL		43.8%	56.2%	100.0%	
% within Gender		15.5%	13.8%	14.5%	
% of Total		6.4%	8.2%	14.5%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
I ABIDE BY THE UNIVERSITY POLICY CONCERNING ALCOHOL	STRONGLY DISAGREE	Count	19	9	28
		Expected Count	11.5	16.5	28.0
		% within I ABIDE BY THE UNIVERSITY POLICY CONCERNING ALCOHOL	67.9%	32.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.9%	1.6%	3.0%
		% of Total	2.0%	1.0%	3.0%
	DONT KNOW	Count	15	24	39
		Expected Count	16.0	23.0	39.0
		% within I ABIDE BY THE UNIVERSITY POLICY CONCERNING ALCOHOL	38.5%	61.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.9%	4.3%	4.1%
		% of Total	1.6%	2.5%	4.1%
Total	Count	387	557	944	
	Expected Count	387.0	557.0	944.0	
	% within I ABIDE BY THE UNIVERSITY POLICY CONCERNING ALCOHOL	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.755 ^a	5	.082
Likelihood Ratio	9.622	5	.087
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.590	1	.108
N of Valid Cases	944		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 11.48.

OTHERS : INTERRPUTS STUDYING * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
OTHERS : INTERRUPTS STUDYING	NO	Count	238	297	535
		Expected Count	220.5	314.5	535.0
		% within OTHERS : INTERRUPTS STUDYING	44.5%	55.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	61.5%	53.8%	57.0%
		% of Total	25.3%	31.6%	57.0%
	YES	Count	149	255	404
		Expected Count	166.5	237.5	404.0
		% within OTHERS : INTERRUPTS STUDYING	36.9%	63.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	38.5%	46.2%	43.0%
		% of Total	15.9%	27.2%	43.0%
Total	Count	387	552	939	
	Expected Count	387.0	552.0	939.0	
	% within OTHERS : INTERRUPTS STUDYING	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.494 ^b	1	.019		
Continuity Correction ^a	5.185	1	.023		
Likelihood Ratio	5.515	1	.019		
Fisher's Exact Test				.019	.011
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.489	1	.019		
N of Valid Cases	939				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 166.50.

OTHERS : MAKES YOU FEEL UNSAFE * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
OTHERS : MAKES YOU FEEL UNSAFE	NO	Count	332	401	733
		Expected Count	300.7	432.3	733.0
		% within OTHERS : MAKES YOU FEEL UNSAFE	45.3%	54.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	86.5%	72.6%	78.3%
		% of Total	35.5%	42.8%	78.3%
	YES	Count	52	151	203
		Expected Count	83.3	119.7	203.0
		% within OTHERS : MAKES YOU FEEL UNSAFE	25.6%	74.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	13.5%	27.4%	21.7%
		% of Total	5.6%	16.1%	21.7%
Total	Count	384	552	936	
	Expected Count	384.0	552.0	936.0	
	% within OTHERS : MAKES YOU FEEL UNSAFE	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	25.442 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	24.635	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	26.591	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	25.415	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	936				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 83.28.

OTHERS : MESSES UP YOUR SPACE * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
OTHERS : MESSES UP YOUR SPACE	NO	Count	235	366	601
		Expected Count	247.7	353.3	601.0
		% within OTHERS : MESSES UP YOUR SPACE	39.1%	60.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	60.7%	66.3%	64.0%
		% of Total	25.0%	39.0%	64.0%
	YES	Count	152	186	338
		Expected Count	139.3	198.7	338.0
		% within OTHERS : MESSES UP YOUR SPACE	45.0%	55.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	39.3%	33.7%	36.0%
		% of Total	16.2%	19.8%	36.0%
Total	Count	387	552	939	
	Expected Count	387.0	552.0	939.0	
	% within OTHERS : MESSES UP YOUR SPACE	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.076 ^b	1	.079		
Continuity Correction ^a	2.838	1	.092		
Likelihood Ratio	3.066	1	.080		
Fisher's Exact Test				.084	.046
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.072	1	.080		
N of Valid Cases	939				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 139.30.

OTHERS : AFFECTS YOUR INVOLVEMENTS IN ORGANIZED GROUPS * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
OTHERS : AFFECTS YOUR INVOLVEMENTS IN ORGANIZED GROUPS	NO	Count	354	514	868
		Expected Count	358.2	509.8	868.0
		% within OTHERS : AFFECTS YOUR INVOLVEMENTS IN ORGANIZED GROUPS	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	91.9%	93.8%	93.0%
		% of Total	37.9%	55.1%	93.0%
	YES	Count	31	34	65
		Expected Count	26.8	38.2	65.0
		% within OTHERS : AFFECTS YOUR INVOLVEMENTS IN ORGANIZED GROUPS	47.7%	52.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.1%	6.2%	7.0%
		% of Total	3.3%	3.6%	7.0%
Total	Count	385	548	933	
	Expected Count	385.0	548.0	933.0	
	% within OTHERS : AFFECTS YOUR INVOLVEMENTS IN ORGANIZED GROUPS	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.191 ^b	1	.275		
Continuity Correction ^a	.923	1	.337		
Likelihood Ratio	1.177	1	.278		
Fisher's Exact Test				.297	.168
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.190	1	.275		
N of Valid Cases	933				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 26.82.

OTHERS : PREVENTS YOU FROM ENJOYING EVENTS * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
OTHERS : PREVENTS YOU FROM ENJOYING EVENTS	NO	Count	337	445	782
		Expected Count	321.7	460.3	782.0
		% within OTHERS : PREVENTS YOU FROM ENJOYING EVENTS	43.1%	56.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	88.0%	81.2%	84.0%
		% of Total	36.2%	47.8%	84.0%
	YES	Count	46	103	149
		Expected Count	61.3	87.7	149.0
		% within OTHERS : PREVENTS YOU FROM ENJOYING EVENTS	30.9%	69.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	12.0%	18.8%	16.0%
		% of Total	4.9%	11.1%	16.0%
Total	Count	383	548	931	
	Expected Count	383.0	548.0	931.0	
	% within OTHERS : PREVENTS YOU FROM ENJOYING EVENTS	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.721 ^b	1	.005		
Continuity Correction ^a	7.224	1	.007		
Likelihood Ratio	7.937	1	.005		
Fisher's Exact Test				.006	.003
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.712	1	.005		
N of Valid Cases	931				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 61.30.

OTHERS : INTERFERES IN OTHER WAYS * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
OTHERS : INTERFERES IN OTHER WAYS	NO	Count	262	329	591
		Expected Count	244.4	346.6	591.0
		% within OTHERS : INTERFERES IN OTHER WAYS	44.3%	55.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	67.7%	59.9%	63.1%
		% of Total	28.0%	35.1%	63.1%
	YES	Count	125	220	345
		Expected Count	142.6	202.4	345.0
		% within OTHERS : INTERFERES IN OTHER WAYS	36.2%	63.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	32.3%	40.1%	36.9%
		% of Total	13.4%	23.5%	36.9%
Total	Count	387	549	936	
	Expected Count	387.0	549.0	936.0	
	% within OTHERS : INTERFERES IN OTHER WAYS	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.893 ^b	1	.015		
Continuity Correction ^a	5.564	1	.018		
Likelihood Ratio	5.932	1	.015		
Fisher's Exact Test				.016	.009
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.887	1	.015		
N of Valid Cases	936				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 142.64.

OTHERS : DOESNT INTERFERE WITH MY LIFE * Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
OTHERS : DOESNT INTERFERE WITH MY LIFE	NO	Count	194	340	534
		Expected Count	220.5	313.5	534.0
		% within OTHERS : DOESNT INTERFERE WITH MY LIFE	36.3%	63.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	50.5%	62.3%	57.4%
		% of Total	20.9%	36.6%	57.4%
	YES	Count	190	206	396
		Expected Count	163.5	232.5	396.0
		% within OTHERS : DOESNT INTERFERE WITH MY LIFE	48.0%	52.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	49.5%	37.7%	42.6%
		% of Total	20.4%	22.2%	42.6%
Total	Count	384	546	930	
	Expected Count	384.0	546.0	930.0	
	% within OTHERS : DOESNT INTERFERE WITH MY LIFE	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.731 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	12.255	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	12.713	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.717	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	930				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 163.51.

Frequencies

Statistics

		Age	Avg # drinks/week
N	Valid	948	946
	Missing	7	9
Mean		21.22	6.80

Frequency counts and means for continuous variables

```
>Warning # 2003.  Command name: title  
>The title given exceeds 60 characters in length.  The first 60 characters  
>will be used.
```

Frequencies

Statistics

		Age	Avg # drinks/week
N	Valid	391	391
	Missing	0	0
Mean		21.59	10.08

Frequency Table

Age

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18	29	7.4	7.4	7.4
	19	73	18.7	18.7	26.1
	20	68	17.4	17.4	43.5
	21	82	21.0	21.0	64.5
	22	62	15.9	15.9	80.3
	23	21	5.4	5.4	85.7
	24	10	2.6	2.6	88.2
	25	13	3.3	3.3	91.6
	26	5	1.3	1.3	92.8
	27	4	1.0	1.0	93.9
	28	3	.8	.8	94.6
	29	4	1.0	1.0	95.7
	30	5	1.3	1.3	96.9
	32	1	.3	.3	97.2
	33	2	.5	.5	97.7
	34	1	.3	.3	98.0
	35	1	.3	.3	98.2
	36	1	.3	.3	98.5
	37	2	.5	.5	99.0
	38	1	.3	.3	99.2
39	1	.3	.3	99.5	
44	1	.3	.3	99.7	
51	1	.3	.3	100.0	
Total		391	100.0	100.0	

Frequency counts and means for continuous variables, men onl

Avg # drinks/week

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	90	23.0	23.0	23.0
	1	33	8.4	8.4	31.5
	2	26	6.6	6.6	38.1
	3	17	4.3	4.3	42.5
	4	13	3.3	3.3	45.8
	5	23	5.9	5.9	51.7
	6	7	1.8	1.8	53.5
	7	9	2.3	2.3	55.8
	8	10	2.6	2.6	58.3
	9	1	.3	.3	58.6
	10	28	7.2	7.2	65.7
	12	11	2.8	2.8	68.5
	13	1	.3	.3	68.8
	14	5	1.3	1.3	70.1
	15	31	7.9	7.9	78.0
	16	2	.5	.5	78.5
	18	8	2.0	2.0	80.6
	19	1	.3	.3	80.8
	20	20	5.1	5.1	85.9
	24	1	.3	.3	86.2
	25	11	2.8	2.8	89.0
	26	1	.3	.3	89.3
	30	16	4.1	4.1	93.4
	32	1	.3	.3	93.6
	33	1	.3	.3	93.9
	35	7	1.8	1.8	95.7
	36	2	.5	.5	96.2
	40	6	1.5	1.5	97.7
	45	3	.8	.8	98.5
	50	4	1.0	1.0	99.5
	60	1	.3	.3	99.7
	67	1	.3	.3	100.0
	Total	391	100.0	100.0	

>Warning # 2003. Command name: title
 >The title given exceeds 60 characters in length. The first 60 characters
 >will be used.

Frequency counts and means for continuous variables, women on

Frequencies

Statistics

		Age	Avg # drinks/week
N	Valid	557	555
	Missing	2	4
Mean		20.96	4.49

Frequency Table

Age

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18	72	12.9	12.9	12.9
	19	120	21.5	21.5	34.5
	20	120	21.5	21.5	56.0
	21	110	19.7	19.7	75.8
	22	56	10.0	10.1	85.8
	23	26	4.7	4.7	90.5
	24	9	1.6	1.6	92.1
	25	9	1.6	1.6	93.7
	26	4	.7	.7	94.4
	27	6	1.1	1.1	95.5
	28	5	.9	.9	96.4
	29	3	.5	.5	96.9
	30	2	.4	.4	97.3
	31	1	.2	.2	97.5
	32	2	.4	.4	97.8
	33	2	.4	.4	98.2
	34	2	.4	.4	98.6
	38	2	.4	.4	98.9
	39	1	.2	.2	99.1
	41	1	.2	.2	99.3
44	2	.4	.4	99.6	
45	1	.2	.2	99.8	
47	1	.2	.2	100.0	
Total		557	99.6	100.0	
Missing	System	2	.4		
Total		559	100.0		

Frequency counts and means for continuous variables, women on

Avg # drinks/week

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	180	32.2	32.4	32.4
	1	61	10.9	11.0	43.4
	2	56	10.0	10.1	53.5
	3	45	8.1	8.1	61.6
	4	28	5.0	5.0	66.7
	5	36	6.4	6.5	73.2
	6	16	2.9	2.9	76.0
	7	12	2.1	2.2	78.2
	8	15	2.7	2.7	80.9
	9	6	1.1	1.1	82.0
	10	37	6.6	6.7	88.6
	12	21	3.8	3.8	92.4
	13	1	.2	.2	92.6
	14	1	.2	.2	92.8
	15	11	2.0	2.0	94.8
	16	1	.2	.2	95.0
	17	1	.2	.2	95.1
	20	13	2.3	2.3	97.5
	24	2	.4	.4	97.8
	25	4	.7	.7	98.6
	30	4	.7	.7	99.3
	35	1	.2	.2	99.5
	40	2	.4	.4	99.8
	50	1	.2	.2	100.0
	Total	555	99.3	100.0	
Missing	System	4	.7		
Total		559	100.0		

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
5+ drinks in last 2 wks * AGE	946	99.1%	9	.9%	955	100.0%

Frequency counts and means for continuous variables, women on

5+ drinks in last 2 wks * AGE Crosstabulation

			AGE			
			LO THRU 18	19 THRU 20	21 THRU 22	23 THRU 24
5+ drinks in last 2 wks	None	Count	52	171	108	31
		% within AGE	51.5%	45.0%	35.0%	47.0%
	Once	Count	12	56	54	12
		% within AGE	11.9%	14.7%	17.5%	18.2%
	Twice	Count	13	59	40	7
		% within AGE	12.9%	15.5%	12.9%	10.6%
	3-5 times	Count	18	65	77	12
		% within AGE	17.8%	17.1%	24.9%	18.2%
	6-9 times	Count	5	25	26	3
		% within AGE	5.0%	6.6%	8.4%	4.5%
	10+ times	Count	1	4	4	1
		% within AGE	1.0%	1.1%	1.3%	1.5%
	Total	Count	101	380	309	66
		% within AGE	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency counts and means for continous variables, women on

5+ drinks in last 2 wks * AGE Crosstabulation

			AGE			Total
			25 THRU 30	31 THRU 40	41 THRU HI	
5+ drinks in last 2 wks	None	Count	31	16	7	416
		% within AGE	49.2%	80.0%	100.0%	44.0%
	Once	Count	12	3	0	149
		% within AGE	19.0%	15.0%	.0%	15.8%
	Twice	Count	10	0	0	129
		% within AGE	15.9%	.0%	.0%	13.6%
	3-5 times	Count	8	1	0	181
		% within AGE	12.7%	5.0%	.0%	19.1%
	6-9 times	Count	1	0	0	60
		% within AGE	1.6%	.0%	.0%	6.3%
	10+ times	Count	1	0	0	11
		% within AGE	1.6%	.0%	.0%	1.2%
	Total	Count	63	20	7	946
		% within AGE	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
5+ drinks in last 2 wks * gpa	948	99.3%	7	.7%	955	100.0%

5+ drinks in last 2 wks * gpa Crosstabulation

			gpa			
			F	D	C	B
5+ drinks in last 2 wks	None	Count	0	5	52	186
		% within gpa	.0%	41.7%	33.8%	40.7%
	Once	Count	0	2	29	66
		% within gpa	.0%	16.7%	18.8%	14.4%
	Twice	Count	0	1	24	68
		% within gpa	.0%	8.3%	15.6%	14.9%
	3-5 times	Count	0	2	36	90
		% within gpa	.0%	16.7%	23.4%	19.7%
	6-9 times	Count	1	2	11	39
		% within gpa	100.0%	16.7%	7.1%	8.5%
	10+ times	Count	0	0	2	8
		% within gpa	.0%	.0%	1.3%	1.8%
	Total	Count	1	12	154	457
		% within gpa	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency counts and means for continuous variables, women on

5+ drinks in last 2 wks * gpa Crosstabulation

			gpa	
			A	Total
5+ drinks in last 2 wks	None	Count	175	418
		% within gpa	54.0%	44.1%
	Once	Count	52	149
		% within gpa	16.0%	15.7%
	Twice	Count	36	129
		% within gpa	11.1%	13.6%
	3-5 times	Count	53	181
		% within gpa	16.4%	19.1%
6-9 times	Count	7	60	
	% within gpa	2.2%	6.3%	
10+ times	Count	1	11	
	% within gpa	.3%	1.2%	
Total	Count	324	948	
	% within gpa	100.0%	100.0%	

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
5+ drinks in last 2 wks * Ethnic origin	947	99.2%	8	.8%	955	100.0%

5+ drinks in last 2 wks * Ethnic origin Crosstabulation

			Ethnic origin			
			Amer Ind/AK native	Hispanic	Asian/Pac Isl	White (non-Hisp)
5+ drinks in last 2 wks	None	Count	2	21	12	363
		% within Ethnic origin	40.0%	63.6%	54.5%	42.7%
	Once	Count	0	1	3	137
		% within Ethnic origin	.0%	3.0%	13.6%	16.1%
	Twice	Count	1	1	3	123
		% within Ethnic origin	20.0%	3.0%	13.6%	14.5%
	3-5 times	Count	1	7	4	163
		% within Ethnic origin	20.0%	21.2%	18.2%	19.2%
6-9 times	Count	1	2	0	55	
	% within Ethnic origin	20.0%	6.1%	.0%	6.5%	
10+ times	Count	0	1	0	10	
	% within Ethnic origin	.0%	3.0%	.0%	1.2%	
Total	Count	5	33	22	851	
	% within Ethnic origin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

5+ drinks in last 2 wks * Ethnic origin Crosstabulation

			Ethnic origin		Total
			Black (non-Hisp)	Other	
5+ drinks in last 2 wks	None	Count	16	3	417
		% within Ethnic origin	61.5%	30.0%	44.0%
	Once	Count	7	1	149
		% within Ethnic origin	26.9%	10.0%	15.7%
	Twice	Count	0	1	129
		% within Ethnic origin	.0%	10.0%	13.6%
	3-5 times	Count	3	3	181
		% within Ethnic origin	11.5%	30.0%	19.1%
	6-9 times	Count	0	2	60
		% within Ethnic origin	.0%	20.0%	6.3%
	10+ times	Count	0	0	11
		% within Ethnic origin	.0%	.0%	1.2%
	Total	Count	26	10	947
		% within Ethnic origin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%