

The following are the SPSS results of the Core Drug & Alcohol Survey conducted at Illinois State University.

## Frequencies

### Statistics

	Sp code row A	Sp code row B	Sp code row C	Sp code row D	Sp code row E
N	0	0	0	0	0
Valid	701	701	701	701	701

## Frequency Table

### Sp code row A

	Frequency	Percent
Missing	701	100.0

### Sp code row B

	Frequency	Percent
Missing	701	100.0

### Sp code row C

	Frequency	Percent
Missing	701	100.0

### Sp code row D

	Frequency	Percent
Missing	701	100.0

### Sp code row E

	Frequency	Percent
Missing	701	100.0

## Crosstabs

### Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Classification * Gender	696	99.3%	5	.7%	701	100.0%
Ethnic origin * Gender	695	99.1%	6	.9%	701	100.0%
Marital status * Gender	695	99.1%	6	.9%	701	100.0%
Residence * Gender	695	99.1%	6	.9%	701	100.0%
Working * Gender	695	99.1%	6	.9%	701	100.0%
LIVING ARRAGEMENTS:WHERE * Gender	696	99.3%	5	.7%	701	100.0%
LIVING WITH ROOMATE * Gender	696	99.3%	5	.7%	701	100.0%
LIVING WITH ALONE * Gender	696	99.3%	5	.7%	701	100.0%
LIVING WITH PARENTS * Gender	696	99.3%	5	.7%	701	100.0%
LIVING WITH SPOUSE * Gender	696	99.3%	5	.7%	701	100.0%
LIVING WITH CHILDREN * Gender	696	99.3%	5	.7%	701	100.0%
LIVING WITH OTHER * Gender	696	99.3%	5	.7%	701	100.0%
Grades * Gender	695	99.1%	6	.9%	701	100.0%
AVAILABIILTY :DRUGS * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
AVAILABIILTY :ALCOHOL * Gender	692	98.7%	9	1.3%	701	100.0%
Student status * Gender	694	99.0%	7	1.0%	701	100.0%
Campus has a/d policies * Gender	695	99.1%	6	.9%	701	100.0%
Campus a/d policies enforced * Gender	695	99.1%	6	.9%	701	100.0%
Campus has a/d prev prog * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Campus concerned w/ a/d prev * Gender	694	99.0%	7	1.0%	701	100.0%
I am involved in a/d prev * Gender	694	99.0%	7	1.0%	701	100.0%
Permanent residence * Gender	694	99.0%	7	1.0%	701	100.0%
5+ drinks in last 2 wks * Gender	695	99.1%	6	.9%	701	100.0%
First use: tobacco * Gender	695	99.1%	6	.9%	701	100.0%
First use: alcohol * Gender	694	99.0%	7	1.0%	701	100.0%
First use: marijuana * Gender	695	99.1%	6	.9%	701	100.0%
First use: cocaine * Gender	694	99.0%	7	1.0%	701	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Case Processing Summary**

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
First use: amphetamines *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
First use: sedatives *	692	98.7%	9	1.3%	701	100.0%
Gender						
First use: hallucinogens *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
First use: opiates *	690	98.4%	11	1.6%	701	100.0%
Gender						
First use: inhalants *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
First use: designer *	692	98.7%	9	1.3%	701	100.0%
Gender						
First use: steroids *	694	99.0%	7	1.0%	701	100.0%
Gender						
First use: other * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use last yr: tobacco *	689	98.3%	12	1.7%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use last yr: alcohol *	691	98.6%	10	1.4%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use last yr: marijuana *	690	98.4%	11	1.6%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use last yr: cocaine *	689	98.3%	12	1.7%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use last yr: amphetamines *	686	97.9%	15	2.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use last yr: sedatives *	689	98.3%	12	1.7%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use last yr: hallucinogens *	688	98.1%	13	1.9%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use last yr: opiates *	684	97.6%	17	2.4%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use last yr: inhalants *	689	98.3%	12	1.7%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use last yr: designer *	690	98.4%	11	1.6%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use last yr: steroids *	690	98.4%	11	1.6%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use last yr: other * Gender	691	98.6%	10	1.4%	701	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS						
USE:TOBACCO * Gender	689	98.3%	12	1.7%	701	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS						
USE:ALCOHOL * Gender	689	98.3%	12	1.7%	701	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS						
USE:MARIJUANA * Gender	687	98.0%	14	2.0%	701	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS						
USE:COCAINE * Gender	689	98.3%	12	1.7%	701	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS						
USE:AMPHETAMINES * Gender	689	98.3%	12	1.7%	701	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Case Processing Summary**

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
PAST 30 DAYS USE:SEDATIVES * Gender	689	98.3%	12	1.7%	701	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS USE:HALLUCINOGENS * Gender	690	98.4%	11	1.6%	701	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS USE:OPIATES * Gender	689	98.3%	12	1.7%	701	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS USE:INHALANTS * Gender	690	98.4%	11	1.6%	701	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS USE:DESIGNER * Gender	691	98.6%	10	1.4%	701	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS USE:STEROIDS * Gender	690	98.4%	11	1.6%	701	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS USE:OTHER * Gender	688	98.1%	13	1.9%	701	100.0%
AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO * Gender	691	98.6%	10	1.4%	701	100.0%
AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL * Gender	691	98.6%	10	1.4%	701	100.0%
AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA * Gender	691	98.6%	10	1.4%	701	100.0%
AVERAGE USE:COCAINE * Gender	690	98.4%	11	1.6%	701	100.0%
AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES * Gender	687	98.0%	14	2.0%	701	100.0%
AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES * Gender	687	98.0%	14	2.0%	701	100.0%
AVERAGE USE:HALLUCINOGENS * Gender	688	98.1%	13	1.9%	701	100.0%
AVERAGE USE:OPIATES * Gender	690	98.4%	11	1.6%	701	100.0%
AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS * Gender	686	97.9%	15	2.1%	701	100.0%
AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER * Gender	687	98.0%	14	2.0%	701	100.0%
AVERAGE USE:STEROIDS * Gender	687	98.0%	14	2.0%	701	100.0%
AVERAGE USE:OTHER * Gender	683	97.4%	18	2.6%	701	100.0%

**Classification \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

Classification	Category	Count	Gender			Total
			Male	Female	Unknown	
Classification	Freshman	Count	65	102	0	167
		Expected Count	73.2	93.6	.2	167.0
		% within Classification	38.9%	61.1%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	21.3%	26.2%	.0%	24.0%
		% of Total	9.3%	14.7%	.0%	24.0%
	Sophomore	Count	48	65	0	113
		Expected Count	49.5	63.3	.2	113.0
		% within Classification	42.5%	57.5%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	15.7%	16.7%	.0%	16.2%
		% of Total	6.9%	9.3%	.0%	16.2%
	Junior	Count	81	107	0	188
		Expected Count	82.4	105.3	.3	188.0
		% within Classification	43.1%	56.9%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	26.6%	27.4%	.0%	27.0%
		% of Total	11.6%	15.4%	.0%	27.0%
	Senior	Count	108	113	1	222
		Expected Count	97.3	124.4	.3	222.0
		% within Classification	48.6%	50.9%	.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	35.4%	29.0%	100.0%	31.9%
		% of Total	15.5%	16.2%	.1%	31.9%
	Grad/professional	Count	2	1	0	3
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	.0	3.0
		% within Classification	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.3%	.0%	.4%
		% of Total	.3%	.1%	.0%	.4%
	Other	Count	1	2	0	3
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	.0	3.0
		% within Classification	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.5%	.0%	.4%
		% of Total	.1%	.3%	.0%	.4%
	Total	Count	305	390	1	696
		Expected Count	305.0	390.0	1.0	696.0
		% within Classification	43.8%	56.0%	.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.8%	56.0%	.1%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.942 <sup>a</sup>	10	.731
Likelihood Ratio	7.100	10	.716
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.011	1	.083
N of Valid Cases	696		

a. 10 cells (55.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .00.

**Ethnic origin \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Ethnic origin	Amer Ind/AK native	Count	2	1	3
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0
		% within Ethnic origin	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.3%	.4%
		% of Total	.3%	.1%	.4%
	Hispanic	Count	11	7	18
		Expected Count	7.9	10.1	18.0
		% within Ethnic origin	61.1%	38.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.6%	1.8%	2.6%
		% of Total	1.6%	1.0%	2.6%
	Asian/Pac Isl	Count	9	5	14
		Expected Count	6.1	7.9	14.0
		% within Ethnic origin	64.3%	35.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.0%	1.3%	2.0%
		% of Total	1.3%	.7%	2.0%
	White (non-Hisp)	Count	271	354	625
		Expected Count	274.3	350.7	625.0
		% within Ethnic origin	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	88.9%	90.8%	89.9%
		% of Total	39.0%	50.9%	89.9%
	Black (non-Hisp)	Count	5	16	21
		Expected Count	9.2	11.8	21.0
		% within Ethnic origin	23.8%	76.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.6%	4.1%	3.0%
		% of Total	.7%	2.3%	3.0%
	Other	Count	7	7	14
		Expected Count	6.1	7.9	14.0
		% within Ethnic origin	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.3%	1.8%	2.0%
		% of Total	1.0%	1.0%	2.0%
Total		Count	305	390	695
		Expected Count	305.0	390.0	695.0
		% within Ethnic origin	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.887 <sup>a</sup>	5	.114
Likelihood Ratio	9.088	5	.106
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.957	1	.047
N of Valid Cases	695		

a. 2 cells (16.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.32.

### Marital status \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Marital status	Single	Count	288	370	658
		Expected Count	289.7	368.3	658.0
		% within Marital status	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	94.1%	95.1%	94.7%
		% of Total	41.4%	53.2%	94.7%
	Married	Count	16	14	30
		Expected Count	13.2	16.8	30.0
		% within Marital status	53.3%	46.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.2%	3.6%	4.3%
		% of Total	2.3%	2.0%	4.3%
	Separated	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Marital status	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.3%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
	Divorced	Count	1	4	5
		Expected Count	2.2	2.8	5.0
		% within Marital status	20.0%	80.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	1.0%	.7%
		% of Total	.1%	.6%	.7%
	Widowed	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Marital status	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	306	389	695
		Expected Count	306.0	389.0	695.0
		% within Marital status	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.301 <sup>a</sup>	4	.367
Likelihood Ratio	5.143	4	.273
Linear-by-Linear Association	.013	1	.909
N of Valid Cases	695		

a. 6 cells (60.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

**Residence \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Residence	On campus	Count	143	189	332
		Expected Count	146.2	185.8	332.0
		% within Residence	43.1%	56.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	46.7%	48.6%	47.8%
		% of Total	20.6%	27.2%	47.8%
	Off campus	Count	163	200	363
		Expected Count	159.8	203.2	363.0
		% within Residence	44.9%	55.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	53.3%	51.4%	52.2%
		% of Total	23.5%	28.8%	52.2%
Total	Count	306	389	695	
	Expected Count	306.0	389.0	695.0	
	% within Residence	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.236 <sup>b</sup>	1	.627		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.168	1	.682		
Likelihood Ratio	.236	1	.627		
Fisher's Exact Test				.647	.341
Linear-by-Linear Association	.236	1	.627		
N of Valid Cases	695				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 146.18.

**Working \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Working	Full time	Count	13	15	28
		Expected Count	12.3	15.7	28.0
		% within Working	46.4%	53.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.2%	3.9%	4.0%
		% of Total	1.9%	2.2%	4.0%
	Part time	Count	149	201	350
		Expected Count	154.1	195.9	350.0
		% within Working	42.6%	57.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	48.7%	51.7%	50.4%
		% of Total	21.4%	28.9%	50.4%
	No	Count	144	173	317
		Expected Count	139.6	177.4	317.0
		% within Working	45.4%	54.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	47.1%	44.5%	45.6%
		% of Total	20.7%	24.9%	45.6%
Total		Count	306	389	695
		Expected Count	306.0	389.0	695.0
		% within Working	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.618 <sup>a</sup>	2	.734
Likelihood Ratio	.618	2	.734
Linear-by-Linear Association	.254	1	.614
N of Valid Cases	695		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 12.33.

## LIVING ARRAGEMENTS:WHERE \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender	
			Male	Female
LIVING ARRAGEMENTS: WHERE	HOUSE/APARTMENT	Count	171	203
		Expected Count	164.4	209.6
		% within LIVING ARRAGEMENTS:WHERE	45.7%	54.3%
	RESIDENCE HALL	% within Gender	55.9%	52.1%
		% of Total	24.6%	29.2%
		Count	127	179
APPROVED HOUSING	RESIDENCE HALL	Expected Count	134.5	171.5
		% within LIVING ARRAGEMENTS:WHERE	41.5%	58.5%
		% within Gender	41.5%	45.9%
	APPROVED HOUSING	% of Total	18.2%	25.7%
		Count	1	2
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7
FRATERNITY/ SORORITY	APPROVED HOUSING	% within LIVING ARRAGEMENTS:WHERE	33.3%	66.7%
		% within Gender	.3%	.5%
		% of Total	.1%	.3%
	FRATERNITY/ SORORITY	Count	6	3
		Expected Count	4.0	5.0
		% within LIVING ARRAGEMENTS:WHERE	66.7%	33.3%
OTHER	FRATERNITY/ SORORITY	% within Gender	2.0%	.8%
		% of Total	.9%	.4%
	OTHER	Count	1	3
		Expected Count	1.8	2.2
		% within LIVING ARRAGEMENTS:WHERE	25.0%	75.0%
	OTHER	% within Gender	.3%	.8%
		% of Total	.1%	.4%
Total		Count	306	390
		Expected Count	306.0	390.0
		% within LIVING ARRAGEMENTS:WHERE	44.0%	56.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	44.0%	56.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Total
LIVING ARRAGEMENTS: WHERE	HOUSE/APARTMENT	Count	374
		Expected Count	374.0
		% within LIVING ARRAGEMENTS:WHERE	100.0%
		% within Gender	53.7%
		% of Total	53.7%
	RESIDENCE HALL	Count	306
		Expected Count	306.0
		% within LIVING ARRAGEMENTS:WHERE	100.0%
		% within Gender	44.0%
		% of Total	44.0%
	APPROVED HOUSING	Count	3
		Expected Count	3.0
		% within LIVING ARRAGEMENTS:WHERE	100.0%
		% within Gender	.4%
		% of Total	.4%
	FRATERNITY/ SORORITY	Count	9
		Expected Count	9.0
		% within LIVING ARRAGEMENTS:WHERE	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%
		% of Total	1.3%
	OTHER	Count	4
		Expected Count	4.0
		% within LIVING ARRAGEMENTS:WHERE	100.0%
		% within Gender	.6%
		% of Total	.6%
Total		Count	696
		Expected Count	696.0
		% within LIVING ARRAGEMENTS:WHERE	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%
		% of Total	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.826 <sup>a</sup>	4	.430
Likelihood Ratio	3.864	4	.425
Linear-by-Linear Association	.371	1	.543
N of Valid Cases	696		

a. 5 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.32.

**LIVING WITH ROOMATE \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
LIVING WITH ROOMATE	NO	Count	86	98	184
		Expected Count	80.9	103.1	184.0
		% within LIVING WITH ROOMATE	46.7%	53.3%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	28.1%	25.1%	26.4%
		% of Total	12.4%	14.1%	26.4%
		Count	220	292	512
Total	NO	Expected Count	225.1	286.9	512.0
		% within LIVING WITH ROOMATE	43.0%	57.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	71.9%	74.9%	73.6%
	YES	% of Total	31.6%	42.0%	73.6%
		Count	306	390	696
		Expected Count	306.0	390.0	696.0
	Total	% within LIVING WITH ROOMATE	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.781 <sup>b</sup>	1	.377		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.636	1	.425		
Likelihood Ratio	.779	1	.377		
Fisher's Exact Test				.388	.213
Linear-by-Linear Association	.780	1	.377		
N of Valid Cases	696				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 80.90.

**LIVING WITH ALONE \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
LIVING WITH ALONE	NO	Count	255	343	598
		Expected Count	262.9	335.1	598.0
		% within LIVING WITH ALONE	42.6%	57.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	83.3%	87.9%	85.9%
		% of Total	36.6%	49.3%	85.9%
	YES	Count	51	47	98
		Expected Count	43.1	54.9	98.0
		% within LIVING WITH ALONE	52.0%	48.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	16.7%	12.1%	14.1%
		% of Total	7.3%	6.8%	14.1%
Total	Count	306	390	696	
	Expected Count	306.0	390.0	696.0	
	% within LIVING WITH ALONE	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.019 <sup>b</sup>	1	.082		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	2.650	1	.104		
Likelihood Ratio	2.998	1	.083		
Fisher's Exact Test				.099	.052
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.015	1	.083		
N of Valid Cases	696				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 43.09.

**LIVING WITH PARENTS \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
LIVING WITH PARENTS	NO	Count	285	368	653
		Expected Count	287.1	365.9	653.0
		% within LIVING WITH PARENTS	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	93.1%	94.4%	93.8%
		% of Total	40.9%	52.9%	93.8%
	YES	Count	21	22	43
		Expected Count	18.9	24.1	43.0
		% within LIVING WITH PARENTS	48.8%	51.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.9%	5.6%	6.2%
		% of Total	3.0%	3.2%	6.2%
Total	Count	306	390	696	
	Expected Count	306.0	390.0	696.0	
	% within LIVING WITH PARENTS	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.442 <sup>b</sup>	1	.506		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.256	1	.613		
Likelihood Ratio	.439	1	.508		
Fisher's Exact Test				.529	.305
Linear-by-Linear Association	.441	1	.507		
N of Valid Cases	696				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 18.91.

## LIVING WITH SPOUSE \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
LIVING WITH SPOUSE	NO	Count	291	374	665
		Expected Count	292.4	372.6	665.0
		% within LIVING WITH SPOUSE	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	95.1%	95.9%	95.5%
		% of Total	41.8%	53.7%	95.5%
	YES	Count	15	16	31
		Expected Count	13.6	17.4	31.0
		% within LIVING WITH SPOUSE	48.4%	51.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.9%	4.1%	4.5%
		% of Total	2.2%	2.3%	4.5%
Total	Count	306	390	696	
	Expected Count	306.0	390.0	696.0	
	% within LIVING WITH SPOUSE	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.257 <sup>b</sup>	1	.612		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.104	1	.747		
Likelihood Ratio	.256	1	.613		
Fisher's Exact Test				.712	.372
Linear-by-Linear Association	.257	1	.612		
N of Valid Cases	696				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 13.63.

**LIVING WITH CHILDREN \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
LIVING WITH CHILDREN	NO	Count	302	380	682
		Expected Count	299.8	382.2	682.0
		% within LIVING WITH CHILDREN	44.3%	55.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	98.7%	97.4%	98.0%
		% of Total	43.4%	54.6%	98.0%
	YES	Count	4	10	14
		Expected Count	6.2	7.8	14.0
		% within LIVING WITH CHILDREN	28.6%	71.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	2.6%	2.0%
		% of Total	.6%	1.4%	2.0%
Total		Count	306	390	696
		Expected Count	306.0	390.0	696.0
		% within LIVING WITH CHILDREN	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.374 <sup>b</sup>	1	.241		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.811	1	.368		
Likelihood Ratio	1.434	1	.231		
Fisher's Exact Test				.286	.185
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.372	1	.241		
N of Valid Cases	696				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.16.

**LIVING WITH OTHER \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
LIVING WITH OTHER	NO	Count	302	381	683
		Expected Count	300.3	382.7	683.0
		% within LIVING WITH OTHER	44.2%	55.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	98.7%	97.7%	98.1%
		% of Total	43.4%	54.7%	98.1%
	YES	Count	4	9	13
		Expected Count	5.7	7.3	13.0
		% within LIVING WITH OTHER	30.8%	69.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	2.3%	1.9%
		% of Total	.6%	1.3%	1.9%
Total		Count	306	390	696
		Expected Count	306.0	390.0	696.0
		% within LIVING WITH OTHER	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.936 <sup>b</sup>	1	.333		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.470	1	.493		
Likelihood Ratio	.969	1	.325		
Fisher's Exact Test				.406	.249
Linear-by-Linear Association	.935	1	.334		
N of Valid Cases	696				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.72.

## Grades \* Gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Grades	D-	Count	2	0	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within Grades	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.0%	.3%
		% of Total	.3%	.0%	.3%
	A	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.5	.5	1.0
		% within Grades	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%
		% within Gender	.5%	.5%	.5%
		% of Total	.5%	.5%	.5%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Grades	D	Count	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6
		% within Grades	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.3%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%
	D+	Count	1	3
		Expected Count	1.8	2.2
		% within Grades	25.0%	75.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.8%
		% of Total	.1%	.6%
	C-	Count	6	8
		Expected Count	6.1	7.9
		% within Grades	42.9%	57.1%
		% within Gender	2.0%	2.1%
		% of Total	.9%	1.2%
	C	Count	30	31
		Expected Count	26.8	34.2
		% within Grades	49.2%	50.8%
		% within Gender	9.8%	7.9%
		% of Total	4.3%	4.5%
	C+	Count	35	28
		Expected Count	27.6	35.4
		% within Grades	55.6%	44.4%
		% within Gender	11.5%	7.2%
		% of Total	5.0%	4.0%
	B-	Count	39	42
		Expected Count	35.5	45.5
		% within Grades	48.1%	51.9%
		% within Gender	12.8%	10.8%
		% of Total	5.6%	6.0%
	B	Count	70	79
		Expected Count	65.4	83.6
		% within Grades	47.0%	53.0%
		% within Gender	23.0%	20.3%
		% of Total	10.1%	11.4%
	B+	Count	42	66
		Expected Count	47.4	60.6
		% within Grades	38.9%	61.1%
		% within Gender	13.8%	16.9%
		% of Total	6.0%	9.5%
	A-	Count	29	55
		Expected Count	36.9	47.1
		% within Grades	34.5%	65.5%
		% within Gender	9.5%	14.1%
		% of Total	4.2%	7.9%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Grades	A	Count	41	59
		Expected Count	43.9	56.1
		% within Grades	41.0%	59.0%
		% within Gender	13.4%	15.1%
		% of Total	5.9%	8.5%
	A+	Count	10	18
		Expected Count	12.3	15.7
		% within Grades	35.7%	64.3%
		% within Gender	3.3%	4.6%
		% of Total	1.4%	2.6%
Total		Count	305	390
		Expected Count	305.0	390.0
		% within Grades	43.9%	56.1%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.462 <sup>a</sup>	11	.208
Likelihood Ratio	15.656	11	.154
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.038	1	.014
N of Valid Cases	695		

a. 6 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

**AVAILABILITY :DRUGS \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVAILABILITY :DRUGS	Available	Count	87	59	146
		Expected Count	63.8	82.2	146.0
		% within AVAILABILITY :DRUGS	59.6%	40.4%	100.0%
	Not available	% within Gender	28.7%	15.1%	21.1%
		% of Total	12.6%	8.5%	21.1%
		Count	216	331	547
Total	Available	Expected Count	239.2	307.8	547.0
		% within AVAILABILITY :DRUGS	39.5%	60.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	71.3%	84.9%	78.9%
	Not available	% of Total	31.2%	47.8%	78.9%
		Count	303	390	693
		Expected Count	303.0	390.0	693.0
	Total	% within AVAILABILITY :DRUGS	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	18.923 <sup>b</sup>	1	.000		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	18.115	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	18.811	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	18.896	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 63.84.

#### AVAILABILITY :ALCOHOL \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVAILABILITY :ALCOHOL	Available	Count	260	301	561
		Expected Count	244.8	316.2	561.0
		% within AVAILABILITY :ALCOHOL	46.3%	53.7%	100.0%
	Not available	% within Gender	86.1%	77.2%	81.1%
		% of Total	37.6%	43.5%	81.1%
		Count	42	89	131
Total	Available	Expected Count	57.2	73.8	131.0
		% within AVAILABILITY :ALCOHOL	32.1%	67.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	13.9%	22.8%	18.9%
	Not available	% of Total	6.1%	12.9%	18.9%
		Count	302	390	692
		Expected Count	302.0	390.0	692.0
	Total	% within AVAILABILITY :ALCOHOL	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.811 <sup>b</sup>	1	.003		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	8.240	1	.004		
Likelihood Ratio	9.022	1	.003	.003	.002
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.798	1	.003		
N of Valid Cases	692				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 57.17.

#### Student status \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Student status	FULL TIME	Count	288	376	664
		Expected Count	290.9	373.1	664.0
		% within Student status	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	94.7%	96.4%	95.7%
		% of Total	41.5%	54.2%	95.7%
	PART TIME	Count	16	14	30
		Expected Count	13.1	16.9	30.0
		% within Student status	53.3%	46.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.3%	3.6%	4.3%
		% of Total	2.3%	2.0%	4.3%
Total		Count	304	390	694
		Expected Count	304.0	390.0	694.0
		% within Student status	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.157 <sup>b</sup>	1	.282		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.787	1	.375		
Likelihood Ratio	1.146	1	.284		
Fisher's Exact Test				.347	.187
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.155	1	.283		
N of Valid Cases	694				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 13.14.

**Campus has a/d policies \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Campus has a/d policies	Yes	Count	275	346	621
		Expected Count	272.5	348.5	621.0
		% within Campus has a/d policies	44.3%	55.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	90.2%	88.7%	89.4%
		% of Total	39.6%	49.8%	89.4%
	No	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Campus has a/d policies	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.3%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
	Don't know	Count	30	43	73
		Expected Count	32.0	41.0	73.0
		% within Campus has a/d policies	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.8%	11.0%	10.5%
		% of Total	4.3%	6.2%	10.5%
Total		Count	305	390	695
		Expected Count	305.0	390.0	695.0
		% within Campus has a/d policies	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.053 <sup>a</sup>	2	.591
Likelihood Ratio	1.427	2	.490
Linear-by-Linear Association	.315	1	.575
N of Valid Cases	695		

a. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

### Campus a/d policies enforced \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Campus a/d policies enforced	Yes	Count	204	238	442
		Expected Count	194.0	248.0	442.0
		% within Campus a/d policies enforced	46.2%	53.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	66.9%	61.0%	63.6%
		% of Total	29.4%	34.2%	63.6%
	No	Count	20	23	43
		Expected Count	18.9	24.1	43.0
		% within Campus a/d policies enforced	46.5%	53.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.6%	5.9%	6.2%
		% of Total	2.9%	3.3%	6.2%
	Don't know	Count	81	129	210
		Expected Count	92.2	117.8	210.0
		% within Campus a/d policies enforced	38.6%	61.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	26.6%	33.1%	30.2%
		% of Total	11.7%	18.6%	30.2%
Total		Count	305	390	695
		Expected Count	305.0	390.0	695.0
		% within Campus a/d policies enforced	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.452 <sup>a</sup>	2	.178
Likelihood Ratio	3.475	2	.176
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.168	1	.075
N of Valid Cases	695		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 18.87.

**Campus has a/d prev prog \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Campus has a/d prev prog	Yes	Count	176	168	344
		Expected Count	150.4	193.6	344.0
		% within Campus has a/d prev prog	51.2%	48.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	58.1%	43.1%	49.6%
		% of Total	25.4%	24.2%	49.6%
	No	Count	0	3	3
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0
		% within Campus has a/d prev prog	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.8%	.4%
		% of Total	.0%	.4%	.4%
	Don't know	Count	127	219	346
		Expected Count	151.3	194.7	346.0
		% within Campus has a/d prev prog	36.7%	63.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	41.9%	56.2%	49.9%
		% of Total	18.3%	31.6%	49.9%
Total		Count	303	390	693
		Expected Count	303.0	390.0	693.0
		% within Campus has a/d prev prog	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.994 <sup>a</sup>	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	18.153	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	14.630	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	693		

a. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.31.

**Campus concerned w/ a/d prev \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Campus concerned w/ a/d prev	Yes	Count	235	278	513
		Expected Count	225.5	287.5	513.0
		% within Campus concerned w/ a/d prev	45.8%	54.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	77.0%	71.5%	73.9%
		% of Total	33.9%	40.1%	73.9%
	No	Count	31	42	73
		Expected Count	32.1	40.9	73.0
		% within Campus concerned w/ a/d prev	42.5%	57.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.2%	10.8%	10.5%
		% of Total	4.5%	6.1%	10.5%
	Don't know	Count	39	69	108
		Expected Count	47.5	60.5	108.0
		% within Campus concerned w/ a/d prev	36.1%	63.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	12.8%	17.7%	15.6%
		% of Total	5.6%	9.9%	15.6%
Total		Count	305	389	694
		Expected Count	305.0	389.0	694.0
		% within Campus concerned w/ a/d prev	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.479 <sup>a</sup>	2	.176
Likelihood Ratio	3.524	2	.172
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.418	1	.064
N of Valid Cases	694		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 32.08.

**I am involved in a/d prev \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
I am involved in a/d prev	Yes	Count	18	23	41
		Expected Count	18.0	23.0	41.0
		% within I am involved in a/d prev	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%
		% of Total	2.6%	3.3%	5.9%
	No	Count	286	367	653
		Expected Count	286.0	367.0	653.0
		% within I am involved in a/d prev	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	94.1%	94.1%	94.1%
		% of Total	41.2%	52.9%	94.1%
Total	Count	304	390	694	
	Expected Count	304.0	390.0	694.0	
	% within I am involved in a/d prev	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.000 <sup>b</sup>	1	.990		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.000	1	.990		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.557
Linear-by-Linear Association	.000	1	.990		
N of Valid Cases	694				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 17.96.

### Permanent residence \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Permanent residence	In state	Count	298	381	679
		Expected Count	298.4	380.6	679.0
		% within Permanent residence	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.7%	97.9%	97.8%
		% of Total	42.9%	54.9%	97.8%
	USA, other state	Count	5	7	12
		Expected Count	5.3	6.7	12.0
		% within Permanent residence	41.7%	58.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.6%	1.8%	1.7%
		% of Total	.7%	1.0%	1.7%
	Another country	Count	2	1	3
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0
		% within Permanent residence	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.3%	.4%
		% of Total	.3%	.1%	.4%
Total		Count	305	389	694
		Expected Count	305.0	389.0	694.0
		% within Permanent residence	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.655 <sup>a</sup>	2	.721
Likelihood Ratio	.654	2	.721
Linear-by-Linear Association	.204	1	.651
N of Valid Cases	694		

a. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.32.

**5+ drinks in last 2 wks \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
5+ drinks in last 2 wks	None	Count	96	168	264
		Expected Count	115.9	148.1	264.0
		% within 5+ drinks in last 2 wks	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	31.5%	43.1%	38.0%
		% of Total	13.8%	24.2%	38.0%
	Once	Count	30	54	84
		Expected Count	36.9	47.1	84.0
		% within 5+ drinks in last 2 wks	35.7%	64.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.8%	13.8%	12.1%
		% of Total	4.3%	7.8%	12.1%
	Twice	Count	35	56	91
		Expected Count	39.9	51.1	91.0
		% within 5+ drinks in last 2 wks	38.5%	61.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.5%	14.4%	13.1%
		% of Total	5.0%	8.1%	13.1%
	3-5 times	Count	85	85	170
		Expected Count	74.6	95.4	170.0
		% within 5+ drinks in last 2 wks	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	27.9%	21.8%	24.5%
		% of Total	12.2%	12.2%	24.5%
	6-9 times	Count	50	20	70
		Expected Count	30.7	39.3	70.0
		% within 5+ drinks in last 2 wks	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	16.4%	5.1%	10.1%
		% of Total	7.2%	2.9%	10.1%
	10+ times	Count	9	7	16
		Expected Count	7.0	9.0	16.0
		% within 5+ drinks in last 2 wks	56.3%	43.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.0%	1.8%	2.3%
		% of Total	1.3%	1.0%	2.3%
Total		Count	305	390	695
		Expected Count	305.0	390.0	695.0
		% within 5+ drinks in last 2 wks	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	34.568 <sup>a</sup>	5	.000
Likelihood Ratio	34.843	5	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	25.438	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	695		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.02.

**First use: tobacco \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: tobacco	Did not use	Count	100	182	282
		Expected Count	123.8	158.2	282.0
		% within First use: tobacco	35.5%	64.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	32.8%	46.7%	40.6%
		% of Total	14.4%	26.2%	40.6%
	Under 10	Count	3	1	4
		Expected Count	1.8	2.2	4.0
		% within First use: tobacco	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	.3%	.6%
		% of Total	.4%	.1%	.6%
	10-11	Count	14	7	21
		Expected Count	9.2	11.8	21.0
		% within First use: tobacco	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.6%	1.8%	3.0%
		% of Total	2.0%	1.0%	3.0%
	12-13	Count	29	27	56
		Expected Count	24.6	31.4	56.0
		% within First use: tobacco	51.8%	48.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.5%	6.9%	8.1%
		% of Total	4.2%	3.9%	8.1%
	14-15	Count	43	52	95
		Expected Count	41.7	53.3	95.0
		% within First use: tobacco	45.3%	54.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	14.1%	13.3%	13.7%
		% of Total	6.2%	7.5%	13.7%

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: tobacco	16-17	Count	56	62	118
		Expected Count	51.8	66.2	118.0
		% within First use: tobacco	47.5%	52.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	18.4%	15.9%	17.0%
		% of Total	8.1%	8.9%	17.0%
	18-20	Count	57	51	108
		Expected Count	47.4	60.6	108.0
		% within First use: tobacco	52.8%	47.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	18.7%	13.1%	15.5%
		% of Total	8.2%	7.3%	15.5%
	21-25	Count	3	7	10
		Expected Count	4.4	5.6	10.0
		% within First use: tobacco	30.0%	70.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	1.8%	1.4%
		% of Total	.4%	1.0%	1.4%
	26+	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within First use: tobacco	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.3%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
Total		Count	305	390	695
		Expected Count	305.0	390.0	695.0
		% within First use: tobacco	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	21.262 <sup>a</sup>	8	.006
Likelihood Ratio	21.791	8	.005
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.564	1	.003
N of Valid Cases	695		

a. 5 cells (27.8%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

#### First use: alcohol \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: alcohol	Did not use	Count	20	50	70
		Expected Count	30.7	39.3	70.0
		% within First use: alcohol	28.6%	71.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.6%	12.8%	10.1%
		% of Total	2.9%	7.2%	10.1%
	Under 10	Count	5	2	7
		Expected Count	3.1	3.9	7.0
		% within First use: alcohol	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.6%	.5%	1.0%
		% of Total	.7%	.3%	1.0%
	10-11	Count	2	5	7
		Expected Count	3.1	3.9	7.0
		% within First use: alcohol	28.6%	71.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	1.3%	1.0%
		% of Total	.3%	.7%	1.0%
	12-13	Count	18	17	35
		Expected Count	15.3	19.7	35.0
		% within First use: alcohol	51.4%	48.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.9%	4.4%	5.0%
		% of Total	2.6%	2.4%	5.0%
	14-15	Count	67	74	141
		Expected Count	61.8	79.2	141.0
		% within First use: alcohol	47.5%	52.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	22.0%	19.0%	20.3%
		% of Total	9.7%	10.7%	20.3%
	16-17	Count	100	116	216
		Expected Count	94.6	121.4	216.0
		% within First use: alcohol	46.3%	53.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	32.9%	29.7%	31.1%
		% of Total	14.4%	16.7%	31.1%
	18-20	Count	80	108	188
		Expected Count	82.4	105.6	188.0
		% within First use: alcohol	42.6%	57.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	26.3%	27.7%	27.1%
		% of Total	11.5%	15.6%	27.1%
	21-25	Count	12	16	28
		Expected Count	12.3	15.7	28.0
		% within First use: alcohol	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.9%	4.1%	4.0%
		% of Total	1.7%	2.3%	4.0%

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: alcohol	26+	Count	0	2	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within First use: alcohol	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.5%	.3%
		% of Total	.0%	.3%	.3%
Total		Count	304	390	694
		Expected Count	304.0	390.0	694.0
		% within First use: alcohol	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.278 <sup>a</sup>	8	.103
Likelihood Ratio	14.349	8	.073
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.855	1	.173
N of Valid Cases	694		

a. 6 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .88.

### First use: marijuana \* Gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: marijuana	Did not use	Count	123	201	324
		Expected Count	142.2	181.8	324.0
		% within First use: marijuana	38.0%	62.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	40.3%	51.5%	46.6%
		% of Total	17.7%	28.9%	46.6%
	10-11	Count	3	1	4
		Expected Count	1.8	2.2	4.0
		% within First use: marijuana	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	.3%	.6%
		% of Total	.4%	.1%	.6%
	12-13	Count	14	6	20
		Expected Count	8.8	11.2	20.0
		% within First use: marijuana	70.0%	30.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.6%	1.5%	2.9%
		% of Total	2.0%	.9%	2.9%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
First use: marijuana	14-15	Count	44	44
		Expected Count	38.6	49.4
		% within First use: marijuana	50.0%	50.0%
		% within Gender	14.4%	11.3%
		% of Total	6.3%	6.3%
	16-17	Count	53	73
		Expected Count	55.3	70.7
		% within First use: marijuana	42.1%	57.9%
		% within Gender	17.4%	18.7%
		% of Total	7.6%	10.5%
	18-20	Count	64	57
		Expected Count	53.1	67.9
		% within First use: marijuana	52.9%	47.1%
		% within Gender	21.0%	14.6%
		% of Total	9.2%	8.2%
	21-25	Count	3	7
		Expected Count	4.4	5.6
		% within First use: marijuana	30.0%	70.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	1.8%
		% of Total	.4%	1.0%
	26+	Count	1	1
		Expected Count	.9	1.1
		% within First use: marijuana	50.0%	50.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.3%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%
	Total	Count	305	390
		Expected Count	305.0	390.0
		% within First use: marijuana	43.9%	56.1%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	18.031 <sup>a</sup>	7	.012
Likelihood Ratio	18.118	7	.011
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.162	1	.013
N of Valid Cases	695		

a. 5 cells (31.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .88.

**First use: cocaine \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: cocaine	Did not use	Count	266	361	627
		Expected Count	274.7	352.3	627.0
		% within First use: cocaine	42.4%	57.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	87.5%	92.6%	90.3%
		% of Total	38.3%	52.0%	90.3%
14-15		Count	3	4	7
		Expected Count	3.1	3.9	7.0
		% within First use: cocaine	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%
		% of Total	.4%	.6%	1.0%
16-17		Count	8	5	13
		Expected Count	5.7	7.3	13.0
		% within First use: cocaine	61.5%	38.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.6%	1.3%	1.9%
		% of Total	1.2%	.7%	1.9%
18-20		Count	23	16	39
		Expected Count	17.1	21.9	39.0
		% within First use: cocaine	59.0%	41.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.6%	4.1%	5.6%
		% of Total	3.3%	2.3%	5.6%
21-25		Count	4	3	7
		Expected Count	3.1	3.9	7.0
		% within First use: cocaine	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	.8%	1.0%
		% of Total	.6%	.4%	1.0%
26+		Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within First use: cocaine	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.3%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
Total		Count	304	390	694
		Expected Count	304.0	390.0	694.0
		% within First use: cocaine	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.080 <sup>a</sup>	5	.215
Likelihood Ratio	7.400	5	.193
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.941	1	.026
N of Valid Cases	694		

a. 6 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

**First use: amphetamines \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: amphetamines	Did not use	Count	263	327	590
		Expected Count	258.8	331.2	590.0
		% within First use: amphetamines	44.6%	55.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	86.5%	84.1%	85.1%
		% of Total	38.0%	47.2%	85.1%
	12-13	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within First use: amphetamines	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.3%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
	14-15	Count	6	5	11
		Expected Count	4.8	6.2	11.0
		% within First use: amphetamines	54.5%	45.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.0%	1.3%	1.6%
		% of Total	.9%	.7%	1.6%
	16-17	Count	12	30	42
		Expected Count	18.4	23.6	42.0
		% within First use: amphetamines	28.6%	71.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.9%	7.7%	6.1%
		% of Total	1.7%	4.3%	6.1%
	18-20	Count	22	21	43
		Expected Count	18.9	24.1	43.0
		% within First use: amphetamines	51.2%	48.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.2%	5.4%	6.2%
		% of Total	3.2%	3.0%	6.2%
	21-25	Count	1	5	6
		Expected Count	2.6	3.4	6.0
		% within First use: amphetamines	16.7%	83.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	1.3%	.9%
		% of Total	.1%	.7%	.9%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within First use: amphetamines	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.134 <sup>a</sup>	5	.149
Likelihood Ratio	8.885	5	.114
Linear-by-Linear Association	.702	1	.402
N of Valid Cases	693		

a. 5 cells (41.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

**First use: sedatives \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: sedatives	Did not use	Count	275	362	637
		Expected Count	278.0	359.0	637.0
		% within First use: sedatives	43.2%	56.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	91.1%	92.8%	92.1%
		% of Total	39.7%	52.3%	92.1%
	Under 10	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within First use: sedatives	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.3%	.3%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.3%
	12-13	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within First use: sedatives	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.3%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
	14-15	Count	4	4	8
		Expected Count	3.5	4.5	8.0
		% within First use: sedatives	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	1.0%	1.2%
		% of Total	.6%	.6%	1.2%
	16-17	Count	11	12	23
		Expected Count	10.0	13.0	23.0
		% within First use: sedatives	47.8%	52.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.6%	3.1%	3.3%
		% of Total	1.6%	1.7%	3.3%

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: sedatives	18-20	Count	7	8	15
		Expected Count	6.5	8.5	15.0
		% within First use: sedatives	46.7%	53.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.3%	2.1%	2.2%
		% of Total	1.0%	1.2%	2.2%
	21-25	Count	4	1	5
		Expected Count	2.2	2.8	5.0
		% within First use: sedatives	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	.3%	.7%
		% of Total	.6%	.1%	.7%
	26+	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within First use: sedatives	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.3%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
Total		Count	302	390	692
		Expected Count	302.0	390.0	692.0
		% within First use: sedatives	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.677 <sup>a</sup>	7	.699
Likelihood Ratio	5.509	7	.598
Linear-by-Linear Association	.872	1	.350
N of Valid Cases	692		

a. 10 cells (62.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

#### First use: hallucinogens \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: hallucinogens	Did not use	Count	261	361	622
		Expected Count	273.8	348.2	622.0
		% within First use: hallucinogens	42.0%	58.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	85.6%	93.0%	89.8%
		% of Total	37.7%	52.1%	89.8%
Under 10	Under 10	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within First use: hallucinogens	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.3%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
14-15	14-15	Count	3	0	3
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0
		% within First use: hallucinogens	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	.0%	.4%
		% of Total	.4%	.0%	.4%
16-17	16-17	Count	18	12	30
		Expected Count	13.2	16.8	30.0
		% within First use: hallucinogens	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.9%	3.1%	4.3%
		% of Total	2.6%	1.7%	4.3%
18-20	18-20	Count	21	14	35
		Expected Count	15.4	19.6	35.0
		% within First use: hallucinogens	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.9%	3.6%	5.1%
		% of Total	3.0%	2.0%	5.1%
21-25	21-25	Count	2	0	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within First use: hallucinogens	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.0%	.3%
		% of Total	.3%	.0%	.3%
Total	Total	Count	305	388	693
		Expected Count	305.0	388.0	693.0
		% within First use: hallucinogens	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.951 <sup>a</sup>	5	.011
Likelihood Ratio	17.118	5	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.726	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	693		

a. 6 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

**First use: opiates \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: opiates	Did not use	Count	281	380	661
		Expected Count	289.3	371.7	661.0
		% within First use: opiates	42.5%	57.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	93.0%	97.9%	95.8%
		% of Total	40.7%	55.1%	95.8%
Under 10	Under 10	Count	0	2	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within First use: opiates	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.5%	.3%
		% of Total	.0%	.3%	.3%
14-15	14-15	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within First use: opiates	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
16-17	16-17	Count	5	3	8
		Expected Count	3.5	4.5	8.0
		% within First use: opiates	62.5%	37.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.7%	.8%	1.2%
		% of Total	.7%	.4%	1.2%
18-20	18-20	Count	14	3	17
		Expected Count	7.4	9.6	17.0
		% within First use: opiates	82.4%	17.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.6%	.8%	2.5%
		% of Total	2.0%	.4%	2.5%
21-25	21-25	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within First use: opiates	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total	Total	Count	302	388	690
		Expected Count	302.0	388.0	690.0
		% within First use: opiates	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.974 <sup>a</sup>	5	.007
Likelihood Ratio	17.910	5	.003
Linear-by-Linear Association	13.076	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	690		

a. 8 cells (66.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

**First use: inhalants \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
First use: inhalants	Did not use	Count	277	369
		Expected Count	284.3	361.7
		% within First use: inhalants	42.9%	57.1%
		% within Gender	90.8%	95.1%
		% of Total	40.0%	53.2%
Under 10		Count	2	0
		Expected Count	.9	1.1
		% within First use: inhalants	100.0%	.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.0%
		% of Total	.3%	.0%
10-11		Count	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6
		% within First use: inhalants	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.3%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%
12-13		Count	6	4
		Expected Count	4.4	5.6
		% within First use: inhalants	60.0%	40.0%
		% within Gender	2.0%	1.0%
		% of Total	.9%	.6%
14-15		Count	7	1
		Expected Count	3.5	4.5
		% within First use: inhalants	87.5%	12.5%
		% within Gender	2.3%	.3%
		% of Total	1.0%	.1%

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
First use: inhalants	16-17	Count	7	5
		Expected Count	5.3	6.7
		% within First use: inhalants	58.3%	41.7%
		% within Gender	2.3%	1.3%
		% of Total	1.0%	.7%
	18-20	Count	5	8
		Expected Count	5.7	7.3
		% within First use: inhalants	38.5%	61.5%
		% within Gender	1.6%	2.1%
		% of Total	.7%	1.2%
	21-25	Count	1	0
		Expected Count	.4	.6
		% within First use: inhalants	100.0%	.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%
Total		Count	305	388
		Expected Count	305.0	388.0
		% within First use: inhalants	44.0%	56.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	44.0%	56.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.277 <sup>a</sup>	7	.066
Likelihood Ratio	15.225	7	.033
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.055	1	.080
N of Valid Cases	693		

a. 9 cells (56.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

#### First use: designer \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: designer	Did not use	Count	269	355	624
		Expected Count	274.1	349.9	624.0
		% within First use: designer	43.1%	56.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	88.5%	91.5%	90.2%
		% of Total	38.9%	51.3%	90.2%
	Under 10	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within First use: designer	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.3%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
	14-15	Count	1	2	3
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0
		% within First use: designer	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.5%	.4%
		% of Total	.1%	.3%	.4%
	16-17	Count	11	10	21
		Expected Count	9.2	11.8	21.0
		% within First use: designer	52.4%	47.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.6%	2.6%	3.0%
		% of Total	1.6%	1.4%	3.0%
	18-20	Count	21	17	38
		Expected Count	16.7	21.3	38.0
		% within First use: designer	55.3%	44.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.9%	4.4%	5.5%
		% of Total	3.0%	2.5%	5.5%
	21-25	Count	2	3	5
		Expected Count	2.2	2.8	5.0
		% within First use: designer	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.8%	.7%
		% of Total	.3%	.4%	.7%
Total		Count	304	388	692
		Expected Count	304.0	388.0	692.0
		% within First use: designer	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

## Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.713 <sup>a</sup>	5	.591
Likelihood Ratio	4.066	5	.540
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.051	1	.152
N of Valid Cases	692		

a. 6 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

### First use: steroids \* Gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: steroids	Did not use	Count	301	389	690
		Expected Count	303.2	386.8	690.0
		% within First use: steroids	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	98.7%	100.0%	99.4%
		% of Total	43.4%	56.1%	99.4%
16-17		Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within First use: steroids	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
18-20		Count	3	0	3
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0
		% within First use: steroids	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	.0%	.4%
		% of Total	.4%	.0%	.4%
Total		Count	305	389	694
		Expected Count	305.0	389.0	694.0
		% within First use: steroids	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.131 <sup>a</sup>	2	.077
Likelihood Ratio	6.607	2	.037
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.095	1	.024
N of Valid Cases	694		

a. 4 cells (66.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

### First use: other \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
First use: other	Did not use	Count	277	364	641
		Expected Count	281.2	359.8	641.0
		% within First use: other	43.2%	56.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	91.1%	93.6%	92.5%
		% of Total	40.0%	52.5%	92.5%
12-13		Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within First use: other	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
14-15		Count	1	3	4
		Expected Count	1.8	2.2	4.0
		% within First use: other	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.8%	.6%
		% of Total	.1%	.4%	.6%
16-17		Count	9	4	13
		Expected Count	5.7	7.3	13.0
		% within First use: other	69.2%	30.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.0%	1.0%	1.9%
		% of Total	1.3%	.6%	1.9%
18-20		Count	15	16	31
		Expected Count	13.6	17.4	31.0
		% within First use: other	48.4%	51.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.9%	4.1%	4.5%
		% of Total	2.2%	2.3%	4.5%
21-25		Count	1	2	3
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0
		% within First use: other	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.5%	.4%
		% of Total	.1%	.3%	.4%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within First use: other	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.758 <sup>a</sup>	5	.331
Likelihood Ratio	6.171	5	.290
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.201	1	.273
N of Valid Cases	693		

a. 6 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

**Use last yr: tobacco \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

		Gender		Total	
		Male	Female		
Use last yr: tobacco	Never used	Count	135	222	357
		Expected Count	156.0	201.0	357.0
		% within Use last yr: tobacco	37.8%	62.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	44.9%	57.2%	51.8%
		% of Total	19.6%	32.2%	51.8%
	Once/year	Count	23	26	49
		Expected Count	21.4	27.6	49.0
		% within Use last yr: tobacco	46.9%	53.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.6%	6.7%	7.1%
		% of Total	3.3%	3.8%	7.1%
	6 times/year	Count	31	31	62
		Expected Count	27.1	34.9	62.0
		% within Use last yr: tobacco	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.3%	8.0%	9.0%
		% of Total	4.5%	4.5%	9.0%
	Once/month	Count	21	13	34
		Expected Count	14.9	19.1	34.0
		% within Use last yr: tobacco	61.8%	38.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.0%	3.4%	4.9%
		% of Total	3.0%	1.9%	4.9%
	Twice/month	Count	13	13	26
		Expected Count	11.4	14.6	26.0
		% within Use last yr: tobacco	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.3%	3.4%	3.8%
		% of Total	1.9%	1.9%	3.8%
	Once/week	Count	18	14	32
		Expected Count	14.0	18.0	32.0
		% within Use last yr: tobacco	56.3%	43.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.0%	3.6%	4.6%
		% of Total	2.6%	2.0%	4.6%
	3 times/week	Count	12	19	31
		Expected Count	13.5	17.5	31.0
		% within Use last yr: tobacco	38.7%	61.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.0%	4.9%	4.5%
		% of Total	1.7%	2.8%	4.5%
	5 times/week	Count	10	8	18
		Expected Count	7.9	10.1	18.0
		% within Use last yr: tobacco	55.6%	44.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.3%	2.1%	2.6%
		% of Total	1.5%	1.2%	2.6%

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use last yr: tobacco	Every day	Count	38	42
		Expected Count	34.9	45.1
		% within Use last yr: tobacco	47.5%	52.5%
		% within Gender	12.6%	10.8%
		% of Total	5.5%	6.1%
Total		Count	301	388
		Expected Count	301.0	388.0
		% within Use last yr: tobacco	43.7%	56.3%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.025 <sup>a</sup>	8	.059
Likelihood Ratio	15.004	8	.059
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.091	1	.024
N of Valid Cases	689		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.86.

### Use last yr: alcohol \* Gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use last yr: alcohol	Never used	Count	24	48
		Expected Count	31.5	40.5
		% within Use last yr: alcohol	33.3%	66.7%
		% within Gender	7.9%	12.3%
		% of Total	3.5%	6.9%
	Once/year	Count	14	24
		Expected Count	16.6	21.4
		% within Use last yr: alcohol	36.8%	63.2%
		% within Gender	4.6%	6.2%
		% of Total	2.0%	3.5%
	6 times/year	Count	11	31
		Expected Count	18.4	23.6
		% within Use last yr: alcohol	26.2%	73.8%
		% within Gender	3.6%	8.0%
		% of Total	1.6%	4.5%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: alcohol	Once/month	Count	12	21	33
		Expected Count	14.4	18.6	33.0
		% within Use last yr: alcohol	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.0%	5.4%	4.8%
		% of Total	1.7%	3.0%	4.8%
	Twice/month	Count	26	47	73
		Expected Count	31.9	41.1	73.0
		% within Use last yr: alcohol	35.6%	64.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.6%	12.1%	10.6%
		% of Total	3.8%	6.8%	10.6%
	Once/week	Count	76	99	175
		Expected Count	76.5	98.5	175.0
		% within Use last yr: alcohol	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	25.2%	25.4%	25.3%
		% of Total	11.0%	14.3%	25.3%
	3 times/week	Count	118	105	223
		Expected Count	97.5	125.5	223.0
		% within Use last yr: alcohol	52.9%	47.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	39.1%	27.0%	32.3%
		% of Total	17.1%	15.2%	32.3%
	5 times/week	Count	19	12	31
		Expected Count	13.5	17.5	31.0
		% within Use last yr: alcohol	61.3%	38.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.3%	3.1%	4.5%
		% of Total	2.7%	1.7%	4.5%
	Every day	Count	2	2	4
		Expected Count	1.7	2.3	4.0
		% within Use last yr: alcohol	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.5%	.6%
		% of Total	.3%	.3%	.6%
Total		Count	302	389	691
		Expected Count	302.0	389.0	691.0
		% within Use last yr: alcohol	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	23.430 <sup>a</sup>	8	.003
Likelihood Ratio	23.754	8	.003
Linear-by-Linear Association	16.979	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	691		

a. 2 cells (11.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.75.

**Use last yr: marijuana \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: marijuana	Never used	Count	168	253	421
		Expected Count	183.7	237.3	421.0
		% within Use last yr: marijuana	39.9%	60.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	55.8%	65.0%	61.0%
		% of Total	24.3%	36.7%	61.0%
	Once/year	Count	33	48	81
		Expected Count	35.3	45.7	81.0
		% within Use last yr: marijuana	40.7%	59.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.0%	12.3%	11.7%
		% of Total	4.8%	7.0%	11.7%
	6 times/year	Count	22	38	60
		Expected Count	26.2	33.8	60.0
		% within Use last yr: marijuana	36.7%	63.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.3%	9.8%	8.7%
		% of Total	3.2%	5.5%	8.7%
	Once/month	Count	7	12	19
		Expected Count	8.3	10.7	19.0
		% within Use last yr: marijuana	36.8%	63.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.3%	3.1%	2.8%
		% of Total	1.0%	1.7%	2.8%
	Twice/month	Count	14	10	24
		Expected Count	10.5	13.5	24.0
		% within Use last yr: marijuana	58.3%	41.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.7%	2.6%	3.5%
		% of Total	2.0%	1.4%	3.5%

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use last yr: marijuana	Once/week	Count	19	9
		Expected Count	12.2	15.8
		% within Use last yr: marijuana	67.9%	32.1%
		% within Gender	6.3%	2.3%
		% of Total	2.8%	1.3%
	3 times/week	Count	13	5
		Expected Count	7.9	10.1
		% within Use last yr: marijuana	72.2%	27.8%
		% within Gender	4.3%	1.3%
		% of Total	1.9%	.7%
	5 times/week	Count	6	8
		Expected Count	6.1	7.9
		% within Use last yr: marijuana	42.9%	57.1%
		% within Gender	2.0%	2.1%
		% of Total	.9%	1.2%
	Every day	Count	19	6
		Expected Count	10.9	14.1
		% within Use last yr: marijuana	76.0%	24.0%
		% within Gender	6.3%	1.5%
		% of Total	2.8%	.9%
Total		Count	301	389
		Expected Count	301.0	389.0
		% within Use last yr: marijuana	43.6%	56.4%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	29.620 <sup>a</sup>	8	.000
Likelihood Ratio	29.865	8	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	20.063	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	690		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.11.

#### Use last yr: cocaine \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: cocaine	Never used	Count	282	371	653
		Expected Count	285.3	367.7	653.0
		% within Use last yr: cocaine	43.2%	56.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	93.7%	95.6%	94.8%
		% of Total	40.9%	53.8%	94.8%
	Once/year	Count	7	10	17
		Expected Count	7.4	9.6	17.0
		% within Use last yr: cocaine	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.3%	2.6%	2.5%
		% of Total	1.0%	1.5%	2.5%
	6 times/year	Count	8	4	12
		Expected Count	5.2	6.8	12.0
		% within Use last yr: cocaine	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.7%	1.0%	1.7%
		% of Total	1.2%	.6%	1.7%
	Once/month	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use last yr: cocaine	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
	Twice/month	Count	2	2	4
		Expected Count	1.7	2.3	4.0
		% within Use last yr: cocaine	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.5%	.6%
		% of Total	.3%	.3%	.6%
	Once/week	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use last yr: cocaine	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
	3 times/week	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use last yr: cocaine	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.3%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
Total		Count	301	388	689
		Expected Count	301.0	388.0	689.0
		% within Use last yr: cocaine	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.105 <sup>a</sup>	6	.412
Likelihood Ratio	7.203	6	.302
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.349	1	.245
N of Valid Cases	689		

a. 8 cells (57.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

**Use last yr: amphetamines \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: amphetamines	Never used	Count	275	365	640
		Expected Count	279.0	361.0	640.0
		% within Use last yr: amphetamines	43.0%	57.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	92.0%	94.3%	93.3%
		% of Total	40.1%	53.2%	93.3%
	Once/year	Count	5	3	8
		Expected Count	3.5	4.5	8.0
		% within Use last yr: amphetamines	62.5%	37.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.7%	.8%	1.2%
		% of Total	.7%	.4%	1.2%
	6 times/year	Count	4	8	12
		Expected Count	5.2	6.8	12.0
		% within Use last yr: amphetamines	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	2.1%	1.7%
		% of Total	.6%	1.2%	1.7%
	Once/month	Count	5	1	6
		Expected Count	2.6	3.4	6.0
		% within Use last yr: amphetamines	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.7%	.3%	.9%
		% of Total	.7%	.1%	.9%
	Twice/month	Count	4	2	6
		Expected Count	2.6	3.4	6.0
		% within Use last yr: amphetamines	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	.5%	.9%
		% of Total	.6%	.3%	.9%

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: amphetamines	Once/week	Count	0	2	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within Use last yr: amphetamines	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.5%	.3%
		% of Total	.0%	.3%	.3%
	3 times/week	Count	2	2	4
		Expected Count	1.7	2.3	4.0
		% within Use last yr: amphetamines	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.5%	.6%
		% of Total	.3%	.3%	.6%
	5 times/week	Count	2	2	4
		Expected Count	1.7	2.3	4.0
		% within Use last yr: amphetamines	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.5%	.6%
		% of Total	.3%	.3%	.6%
	Every day	Count	2	2	4
		Expected Count	1.7	2.3	4.0
		% within Use last yr: amphetamines	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.5%	.6%
		% of Total	.3%	.3%	.6%
Total		Count	299	387	686
		Expected Count	299.0	387.0	686.0
		% within Use last yr: amphetamines	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.677 <sup>a</sup>	8	.370
Likelihood Ratio	9.606	8	.294
Linear-by-Linear Association	.817	1	.366
N of Valid Cases	686		

a. 14 cells (77.8%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .87.

#### Use last yr: sedatives \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use last yr: sedatives	Never used	Count	287	374
		Expected Count	288.8	372.2
		% within Use last yr: sedatives	43.4%	56.6%
		% within Gender	95.3%	96.4%
		% of Total	41.7%	54.3%
Once/year		Count	3	6
		Expected Count	3.9	5.1
		% within Use last yr: sedatives	33.3%	66.7%
		% within Gender	1.0%	1.5%
		% of Total	.4%	.9%
6 times/year		Count	5	4
		Expected Count	3.9	5.1
		% within Use last yr: sedatives	55.6%	44.4%
		% within Gender	1.7%	1.0%
		% of Total	.7%	.6%
Once/month		Count	3	2
		Expected Count	2.2	2.8
		% within Use last yr: sedatives	60.0%	40.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	.5%
		% of Total	.4%	.3%
Twice/month		Count	2	1
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7
		% within Use last yr: sedatives	66.7%	33.3%
		% within Gender	.7%	.3%
		% of Total	.3%	.1%
5 times/week		Count	1	1
		Expected Count	.9	1.1
		% within Use last yr: sedatives	50.0%	50.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.3%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%
Total		Count	301	388
		Expected Count	301.0	388.0
		% within Use last yr: sedatives	43.7%	56.3%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.144 <sup>a</sup>	5	.829
Likelihood Ratio	2.141	5	.829
Linear-by-Linear Association	.942	1	.332
N of Valid Cases	689		

a. 8 cells (66.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .87.

**Use last yr: hallucinogens \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: hallucinogens	Never used	Count	279	375	654
		Expected Count	286.1	367.9	654.0
		% within Use last yr: hallucinogens	42.7%	57.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	92.7%	96.9%	95.1%
		% of Total	40.6%	54.5%	95.1%
	Once/year	Count	16	9	25
		Expected Count	10.9	14.1	25.0
		% within Use last yr: hallucinogens	64.0%	36.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.3%	2.3%	3.6%
		% of Total	2.3%	1.3%	3.6%
	6 times/year	Count	3	3	6
		Expected Count	2.6	3.4	6.0
		% within Use last yr: hallucinogens	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	.8%	.9%
		% of Total	.4%	.4%	.9%
	Once/month	Count	2	0	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within Use last yr: hallucinogens	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.0%	.3%
		% of Total	.3%	.0%	.3%
	Once/week	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use last yr: hallucinogens	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	301	387	688
		Expected Count	301.0	387.0	688.0
		% within Use last yr: hallucinogens	43.8%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.8%	56.3%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.434 <sup>a</sup>	4	.077
Likelihood Ratio	9.510	4	.050
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.591	1	.010
N of Valid Cases	688		

a. 6 cells (60.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

**Use last yr: opiates \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

		Gender		Total	
		Male	Female		
Use last yr: opiates	Never used	Count	287	384	
		Expected Count	292.3	378.7	
		% within Use last yr: opiates	42.8%	57.2%	
		% within Gender	96.3%	99.5%	
		% of Total	42.0%	56.1%	
	Once/year	Count	4	1	
		Expected Count	2.2	2.8	
		% within Use last yr: opiates	80.0%	20.0%	
		% within Gender	1.3%	.3%	
		% of Total	.6%	.1%	
	6 times/year	Count	5	1	
		Expected Count	2.6	3.4	
		% within Use last yr: opiates	83.3%	16.7%	
		% within Gender	1.7%	.3%	
		% of Total	.7%	.1%	
	Once/month	Count	1	0	
		Expected Count	.4	.6	
		% within Use last yr: opiates	100.0%	.0%	
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	
	Every day	Count	1	0	
		Expected Count	.4	.6	
		% within Use last yr: opiates	100.0%	.0%	
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	
Total		Count	298	386	
		Expected Count	298.0	386.0	
		% within Use last yr: opiates	43.6%	56.4%	
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	
				100.0%	

## Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.322 <sup>a</sup>	4	.054
Likelihood Ratio	10.330	4	.035
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.599	1	.010
N of Valid Cases	684		

a. 8 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

### Use last yr: inhalants \* Gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use last yr: inhalants	Never used	Count	295	384
		Expected Count	296.6	382.4
		% within Use last yr: inhalants	43.4%	56.6%
		% within Gender	98.0%	99.0%
		% of Total	42.8%	55.7%
	Once/year	Count	6	3
		Expected Count	3.9	5.1
		% within Use last yr: inhalants	66.7%	33.3%
		% within Gender	2.0%	.8%
		% of Total	.9%	.4%
	6 times/year	Count	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6
		% within Use last yr: inhalants	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.3%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	301	388
		Expected Count	301.0	388.0
		% within Use last yr: inhalants	43.7%	56.3%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.724 <sup>a</sup>	2	.256
Likelihood Ratio	3.090	2	.213
Linear-by-Linear Association	.452	1	.502
N of Valid Cases	689		

a. 3 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

### Use last yr: designer \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: designer	Never used	Count	293	380	673
		Expected Count	294.6	378.4	673.0
		% within Use last yr: designer	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.0%	97.9%	97.5%
		% of Total	42.5%	55.1%	97.5%
	Once/year	Count	8	6	14
		Expected Count	6.1	7.9	14.0
		% within Use last yr: designer	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.6%	1.5%	2.0%
		% of Total	1.2%	.9%	2.0%
	6 times/year	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use last yr: designer	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
	Once/month	Count	0	2	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within Use last yr: designer	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.5%	.3%
		% of Total	.0%	.3%	.3%
Total		Count	302	388	690
		Expected Count	302.0	388.0	690.0
		% within Use last yr: designer	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.874 <sup>a</sup>	3	.275
Likelihood Ratio	4.977	3	.173
Linear-by-Linear Association	.016	1	.900
N of Valid Cases	690		

a. 4 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

#### Use last yr: steroids \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: steroids	Never used	Count	300	388	688
		Expected Count	301.1	386.9	688.0
		% within Use last yr: steroids	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.3%	100.0%	99.7%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.2%	99.7%
	Once/year	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use last yr: steroids	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
	6 times/year	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use last yr: steroids	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	302	388	690
		Expected Count	302.0	388.0	690.0
		% within Use last yr: steroids	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.577 <sup>a</sup>	2	.276
Likelihood Ratio	3.313	2	.191
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.315	1	.128
N of Valid Cases	690		

a. 4 cells (66.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

#### Use last yr: other \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use last yr: other	Never used	Count	288	378	666
		Expected Count	291.1	374.9	666.0
		% within Use last yr: other	43.2%	56.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	95.4%	97.2%	96.4%
		% of Total	41.7%	54.7%	96.4%
	Once/year	Count	7	8	15
		Expected Count	6.6	8.4	15.0
		% within Use last yr: other	46.7%	53.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.3%	2.1%	2.2%
		% of Total	1.0%	1.2%	2.2%
	6 times/year	Count	5	3	8
		Expected Count	3.5	4.5	8.0
		% within Use last yr: other	62.5%	37.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.7%	.8%	1.2%
		% of Total	.7%	.4%	1.2%
	Once/month	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use last yr: other	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
	Once/week	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use last yr: other	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	302	389	691
		Expected Count	302.0	389.0	691.0
		% within Use last yr: other	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.836 <sup>a</sup>	4	.429
Likelihood Ratio	4.561	4	.335
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.259	1	.071
N of Valid Cases	691		

a. 6 cells (60.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

### PAST 30 DAYS USE:TOBACCO \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS USE:TOBACCO	0 DAYS	Count	182	271	453
		Expected Count	197.2	255.8	453.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:TOBACCO	40.2%	59.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	60.7%	69.7%	65.7%
		% of Total	26.4%	39.3%	65.7%
		Count	43	34	77
	1-2 DAYS	Expected Count	33.5	43.5	77.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:TOBACCO	55.8%	44.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	14.3%	8.7%	11.2%
		% of Total	6.2%	4.9%	11.2%
		Count	7	18	25
		Expected Count	10.9	14.1	25.0
	3-5 DAYS	% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:TOBACCO	28.0%	72.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.3%	4.6%	3.6%
		% of Total	1.0%	2.6%	3.6%
		Count	15	4	19
		Expected Count	8.3	10.7	19.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:TOBACCO	78.9%	21.1%	100.0%
	6-9 DAYS	% within Gender	5.0%	1.0%	2.8%
		% of Total	2.2%	.6%	2.8%
		Count	12	16	28
		Expected Count	12.2	15.8	28.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:TOBACCO	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.0%	4.1%	4.1%
	10-19 DAYS	% of Total	1.7%	2.3%	4.1%
		Count	14	17	31
		Expected Count	13.5	17.5	31.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:TOBACCO	45.2%	54.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.7%	4.4%	4.5%
		% of Total	2.0%	2.5%	4.5%
	20-29 DAYS	Count	27	29	56
		Expected Count	24.4	31.6	56.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:TOBACCO	48.2%	51.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.0%	7.5%	8.1%
		% of Total	3.9%	4.2%	8.1%
		Count	ALL 30 DAYS	29	56

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Total	Count	300	389	689
	Expected Count	300.0	389.0	689.0
	% within PAST 30 DAYS	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
	USE:TOBACCO			
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.508 <sup>a</sup>	6	.003
Likelihood Ratio	19.854	6	.003
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.337	1	.126
N of Valid Cases	689		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.27.

**PAST 30 DAYS USE:ALCOHOL \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS USE:ALCOHOL	0 DAYS	Count	51	77
		Expected Count	55.5	72.5
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:ALCOHOL	39.8%	60.2%
		% within Gender	17.1%	19.7%
		% of Total	7.4%	11.2%
	1-2 DAYS	Count	29	78
		Expected Count	46.4	60.6
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:ALCOHOL	27.1%	72.9%
		% within Gender	9.7%	20.0%
		% of Total	4.2%	11.3%
	3-5 DAYS	Count	54	68
		Expected Count	52.9	69.1
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:ALCOHOL	44.3%	55.7%
		% within Gender	18.1%	17.4%
		% of Total	7.8%	9.9%
	6-9 DAYS	Count	68	78
		Expected Count	63.4	82.6
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:ALCOHOL	46.6%	53.4%
		% within Gender	22.7%	20.0%
		% of Total	9.9%	11.3%
	10-19 DAYS	Count	84	76
		Expected Count	69.4	90.6
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:ALCOHOL	52.5%	47.5%
		% within Gender	28.1%	19.5%
		% of Total	12.2%	11.0%
	20-29 DAYS	Count	12	13
		Expected Count	10.8	14.2
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:ALCOHOL	48.0%	52.0%
		% within Gender	4.0%	3.3%
		% of Total	1.7%	1.9%
	ALL 30 DAYS	Count	1	0
		Expected Count	.4	.6
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:ALCOHOL	100.0%	.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%
Total		Count	299	390
		Expected Count	299.0	390.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:ALCOHOL	43.4%	56.6%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.4%	56.6%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.778 <sup>a</sup>	6	.003
Likelihood Ratio	20.684	6	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.088	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	689		

a. 2 cells (14.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

**PAST 30 DAYS USE:MARIJUANA \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS USE:MARIJUANA	0 DAYS	Count	218	325	543
		Expected Count	236.3	306.7	543.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:MARIJUANA	40.1%	59.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	72.9%	83.8%	79.0%
		% of Total	31.7%	47.3%	79.0%
		Count	25	31	56
	1-2 DAYS	Expected Count	24.4	31.6	56.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:MARIJUANA	44.6%	55.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.4%	8.0%	8.2%
		% of Total	3.6%	4.5%	8.2%
		Count	9	8	17
		Expected Count	7.4	9.6	17.0
	3-5 DAYS	% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:MARIJUANA	52.9%	47.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.0%	2.1%	2.5%
		% of Total	1.3%	1.2%	2.5%
		Count	14	9	23
		Expected Count	10.0	13.0	23.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:MARIJUANA	60.9%	39.1%	100.0%
	6-9 DAYS	% within Gender	4.7%	2.3%	3.3%
		% of Total	2.0%	1.3%	3.3%
		Count	10	6	16
		Expected Count	7.0	9.0	16.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:MARIJUANA	62.5%	37.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.3%	1.5%	2.3%
	10-19 DAYS	% of Total	1.5%	.9%	2.3%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS USE:MARIJUANA	20-29 DAYS	Count	10	4	14
		Expected Count	6.1	7.9	14.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:MARIJUANA	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.3%	1.0%	2.0%
		% of Total	1.5%	.6%	2.0%
		ALL 30 DAYS	13	5	18
Total		Count	7.8	10.2	18.0
		Expected Count	72.2%	27.8%	100.0%
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:MARIJUANA	4.3%	1.3%	2.6%
		% within Gender	1.9%	.7%	2.6%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		Count	299	388	687
		Expected Count	299.0	388.0	687.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:MARIJUANA	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	18.786 <sup>a</sup>	6	.005
Likelihood Ratio	18.810	6	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	18.518	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	687		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.09.

**PAST 30 DAYS USE:COCAINE \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total	
			Male	Female		
PAST 30 DAYS USE:COCAINE	0 DAYS	Count	292	385	677	
		Expected Count	294.8	382.2	677.0	
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:COCAINE	43.1%	56.9%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	97.3%	99.0%	98.3%	
		% of Total	42.4%	55.9%	98.3%	
	1-2 DAYS	Count	6	3	9	
		Expected Count	3.9	5.1	9.0	
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:COCAINE	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	2.0%	.8%	1.3%	
		% of Total	.9%	.4%	1.3%	
	3-5 DAYS	Count	1	0	1	
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0	
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:COCAINE	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%	
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%	
	10-19 DAYS	Count	1	1	2	
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0	
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:COCAINE	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	.3%	.3%	.3%	
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.3%	
Total		Count	300	389	689	
		Expected Count	300.0	389.0	689.0	
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:COCAINE	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.335 <sup>a</sup>	3	.343
Likelihood Ratio	3.693	3	.297
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.269	1	.260
N of Valid Cases	689		

a. 5 cells (62.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

**PAST 30 DAYS USE:AMPHETAMINES \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS USE:AMPHETAMINES	0 DAYS	Count	284	383	667
		Expected Count	290.4	376.6	667.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:AMPHETAMINES	42.6%	57.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	94.7%	98.5%	96.8%
		% of Total	41.2%	55.6%	96.8%
	1-2 DAYS	Count	5	1	6
		Expected Count	2.6	3.4	6.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:AMPHETAMINES	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.7%	.3%	.9%
		% of Total	.7%	.1%	.9%
	3-5 DAYS	Count	4	1	5
		Expected Count	2.2	2.8	5.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:AMPHETAMINES	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	.3%	.7%
		% of Total	.6%	.1%	.7%
	6-9 DAYS	Count	2	1	3
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:AMPHETAMINES	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.3%	.4%
		% of Total	.3%	.1%	.4%
	10-19 DAYS	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:AMPHETAMINES	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.3%	.3%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.3%
	20-29 DAYS	Count	3	1	4
		Expected Count	1.7	2.3	4.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:AMPHETAMINES	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	.3%	.6%
		% of Total	.4%	.1%	.6%
	ALL 30 DAYS	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:AMPHETAMINES	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.3%	.3%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.3%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Total	Count	300	389	689
	Expected Count	300.0	389.0	689.0
	% within PAST 30 DAYS	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
	USE:AMPHETAMINES			
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.150 <sup>a</sup>	6	.165
Likelihood Ratio	9.445	6	.150
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.990	1	.046
N of Valid Cases	689		

a. 12 cells (85.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .87.

**PAST 30 DAYS USE:SEDATIVES \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS USE:SEDATIVES	0 DAYS	Count	290	388	678
		Expected Count	294.2	383.8	678.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:SEDATIVES	42.8%	57.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.0%	99.5%	98.4%
		% of Total	42.1%	56.3%	98.4%
	1-2 DAYS	Count	6	1	7
		Expected Count	3.0	4.0	7.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:SEDATIVES	85.7%	14.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.0%	.3%	1.0%
		% of Total	.9%	.1%	1.0%
	3-5 DAYS	Count	2	0	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:SEDATIVES	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.0%	.3%
		% of Total	.3%	.0%	.3%
	10-19 DAYS	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:SEDATIVES	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.3%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
	20-29 DAYS	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:SEDATIVES	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	299	390	689
		Expected Count	299.0	390.0	689.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:SEDATIVES	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.890 <sup>a</sup>	4	.042
Likelihood Ratio	11.668	4	.020
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.931	1	.087
N of Valid Cases	689		

a. 8 cells (80.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

**PAST 30 DAYS USE:HALLUINOGENS \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total	
			Male	Female		
PAST 30 DAYS USE:HALLUINOGENS	0 DAYS	Count	298	389	687	
		Expected Count	299.7	387.3	687.0	
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:HALLUINOGENS	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	99.0%	100.0%	99.6%	
		% of Total	43.2%	56.4%	99.6%	
	1-2 DAYS	Count	2	0	2	
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0	
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:HALLUINOGENS	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	.7%	.0%	.3%	
		% of Total	.3%	.0%	.3%	
	3-5 DAYS	Count	1	0	1	
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0	
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:HALLUINOGENS	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%	
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%	
Total			301	389	690	
			301.0	389.0	690.0	
			43.6%	56.4%	100.0%	
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
			43.6%	56.4%	100.0%	

## Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.894 <sup>a</sup>	2	.143
Likelihood Ratio	4.994	2	.082
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.455	1	.063
N of Valid Cases	690		

a. 4 cells (66.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

## PAST 30 DAYS USE:OPIATES \* Gender

### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS USE:OPIATES	0 DAYS	Count	298	390	688
		Expected Count	298.6	389.4	688.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:OPIATES	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	100.0%	99.9%
		% of Total	43.3%	56.6%	99.9%
	ALL 30 DAYS	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:OPIATES	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	299	390	689
		Expected Count	299.0	390.0	689.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:OPIATES	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%

### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.306 <sup>b</sup>	1	.253		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.018	1	.894		
Likelihood Ratio	1.671	1	.196		
Fisher's Exact Test				.434	.434
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.304	1	.253		
N of Valid Cases	689				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

## PAST 30 DAYS USE:INHALANTS \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS USE:INHALANTS	0 DAYS	Count	299	388	687
		Expected Count	298.7	388.3	687.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:INHALANTS	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	99.5%	99.6%
		% of Total	43.3%	56.2%	99.6%
	1-2 DAYS	Count	1	2	3
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:INHALANTS	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.5%	.4%
		% of Total	.1%	.3%	.4%
Total		Count	300	390	690
		Expected Count	300.0	390.0	690.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:INHALANTS	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.126 <sup>b</sup>	1	.722		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.129	1	.719		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.597
Linear-by-Linear Association	.126	1	.723		
N of Valid Cases	690				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.30.

#### PAST 30 DAYS USE:DESIGNER \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS USE:DESIGNER	0 DAYS	Count	300	389	689
		Expected Count	300.1	388.9	689.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:DESIGNER	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	99.7%	99.7%
		% of Total	43.4%	56.3%	99.7%
	1-2 DAYS	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:DESIGNER	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.3%	.3%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.3%
Total		Count	301	390	691
		Expected Count	301.0	390.0	691.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:DESIGNER	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.034 <sup>b</sup>	1	.854		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.034	1	.855		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.682
Linear-by-Linear Association	.034	1	.854		
N of Valid Cases	691				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .87.

## PAST 30 DAYS USE:STEROIDS \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS USE:STEROIDS	0 DAYS	Count	301	388	689
		Expected Count	300.6	388.4	689.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:STEROIDS	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	99.7%	99.9%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.2%	99.9%
	3-5 DAYS	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:STEROIDS	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.3%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
Total		Count	301	389	690
		Expected Count	301.0	389.0	690.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:STEROIDS	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.775 <sup>b</sup>	1	.379		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	1.147	1	.284		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.564
Linear-by-Linear Association	.774	1	.379		
N of Valid Cases	690				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

### PAST 30 DAYS USE:OTHER \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS USE:OTHER	0 DAYS	Count	296	386	682
		Expected Count	298.4	383.6	682.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:OTHER	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	98.3%	99.7%	99.1%
		% of Total	43.0%	56.1%	99.1%
	1-2 DAYS	Count	4	1	5
		Expected Count	2.2	2.8	5.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:OTHER	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	.3%	.7%
		% of Total	.6%	.1%	.7%
	3-5 DAYS	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:OTHER	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	301	387	688
		Expected Count	301.0	387.0	688.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS USE:OTHER	43.8%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.8%	56.3%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.989 <sup>a</sup>	2	.136
Likelihood Ratio	4.447	2	.108
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.921	1	.048
N of Valid Cases	688		

a. 4 cells (66.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

## AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO \* Gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO	Never used	Count	19	15	34
		Expected Count	14.9	19.1	34.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO	55.9%	44.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.3%	3.9%	4.9%
		% of Total	2.7%	2.2%	4.9%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO	Once/year	Count	2	5	7
		Expected Count	3.1	3.9	7.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO	28.6%	71.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	1.3%	1.0%
		% of Total	.3%	.7%	1.0%
	6 times/year	Count	12	18	30
		Expected Count	13.1	16.9	30.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.0%	4.6%	4.3%
		% of Total	1.7%	2.6%	4.3%
	Once/month	Count	13	14	27
		Expected Count	11.8	15.2	27.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO	48.1%	51.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.3%	3.6%	3.9%
		% of Total	1.9%	2.0%	3.9%
	Twice/month	Count	21	19	40
		Expected Count	17.5	22.5	40.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO	52.5%	47.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.0%	4.9%	5.8%
		% of Total	3.0%	2.7%	5.8%
	Once/week	Count	66	64	130
		Expected Count	56.8	73.2	130.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO	50.8%	49.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	21.9%	16.5%	18.8%
		% of Total	9.6%	9.3%	18.8%
	3 times/week	Count	82	92	174
		Expected Count	76.0	98.0	174.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO	47.1%	52.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	27.2%	23.7%	25.2%
		% of Total	11.9%	13.3%	25.2%
	5 times/week	Count	42	56	98
		Expected Count	42.8	55.2	98.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	13.9%	14.4%	14.2%
		% of Total	6.1%	8.1%	14.2%
	Every day	Count	45	106	151
		Expected Count	66.0	85.0	151.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO	29.8%	70.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	14.9%	27.2%	21.9%
		% of Total	6.5%	15.3%	21.9%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Total	Count	302	389	691
	Expected Count	302.0	389.0	691.0
	% within AVERAGE USE:TOBACCO	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.700 <sup>a</sup>	8	.012
Likelihood Ratio	20.136	8	.010
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.112	1	.004
N of Valid Cases	691		

a. 2 cells (11.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.06.

**AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL	Never used	Count	4	5
		Expected Count	2.2	5.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL	80.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	.7%
		% of Total	.6%	.7%
	Once/year	Count	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	1.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%
	6 times/year	Count	2	3
		Expected Count	1.3	3.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.4%
		% of Total	.3%	.4%
	Once/month	Count	2	4
		Expected Count	1.7	4.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.6%
		% of Total	.3%	.6%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total	
			Male	Female		
AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL	Twice/month	Count	13	11	24	
		Expected Count	10.5	13.5	24.0	
		% within AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL	54.2%	45.8%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	4.3%	2.8%	3.5%	
		% of Total	1.9%	1.6%	3.5%	
	Once/week	Count	109	84	193	
		Expected Count	84.4	108.6	193.0	
		% within AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL	56.5%	43.5%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	36.1%	21.6%	27.9%	
		% of Total	15.8%	12.2%	27.9%	
	3 times/week	Count	137	221	358	
		Expected Count	156.5	201.5	358.0	
		% within AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL	38.3%	61.7%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	45.4%	56.8%	51.8%	
		% of Total	19.8%	32.0%	51.8%	
	5 times/week	Count	27	50	77	
		Expected Count	33.7	43.3	77.0	
		% within AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL	35.1%	64.9%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	8.9%	12.9%	11.1%	
		% of Total	3.9%	7.2%	11.1%	
	Every day	Count	7	19	26	
		Expected Count	11.4	14.6	26.0	
		% within AVERAGE USE:ALCOHOL	26.9%	73.1%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	2.3%	4.9%	3.8%	
		% of Total	1.0%	2.7%	3.8%	
Total			302	389	691	
			302.0	389.0	691.0	
			43.7%	56.3%	100.0%	
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
			43.7%	56.3%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	28.149 <sup>a</sup>	8	.000
Likelihood Ratio	28.710	8	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	21.955	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	691		

a. 8 cells (44.4%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

**AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA	Never used	Count	22	14	36
		Expected Count	15.7	20.3	36.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA	61.1%	38.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.3%	3.6%	5.2%
		% of Total	3.2%	2.0%	5.2%
		Count	27	24	51
	Once/year	Expected Count	22.3	28.7	51.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA	52.9%	47.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.9%	6.2%	7.4%
		% of Total	3.9%	3.5%	7.4%
		Count	30	44	74
		Expected Count	32.3	41.7	74.0
	6 times/year	% within AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA	40.5%	59.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.9%	11.3%	10.7%
		% of Total	4.3%	6.4%	10.7%
		Count	50	53	103
		Expected Count	45.0	58.0	103.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA	48.5%	51.5%	100.0%
	Once/month	% within Gender	16.6%	13.6%	14.9%
		% of Total	7.2%	7.7%	14.9%
		Count	51	62	113
		Expected Count	49.4	63.6	113.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA	45.1%	54.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	16.9%	15.9%	16.4%
	Twice/month	% of Total	7.4%	9.0%	16.4%
		Count	77	103	180
		Expected Count	78.7	101.3	180.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA	42.8%	57.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	25.5%	26.5%	26.0%
		% of Total	11.1%	14.9%	26.0%
	Once/week	Count	28	56	84
		Expected Count	36.7	47.3	84.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.3%	14.4%	12.2%
		% of Total	4.1%	8.1%	12.2%
	3 times/week				

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA	5 times/week	Count	8	18	26
		Expected Count	11.4	14.6	26.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA	30.8%	69.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.6%	4.6%	3.8%
		% of Total	1.2%	2.6%	3.8%
		Count	9	15	24
	Every day	Expected Count	10.5	13.5	24.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.0%	3.9%	3.5%
		% of Total	1.3%	2.2%	3.5%
		Count	302	389	691
		Expected Count	302.0	389.0	691.0
Total		% within AVERAGE USE:MARIJUANA	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.456 <sup>a</sup>	8	.097
Likelihood Ratio	13.557	8	.094
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.807	1	.003
N of Valid Cases	691		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 10.49.

### AVERAGE USE:COCAINE \* Gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:COCAINE	Never used	Count	134	133	267
		Expected Count	116.9	150.1	267.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:COCAINE	50.2%	49.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	44.4%	34.3%	38.7%
		% of Total	19.4%	19.3%	38.7%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:COCAINE	Once/year	Count	81	94	175
		Expected Count	76.6	98.4	175.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:COCAINE	46.3%	53.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	26.8%	24.2%	25.4%
		% of Total	11.7%	13.6%	25.4%
	6 times/year	Count	30	47	77
		Expected Count	33.7	43.3	77.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:COCAINE	39.0%	61.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.9%	12.1%	11.2%
		% of Total	4.3%	6.8%	11.2%
	Once/month	Count	29	43	72
		Expected Count	31.5	40.5	72.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:COCAINE	40.3%	59.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.6%	11.1%	10.4%
		% of Total	4.2%	6.2%	10.4%
	Twice/month	Count	12	33	45
		Expected Count	19.7	25.3	45.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:COCAINE	26.7%	73.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.0%	8.5%	6.5%
		% of Total	1.7%	4.8%	6.5%
	Once/week	Count	13	29	42
		Expected Count	18.4	23.6	42.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:COCAINE	31.0%	69.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.3%	7.5%	6.1%
		% of Total	1.9%	4.2%	6.1%
	3 times/week	Count	2	5	7
		Expected Count	3.1	3.9	7.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:COCAINE	28.6%	71.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	1.3%	1.0%
		% of Total	.3%	.7%	1.0%
	5 times/week	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:COCAINE	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.3%	.3%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.3%
	Every day	Count	0	3	3
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:COCAINE	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.8%	.4%
		% of Total	.0%	.4%	.4%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Total	Count	302	388	690
	Expected Count	302.0	388.0	690.0
	% within AVERAGE USE:COCAINE	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	17.174 <sup>a</sup>	8	.028
Likelihood Ratio	18.678	8	.017
Linear-by-Linear Association	14.768	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	690		

a. 6 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .88.

**AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES	Never used	Count	119	111
		Expected Count	100.8	129.2
		% within AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES	51.7%	48.3%
		% within Gender	39.5%	28.8%
		% of Total	17.3%	16.2%
	Once/year	Count	53	59
		Expected Count	49.1	62.9
		% within AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES	47.3%	52.7%
		% within Gender	17.6%	15.3%
		% of Total	7.7%	8.6%
	6 times/year	Count	42	48
		Expected Count	39.4	50.6
		% within AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES	46.7%	53.3%
		% within Gender	14.0%	12.4%
		% of Total	6.1%	7.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES	Once/month	Count	28	46	74
		Expected Count	32.4	41.6	74.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES	37.8%	62.2%	100.0%
	Twice/month	% within Gender	9.3%	11.9%	10.8%
		% of Total	4.1%	6.7%	10.8%
		Count	17	36	53
	Once/week	Expected Count	23.2	29.8	53.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES	32.1%	67.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.6%	9.3%	7.7%
	3 times/week	% of Total	2.5%	5.2%	7.7%
		Count	29	42	71
		Expected Count	31.1	39.9	71.0
	5 times/week	% within AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.6%	10.9%	10.3%
		% of Total	4.2%	6.1%	10.3%
	Every day	Count	6	25	31
		Expected Count	13.6	17.4	31.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES	19.4%	80.6%	100.0%
Total	Every day	% within Gender	2.0%	6.5%	4.5%
		% of Total	.9%	3.6%	4.5%
		Count	3	12	15
	Total	Expected Count	6.6	8.4	15.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES	20.0%	80.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	3.1%	2.2%
	Total	% of Total	.4%	1.7%	2.2%
		Count	4	7	11
		Expected Count	4.8	6.2	11.0
	Total	% within AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	1.8%	1.6%
		% of Total	.6%	1.0%	1.6%
	Total	Count	301	386	687
		Expected Count	301.0	386.0	687.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:AMPHETAMINES	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
	Total	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	22.257 <sup>a</sup>	8	.004
Likelihood Ratio	23.362	8	.003
Linear-by-Linear Association	17.186	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	687		

a. 1 cells (5.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.82.

**AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES	Never used	Count	138	145	283
		Expected Count	123.6	159.4	283.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES	48.8%	51.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	46.0%	37.5%	41.2%
		% of Total	20.1%	21.1%	41.2%
	Once/year	Count	73	82	155
		Expected Count	67.7	87.3	155.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES	47.1%	52.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	24.3%	21.2%	22.6%
		% of Total	10.6%	11.9%	22.6%
	6 times/year	Count	41	53	94
		Expected Count	41.0	53.0	94.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	13.7%	13.7%	13.7%
		% of Total	6.0%	7.7%	13.7%
	Once/month	Count	19	43	62
		Expected Count	27.1	34.9	62.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES	30.6%	69.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.3%	11.1%	9.0%
		% of Total	2.8%	6.3%	9.0%
	Twice/month	Count	9	22	31
		Expected Count	13.5	17.5	31.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES	29.0%	71.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.0%	5.7%	4.5%
		% of Total	1.3%	3.2%	4.5%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES	Once/week	Count	14	27	41
		Expected Count	17.9	23.1	41.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES	34.1%	65.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.7%	7.0%	6.0%
		% of Total	2.0%	3.9%	6.0%
		Count	4	10	14
	3 times/week	Expected Count	6.1	7.9	14.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES	28.6%	71.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	2.6%	2.0%
		% of Total	.6%	1.5%	2.0%
		Count	1	3	4
		Expected Count	1.7	2.3	4.0
	5 times/week	% within AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.8%	.6%
		% of Total	.1%	.4%	.6%
		Count	1	2	3
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
	Every day	% within Gender	.3%	.5%	.4%
		% of Total	.1%	.3%	.4%
		Count	300	387	687
		Expected Count	300.0	387.0	687.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:SEDATIVES	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Total	% of Total	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.207 <sup>a</sup>	8	.077
Likelihood Ratio	14.583	8	.068
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.680	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	687		

a. 4 cells (22.2%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.31.

**AVERAGE USE:HALLUCINOGENS \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE: HALLUCINOGENS	Never used	Count	135	155	290
		Expected Count	126.9	163.1	290.0
		% within AVERAGE USE: HALLUCINOGENS	46.6%	53.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	44.9%	40.1%	42.2%
		% of Total	19.6%	22.5%	42.2%
	Once/year	Count	89	107	196
		Expected Count	85.8	110.3	196.0
		% within AVERAGE USE: HALLUCINOGENS	45.4%	54.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	29.6%	27.6%	28.5%
		% of Total	12.9%	15.6%	28.5%
	6 times/year	Count	30	51	81
		Expected Count	35.4	45.6	81.0
		% within AVERAGE USE: HALLUCINOGENS	37.0%	63.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.0%	13.2%	11.8%
		% of Total	4.4%	7.4%	11.8%
	Once/month	Count	25	33	58
		Expected Count	25.4	32.6	58.0
		% within AVERAGE USE: HALLUCINOGENS	43.1%	56.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.3%	8.5%	8.4%
		% of Total	3.6%	4.8%	8.4%
	Twice/month	Count	9	16	25
		Expected Count	10.9	14.1	25.0
		% within AVERAGE USE: HALLUCINOGENS	36.0%	64.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.0%	4.1%	3.6%
		% of Total	1.3%	2.3%	3.6%
	Once/week	Count	11	17	28
		Expected Count	12.3	15.8	28.0
		% within AVERAGE USE: HALLUCINOGENS	39.3%	60.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.7%	4.4%	4.1%
		% of Total	1.6%	2.5%	4.1%
	3 times/week	Count	0	5	5
		Expected Count	2.2	2.8	5.0
		% within AVERAGE USE: HALLUCINOGENS	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	1.3%	.7%
		% of Total	.0%	.7%	.7%
	5 times/week	Count	2	2	4
		Expected Count	1.8	2.3	4.0
		% within AVERAGE USE: HALLUCINOGENS	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.5%	.6%
		% of Total	.3%	.3%	.6%

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:HALLUCINOGENS	Every day	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:HALLUCINOGENS	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.3%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
Total		Count	301	387	688
		Expected Count	301.0	387.0	688.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:HALLUCINOGENS	43.8%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.8%	56.3%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.204 <sup>a</sup>	8	.414
Likelihood Ratio	10.472	8	.233
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.679	1	.055
N of Valid Cases	688		

a. 6 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

### AVERAGE USE:OPIATES \* Gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:OPIATES	Never used	Count	180	184	364
		Expected Count	159.3	204.7	364.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OPIATES	49.5%	50.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	59.6%	47.4%	52.8%
		% of Total	26.1%	26.7%	52.8%
	Once/year	Count	68	107	175
		Expected Count	76.6	98.4	175.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OPIATES	38.9%	61.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	22.5%	27.6%	25.4%
		% of Total	9.9%	15.5%	25.4%
	6 times/year	Count	21	39	60
		Expected Count	26.3	33.7	60.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OPIATES	35.0%	65.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.0%	10.1%	8.7%
		% of Total	3.0%	5.7%	8.7%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:OPIATES	Once/month	Count	13	27	40
		Expected Count	17.5	22.5	40.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OPIATES	32.5%	67.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.3%	7.0%	5.8%
		% of Total	1.9%	3.9%	5.8%
	Twice/month	Count	9	9	18
		Expected Count	7.9	10.1	18.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OPIATES	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.0%	2.3%	2.6%
		% of Total	1.3%	1.3%	2.6%
	Once/week	Count	10	16	26
		Expected Count	11.4	14.6	26.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OPIATES	38.5%	61.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.3%	4.1%	3.8%
		% of Total	1.4%	2.3%	3.8%
	3 times/week	Count	0	6	6
		Expected Count	2.6	3.4	6.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OPIATES	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	1.5%	.9%
		% of Total	.0%	.9%	.9%
	5 times/week	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OPIATES	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	302	388	690
		Expected Count	302.0	388.0	690.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OPIATES	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.965 <sup>a</sup>	7	.018
Likelihood Ratio	19.653	7	.006
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.618	1	.010
N of Valid Cases	690		

a. 4 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

**AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS	Never used	Count	173	171	344
		Expected Count	151.4	192.6	344.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS	50.3%	49.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	57.3%	44.5%	50.1%
		% of Total	25.2%	24.9%	50.1%
		Count	63	95	158
	Once/year	Expected Count	69.6	88.4	158.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS	39.9%	60.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	20.9%	24.7%	23.0%
		% of Total	9.2%	13.8%	23.0%
		Count	22	52	74
		Expected Count	32.6	41.4	74.0
	6 times/year	% within AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS	29.7%	70.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.3%	13.5%	10.8%
		% of Total	3.2%	7.6%	10.8%
		Count	16	23	39
		Expected Count	17.2	21.8	39.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
	Once/month	% within Gender	5.3%	6.0%	5.7%
		% of Total	2.3%	3.4%	5.7%
		Count	15	18	33
		Expected Count	14.5	18.5	33.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS	45.5%	54.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.0%	4.7%	4.8%
	Twice/month	% of Total	2.2%	2.6%	4.8%
		Count	10	19	29
		Expected Count	12.8	16.2	29.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS	34.5%	65.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.3%	4.9%	4.2%
		% of Total	1.5%	2.8%	4.2%
	Once/week	Count	2	5	7
		Expected Count	3.1	3.9	7.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS	28.6%	71.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	1.3%	1.0%
		% of Total	.3%	.7%	1.0%

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS	5 times/week	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
		Every day	0	1	1
Total		Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.3%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
		Count	302	384	686
		Expected Count	302.0	384.0	686.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:INHALANTS	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.700 <sup>a</sup>	8	.033
Likelihood Ratio	17.709	8	.024
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.192	1	.013
N of Valid Cases	686		

a. 6 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

## AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER \* Gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER	Never used	Count	124	123	247
		Expected Count	108.6	138.4	247.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER	50.2%	49.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	41.1%	31.9%	36.0%
		% of Total	18.0%	17.9%	36.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER	Once/year	Count	70	106	176
		Expected Count	77.4	98.6	176.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER	39.8%	60.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	23.2%	27.5%	25.6%
		% of Total	10.2%	15.4%	25.6%
	6 times/year	Count	39	56	95
		Expected Count	41.8	53.2	95.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	12.9%	14.5%	13.8%
		% of Total	5.7%	8.2%	13.8%
	Once/month	Count	28	48	76
		Expected Count	33.4	42.6	76.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER	36.8%	63.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.3%	12.5%	11.1%
		% of Total	4.1%	7.0%	11.1%
	Twice/month	Count	19	19	38
		Expected Count	16.7	21.3	38.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.3%	4.9%	5.5%
		% of Total	2.8%	2.8%	5.5%
	Once/week	Count	21	26	47
		Expected Count	20.7	26.3	47.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER	44.7%	55.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.0%	6.8%	6.8%
		% of Total	3.1%	3.8%	6.8%
	3 times/week	Count	0	5	5
		Expected Count	2.2	2.8	5.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	1.3%	.7%
		% of Total	.0%	.7%	.7%
	5 times/week	Count	1	2	3
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.5%	.4%
		% of Total	.1%	.3%	.4%
Total		Count	302	385	687
		Expected Count	302.0	385.0	687.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:DESIGNER	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.681 <sup>a</sup>	7	.112
Likelihood Ratio	13.556	7	.060
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.552	1	.110
N of Valid Cases	687		

a. 4 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.32.

**AVERAGE USE:STEROIDS \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:STEROIDS	Never used	Count	143	125	268
		Expected Count	117.4	150.6	268.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:STEROIDS	53.4%	46.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	47.5%	32.4%	39.0%
		% of Total	20.8%	18.2%	39.0%
	Once/year	Count	49	66	115
		Expected Count	50.4	64.6	115.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:STEROIDS	42.6%	57.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	16.3%	17.1%	16.7%
		% of Total	7.1%	9.6%	16.7%
	6 times/year	Count	34	54	88
		Expected Count	38.6	49.4	88.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:STEROIDS	38.6%	61.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.3%	14.0%	12.8%
		% of Total	4.9%	7.9%	12.8%
	Once/month	Count	24	40	64
		Expected Count	28.0	36.0	64.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:STEROIDS	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.0%	10.4%	9.3%
		% of Total	3.5%	5.8%	9.3%
	Twice/month	Count	25	37	62
		Expected Count	27.2	34.8	62.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:STEROIDS	40.3%	59.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.3%	9.6%	9.0%
		% of Total	3.6%	5.4%	9.0%

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total	
			Male	Female		
AVERAGE USE:STEROIDS	Once/week	Count	14	37	51	
		Expected Count	22.3	28.7	51.0	
		% within AVERAGE USE:STEROIDS	27.5%	72.5%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	4.7%	9.6%	7.4%	
		% of Total	2.0%	5.4%	7.4%	
	3 times/week	Count	9	14	23	
		Expected Count	10.1	12.9	23.0	
		% within AVERAGE USE:STEROIDS	39.1%	60.9%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	3.0%	3.6%	3.3%	
		% of Total	1.3%	2.0%	3.3%	
	5 times/week	Count	2	11	13	
		Expected Count	5.7	7.3	13.0	
		% within AVERAGE USE:STEROIDS	15.4%	84.6%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	.7%	2.8%	1.9%	
		% of Total	.3%	1.6%	1.9%	
	Every day	Count	1	2	3	
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0	
		% within AVERAGE USE:STEROIDS	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	.3%	.5%	.4%	
		% of Total	.1%	.3%	.4%	
Total		Count	301	386	687	
		Expected Count	301.0	386.0	687.0	
		% within AVERAGE USE:STEROIDS	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	22.440 <sup>a</sup>	8	.004
Likelihood Ratio	23.205	8	.003
Linear-by-Linear Association	17.267	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	687		

a. 2 cells (11.1%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.31.

#### AVERAGE USE:OTHER \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:OTHER	Never used	Count	141	145	286
		Expected Count	126.0	160.0	286.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OTHER	49.3%	50.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	46.8%	38.0%	41.9%
		% of Total	20.6%	21.2%	41.9%
	Once/year	Count	74	103	177
		Expected Count	78.0	99.0	177.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OTHER	41.8%	58.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	24.6%	27.0%	25.9%
		% of Total	10.8%	15.1%	25.9%
	6 times/year	Count	21	46	67
		Expected Count	29.5	37.5	67.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OTHER	31.3%	68.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.0%	12.0%	9.8%
		% of Total	3.1%	6.7%	9.8%
	Once/month	Count	28	34	62
		Expected Count	27.3	34.7	62.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OTHER	45.2%	54.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.3%	8.9%	9.1%
		% of Total	4.1%	5.0%	9.1%
	Twice/month	Count	16	17	33
		Expected Count	14.5	18.5	33.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OTHER	48.5%	51.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.3%	4.5%	4.8%
		% of Total	2.3%	2.5%	4.8%
	Once/week	Count	13	22	35
		Expected Count	15.4	19.6	35.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OTHER	37.1%	62.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.3%	5.8%	5.1%
		% of Total	1.9%	3.2%	5.1%
	3 times/week	Count	2	10	12
		Expected Count	5.3	6.7	12.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OTHER	16.7%	83.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	2.6%	1.8%
		% of Total	.3%	1.5%	1.8%
	5 times/week	Count	2	1	3
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OTHER	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.3%	.4%
		% of Total	.3%	.1%	.4%

## Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
AVERAGE USE:OTHER	Every day	Count	4	4	8
		Expected Count	3.5	4.5	8.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OTHER	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	1.0%	1.2%
		% of Total	.6%	.6%	1.2%
Total		Count	301	382	683
		Expected Count	301.0	382.0	683.0
		% within AVERAGE USE:OTHER	44.1%	55.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	44.1%	55.9%	100.0%

### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.309 <sup>a</sup>	8	.102
Likelihood Ratio	13.872	8	.085
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.518	1	.113
N of Valid Cases	683		

a. 4 cells (22.2%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.32.

## Crosstabs

### Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Use tobacco: never *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use tobacco: on campus	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
* Gender						
Use tobacco: res hall *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use tobacco: frat/sor *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use tobacco: bar/restaurant *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use tobacco: where live	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
* Gender						
Use tobacco: in car *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use tobacco: private	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
parties *						
Gender						
Use tobacco: other *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Case Processing Summary**

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Use alcohol: never *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use alcohol: on campus *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use alcohol: res hall *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use alcohol: frat/sor *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use alcohol: bar/restaurant *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use alcohol: where live *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use alcohol: in car *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use alcohol: private parties *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use alcohol: other *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use marijuana: never *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use marijuana: on campus *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use marijuana: res hall *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use marijuana: frat/sor *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use marijuana: bar/restaurant *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use marijuana: where live *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use marijuana: in car *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use marijuana: private parties *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use marijuana: other *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use cocaine: never *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use cocaine: on campus *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use cocaine: res hall *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use cocaine: frat/sor *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use cocaine: bar/restaurant *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use cocaine: where live *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use cocaine: in car *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Use cocaine: private parties *	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Case Processing Summary**

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Use cocaine: other * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use amphetamines: never * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use amphetamines: on campus * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use amphetamines: res hall * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use amphetamines: frat/sor * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use amphetamines: bar/restaurant * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use amphetamines: where live * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use amphetamines: in car * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use amphetamines: private parties * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use amphetamines: other * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use sedatives: never * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use sedatives: on campus * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use sedatives: res hall * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use sedatives: frat/sor * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use sedatives: bar/restaurant * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use sedatives: where live * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use sedatives: in car * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use sedatives: private parties * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use sedatives: other * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use hallucinogens: never * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use hallucinogens: on campus * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use hallucinogens: res hall * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use hallucinogens: frat/sor * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use hallucinogens: bar/restaurant * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use hallucinogens: where live * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use hallucinogens: in car * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Case Processing Summary**

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Use hallucinogens: private parties * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use hallucinogens: other * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use opiates: never * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use opiates: on campus * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use opiates: res hall * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use opiates: frat/sor * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use opiates: bar/restaurant * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use opiates: where live * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use opiates: in car * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use opiates: private parties * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use opiates: other * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use inhalants: never * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use inhalants: on campus * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use inhalants: res hall * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use inhalants: frat/sor * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use inhalants: bar/restaurant * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use inhalants: where live * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use inhalants: in car * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use inhalants: private parties * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use inhalants: other * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use designer drugs: never * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use designer drugs: on campus * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use designer drugs: res hall * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use designer drugs: frat/sor * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use designer drugs: bar/restaurant * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use designer drugs: where live * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Case Processing Summary**

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Use designer drugs: in car * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use designer drugs: private parties * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use designer drugs: other * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use steroids: never * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use steroids: on campus * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use steroids: res hall * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use steroids: frat/sor * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use steroids: bar/restaurant * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use steroids: where live * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use steroids: in car * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use steroids: private parties * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use steroids: other * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use other drugs: never * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use other drugs: on campus * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use other drugs: res hall * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use other drugs: frat/sor * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use other drugs: bar/restaurant * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use other drugs: where live * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use other drugs: in car * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use other drugs: private parties * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%
Use other drugs: other * Gender	693	98.9%	8	1.1%	701	100.0%

**Use tobacco: never \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use tobacco: never	No	Count	193	200	393
		Expected Count	172.4	220.6	393.0
		% within Use tobacco: never	49.1%	50.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	63.5%	51.4%	56.7%
		% of Total	27.8%	28.9%	56.7%
	Yes	Count	111	189	300
		Expected Count	131.6	168.4	300.0
		% within Use tobacco: never	37.0%	63.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	36.5%	48.6%	43.3%
		% of Total	16.0%	27.3%	43.3%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use tobacco: never	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.131 <sup>b</sup>	1	.001		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	9.646	1	.002		
Likelihood Ratio	10.188	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test				.002	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.117	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 131.60.

#### Use tobacco: on campus \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use tobacco: on campus	No	Count	247	340	587
		Expected Count	257.5	329.5	587.0
		% within Use tobacco: on campus	42.1%	57.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	81.3%	87.4%	84.7%
		% of Total	35.6%	49.1%	84.7%
	Yes	Count	57	49	106
		Expected Count	46.5	59.5	106.0
		% within Use tobacco: on campus	53.8%	46.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	18.8%	12.6%	15.3%
		% of Total	8.2%	7.1%	15.3%
Total	Count		304	389	693
	Expected Count		304.0	389.0	693.0
	% within Use tobacco: on campus		43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
	% within Gender		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total		43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.987 <sup>b</sup>	1	.026		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	4.524	1	.033		
Likelihood Ratio	4.949	1	.026		
Fisher's Exact Test				.033	.017
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.980	1	.026		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 46.50.

#### Use tobacco: res hall \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use tobacco: res hall	No	Count	248	349	597
		Expected Count	261.9	335.1	597.0
		% within Use tobacco: res hall	41.5%	58.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	81.6%	89.7%	86.1%
		% of Total	35.8%	50.4%	86.1%
	Yes	Count	56	40	96
		Expected Count	42.1	53.9	96.0
		% within Use tobacco: res hall	58.3%	41.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	18.4%	10.3%	13.9%
		% of Total	8.1%	5.8%	13.9%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use tobacco: res hall	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.471 <sup>b</sup>	1	.002		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	8.801	1	.003		
Likelihood Ratio	9.397	1	.002		
Fisher's Exact Test				.003	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.457	1	.002		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 42.11.

#### Use tobacco: frat/sor \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use tobacco: frat/sor	No	Count	248	332	580
		Expected Count	254.4	325.6	580.0
		% within Use tobacco: frat/sor	42.8%	57.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	81.6%	85.3%	83.7%
		% of Total	35.8%	47.9%	83.7%
	Yes	Count	56	57	113
		Expected Count	49.6	63.4	113.0
		% within Use tobacco: frat/sor	49.6%	50.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	18.4%	14.7%	16.3%
		% of Total	8.1%	8.2%	16.3%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use tobacco: frat/sor	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.775 <sup>b</sup>	1	.183		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.510	1	.219		
Likelihood Ratio	1.765	1	.184		
Fisher's Exact Test				.214	.110
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.773	1	.183		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 49.57.

### Use tobacco: bar/restaurant \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use tobacco: bar/restaurant	No	Count	188	254	442
		Expected Count	193.9	248.1	442.0
		% within Use tobacco: bar/restaurant	42.5%	57.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	61.8%	65.3%	63.8%
		% of Total	27.1%	36.7%	63.8%
	Yes	Count	116	135	251
		Expected Count	110.1	140.9	251.0
		% within Use tobacco: bar/restaurant	46.2%	53.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	38.2%	34.7%	36.2%
		% of Total	16.7%	19.5%	36.2%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use tobacco: bar/restaurant	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.881 <sup>b</sup>	1	.348		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.738	1	.390		
Likelihood Ratio	.880	1	.348		
Fisher's Exact Test				.381	.195
Linear-by-Linear Association	.880	1	.348		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 110.11.

## Use tobacco: where live \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use tobacco: where live	No	Count	189	270	459
		Expected Count	201.4	257.6	459.0
		% within Use tobacco: where live	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	62.2%	69.4%	66.2%
		% of Total	27.3%	39.0%	66.2%
	Yes	Count	115	119	234
		Expected Count	102.6	131.4	234.0
		% within Use tobacco: where live	49.1%	50.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	37.8%	30.6%	33.8%
		% of Total	16.6%	17.2%	33.8%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use tobacco: where live	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.997 <sup>b</sup>	1	.046		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	3.680	1	.055		
Likelihood Ratio	3.986	1	.046		
Fisher's Exact Test				.052	.028
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.991	1	.046		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 102.65.

### Use tobacco: in car \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use tobacco: in car	No	Count	195	265	460
		Expected Count	201.8	258.2	460.0
		% within Use tobacco: in car	42.4%	57.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	64.1%	68.1%	66.4%
		% of Total	28.1%	38.2%	66.4%
	Yes	Count	109	124	233
		Expected Count	102.2	130.8	233.0
		% within Use tobacco: in car	46.8%	53.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	35.9%	31.9%	33.6%
		% of Total	15.7%	17.9%	33.6%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use tobacco: in car	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.210 <sup>b</sup>	1	.271		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.039	1	.308		
Likelihood Ratio	1.208	1	.272		
Fisher's Exact Test				.292	.154
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.209	1	.272		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 102.21.

**Use tobacco: private parties \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use tobacco: private parties	No	Count	171	237	408
		Expected Count	179.0	229.0	408.0
		% within Use tobacco: private parties	41.9%	58.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	56.3%	60.9%	58.9%
		% of Total	24.7%	34.2%	58.9%
	Yes	Count	133	152	285
		Expected Count	125.0	160.0	285.0
		% within Use tobacco: private parties	46.7%	53.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	43.8%	39.1%	41.1%
		% of Total	19.2%	21.9%	41.1%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use tobacco: private parties	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.541 <sup>b</sup>	1	.215		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.354	1	.245		
Likelihood Ratio	1.539	1	.215		
Fisher's Exact Test				.243	.122
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.538	1	.215		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 125.02.

### Use tobacco: other \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use tobacco: other	No	Count	240	340	580
		Expected Count	254.4	325.6	580.0
		% within Use tobacco: other	41.4%	58.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	78.9%	87.4%	83.7%
		% of Total	34.6%	49.1%	83.7%
	Yes	Count	64	49	113
		Expected Count	49.6	63.4	113.0
		% within Use tobacco: other	56.6%	43.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	21.1%	12.6%	16.3%
		% of Total	9.2%	7.1%	16.3%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use tobacco: other	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.941 <sup>b</sup>	1	.003		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	8.332	1	.004		
Likelihood Ratio	8.873	1	.003		
Fisher's Exact Test				.004	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.928	1	.003		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 49.57.

#### Use alcohol: never \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use alcohol: No never	Count	281	344	625
	Expected Count	274.2	350.8	625.0
	% within Use alcohol: never	45.0%	55.0%	100.0%
	% within Gender	92.4%	88.4%	90.2%
	% of Total	40.5%	49.6%	90.2%
	Count	23	45	68
	Expected Count	29.8	38.2	68.0
	% within Use alcohol: never	33.8%	66.2%	100.0%
	% within Gender	7.6%	11.6%	9.8%
	% of Total	3.3%	6.5%	9.8%
Total	Count	304	389	693
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
	% within Use alcohol: never	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.089 <sup>b</sup>	1	.079		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	2.653	1	.103		
Likelihood Ratio	3.157	1	.076		
Fisher's Exact Test				.094	.051
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.084	1	.079		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 29.83.

#### Use alcohol: on campus \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use alcohol: on campus	No	Count	234	328	562
		Expected Count	246.5	315.5	562.0
		% within Use alcohol: on campus	41.6%	58.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	77.0%	84.3%	81.1%
		% of Total	33.8%	47.3%	81.1%
	Yes	Count	70	61	131
		Expected Count	57.5	73.5	131.0
		% within Use alcohol: on campus	53.4%	46.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	23.0%	15.7%	18.9%
		% of Total	10.1%	8.8%	18.9%
Total	Count	304	389	693	
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0	
	% within Use alcohol: on campus	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.005 <sup>b</sup>	1	.014		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	5.536	1	.019		
Likelihood Ratio	5.963	1	.015		
Fisher's Exact Test				.019	.009
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.997	1	.014		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 57.47.

### Use alcohol: res hall \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use alcohol: No res hall	Count	135	207	342	
		150.0	192.0	342.0	
		39.5%	60.5%	100.0%	
		44.4%	53.2%	49.4%	
		19.5%	29.9%	49.4%	
	Yes	169	182	351	
		154.0	197.0	351.0	
		48.1%	51.9%	100.0%	
		55.6%	46.8%	50.6%	
		24.4%	26.3%	50.6%	
Total	Count	304	389	693	
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0	
	% within Use alcohol: res hall	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.293 <sup>b</sup>	1	.021		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	4.947	1	.026		
Likelihood Ratio	5.302	1	.021		
Fisher's Exact Test				.022	.013
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.286	1	.022		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 150.03.

#### Use alcohol: frat/sor \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use alcohol: No frat/sor	Count	172	220	392
		172.0	220.0	392.0
		43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		56.6%	56.6%	56.6%
		24.8%	31.7%	56.6%
	Yes	132	169	301
		132.0	169.0	301.0
		43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		43.4%	43.4%	43.4%
		19.0%	24.4%	43.4%
Total	Count	304	389	693
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
	% within Use alcohol: frat/sor	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.000 <sup>b</sup>	1	.995		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.000	1	.995		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.528
Linear-by-Linear Association	.000	1	.995		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 132.04.

#### Use alcohol: bar/restaurant \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use alcohol: bar/restaurant	No	Count	102	160	262
		Expected Count	114.9	147.1	262.0
		% within Use alcohol: bar/restaurant	38.9%	61.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	33.6%	41.1%	37.8%
		% of Total	14.7%	23.1%	37.8%
	Yes	Count	202	229	431
		Expected Count	189.1	241.9	431.0
		% within Use alcohol: bar/restaurant	46.9%	53.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	66.4%	58.9%	62.2%
		% of Total	29.1%	33.0%	62.2%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use alcohol: bar/restaurant	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.168 <sup>b</sup>	1	.041		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	3.852	1	.050		
Likelihood Ratio	4.187	1	.041		
Fisher's Exact Test				.048	.025
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.162	1	.041		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 114.93.

### Use alcohol: where live \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use alcohol: No where live	Count	68	129	197	
		86.4	110.6	197.0	
		34.5%	65.5%	100.0%	
		22.4%	33.2%	28.4%	
		9.8%	18.6%	28.4%	
	Yes	236	260	496	
		217.6	278.4	496.0	
		47.6%	52.4%	100.0%	
		77.6%	66.8%	71.6%	
		34.1%	37.5%	71.6%	
Total	Count	304	389	693	
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0	
	% within Use alcohol: where live	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.771 <sup>b</sup>	1	.002		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	9.248	1	.002		
Likelihood Ratio	9.912	1	.002		
Fisher's Exact Test				.002	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.757	1	.002		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 86.42.

#### Use alcohol: in car \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use alcohol: in car	No	Count	245	327
		Expected Count	250.9	321.1
		% within Use alcohol: in car	42.8%	57.2%
		% within Gender	80.6%	84.1%
		% of Total	35.4%	47.2%
	Yes	Count	59	62
		Expected Count	53.1	67.9
		% within Use alcohol: in car	48.8%	51.2%
		% within Gender	19.4%	15.9%
		% of Total	8.5%	8.9%
Total		Count	304	389
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0
		% within Use alcohol: in car	43.9%	56.1%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%
				100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.425 <sup>b</sup>	1	.233		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.195	1	.274		
Likelihood Ratio	1.418	1	.234		
Fisher's Exact Test				.267	.137
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.423	1	.233		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 53.08.

#### Use alcohol: private parties \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use alcohol: private parties	No	Count	54	96	150
		Expected Count	65.8	84.2	150.0
		% within Use alcohol: private parties	36.0%	64.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	17.8%	24.7%	21.6%
		% of Total	7.8%	13.9%	21.6%
	Yes	Count	250	293	543
		Expected Count	238.2	304.8	543.0
		% within Use alcohol: private parties	46.0%	54.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	82.2%	75.3%	78.4%
		% of Total	36.1%	42.3%	78.4%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use alcohol: private parties	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.812 <sup>b</sup>	1	.028		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	4.413	1	.036		
Likelihood Ratio	4.875	1	.027		
Fisher's Exact Test				.032	.017
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.805	1	.028		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 65.80.

## Use alcohol: other \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use alcohol: No other	Count	218	321	539	
		236.4	302.6	539.0	
		40.4%	59.6%	100.0%	
		71.7%	82.5%	77.8%	
		31.5%	46.3%	77.8%	
	Yes	86	68	154	
		67.6	86.4	154.0	
		55.8%	44.2%	100.0%	
		28.3%	17.5%	22.2%	
		12.4%	9.8%	22.2%	
Total	Count	304	389	693	
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0	
	% within Use alcohol: other	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.534 <sup>b</sup>	1	.001		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	10.918	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	11.461	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test				.001	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.518	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 67.56.

#### Use marijuana: never \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use marijuana: No never	Count	162	176	338
		148.3	189.7	338.0
		47.9%	52.1%	100.0%
		53.3%	45.2%	48.8%
		23.4%	25.4%	48.8%
	Yes	142	213	355
		155.7	199.3	355.0
		40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
		46.7%	54.8%	51.2%
		20.5%	30.7%	51.2%
Total	Count	304	389	693
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
	% within Use marijuana: never	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.421 <sup>b</sup>	1	.036		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	4.105	1	.043		
Likelihood Ratio	4.424	1	.035		
Fisher's Exact Test				.039	.021
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.414	1	.036		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 148.27.

### Use marijuana: on campus \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use marijuana: on campus	No	Count	284	384	668
		Expected Count	293.0	375.0	668.0
		% within Use marijuana: on campus	42.5%	57.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	93.4%	98.7%	96.4%
		% of Total	41.0%	55.4%	96.4%
	Yes	Count	20	5	25
		Expected Count	11.0	14.0	25.0
		% within Use marijuana: on campus	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.6%	1.3%	3.6%
		% of Total	2.9%	.7%	3.6%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use marijuana: on campus	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.751 <sup>b</sup>	1	.000		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	12.271	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	14.212	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	13.731	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 10.97.

**Use marijuana: res hall \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use marijuana: res hall	No	Count	249	353	602
		Expected Count	264.1	337.9	602.0
		% within Use marijuana: res hall	41.4%	58.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	81.9%	90.7%	86.9%
		% of Total	35.9%	50.9%	86.9%
	Yes	Count	55	36	91
		Expected Count	39.9	51.1	91.0
		% within Use marijuana: res hall	60.4%	39.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	18.1%	9.3%	13.1%
		% of Total	7.9%	5.2%	13.1%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use marijuana: res hall	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.684 <sup>b</sup>	1	.001		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	10.922	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	11.602	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test				.001	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.667	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 39.92.

**Use marijuana: frat/sor \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use marijuana: frat/sor	No	Count	266	349	615
		Expected Count	269.8	345.2	615.0
		% within Use marijuana: frat/sor	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	87.5%	89.7%	88.7%
		% of Total	38.4%	50.4%	88.7%
	Yes	Count	38	40	78
		Expected Count	34.2	43.8	78.0
		% within Use marijuana: frat/sor	48.7%	51.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	12.5%	10.3%	11.3%
		% of Total	5.5%	5.8%	11.3%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use marijuana: frat/sor	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.840 <sup>b</sup>	1	.359		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.633	1	.426		
Likelihood Ratio	.835	1	.361		
Fisher's Exact Test				.397	.213
Linear-by-Linear Association	.839	1	.360		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 34.22.

#### Use marijuana: bar/restaurant \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use marijuana: bar/restaurant	No	Count	287	379	666
		Expected Count	292.2	373.8	666.0
		% within Use marijuana: bar/restaurant	43.1%	56.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	94.4%	97.4%	96.1%
		% of Total	41.4%	54.7%	96.1%
	Yes	Count	17	10	27
		Expected Count	11.8	15.2	27.0
		% within Use marijuana: bar/restaurant	63.0%	37.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.6%	2.6%	3.9%
		% of Total	2.5%	1.4%	3.9%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use marijuana: bar/restaurant	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.160 <sup>b</sup>	1	.041		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	3.393	1	.065		
Likelihood Ratio	4.133	1	.042		
Fisher's Exact Test				.048	.033
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.154	1	.042		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 11.84.

**Use marijuana: where live \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use marijuana: where live	No	Count	206	292	498
		Expected Count	218.5	279.5	498.0
		% within Use marijuana: where live	41.4%	58.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	67.8%	75.1%	71.9%
		% of Total	29.7%	42.1%	71.9%
	Yes	Count	98	97	195
		Expected Count	85.5	109.5	195.0
		% within Use marijuana: where live	50.3%	49.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	32.2%	24.9%	28.1%
		% of Total	14.1%	14.0%	28.1%
Total	Count	304	389	693	
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0	
	% within Use marijuana: where live	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.499 <sup>b</sup>	1	.034		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	4.145	1	.042		
Likelihood Ratio	4.479	1	.034		
Fisher's Exact Test				.041	.021
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.492	1	.034		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 85.54.

### Use marijuana: in car \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use marijuana: in car	No	Count	219	305
		Expected Count	229.9	294.1
		% within Use marijuana: in car	41.8%	58.2%
		% within Gender	72.0%	78.4%
		% of Total	31.6%	44.0%
	Yes	Count	85	84
		Expected Count	74.1	94.9
		% within Use marijuana: in car	50.3%	49.7%
		% within Gender	28.0%	21.6%
		% of Total	12.3%	12.1%
Total		Count	304	389
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0
		% within Use marijuana: in car	43.9%	56.1%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%
				100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.751 <sup>b</sup>	1	.053		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	3.414	1	.065		
Likelihood Ratio	3.732	1	.053		
Fisher's Exact Test				.061	.033
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.746	1	.053		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 74.14.

#### Use marijuana: private parties \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use marijuana: private parties	No	Count	185	276	461
		Expected Count	202.2	258.8	461.0
		% within Use marijuana: private parties	40.1%	59.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	60.9%	71.0%	66.5%
		% of Total	26.7%	39.8%	66.5%
	Yes	Count	119	113	232
		Expected Count	101.8	130.2	232.0
		% within Use marijuana: private parties	51.3%	48.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	39.1%	29.0%	33.5%
		% of Total	17.2%	16.3%	33.5%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use marijuana: private parties	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.810 <sup>b</sup>	1	.005		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	7.363	1	.007		
Likelihood Ratio	7.785	1	.005		
Fisher's Exact Test				.006	.003
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.799	1	.005		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 101.77.

**Use marijuana: other \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use marijuana: other	No	Count	249	342
		Expected Count	259.3	331.7
		% within Use marijuana: other	42.1%	57.9%
		% within Gender	81.9%	87.9%
		% of Total	35.9%	49.4%
	Yes	Count	55	47
		Expected Count	44.7	57.3
		% within Use marijuana: other	53.9%	46.1%
		% within Gender	18.1%	12.1%
		% of Total	7.9%	6.8%
Total		Count	304	389
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0
		% within Use marijuana: other	43.9%	56.1%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%
				100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.910 <sup>b</sup>	1	.027		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	4.443	1	.035		
Likelihood Ratio	4.872	1	.027		
Fisher's Exact Test				.031	.018
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.903	1	.027		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 44.74.

#### Use cocaine: never \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use cocaine: No	never	Count	35	29	64
		Expected Count	28.1	35.9	64.0
		% within Use cocaine: never	54.7%	45.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.5%	7.5%	9.2%
		% of Total	5.1%	4.2%	9.2%
	Yes	Count	269	360	629
		Expected Count	275.9	353.1	629.0
		% within Use cocaine: never	42.8%	57.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	88.5%	92.5%	90.8%
		% of Total	38.8%	51.9%	90.8%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use cocaine: never	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.353 <sup>b</sup>	1	.067		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	2.886	1	.089		
Likelihood Ratio	3.323	1	.068		
Fisher's Exact Test				.085	.045
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.348	1	.067		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 28.08.

#### Use cocaine: on campus \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use cocaine: on campus	No	Count	303	389	692
		Expected Count	303.6	388.4	692.0
		% within Use cocaine: on campus	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	100.0%	99.9%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.1%	99.9%
	Yes	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use cocaine: on campus	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total	Count		304	389	693
	Expected Count		304.0	389.0	693.0
	% within Use cocaine: on campus		43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
	% within Gender		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total		43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.281 <sup>b</sup>	1	.258		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.015	1	.902		
Likelihood Ratio	1.650	1	.199		
Fisher's Exact Test				.439	.439
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.280	1	.258		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

#### Use cocaine: res hall \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use cocaine: res hall	No	Count	297	388	685
		Expected Count	300.5	384.5	685.0
		% within Use cocaine: res hall	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.7%	99.7%	98.8%
		% of Total	42.9%	56.0%	98.8%
	Yes	Count	7	1	8
		Expected Count	3.5	4.5	8.0
		% within Use cocaine: res hall	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.3%	.3%	1.2%
		% of Total	1.0%	.1%	1.2%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use cocaine: res hall	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.258 <sup>b</sup>	1	.012		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	4.593	1	.032		
Likelihood Ratio	6.735	1	.009		
Fisher's Exact Test				.024	.015
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.248	1	.012		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.51.

#### Use cocaine: frat/sor \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use cocaine: frat/sor	No	Count	300	388	688
		Expected Count	301.8	386.2	688.0
		% within Use cocaine: frat/sor	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	98.7%	99.7%	99.3%
		% of Total	43.3%	56.0%	99.3%
	Yes	Count	4	1	5
		Expected Count	2.2	2.8	5.0
		% within Use cocaine: frat/sor	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	.3%	.7%
		% of Total	.6%	.1%	.7%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use cocaine: frat/sor	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.670 <sup>b</sup>	1	.102		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.397	1	.237		
Likelihood Ratio	2.762	1	.097		
Fisher's Exact Test				.174	.119
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.666	1	.102		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.19.

**Use cocaine: bar/restaurant \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use cocaine: bar/restaurant	No	Count	294	387	681
		Expected Count	298.7	382.3	681.0
		% within Use cocaine: bar/restaurant	43.2%	56.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	96.7%	99.5%	98.3%
		% of Total	42.4%	55.8%	98.3%
	Yes	Count	10	2	12
		Expected Count	5.3	6.7	12.0
		% within Use cocaine: bar/restaurant	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.3%	.5%	1.7%
		% of Total	1.4%	.3%	1.7%
Total	Count	304	389	693	
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0	
	% within Use cocaine: bar/restaurant	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.724 <sup>b</sup>	1	.005		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	6.179	1	.013		
Likelihood Ratio	8.110	1	.004		
Fisher's Exact Test				.007	.006
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.713	1	.005		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.26.

### Use cocaine: where live \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use cocaine: where live	No	Count	287	374	661
		Expected Count	290.0	371.0	661.0
		% within Use cocaine: where live	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	94.4%	96.1%	95.4%
		% of Total	41.4%	54.0%	95.4%
	Yes	Count	17	15	32
		Expected Count	14.0	18.0	32.0
		% within Use cocaine: where live	53.1%	46.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.6%	3.9%	4.6%
		% of Total	2.5%	2.2%	4.6%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use cocaine: where live	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.168 <sup>b</sup>	1	.280		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.807	1	.369		
Likelihood Ratio	1.157	1	.282		
Fisher's Exact Test				.362	.184
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.166	1	.280		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 14.04.

### Use cocaine: in car \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use cocaine: in car	No	Count	294	382	676
		Expected Count	296.5	379.5	676.0
		% within Use cocaine: in car	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	96.7%	98.2%	97.5%
		% of Total	42.4%	55.1%	97.5%
	Yes	Count	10	7	17
		Expected Count	7.5	9.5	17.0
		% within Use cocaine: in car	58.8%	41.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.3%	1.8%	2.5%
		% of Total	1.4%	1.0%	2.5%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use cocaine: in car	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.583 <sup>b</sup>	1	.208		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.022	1	.312		
Likelihood Ratio	1.568	1	.210		
Fisher's Exact Test				.225	.156
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.581	1	.209		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.46.

#### Use cocaine: private parties \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use cocaine: private parties	No	Count	280	374	654
		Expected Count	286.9	367.1	654.0
		% within Use cocaine: private parties	42.8%	57.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	92.1%	96.1%	94.4%
		% of Total	40.4%	54.0%	94.4%
	Yes	Count	24	15	39
		Expected Count	17.1	21.9	39.0
		% within Use cocaine: private parties	61.5%	38.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.9%	3.9%	5.6%
		% of Total	3.5%	2.2%	5.6%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use cocaine: private parties	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.241 <sup>b</sup>	1	.022		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	4.508	1	.034		
Likelihood Ratio	5.201	1	.023		
Fisher's Exact Test				.030	.017
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.233	1	.022		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 17.11.

#### Use cocaine: other \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use cocaine: other	No	Count	297	380	677
		Expected Count	297.0	380.0	677.0
		% within Use cocaine: other	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.7%	97.7%	97.7%
		% of Total	42.9%	54.8%	97.7%
	Yes	Count	7	9	16
		Expected Count	7.0	9.0	16.0
		% within Use cocaine: other	43.8%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%
		% of Total	1.0%	1.3%	2.3%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use cocaine: other	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.000 <sup>b</sup>	1	.992		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.000	1	.992		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.600
Linear-by-Linear Association	.000	1	.992		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.02.

#### Use amphetamines: never \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use amphetamines: never	No	Count	28	43	71
		Expected Count	31.1	39.9	71.0
		% within Use amphetamines: never	39.4%	60.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.2%	11.1%	10.2%
		% of Total	4.0%	6.2%	10.2%
	Yes	Count	276	346	622
		Expected Count	272.9	349.1	622.0
		% within Use amphetamines: never	44.4%	55.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	90.8%	88.9%	89.8%
		% of Total	39.8%	49.9%	89.8%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use amphetamines: never	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.631 <sup>b</sup>	1	.427		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.446	1	.504		
Likelihood Ratio	.636	1	.425		
Fisher's Exact Test				.451	.253
Linear-by-Linear Association	.630	1	.427		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 31.15.

### Use amphetamines: on campus \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use amphetamines: No on campus	Count	301	389	690
	Expected Count	302.7	387.3	690.0
	% within Use amphetamines: on campus	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
	% within Gender	99.0%	100.0%	99.6%
	% of Total	43.4%	56.1%	99.6%
	Yes	3	0	3
Total	Count	304	389	693
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
	% within Use amphetamines: on campus	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.856 <sup>b</sup>	1	.050		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.906	1	.167		
Likelihood Ratio	4.961	1	.026		
Fisher's Exact Test				.084	.084
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.850	1	.050		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.32.

### Use amphetamines: res hall \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use amphetamines: res hall	No	Count	293	381	674
		Expected Count	295.7	378.3	674.0
		% within Use amphetamines: res hall	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	96.4%	97.9%	97.3%
		% of Total	42.3%	55.0%	97.3%
	Yes	Count	11	8	19
		Expected Count	8.3	10.7	19.0
		% within Use amphetamines: res hall	57.9%	42.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.6%	2.1%	2.7%
		% of Total	1.6%	1.2%	2.7%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use amphetamines: res hall	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.561 <sup>b</sup>	1	.212		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.030	1	.310		
Likelihood Ratio	1.546	1	.214		
Fisher's Exact Test				.245	.155
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.559	1	.212		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.33.

### Use amphetamines: frat/sor \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use amphetamines: No frat/sor	Count	300	389	689
		302.2	386.8	689.0
		43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		98.7%	100.0%	99.4%
		43.3%	56.1%	99.4%
	Yes	4	0	4
		1.8	2.2	4.0
		100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		1.3%	.0%	.6%
		.6%	.0%	.6%
Total	Count	304	389	693
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
	% within Use amphetamines: frat/sor	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.148 <sup>b</sup>	1	.023		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	3.111	1	.078		
Likelihood Ratio	6.622	1	.010		
Fisher's Exact Test				.037	.037
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.141	1	.023		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.75.

### Use amphetamines: bar/restaurant \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use amphetamines: No bar/restaurant	Count	300	389	689
	Expected Count	302.2	386.8	689.0
	% within Use amphetamines: bar/restaurant	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
	% within Gender	98.7%	100.0%	99.4%
	% of Total	43.3%	56.1%	99.4%
	Yes	Count	4	4
Total	Expected Count	1.8	2.2	4.0
	% within Use amphetamines: bar/restaurant	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within Gender	1.3%	.0%	.6%
	% of Total	.6%	.0%	.6%
	Count	304	389	693
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
	% within Use amphetamines: bar/restaurant	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.148 <sup>b</sup>	1	.023		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	3.111	1	.078		
Likelihood Ratio	6.622	1	.010		
Fisher's Exact Test				.037	.037
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.141	1	.023		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.75.

### Use amphetamines: where live \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use amphetamines: No where live	Count	282	354	636
	Expected Count	279.0	357.0	636.0
	% within Use amphetamines: where live	44.3%	55.7%	100.0%
	% within Gender	92.8%	91.0%	91.8%
	% of Total	40.7%	51.1%	91.8%
	Yes	22	35	57
Total	Count	304	389	693
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
	% within Use amphetamines: where live	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.701 <sup>b</sup>	1	.403		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.487	1	.485		
Likelihood Ratio	.708	1	.400		
Fisher's Exact Test				.486	.244
Linear-by-Linear Association	.700	1	.403		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 25.00.

### Use amphetamines: in car \* Gender

## Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use amphetamines: in car	No	Count	299	386	685
		Expected Count	300.5	384.5	685.0
		% within Use amphetamines: in car	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	98.4%	99.2%	98.8%
		% of Total	43.1%	55.7%	98.8%
	Yes	Count	5	3	8
		Expected Count	3.5	4.5	8.0
		% within Use amphetamines: in car	62.5%	37.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.6%	.8%	1.2%
		% of Total	.7%	.4%	1.2%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use amphetamines: in car	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.141 <sup>b</sup>	1	.285		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.504	1	.478		
Likelihood Ratio	1.133	1	.287		
Fisher's Exact Test				.308	.238
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.139	1	.286		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.51.

## Use amphetamines: private parties \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use amphetamines: No private parties	Count	296	385	681
	Expected Count	298.7	382.3	681.0
	% within Use amphetamines: private parties	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
	% within Gender	97.4%	99.0%	98.3%
	% of Total	42.7%	55.6%	98.3%
	Yes	8	4	12
Total	Count	304	389	693
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
	% within Use amphetamines: private parties	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.578 <sup>b</sup>	1	.108		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.722	1	.189		
Likelihood Ratio	2.572	1	.109		
Fisher's Exact Test				.143	.095
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.574	1	.109		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.26.

### Use amphetamines: other \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use amphetamines: other	No	Count	295	386	681
		Expected Count	298.7	382.3	681.0
		% within Use amphetamines: other	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.0%	99.2%	98.3%
		% of Total	42.6%	55.7%	98.3%
	Yes	Count	9	3	12
		Expected Count	5.3	6.7	12.0
		% within Use amphetamines: other	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.0%	.8%	1.7%
		% of Total	1.3%	.4%	1.7%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use amphetamines: other	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.807 <sup>b</sup>	1	.028		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	3.606	1	.058		
Likelihood Ratio	4.884	1	.027		
Fisher's Exact Test				.038	.029
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.800	1	.028		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.26.

#### Use sedatives: never \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use sedatives: No never	Count	23	17	40
		17.5	22.5	40.0
		57.5%	42.5%	100.0%
		7.6%	4.4%	5.8%
		3.3%	2.5%	5.8%
	Yes	281	372	653
		286.5	366.5	653.0
		43.0%	57.0%	100.0%
		92.4%	95.6%	94.2%
		40.5%	53.7%	94.2%
Total	Count	304	389	693
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
	% within Use sedatives: never	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.204 <sup>b</sup>	1	.073		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	2.643	1	.104		
Likelihood Ratio	3.174	1	.075		
Fisher's Exact Test				.100	.053
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.199	1	.074		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 17.55.

### Use sedatives: on campus \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use sedatives: No on campus	Count	302	389	691	
		303.1	387.9	691.0	
		43.7%	56.3%	100.0%	
		99.3%	100.0%	99.7%	
		43.6%	56.1%	99.7%	
	Yes	2	0	2	
		.9	1.1	2.0	
		100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		.7%	.0%	.3%	
		.3%	.0%	.3%	
Total	Count	304	389	693	
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0	
	% within Use sedatives: on campus	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.567 <sup>b</sup>	1	.109		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.790	1	.374		
Likelihood Ratio	3.303	1	.069		
Fisher's Exact Test				.192	.192
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.563	1	.109		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .88.

**Use sedatives: res hall \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use sedatives: No res hall	Count	300	387	687
		301.4	385.6	687.0
		43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		98.7%	99.5%	99.1%
		43.3%	55.8%	99.1%
	Yes	4	2	6
		2.6	3.4	6.0
		66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		1.3%	.5%	.9%
		.6%	.3%	.9%
Total	Count	304	389	693
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
	% within Use sedatives: res hall	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.278 <sup>b</sup>	1	.258		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.514	1	.473		
Likelihood Ratio	1.275	1	.259		
Fisher's Exact Test				.413	.236
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.276	1	.259		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.63.

### Use sedatives: frat/sor \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use sedatives: No frat/sor	Count	302	389	691
		303.1	387.9	691.0
		43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		99.3%	100.0%	99.7%
		43.6%	56.1%	99.7%
	Yes	2	0	2
		.9	1.1	2.0
		100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		.7%	.0%	.3%
		.3%	.0%	.3%
Total	Count	304	389	693
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
	% within Use sedatives: frat/sor	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.567 <sup>b</sup>	1	.109		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.790	1	.374		
Likelihood Ratio	3.303	1	.069		
Fisher's Exact Test				.192	.192
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.563	1	.109		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .88.

### Use sedatives: bar/restaurant \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use sedatives: No bar/restaurant	Count	298	388	686
		300.9	385.1	686.0
		43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		98.0%	99.7%	99.0%
		43.0%	56.0%	99.0%
	Yes	6	1	7
		3.1	3.9	7.0
		85.7%	14.3%	100.0%
		2.0%	.3%	1.0%
		.9%	.1%	1.0%
Total	Count	304	389	693
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
	% within Use sedatives: bar/restaurant	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.029 <sup>b</sup>	1	.025		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	3.459	1	.063		
Likelihood Ratio	5.352	1	.021		
Fisher's Exact Test				.048	.030
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.022	1	.025		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.07.

### Use sedatives: where live \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use sedatives: No where live	Count	287	378	665	
		291.7	373.3	665.0	
		43.2%	56.8%	100.0%	
		94.4%	97.2%	96.0%	
		41.4%	54.5%	96.0%	
	Yes	17	11	28	
		12.3	15.7	28.0	
		60.7%	39.3%	100.0%	
		5.6%	2.8%	4.0%	
		2.5%	1.6%	4.0%	
Total	Count	304	389	693	
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0	
	% within Use sedatives: where live	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.363 <sup>b</sup>	1	.067		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	2.688	1	.101		
Likelihood Ratio	3.336	1	.068		
Fisher's Exact Test				.080	.051
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.358	1	.067		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 12.28.

### Use sedatives: in car \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total	
		Male	Female		
Use sedatives: in car	No	Count	303	389	692
		Expected Count	303.6	388.4	692.0
		% within Use sedatives: in car	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	100.0%	99.9%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.1%	99.9%
	Yes	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use sedatives: in car	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use sedatives: in car	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.281 <sup>b</sup>	1	.258		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.015	1	.902		
Likelihood Ratio	1.650	1	.199		
Fisher's Exact Test				.439	.439
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.280	1	.258		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

### Use sedatives: private parties \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use sedatives: No private parties	Count	298	383	681	
		298.7	382.3	681.0	
		43.8%	56.2%	100.0%	
		98.0%	98.5%	98.3%	
		43.0%	55.3%	98.3%	
	Yes	6	6	12	
		5.3	6.7	12.0	
		50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	
		2.0%	1.5%	1.7%	
		.9%	.9%	1.7%	
Total	Count	304	389	693	
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0	
	% within Use sedatives: private parties	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.187 <sup>b</sup>	1	.666		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.019	1	.890		
Likelihood Ratio	.185	1	.667		
Fisher's Exact Test				.772	.441
Linear-by-Linear Association	.186	1	.666		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.26.

### Use sedatives: other \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use sedatives: No other	Count	298	388	686
		300.9	385.1	686.0
		43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		98.0%	99.7%	99.0%
		43.0%	56.0%	99.0%
	Yes	6	1	7
		3.1	3.9	7.0
		85.7%	14.3%	100.0%
		2.0%	.3%	1.0%
		.9%	.1%	1.0%
Total	Count	304	389	693
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
	% within Use sedatives: other	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.029 <sup>b</sup>	1	.025		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	3.459	1	.063		
Likelihood Ratio	5.352	1	.021		
Fisher's Exact Test				.048	.030
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.022	1	.025		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.07.

#### Use hallucinogens: never \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use hallucinogens:	No	Count	36	24	60
		Expected Count	26.3	33.7	60.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: never	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.8%	6.2%	8.7%
		% of Total	5.2%	3.5%	8.7%
	Yes	Count	268	365	633
		Expected Count	277.7	355.3	633.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: never	42.3%	57.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	88.2%	93.8%	91.3%
		% of Total	38.7%	52.7%	91.3%
Total	Count	304	389	693	
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0	
	% within Use hallucinogens: never	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.943 <sup>b</sup>	1	.008		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	6.244	1	.012		
Likelihood Ratio	6.887	1	.009		
Fisher's Exact Test				.010	.006
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.933	1	.008		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 26.32.

### Use hallucinogens: on campus \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use hallucinogens: on campus	No	Count	298	386
		Expected Count	300.1	383.9
		% within Use hallucinogens: on campus	43.6%	56.4%
		% within Gender	98.0%	99.2%
		% of Total	43.0%	55.7%
	Yes	Count	6	3
Total		Expected Count	3.9	5.1
		% within Use hallucinogens: on campus	66.7%	33.3%
		% within Gender	2.0%	.8%
		% of Total	.9%	.4%
		Count	304	389
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: on campus	43.9%	56.1%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%
		Count	693	693
		Expected Count	693.0	693.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: on campus	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.925 <sup>b</sup>	1	.165		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.101	1	.294		
Likelihood Ratio	1.920	1	.166		
Fisher's Exact Test				.191	.147
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.922	1	.166		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.95.

#### Use hallucinogens: res hall \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use hallucinogens: res hall	No	Count	294	388	682
		Expected Count	299.2	382.8	682.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: res hall	43.1%	56.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	96.7%	99.7%	98.4%
		% of Total	42.4%	56.0%	98.4%
	Yes	Count	10	1	11
		Expected Count	4.8	6.2	11.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: res hall	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.3%	.3%	1.6%
		% of Total	1.4%	.1%	1.6%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: res hall	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.045 <sup>b</sup>	1	.002		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	8.198	1	.004		
Likelihood Ratio	11.093	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test				.002	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.031	1	.002		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.83.

### Use hallucinogens: frat/sor \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use hallucinogens: frat/sor	No	Count	302	389	691
		Expected Count	303.1	387.9	691.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: frat/sor	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.3%	100.0%	99.7%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.1%	99.7%
	Yes	Count	2	0	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: frat/sor	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.0%	.3%
		% of Total	.3%	.0%	.3%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: frat/sor	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.567 <sup>b</sup>	1	.109		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.790	1	.374		
Likelihood Ratio	3.303	1	.069		
Fisher's Exact Test				.192	.192
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.563	1	.109		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .88.

### Use hallucinogens: bar/restaurant \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use hallucinogens: bar/restaurant	No	Count	300	388
		Expected Count	301.8	386.2
		% within Use hallucinogens: bar/restaurant	43.6%	56.4%
		% within Gender	98.7%	99.7%
		% of Total	43.3%	56.0%
	Yes	Count	4	5
Total		Expected Count	2.2	2.8
		% within Use hallucinogens: bar/restaurant	80.0%	20.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	.3%
		% of Total	.6%	.1%
		Count	304	389
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: bar/restaurant	43.9%	56.1%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%
		Count	693	693
		Expected Count	693.0	693.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: bar/restaurant	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.670 <sup>b</sup>	1	.102		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.397	1	.237		
Likelihood Ratio	2.762	1	.097	.174	.119
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.666	1	.102		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.19.

#### Use hallucinogens: where live \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use hallucinogens: where live	No	Count	283	378	661
		Expected Count	290.0	371.0	661.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: where live	42.8%	57.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	93.1%	97.2%	95.4%
		% of Total	40.8%	54.5%	95.4%
	Yes	Count	21	11	32
		Expected Count	14.0	18.0	32.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: where live	65.6%	34.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.9%	2.8%	4.6%
		% of Total	3.0%	1.6%	4.6%
Total	Count	304	389	693	
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0	
	% within Use hallucinogens: where live	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.450 <sup>b</sup>	1	.011		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	5.557	1	.018		
Likelihood Ratio	6.427	1	.011		
Fisher's Exact Test				.016	.009
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.441	1	.011		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 14.04.

### Use hallucinogens: in car \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use hallucinogens: in car	No	Count	294	387	681
		Expected Count	298.7	382.3	681.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: in car	43.2%	56.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	96.7%	99.5%	98.3%
		% of Total	42.4%	55.8%	98.3%
	Yes	Count	10	2	12
		Expected Count	5.3	6.7	12.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: in car	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.3%	.5%	1.7%
		% of Total	1.4%	.3%	1.7%
Total	Count	304	389	693	
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0	
	% within Use hallucinogens: in car	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.724 <sup>b</sup>	1	.005		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	6.179	1	.013		
Likelihood Ratio	8.110	1	.004		
Fisher's Exact Test				.007	.006
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.713	1	.005		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.26.

### Use hallucinogens: private parties \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use hallucinogens: private parties	No	Count	284	378	662
		Expected Count	290.4	371.6	662.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: private parties	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
	Yes	% within Gender	93.4%	97.2%	95.5%
		% of Total	41.0%	54.5%	95.5%
		Count	20	11	31
Total		Expected Count	13.6	17.4	31.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: private parties	64.5%	35.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.6%	2.8%	4.5%
		% of Total	2.9%	1.6%	4.5%
		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: private parties	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.619 <sup>b</sup>	1	.018		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	4.776	1	.029		
Likelihood Ratio	5.592	1	.018		
Fisher's Exact Test				.025	.015
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.611	1	.018		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 13.60.

#### Use hallucinogens: other \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use hallucinogens: other	No	Count	292	378	670
		Expected Count	293.9	376.1	670.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: other	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	96.1%	97.2%	96.7%
		% of Total	42.1%	54.5%	96.7%
	Yes	Count	12	11	23
		Expected Count	10.1	12.9	23.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: other	52.2%	47.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.9%	2.8%	3.3%
		% of Total	1.7%	1.6%	3.3%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use hallucinogens: other	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.667 <sup>b</sup>	1	.414		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.363	1	.547		
Likelihood Ratio	.661	1	.416		
Fisher's Exact Test				.522	.272
Linear-by-Linear Association	.666	1	.415		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 10.09.

#### Use opiates: never \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use opiates: No never	Count	19	4	23	
		10.1	12.9	23.0	
		82.6%	17.4%	100.0%	
		6.3%	1.0%	3.3%	
		2.7%	.6%	3.3%	
	Yes	285	385	670	
		293.9	376.1	670.0	
		42.5%	57.5%	100.0%	
		93.8%	99.0%	96.7%	
		41.1%	55.6%	96.7%	
Total	Count	304	389	693	
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0	
	% within Use opiates: never	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.500 <sup>b</sup>	1	.000		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	12.919	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	15.160	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	14.480	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 10.09.

**Use opiates: on campus \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use opiates: on campus	No	Count	303	389	692
		Expected Count	303.6	388.4	692.0
		% within Use opiates: on campus	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	100.0%	99.9%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.1%	99.9%
	Yes	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use opiates: on campus	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total	Count		304	389	693
	Expected Count		304.0	389.0	693.0
	% within Use opiates: on campus		43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
	% within Gender		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total		43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.281 <sup>b</sup>	1	.258		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.015	1	.902		
Likelihood Ratio	1.650	1	.199		
Fisher's Exact Test				.439	.439
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.280	1	.258		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

### Use opiates: res hall \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use opiates: No res hall	Count	301	389	690
		302.7	387.3	690.0
		43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		99.0%	100.0%	99.6%
		43.4%	56.1%	99.6%
	Yes	3	0	3
		1.3	1.7	3.0
		100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		1.0%	.0%	.4%
		.4%	.0%	.4%
Total	Count	304	389	693
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
	% within Use opiates: res hall	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.856 <sup>b</sup>	1	.050		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.906	1	.167		
Likelihood Ratio	4.961	1	.026		
Fisher's Exact Test				.084	.084
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.850	1	.050		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.32.

#### Use opiates: frat/sor \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use opiates: No frat/sor	Count	303	389	692	
		303.6	388.4	692.0	
		43.8%	56.2%	100.0%	
		99.7%	100.0%	99.9%	
		43.7%	56.1%	99.9%	
	Yes	1	0	1	
		.4	.6	1.0	
		100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		.3%	.0%	.1%	
		.1%	.0%	.1%	
Total	Count	304	389	693	
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0	
	% within Use opiates: frat/sor	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.281 <sup>b</sup>	1	.258		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.015	1	.902		
Likelihood Ratio	1.650	1	.199		
Fisher's Exact Test				.439	.439
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.280	1	.258		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

**Use opiates: bar/restaurant \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use opiates: bar/restaurant	No	Count	303	388	691
		Expected Count	303.1	387.9	691.0
		% within Use opiates: bar/restaurant	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	99.7%	99.7%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.0%	99.7%
	Yes	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within Use opiates: bar/restaurant	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.3%	.3%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.3%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use opiates: bar/restaurant	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.031 <sup>b</sup>	1	.861		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.030	1	.862		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.685
Linear-by-Linear Association	.031	1	.861		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .88.

### Use opiates: where live \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use opiates: No where live	Count	297	387	684	
		300.1	383.9	684.0	
		43.4%	56.6%	100.0%	
		97.7%	99.5%	98.7%	
		42.9%	55.8%	98.7%	
	Yes	7	2	9	
		3.9	5.1	9.0	
		77.8%	22.2%	100.0%	
		2.3%	.5%	1.3%	
		1.0%	.3%	1.3%	
Total	Count	304	389	693	
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0	
	% within Use opiates: where live	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.258 <sup>b</sup>	1	.039		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	2.977	1	.084		
Likelihood Ratio	4.366	1	.037		
Fisher's Exact Test				.047	.042
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.252	1	.039		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.95.

### Use opiates: in car \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use opiates: No in car	Count	302	388	690
	Expected Count	302.7	387.3	690.0
	% within Use opiates: in car	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
	% within Gender	99.3%	99.7%	99.6%
	% of Total	43.6%	56.0%	99.6%
	Yes	2	1	3
	Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0
	% within Use opiates: in car	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
	% within Gender	.7%	.3%	.4%
	% of Total	.3%	.1%	.4%
Total	Count	304	389	693
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
	% within Use opiates: in car	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.636 <sup>b</sup>	1	.425		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.046	1	.830		
Likelihood Ratio	.635	1	.426		
Fisher's Exact Test				.585	.408
Linear-by-Linear Association	.635	1	.425		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.32.

### Use opiates: private parties \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use opiates: private parties	No	Count	296	387	683
		Expected Count	299.6	383.4	683.0
		% within Use opiates: private parties	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.4%	99.5%	98.6%
		% of Total	42.7%	55.8%	98.6%
	Yes	Count	8	2	10
		Expected Count	4.4	5.6	10.0
		% within Use opiates: private parties	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.6%	.5%	1.4%
		% of Total	1.2%	.3%	1.4%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use opiates: private parties	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.380 <sup>b</sup>	1	.020		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	3.994	1	.046		
Likelihood Ratio	5.563	1	.018		
Fisher's Exact Test				.025	.022
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.372	1	.020		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.39.

#### Use opiates: other \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use opiates: No other	Count	300	387	687	
		301.4	385.6	687.0	
		43.7%	56.3%	100.0%	
		98.7%	99.5%	99.1%	
		43.3%	55.8%	99.1%	
	Yes	4	2	6	
		2.6	3.4	6.0	
		66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	
		1.3%	.5%	.9%	
		.6%	.3%	.9%	
Total	Count	304	389	693	
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0	
	% within Use opiates: other	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.278 <sup>b</sup>	1	.258		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.514	1	.473		
Likelihood Ratio	1.275	1	.259		
Fisher's Exact Test				.413	.236
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.276	1	.259		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.63.

#### Use inhalants: never \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use inhalants:	No never	Count	19	14	33
		Expected Count	14.5	18.5	33.0
		% within Use inhalants: never	57.6%	42.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.3%	3.6%	4.8%
		% of Total	2.7%	2.0%	4.8%
	Yes	Count	285	375	660
		Expected Count	289.5	370.5	660.0
		% within Use inhalants: never	43.2%	56.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	93.8%	96.4%	95.2%
		% of Total	41.1%	54.1%	95.2%
Total	Count	304	389	693	
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0	
	% within Use inhalants: never	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.644 <sup>b</sup>	1	.104		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	2.092	1	.148		
Likelihood Ratio	2.620	1	.106		
Fisher's Exact Test				.109	.075
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.641	1	.104		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 14.48.

### Use inhalants: on campus \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use inhalants: on campus	No	Count	304	388
		Expected Count	303.6	388.4
		% within Use inhalants: on campus	43.9%	56.1%
		% within Gender	100.0%	99.7%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.0%
	Yes	Count	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6
		% within Use inhalants: on campus	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.3%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	304	389
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0
		% within Use inhalants: on campus	43.9%	56.1%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%
				100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.783 <sup>b</sup>	1	.376		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	1.156	1	.282		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.561
Linear-by-Linear Association	.781	1	.377		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

### Use inhalants: res hall \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use inhalants: res hall	No	Count	302	388	690
		Expected Count	302.7	387.3	690.0
		% within Use inhalants: res hall	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.3%	99.7%	99.6%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.0%	99.6%
	Yes	Count	2	1	3
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0
		% within Use inhalants: res hall	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.3%	.4%
		% of Total	.3%	.1%	.4%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use inhalants: res hall	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.636 <sup>b</sup>	1	.425		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.046	1	.830		
Likelihood Ratio	.635	1	.426		
Fisher's Exact Test				.585	.408
Linear-by-Linear Association	.635	1	.425		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.32.

### Use inhalants: frat/sor \* Gender

## Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use inhalants: frat/sor	No	Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use inhalants: frat/sor	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use inhalants: frat/sor	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

### Chi-Square Tests

	Value
Pearson Chi-Square	<sup>a</sup>
N of Valid Cases	693

a. No statistics are computed because Use inhalants: frat/sor is a constant.

## Use inhalants: bar/restaurant \* Gender

### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use inhalants: bar/restaurant	No	Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use inhalants: bar/restaurant	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use inhalants: bar/restaurant	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

### Chi-Square Tests

	Value
Pearson Chi-Square	<sup>a</sup>
N of Valid Cases	693

a. No statistics are computed because Use inhalants: bar/restaurant is a constant.

## Use inhalants: where live \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use inhalants: where live	No	Count	293	385	678
		Expected Count	297.4	380.6	678.0
		% within Use inhalants: where live	43.2%	56.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	96.4%	99.0%	97.8%
		% of Total	42.3%	55.6%	97.8%
	Yes	Count	11	4	15
		Expected Count	6.6	8.4	15.0
		% within Use inhalants: where live	73.3%	26.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.6%	1.0%	2.2%
		% of Total	1.6%	.6%	2.2%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use inhalants: where live	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.406 <sup>b</sup>	1	.020		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	4.252	1	.039		
Likelihood Ratio	5.467	1	.019		
Fisher's Exact Test				.032	.020
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.398	1	.020		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.58.

#### Use inhalants: in car \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use inhalants: in car	No	Count	303	386	689
		Expected Count	302.2	386.8	689.0
		% within Use inhalants: in car	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	99.2%	99.4%
		% of Total	43.7%	55.7%	99.4%
	Yes	Count	1	3	4
		Expected Count	1.8	2.2	4.0
		% within Use inhalants: in car	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.8%	.6%
		% of Total	.1%	.4%	.6%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use inhalants: in car	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.582 <sup>b</sup>	1	.446		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.066	1	.797		
Likelihood Ratio	.617	1	.432		
Fisher's Exact Test				.635	.409
Linear-by-Linear Association	.581	1	.446		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.75.

### Use inhalants: private parties \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use inhalants: No private parties	Count	298	384	682	
		299.2	382.8	682.0	
		43.7%	56.3%	100.0%	
		98.0%	98.7%	98.4%	
		43.0%	55.4%	98.4%	
	Yes	6	5	11	
		4.8	6.2	11.0	
		54.5%	45.5%	100.0%	
		2.0%	1.3%	1.6%	
		.9%	.7%	1.6%	
Total	Count	304	389	693	
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0	
	% within Use inhalants: private parties	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.518 <sup>b</sup>	1	.472		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.171	1	.679		
Likelihood Ratio	.513	1	.474		
Fisher's Exact Test				.548	.337
Linear-by-Linear Association	.517	1	.472		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.83.

### Use inhalants: other \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use inhalants: other	No	Count	301	388
		Expected Count	302.2	386.8
		% within Use inhalants: other	43.7%	56.3%
		% within Gender	99.0%	99.7%
		% of Total	43.4%	56.0%
	Yes	Count	3	1
		Expected Count	1.8	2.2
		% within Use inhalants: other	75.0%	25.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	.3%
		% of Total	.4%	.1%
Total		Count	304	389
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0
		% within Use inhalants: other	43.9%	56.1%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%
				100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.584 <sup>b</sup>	1	.208		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.567	1	.451		
Likelihood Ratio	1.609	1	.205		
Fisher's Exact Test				.325	.226
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.581	1	.209		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.75.

#### Use designer drugs: never \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use designer drugs: never	No	Count	29	24	53
		Expected Count	23.2	29.8	53.0
		% within Use designer drugs: never	54.7%	45.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.5%	6.2%	7.6%
		% of Total	4.2%	3.5%	7.6%
	Yes	Count	275	365	640
		Expected Count	280.8	359.2	640.0
		% within Use designer drugs: never	43.0%	57.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	90.5%	93.8%	92.4%
		% of Total	39.7%	52.7%	92.4%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use designer drugs: never	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.744 <sup>b</sup>	1	.098		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	2.287	1	.130		
Likelihood Ratio	2.719	1	.099		
Fisher's Exact Test				.113	.066
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.740	1	.098		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 23.25.

## Use designer drugs: on campus \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use designer drugs: on campus	No	Count	302	389	691
		Expected Count	303.1	387.9	691.0
		% within Use designer drugs: on campus	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.3%	100.0%	99.7%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.1%	99.7%
	Yes	Count	2	0	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within Use designer drugs: on campus	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.0%	.3%
		% of Total	.3%	.0%	.3%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use designer drugs: on campus	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.567 <sup>b</sup>	1	.109		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.790	1	.374		
Likelihood Ratio	3.303	1	.069		
Fisher's Exact Test				.192	.192
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.563	1	.109		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .88.

### Use designer drugs: res hall \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use designer drugs: res hall	No	Count	300	389	689
		Expected Count	302.2	386.8	689.0
		% within Use designer drugs: res hall	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	98.7%	100.0%	99.4%
		% of Total	43.3%	56.1%	99.4%
	Yes	Count	4	0	4
		Expected Count	1.8	2.2	4.0
		% within Use designer drugs: res hall	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	.0%	.6%
		% of Total	.6%	.0%	.6%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use designer drugs: res hall	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.148 <sup>b</sup>	1	.023		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	3.111	1	.078		
Likelihood Ratio	6.622	1	.010		
Fisher's Exact Test				.037	.037
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.141	1	.023		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.75.

### Use designer drugs: frat/sor \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use designer drugs: No frat/sor	Count	302	388	690	
		302.7	387.3	690.0	
		43.8%	56.2%	100.0%	
		99.3%	99.7%	99.6%	
		43.6%	56.0%	99.6%	
	Yes	2	1	3	
		1.3	1.7	3.0	
		66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	
		.7%	.3%	.4%	
		.3%	.1%	.4%	
Total	Count	304	389	693	
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0	
	% within Use designer drugs: frat/sor	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.636 <sup>b</sup>	1	.425		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.046	1	.830		
Likelihood Ratio	.635	1	.426		
Fisher's Exact Test				.585	.408
Linear-by-Linear Association	.635	1	.425		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.32.

### Use designer drugs: bar/restaurant \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use designer drugs: bar/restaurant	No	Count	293	386	679
		Expected Count	297.9	381.1	679.0
		% within Use designer drugs: bar/restaurant	43.2%	56.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	96.4%	99.2%	98.0%
		% of Total	42.3%	55.7%	98.0%
	Yes	Count	11	3	14
		Expected Count	6.1	7.9	14.0
		% within Use designer drugs: bar/restaurant	78.6%	21.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.6%	.8%	2.0%
		% of Total	1.6%	.4%	2.0%
Total	Count	304	389	693	
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0	
	% within Use designer drugs: bar/restaurant	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.989 <sup>b</sup>	1	.008		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	5.624	1	.018		
Likelihood Ratio	7.186	1	.007		
Fisher's Exact Test				.012	.009
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.979	1	.008		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.14.

### Use designer drugs: where live \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use designer drugs: where live	No	Count	294	382	676
		Expected Count	296.5	379.5	676.0
		% within Use designer drugs: where live	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	96.7%	98.2%	97.5%
		% of Total	42.4%	55.1%	97.5%
	Yes	Count	10	7	17
		Expected Count	7.5	9.5	17.0
		% within Use designer drugs: where live	58.8%	41.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.3%	1.8%	2.5%
		% of Total	1.4%	1.0%	2.5%
Total	Count		304	389	693
	Expected Count		304.0	389.0	693.0
	% within Use designer drugs: where live		43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
	% within Gender		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total		43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.583 <sup>b</sup>	1	.208		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.022	1	.312		
Likelihood Ratio	1.568	1	.210		
Fisher's Exact Test				.225	.156
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.581	1	.209		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.46.

### Use designer drugs: in car \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use designer drugs: in car	No	Count	301	385	686
		Expected Count	300.9	385.1	686.0
		% within Use designer drugs: in car	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.0%	99.0%	99.0%
		% of Total	43.4%	55.6%	99.0%
	Yes	Count	3	4	7
		Expected Count	3.1	3.9	7.0
		% within Use designer drugs: in car	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%
		% of Total	.4%	.6%	1.0%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use designer drugs: in car	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.003 <sup>b</sup>	1	.957		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.003	1	.957		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.633
Linear-by-Linear Association	.003	1	.957		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.07.

### Use designer drugs: private parties \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use designer drugs: private parties	No	Count	284	372	656
		Expected Count	287.8	368.2	656.0
		% within Use designer drugs: private parties	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	93.4%	95.6%	94.7%
		% of Total	41.0%	53.7%	94.7%
	Yes	Count	20	17	37
		Expected Count	16.2	20.8	37.0
		% within Use designer drugs: private parties	54.1%	45.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.6%	4.4%	5.3%
		% of Total	2.9%	2.5%	5.3%
Total	Count	304	389	693	
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0	
	% within Use designer drugs: private parties	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.647 <sup>b</sup>	1	.199		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.239	1	.266		
Likelihood Ratio	1.632	1	.201		
Fisher's Exact Test				.234	.133
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.645	1	.200		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 16.23.

### Use designer drugs: other \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use designer drugs: other	No	Count	298	383	681
		Expected Count	298.7	382.3	681.0
		% within Use designer drugs: other	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	98.0%	98.5%	98.3%
		% of Total	43.0%	55.3%	98.3%
	Yes	Count	6	6	12
		Expected Count	5.3	6.7	12.0
		% within Use designer drugs: other	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.0%	1.5%	1.7%
		% of Total	.9%	.9%	1.7%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use designer drugs: other	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.187 <sup>b</sup>	1	.666		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.019	1	.890		
Likelihood Ratio	.185	1	.667		
Fisher's Exact Test				.772	.441
Linear-by-Linear Association	.186	1	.666		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.26.

## Use steroids: never \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use steroids: No	never	Count	8	3	11
		Expected Count	4.8	6.2	11.0
		% within Use steroids: never	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.6%	.8%	1.6%
		% of Total	1.2%	.4%	1.6%
	Yes	Count	296	386	682
		Expected Count	299.2	382.8	682.0
		% within Use steroids: never	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.4%	99.2%	98.4%
		% of Total	42.7%	55.7%	98.4%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use steroids: never	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.781 <sup>b</sup>	1	.052		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	2.684	1	.101		
Likelihood Ratio	3.818	1	.051		
Fisher's Exact Test				.067	.051
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.775	1	.052		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.83.

### Use steroids: on campus \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use steroids: on campus	No	Count	303	388	691
		Expected Count	303.1	387.9	691.0
		% within Use steroids: on campus	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	99.7%	99.7%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.0%	99.7%
	Yes	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within Use steroids: on campus	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.3%	.3%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.3%
Total	Count		304	389	693
	Expected Count		304.0	389.0	693.0
	% within Use steroids: on campus		43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
	% within Gender		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total		43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.031 <sup>b</sup>	1	.861		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.030	1	.862		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.685
Linear-by-Linear Association	.031	1	.861		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .88.

### Use steroids: res hall \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use steroids: res hall	No	Count	303	389	692
		Expected Count	303.6	388.4	692.0
		% within Use steroids: res hall	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	100.0%	99.9%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.1%	99.9%
	Yes	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use steroids: res hall	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total	Count		304	389	693
	Expected Count		304.0	389.0	693.0
	% within Use steroids: res hall		43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
	% within Gender		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total		43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.281 <sup>b</sup>	1	.258		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.015	1	.902		
Likelihood Ratio	1.650	1	.199		
Fisher's Exact Test				.439	.439
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.280	1	.258		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

### Use steroids: frat/sor \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use steroids: No frat/sor	Count	302	389	691	
		303.1	387.9	691.0	
		43.7%	56.3%	100.0%	
		99.3%	100.0%	99.7%	
		43.6%	56.1%	99.7%	
	Yes	2	0	2	
		.9	1.1	2.0	
		100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		.7%	.0%	.3%	
		.3%	.0%	.3%	
Total	Count	304	389	693	
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0	
	% within Use steroids: frat/sor	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.567 <sup>b</sup>	1	.109		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.790	1	.374		
Likelihood Ratio	3.303	1	.069		
Fisher's Exact Test				.192	.192
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.563	1	.109		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .88.

### Use steroids: bar/restaurant \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use steroids: bar/restaurant	No	Count	303	389	692
		Expected Count	303.6	388.4	692.0
		% within Use steroids: bar/restaurant	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	100.0%	99.9%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.1%	99.9%
	Yes	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use steroids: bar/restaurant	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use steroids: bar/restaurant	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.281 <sup>b</sup>	1	.258		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.015	1	.902		
Likelihood Ratio	1.650	1	.199		
Fisher's Exact Test				.439	.439
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.280	1	.258		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

### Use steroids: where live \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use steroids: No where live	Count	300	388	688	
		301.8	386.2	688.0	
		43.6%	56.4%	100.0%	
		98.7%	99.7%	99.3%	
		43.3%	56.0%	99.3%	
	Yes	4	1	5	
		2.2	2.8	5.0	
		80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	
		1.3%	.3%	.7%	
		.6%	.1%	.7%	
Total	Count	304	389	693	
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0	
	% within Use steroids: where live	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.670 <sup>b</sup>	1	.102		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.397	1	.237		
Likelihood Ratio	2.762	1	.097		
Fisher's Exact Test				.174	.119
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.666	1	.102		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.19.

### Use steroids: in car \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use steroids: in car	No	Count	303	389	692
		Expected Count	303.6	388.4	692.0
		% within Use steroids: in car	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.7%	100.0%	99.9%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.1%	99.9%
	Yes	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Use steroids: in car	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use steroids: in car	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.281 <sup>b</sup>	1	.258		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.015	1	.902		
Likelihood Ratio	1.650	1	.199		
Fisher's Exact Test				.439	.439
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.280	1	.258		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .44.

### Use steroids: private parties \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use steroids: private parties	No	Count	302	388	690
		Expected Count	302.7	387.3	690.0
		% within Use steroids: private parties	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.3%	99.7%	99.6%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.0%	99.6%
	Yes	Count	2	1	3
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0
		% within Use steroids: private parties	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.3%	.4%
		% of Total	.3%	.1%	.4%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use steroids: private parties	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.636 <sup>b</sup>	1	.425		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.046	1	.830		
Likelihood Ratio	.635	1	.426		
Fisher's Exact Test				.585	.408
Linear-by-Linear Association	.635	1	.425		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.32.

### Use steroids: other \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use steroids: other	No	Count	302	389	691
		Expected Count	303.1	387.9	691.0
		% within Use steroids: other	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.3%	100.0%	99.7%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.1%	99.7%
	Yes	Count	2	0	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within Use steroids: other	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.0%	.3%
		% of Total	.3%	.0%	.3%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use steroids: other	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.567 <sup>b</sup>	1	.109		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.790	1	.374		
Likelihood Ratio	3.303	1	.069		
Fisher's Exact Test				.192	.192
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.563	1	.109		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .88.

#### Use other drugs: never \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use other drugs: never	No	Count	20	20	40
		Expected Count	17.5	22.5	40.0
		% within Use other drugs: never	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.6%	5.1%	5.8%
		% of Total	2.9%	2.9%	5.8%
	Yes	Count	284	369	653
		Expected Count	286.5	366.5	653.0
		% within Use other drugs: never	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	93.4%	94.9%	94.2%
		% of Total	41.0%	53.2%	94.2%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use other drugs: never	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.648 <sup>b</sup>	1	.421		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.411	1	.521		
Likelihood Ratio	.644	1	.422		
Fisher's Exact Test				.512	.260
Linear-by-Linear Association	.647	1	.421		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 17.55.

## Use other drugs: on campus \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use other drugs: on campus	No	Count	300	388	688
		Expected Count	301.8	386.2	688.0
		% within Use other drugs: on campus	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	98.7%	99.7%	99.3%
		% of Total	43.3%	56.0%	99.3%
	Yes	Count	4	1	5
		Expected Count	2.2	2.8	5.0
		% within Use other drugs: on campus	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	.3%	.7%
		% of Total	.6%	.1%	.7%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use other drugs: on campus	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.670 <sup>b</sup>	1	.102		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.397	1	.237		
Likelihood Ratio	2.762	1	.097		
Fisher's Exact Test				.174	.119
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.666	1	.102		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.19.

## Use other drugs: res hall \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use other drugs: res hall	No	Count	297	385	682
		Expected Count	299.2	382.8	682.0
		% within Use other drugs: res hall	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.7%	99.0%	98.4%
		% of Total	42.9%	55.6%	98.4%
	Yes	Count	7	4	11
		Expected Count	4.8	6.2	11.0
		% within Use other drugs: res hall	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.3%	1.0%	1.6%
		% of Total	1.0%	.6%	1.6%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use other drugs: res hall	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.774 <sup>b</sup>	1	.183		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.052	1	.305		
Likelihood Ratio	1.763	1	.184		
Fisher's Exact Test				.226	.153
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.771	1	.183		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.83.

### Use other drugs: frat/sor \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Use other drugs: frat/sor	No	Count	300	388
		Expected Count	301.8	386.2
		% within Use other drugs: frat/sor	43.6%	56.4%
		% within Gender	98.7%	99.7%
		% of Total	43.3%	56.0%
	Yes	Count	4	5
		Expected Count	2.2	2.8
		% within Use other drugs: frat/sor	80.0%	20.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%	.3%
		% of Total	.6%	.1%
Total		Count	304	389
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0
		% within Use other drugs: frat/sor	43.9%	56.1%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%
				100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.670 <sup>b</sup>	1	.102		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.397	1	.237		
Likelihood Ratio	2.762	1	.097		
Fisher's Exact Test				.174	.119
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.666	1	.102		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.19.

### Use other drugs: bar/restaurant \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use other drugs: bar/restaurant	No	Count	301	387	688
		Expected Count	301.8	386.2	688.0
		% within Use other drugs: bar/restaurant	43.8%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.0%	99.5%	99.3%
		% of Total	43.4%	55.8%	99.3%
	Yes	Count	3	2	5
		Expected Count	2.2	2.8	5.0
		% within Use other drugs: bar/restaurant	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	.5%	.7%
		% of Total	.4%	.3%	.7%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use other drugs: bar/restaurant	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.532 <sup>b</sup>	1	.466		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.077	1	.782		
Likelihood Ratio	.528	1	.468		
Fisher's Exact Test				.658	.386
Linear-by-Linear Association	.532	1	.466		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.19.

## Use other drugs: where live \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use other drugs: where live	No	Count	294	379	673
		Expected Count	295.2	377.8	673.0
		% within Use other drugs: where live	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	96.7%	97.4%	97.1%
		% of Total	42.4%	54.7%	97.1%
	Yes	Count	10	10	20
		Expected Count	8.8	11.2	20.0
		% within Use other drugs: where live	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.3%	2.6%	2.9%
		% of Total	1.4%	1.4%	2.9%
Total	Count	304	389	693	
	Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0	
	% within Use other drugs: where live	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.315 <sup>b</sup>	1	.575		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.110	1	.740		
Likelihood Ratio	.312	1	.576		
Fisher's Exact Test				.650	.367
Linear-by-Linear Association	.314	1	.575		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.77.

## Use other drugs: in car \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use other drugs: in car	No	Count	302	388	690
		Expected Count	302.7	387.3	690.0
		% within Use other drugs: in car	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.3%	99.7%	99.6%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.0%	99.6%
	Yes	Count	2	1	3
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0
		% within Use other drugs: in car	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.3%	.4%
		% of Total	.3%	.1%	.4%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use other drugs: in car	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.636 <sup>b</sup>	1	.425		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.046	1	.830		
Likelihood Ratio	.635	1	.426		
Fisher's Exact Test				.585	.408
Linear-by-Linear Association	.635	1	.425		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.32.

### Use other drugs: private parties \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use other drugs: private parties	No	Count	297	381	678
		Expected Count	297.4	380.6	678.0
		% within Use other drugs: private parties	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.7%	97.9%	97.8%
		% of Total	42.9%	55.0%	97.8%
	Yes	Count	7	8	15
		Expected Count	6.6	8.4	15.0
		% within Use other drugs: private parties	46.7%	53.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.3%	2.1%	2.2%
		% of Total	1.0%	1.2%	2.2%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use other drugs: private parties	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.049 <sup>b</sup>	1	.825		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.049	1	.826		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.512
Linear-by-Linear Association	.049	1	.825		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.58.

### Use other drugs: other \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Use other drugs: other	No	Count	297	385	682
		Expected Count	299.2	382.8	682.0
		% within Use other drugs: other	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.7%	99.0%	98.4%
		% of Total	42.9%	55.6%	98.4%
	Yes	Count	7	4	11
		Expected Count	4.8	6.2	11.0
		% within Use other drugs: other	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.3%	1.0%	1.6%
		% of Total	1.0%	.6%	1.6%
Total		Count	304	389	693
		Expected Count	304.0	389.0	693.0
		% within Use other drugs: other	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.774 <sup>b</sup>	1	.183		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.052	1	.305		
Likelihood Ratio	1.763	1	.184		
Fisher's Exact Test				.226	.153
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.771	1	.183		
N of Valid Cases	693				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.83.

## Crosstabs

#### Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Hangover * Gender	689	98.3%	12	1.7%	701	100.0%
Poor test score * Gender	690	98.4%	11	1.6%	701	100.0%
Trouble w/ police, etc * Gender	690	98.4%	11	1.6%	701	100.0%
Damaged prop, fire alarm * Gender	689	98.3%	12	1.7%	701	100.0%
Argument or fight * Gender	688	98.1%	13	1.9%	701	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Case Processing Summary**

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Nauseated or vomited *	687	98.0%	14	2.0%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Driven under influence *	687	98.0%	14	2.0%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Missed class * Gender	690	98.4%	11	1.6%	701	100.0%
Been criticized * Gender	689	98.3%	12	1.7%	701	100.0%
Thought I had a problem *	690	98.4%	11	1.6%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Had a memory loss *	689	98.3%	12	1.7%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Later regretted action *	690	98.4%	11	1.6%	701	100.0%
Gender						
Arrested for DWI/DUI *	687	98.0%	14	2.0%	701	100.0%
Gender						
HAVE BEEN TAKEN ADVANTAGE SEXUALLY *	685	97.7%	16	2.3%	701	100.0%
Gender						
HAVE TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF SOMEONE SEXUALLY *	690	98.4%	11	1.6%	701	100.0%
Gender						
TRIED/FAILED TO STOP *	686	97.9%	15	2.1%	701	100.0%
Gender						
THOUGHT ABOUT SUICIDE *	690	98.4%	11	1.6%	701	100.0%
Gender						
TRIED TO COMMIT SUICIDE *	690	98.4%	11	1.6%	701	100.0%
Gender						
BEEN HURT/INJURED *	689	98.3%	12	1.7%	701	100.0%
Gender						
A/D problems: mother *	685	97.7%	16	2.3%	701	100.0%
Gender						
A/D problems: father *	685	97.7%	16	2.3%	701	100.0%
Gender						
A/D problems: stepmother *	685	97.7%	16	2.3%	701	100.0%
Gender						
A/D problems: stepfather *	685	97.7%	16	2.3%	701	100.0%
Gender						
A/D problems: br/sister *	685	97.7%	16	2.3%	701	100.0%
Gender						
A/D problems: mother's parents *	685	97.7%	16	2.3%	701	100.0%
Gender						
A/D problems: father's parents *	685	97.7%	16	2.3%	701	100.0%
Gender						
A/D problems: aunts/uncles *	685	97.7%	16	2.3%	701	100.0%
Gender						
A/D problems: spouse *	685	97.7%	16	2.3%	701	100.0%
Gender						
A/D problems: children *	685	97.7%	16	2.3%	701	100.0%
Gender						
A/D problems: none *	685	97.7%	16	2.3%	701	100.0%
Gender						
VOLUNTEERING *	629	89.7%	72	10.3%	701	100.0%
Gender						

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Case Processing Summary**

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
PARTICIPATED-INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS * Gender	685	97.7%	16	2.3%	701	100.0%
PARTICIPATED-INTRAMURAL OR CLUB SPORTS * Gender	685	97.7%	16	2.3%	701	100.0%
PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR * Gender	685	97.7%	16	2.3%	701	100.0%
PARTICIPATED-RELIGIOUS GROUPS * Gender	685	97.7%	16	2.3%	701	100.0%
PARTICIPATED-INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS * Gender	684	97.6%	17	2.4%	701	100.0%
PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS * Gender	684	97.6%	17	2.4%	701	100.0%
PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS * Gender	683	97.4%	18	2.6%	701	100.0%
PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS * Gender	684	97.6%	17	2.4%	701	100.0%
PARTICIPATED-NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV * Gender	684	97.6%	17	2.4%	701	100.0%
HAPPENED - ETHNIC HARASSMENT * Gender	685	97.7%	16	2.3%	701	100.0%
HAPPENED - THREATS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE * Gender	687	98.0%	14	2.0%	701	100.0%
HAPPENED - ACTUAL PHYSICAL VIOLENCE * Gender	685	97.7%	16	2.3%	701	100.0%
HAPPENED -THEFT INVOLVING FORCE * Gender	685	97.7%	16	2.3%	701	100.0%
HAPPENED -FORCED SEXUAL TOUCHING * Gender	687	98.0%	14	2.0%	701	100.0%
HAPPENED - UNWANTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE * Gender	684	97.6%	17	2.4%	701	100.0%

**Hangover \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Hangover	Never	Count	75	103	178
		Expected Count	77.5	100.5	178.0
		% within Hangover	42.1%	57.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	25.0%	26.5%	25.8%
		% of Total	10.9%	14.9%	25.8%
	Once	Count	26	56	82
		Expected Count	35.7	46.3	82.0
		% within Hangover	31.7%	68.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.7%	14.4%	11.9%
		% of Total	3.8%	8.1%	11.9%
	Twice	Count	29	38	67
		Expected Count	29.2	37.8	67.0
		% within Hangover	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.7%	9.8%	9.7%
		% of Total	4.2%	5.5%	9.7%
	3-5 times	Count	43	65	108
		Expected Count	47.0	61.0	108.0
		% within Hangover	39.8%	60.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	14.3%	16.7%	15.7%
		% of Total	6.2%	9.4%	15.7%
	6-9 times	Count	30	49	79
		Expected Count	34.4	44.6	79.0
		% within Hangover	38.0%	62.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.0%	12.6%	11.5%
		% of Total	4.4%	7.1%	11.5%
	10+ times	Count	97	78	175
		Expected Count	76.2	98.8	175.0
		% within Hangover	55.4%	44.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	32.3%	20.1%	25.4%
		% of Total	14.1%	11.3%	25.4%
	Total	Count	300	389	689
		Expected Count	300.0	389.0	689.0
		% within Hangover	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.482 <sup>a</sup>	5	.006
Likelihood Ratio	16.536	5	.005
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.429	1	.011
N of Valid Cases	689		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 29.17.

#### Poor test score \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total	
			Male	Female		
Poor test score	Never	Count	180	278	458	
		Expected Count	199.8	258.2	458.0	
		% within Poor test score	39.3%	60.7%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	59.8%	71.5%	66.4%	
		% of Total	26.1%	40.3%	66.4%	
	Once	Count	47	44	91	
		Expected Count	39.7	51.3	91.0	
		% within Poor test score	51.6%	48.4%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	15.6%	11.3%	13.2%	
		% of Total	6.8%	6.4%	13.2%	
	Twice	Count	47	34	81	
		Expected Count	35.3	45.7	81.0	
		% within Poor test score	58.0%	42.0%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	15.6%	8.7%	11.7%	
		% of Total	6.8%	4.9%	11.7%	
	3-5 times	Count	20	24	44	
		Expected Count	19.2	24.8	44.0	
		% within Poor test score	45.5%	54.5%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	6.6%	6.2%	6.4%	
		% of Total	2.9%	3.5%	6.4%	
	6-9 times	Count	5	5	10	
		Expected Count	4.4	5.6	10.0	
		% within Poor test score	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	1.7%	1.3%	1.4%	
		% of Total	.7%	.7%	1.4%	
	10+ times	Count	2	4	6	
		Expected Count	2.6	3.4	6.0	
		% within Poor test score	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	.7%	1.0%	.9%	
		% of Total	.3%	.6%	.9%	
Total		Count	301	389	690	
		Expected Count	301.0	389.0	690.0	
		% within Poor test score	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.176 <sup>a</sup>	5	.022
Likelihood Ratio	13.117	5	.022
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.229	1	.022
N of Valid Cases	690		

a. 3 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.62.

### Trouble w/ police, etc \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Trouble w/ police, etc	Never	Count	223	320	543
		Expected Count	236.1	306.9	543.0
		% within Trouble w/ police, etc	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	74.3%	82.1%	78.7%
		% of Total	32.3%	46.4%	78.7%
	Once	Count	49	51	100
		Expected Count	43.5	56.5	100.0
		% within Trouble w/ police, etc	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	16.3%	13.1%	14.5%
		% of Total	7.1%	7.4%	14.5%
	Twice	Count	16	12	28
		Expected Count	12.2	15.8	28.0
		% within Trouble w/ police, etc	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.3%	3.1%	4.1%
		% of Total	2.3%	1.7%	4.1%
	3-5 times	Count	10	7	17
		Expected Count	7.4	9.6	17.0
		% within Trouble w/ police, etc	58.8%	41.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.3%	1.8%	2.5%
		% of Total	1.4%	1.0%	2.5%
	6-9 times	Count	2	0	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within Trouble w/ police, etc	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.0%	.3%
		% of Total	.3%	.0%	.3%
Total		Count	300	390	690
		Expected Count	300.0	390.0	690.0
		% within Trouble w/ police, etc	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.881 <sup>a</sup>	4	.064
Likelihood Ratio	9.567	4	.048
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.020	1	.005
N of Valid Cases	690		

a. 2 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .87.

**Damaged prop, fire alarm \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total	
			Male	Female		
Damaged prop, fire alarm	Never	Count	242	367	609	
		Expected Count	265.2	343.8	609.0	
		% within Damaged prop, fire alarm	39.7%	60.3%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	80.7%	94.3%	88.4%	
		% of Total	35.1%	53.3%	88.4%	
	Once	Count	23	10	33	
		Expected Count	14.4	18.6	33.0	
		% within Damaged prop, fire alarm	69.7%	30.3%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	7.7%	2.6%	4.8%	
		% of Total	3.3%	1.5%	4.8%	
	Twice	Count	16	9	25	
		Expected Count	10.9	14.1	25.0	
		% within Damaged prop, fire alarm	64.0%	36.0%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	5.3%	2.3%	3.6%	
		% of Total	2.3%	1.3%	3.6%	
	3-5 times	Count	7	2	9	
		Expected Count	3.9	5.1	9.0	
		% within Damaged prop, fire alarm	77.8%	22.2%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	2.3%	.5%	1.3%	
		% of Total	1.0%	.3%	1.3%	
	6-9 times	Count	8	0	8	
		Expected Count	3.5	4.5	8.0	
		% within Damaged prop, fire alarm	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	2.7%	.0%	1.2%	
		% of Total	1.2%	.0%	1.2%	
	10+ times	Count	4	1	5	
		Expected Count	2.2	2.8	5.0	
		% within Damaged prop, fire alarm	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	1.3%	.3%	.7%	
		% of Total	.6%	.1%	.7%	
Total		Count	300	389	689	
		Expected Count	300.0	389.0	689.0	
		% within Damaged prop, fire alarm	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%	

## Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	34.393 <sup>a</sup>	5	.000
Likelihood Ratio	37.520	5	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	29.109	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	689		

a. 5 cells (41.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.18.

### Argument or fight \* Gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Argument or fight	Never	Count	170	216	386
		Expected Count	167.8	218.2	386.0
		% within Argument or fight	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	56.9%	55.5%	56.1%
		% of Total	24.7%	31.4%	56.1%
	Once	Count	40	53	93
		Expected Count	40.4	52.6	93.0
		% within Argument or fight	43.0%	57.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	13.4%	13.6%	13.5%
		% of Total	5.8%	7.7%	13.5%
	Twice	Count	40	48	88
		Expected Count	38.2	49.8	88.0
		% within Argument or fight	45.5%	54.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	13.4%	12.3%	12.8%
		% of Total	5.8%	7.0%	12.8%
	3-5 times	Count	28	50	78
		Expected Count	33.9	44.1	78.0
		% within Argument or fight	35.9%	64.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.4%	12.9%	11.3%
		% of Total	4.1%	7.3%	11.3%
	6-9 times	Count	11	17	28
		Expected Count	12.2	15.8	28.0
		% within Argument or fight	39.3%	60.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.7%	4.4%	4.1%
		% of Total	1.6%	2.5%	4.1%
	10+ times	Count	10	5	15
		Expected Count	6.5	8.5	15.0
		% within Argument or fight	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.3%	1.3%	2.2%
		% of Total	1.5%	.7%	2.2%
	Total	Count	299	389	688
		Expected Count	299.0	389.0	688.0
		% within Argument or fight	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.505 <sup>a</sup>	5	.357
Likelihood Ratio	5.524	5	.355
Linear-by-Linear Association	.011	1	.916
N of Valid Cases	688		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.52.

#### Nauseated or vomited \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Nauseated or vomited	Never	Count	97	128	225
		Expected Count	97.9	127.1	225.0
		% within Nauseated or vomited	43.1%	56.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	32.4%	33.0%	32.8%
		% of Total	14.1%	18.6%	32.8%
	Once	Count	58	72	130
		Expected Count	56.6	73.4	130.0
		% within Nauseated or vomited	44.6%	55.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	19.4%	18.6%	18.9%
		% of Total	8.4%	10.5%	18.9%
	Twice	Count	50	64	114
		Expected Count	49.6	64.4	114.0
		% within Nauseated or vomited	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	16.7%	16.5%	16.6%
		% of Total	7.3%	9.3%	16.6%
	3-5 times	Count	53	71	124
		Expected Count	54.0	70.0	124.0
		% within Nauseated or vomited	42.7%	57.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	17.7%	18.3%	18.0%
		% of Total	7.7%	10.3%	18.0%
	6-9 times	Count	23	24	47
		Expected Count	20.5	26.5	47.0
		% within Nauseated or vomited	48.9%	51.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.7%	6.2%	6.8%
		% of Total	3.3%	3.5%	6.8%
	10+ times	Count	18	29	47
		Expected Count	20.5	26.5	47.0
		% within Nauseated or vomited	38.3%	61.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.0%	7.5%	6.8%
		% of Total	2.6%	4.2%	6.8%
Total		Count	299	388	687
		Expected Count	299.0	388.0	687.0
		% within Nauseated or vomited	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.197 <sup>a</sup>	5	.945
Likelihood Ratio	1.199	5	.945
Linear-by-Linear Association	.019	1	.890
N of Valid Cases	687		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 20.46.

#### Driven under influence \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Driven under influence	Never	Count	197	270	467
		Expected Count	203.3	263.7	467.0
		% within Driven under influence	42.2%	57.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	65.9%	69.6%	68.0%
		% of Total	28.7%	39.3%	68.0%
	Once	Count	25	38	63
		Expected Count	27.4	35.6	63.0
		% within Driven under influence	39.7%	60.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.4%	9.8%	9.2%
		% of Total	3.6%	5.5%	9.2%
	Twice	Count	17	44	61
		Expected Count	26.5	34.5	61.0
		% within Driven under influence	27.9%	72.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.7%	11.3%	8.9%
		% of Total	2.5%	6.4%	8.9%
	3-5 times	Count	28	23	51
		Expected Count	22.2	28.8	51.0
		% within Driven under influence	54.9%	45.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.4%	5.9%	7.4%
		% of Total	4.1%	3.3%	7.4%
	6-9 times	Count	10	6	16
		Expected Count	7.0	9.0	16.0
		% within Driven under influence	62.5%	37.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.3%	1.5%	2.3%
		% of Total	1.5%	.9%	2.3%
	10+ times	Count	22	7	29
		Expected Count	12.6	16.4	29.0
		% within Driven under influence	75.9%	24.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.4%	1.8%	4.2%
		% of Total	3.2%	1.0%	4.2%
Total		Count	299	388	687
		Expected Count	299.0	388.0	687.0
		% within Driven under influence	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

## Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	24.169 <sup>a</sup>	5	.000
Likelihood Ratio	24.623	5	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.347	1	.002
N of Valid Cases	687		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.96.

### Missed class \* Gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Missed class	Never	Count	163	233	396
		Expected Count	172.2	223.8	396.0
		% within Missed class	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	54.3%	59.7%	57.4%
		% of Total	23.6%	33.8%	57.4%
	Once	Count	24	32	56
		Expected Count	24.3	31.7	56.0
		% within Missed class	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.0%	8.2%	8.1%
		% of Total	3.5%	4.6%	8.1%
	Twice	Count	26	49	75
		Expected Count	32.6	42.4	75.0
		% within Missed class	34.7%	65.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.7%	12.6%	10.9%
		% of Total	3.8%	7.1%	10.9%
	3-5 times	Count	44	49	93
		Expected Count	40.4	52.6	93.0
		% within Missed class	47.3%	52.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	14.7%	12.6%	13.5%
		% of Total	6.4%	7.1%	13.5%
	6-9 times	Count	17	17	34
		Expected Count	14.8	19.2	34.0
		% within Missed class	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.7%	4.4%	4.9%
		% of Total	2.5%	2.5%	4.9%
	10+ times	Count	26	10	36
		Expected Count	15.7	20.3	36.0
		% within Missed class	72.2%	27.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.7%	2.6%	5.2%
		% of Total	3.8%	1.4%	5.2%
	Total	Count	300	390	690
		Expected Count	300.0	390.0	690.0
		% within Missed class	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.491 <sup>a</sup>	5	.006
Likelihood Ratio	16.616	5	.005
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.935	1	.005
N of Valid Cases	690		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 14.78.

#### Been criticized \* Gender

##### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Been criticized	Never	Count	177	249	426
		Expected Count	185.5	240.5	426.0
		% within Been criticized	41.5%	58.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	59.0%	64.0%	61.8%
		% of Total	25.7%	36.1%	61.8%
	Once	Count	35	51	86
		Expected Count	37.4	48.6	86.0
		% within Been criticized	40.7%	59.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.7%	13.1%	12.5%
		% of Total	5.1%	7.4%	12.5%
	Twice	Count	30	41	71
		Expected Count	30.9	40.1	71.0
		% within Been criticized	42.3%	57.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.0%	10.5%	10.3%
		% of Total	4.4%	6.0%	10.3%
	3-5 times	Count	32	26	58
		Expected Count	25.3	32.7	58.0
		% within Been criticized	55.2%	44.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.7%	6.7%	8.4%
		% of Total	4.6%	3.8%	8.4%
	6-9 times	Count	9	7	16
		Expected Count	7.0	9.0	16.0
		% within Been criticized	56.3%	43.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.0%	1.8%	2.3%
		% of Total	1.3%	1.0%	2.3%
	10+ times	Count	17	15	32
		Expected Count	13.9	18.1	32.0
		% within Been criticized	53.1%	46.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.7%	3.9%	4.6%
		% of Total	2.5%	2.2%	4.6%
	Total	Count	300	389	689
		Expected Count	300.0	389.0	689.0
		% within Been criticized	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.457 <sup>a</sup>	5	.264
Likelihood Ratio	6.402	5	.269
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.579	1	.032
N of Valid Cases	689		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.97.

#### Thought I had a problem \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total	
			Male	Female		
Thought I had a problem	Never	Count	232	351	583	
		Expected Count	253.5	329.5	583.0	
		% within Thought I had a problem	39.8%	60.2%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	77.3%	90.0%	84.5%	
		% of Total	33.6%	50.9%	84.5%	
	Once	Count	27	19	46	
		Expected Count	20.0	26.0	46.0	
		% within Thought I had a problem	58.7%	41.3%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	9.0%	4.9%	6.7%	
		% of Total	3.9%	2.8%	6.7%	
	Twice	Count	16	8	24	
		Expected Count	10.4	13.6	24.0	
		% within Thought I had a problem	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	5.3%	2.1%	3.5%	
		% of Total	2.3%	1.2%	3.5%	
	3-5 times	Count	10	8	18	
		Expected Count	7.8	10.2	18.0	
		% within Thought I had a problem	55.6%	44.4%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	3.3%	2.1%	2.6%	
		% of Total	1.4%	1.2%	2.6%	
	6-9 times	Count	1	2	3	
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0	
		% within Thought I had a problem	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	.3%	.5%	.4%	
		% of Total	.1%	.3%	.4%	
	10+ times	Count	14	2	16	
		Expected Count	7.0	9.0	16.0	
		% within Thought I had a problem	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	4.7%	.5%	2.3%	
		% of Total	2.0%	.3%	2.3%	
Total		Count	300	390	690	
		Expected Count	300.0	390.0	690.0	
		% within Thought I had a problem	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%	

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	26.617 <sup>a</sup>	5	.000
Likelihood Ratio	27.492	5	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	20.909	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	690		

a. 2 cells (16.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.30.

#### Had a memory loss \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Had a memory loss	Never	Count	153	224	377
		Expected Count	163.6	213.4	377.0
		% within Had a memory loss	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	51.2%	57.4%	54.7%
		% of Total	22.2%	32.5%	54.7%
	Once	Count	30	49	79
		Expected Count	34.3	44.7	79.0
		% within Had a memory loss	38.0%	62.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.0%	12.6%	11.5%
		% of Total	4.4%	7.1%	11.5%
	Twice	Count	27	46	73
		Expected Count	31.7	41.3	73.0
		% within Had a memory loss	37.0%	63.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.0%	11.8%	10.6%
		% of Total	3.9%	6.7%	10.6%
	3-5 times	Count	25	36	61
		Expected Count	26.5	34.5	61.0
		% within Had a memory loss	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.4%	9.2%	8.9%
		% of Total	3.6%	5.2%	8.9%
	6-9 times	Count	19	15	34
		Expected Count	14.8	19.2	34.0
		% within Had a memory loss	55.9%	44.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.4%	3.8%	4.9%
		% of Total	2.8%	2.2%	4.9%
	10+ times	Count	45	20	65
		Expected Count	28.2	36.8	65.0
		% within Had a memory loss	69.2%	30.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	15.1%	5.1%	9.4%
		% of Total	6.5%	2.9%	9.4%
Total		Count	299	390	689
		Expected Count	299.0	390.0	689.0
		% within Had a memory loss	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	23.344 <sup>a</sup>	5	.000
Likelihood Ratio	23.349	5	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	14.013	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	689		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 14.75.

#### Later regretted action \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Later regretted action	Never	Count	154	210	364
		Expected Count	158.3	205.7	364.0
		% within Later regretted action	42.3%	57.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	51.3%	53.8%	52.8%
		% of Total	22.3%	30.4%	52.8%
	Once	Count	41	60	101
		Expected Count	43.9	57.1	101.0
		% within Later regretted action	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	13.7%	15.4%	14.6%
		% of Total	5.9%	8.7%	14.6%
	Twice	Count	27	59	86
		Expected Count	37.4	48.6	86.0
		% within Later regretted action	31.4%	68.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.0%	15.1%	12.5%
		% of Total	3.9%	8.6%	12.5%
	3-5 times	Count	40	39	79
		Expected Count	34.3	44.7	79.0
		% within Later regretted action	50.6%	49.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	13.3%	10.0%	11.4%
		% of Total	5.8%	5.7%	11.4%
	6-9 times	Count	16	10	26
		Expected Count	11.3	14.7	26.0
		% within Later regretted action	61.5%	38.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.3%	2.6%	3.8%
		% of Total	2.3%	1.4%	3.8%
	10+ times	Count	22	12	34
		Expected Count	14.8	19.2	34.0
		% within Later regretted action	64.7%	35.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.3%	3.1%	4.9%
		% of Total	3.2%	1.7%	4.9%
Total		Count	300	390	690
		Expected Count	300.0	390.0	690.0
		% within Later regretted action	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.985 <sup>a</sup>	5	.005
Likelihood Ratio	17.066	5	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.147	1	.013
N of Valid Cases	690		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 11.30.

**Arrested for DWI/DUI \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Arrested for DWI/DUI	Never	Count	290	386	676
		Expected Count	293.2	382.8	676.0
		% within Arrested for DWI/DUI	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.3%	99.2%	98.4%
		% of Total	42.2%	56.2%	98.4%
	Once	Count	8	2	10
		Expected Count	4.3	5.7	10.0
		% within Arrested for DWI/DUI	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.7%	.5%	1.5%
		% of Total	1.2%	.3%	1.5%
	Twice	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Arrested for DWI/DUI	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.3%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
	Total	Count	298	389	687
		Expected Count	298.0	389.0	687.0
		% within Arrested for DWI/DUI	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.290 <sup>a</sup>	2	.043
Likelihood Ratio	6.831	2	.033
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.303	1	.129
N of Valid Cases	687		

a. 3 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

**HAVE BEEN TAKEN ADVANTAGE SEXUALLY \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
HAVE BEEN TAKEN ADVANTAGE SEXUALLY	Never	Count	263	344	607
		Expected Count	262.3	344.7	607.0
		% within HAVE BEEN TAKEN ADVANTAGE SEXUALLY	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	88.9%	88.4%	88.6%
	Once	% of Total	38.4%	50.2%	88.6%
		Count	22	30	52
		Expected Count	22.5	29.5	52.0
		% within HAVE BEEN TAKEN ADVANTAGE SEXUALLY	42.3%	57.7%	100.0%
	Twice	% within Gender	7.4%	7.7%	7.6%
		% of Total	3.2%	4.4%	7.6%
		Count	3	8	11
		Expected Count	4.8	6.2	11.0
	3-5 times	% within HAVE BEEN TAKEN ADVANTAGE SEXUALLY	27.3%	72.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	2.1%	1.6%
		% of Total	.4%	1.2%	1.6%
		Count	4	7	11
	6-9 times	Expected Count	4.8	6.2	11.0
		% within HAVE BEEN TAKEN ADVANTAGE SEXUALLY	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.4%	1.8%	1.6%
		% of Total	.6%	1.0%	1.6%
	10+ times	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within HAVE BEEN TAKEN ADVANTAGE SEXUALLY	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
	10+ times	% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
		Count	3	0	3
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0
		% within HAVE BEEN TAKEN ADVANTAGE SEXUALLY	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
	10+ times	% within Gender	1.0%	.0%	.4%
		% of Total	.4%	.0%	.4%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Total	Count	296	389	685
	Expected Count	296.0	389.0	685.0
	% within HAVE BEEN TAKEN ADVANTAGE SEXUALLY	43.2%	56.8%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	43.2%	56.8%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.626 <sup>a</sup>	5	.250
Likelihood Ratio	8.144	5	.148
Linear-by-Linear Association	.321	1	.571
N of Valid Cases	685		

a. 6 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

**HAVE TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF SOMEONE SEXUALLY \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
HAVE TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF SOMEONE SEXUALLY	Never	Count	287	381	668
		Expected Count	290.4	377.6	668.0
		% within HAVE TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF SOMEONE SEXUALLY	43.0%	57.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	95.7%	97.7%	96.8%
		% of Total	41.6%	55.2%	96.8%
		Count	9	5	14
	Once	Expected Count	6.1	7.9	14.0
		% within HAVE TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF SOMEONE SEXUALLY	64.3%	35.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.0%	1.3%	2.0%
		% of Total	1.3%	.7%	2.0%
		Count	1	2	3
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0
	Twice	% within HAVE TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF SOMEONE SEXUALLY	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.5%	.4%
		% of Total	.1%	.3%	.4%
		Count	2	2	4
		Expected Count	1.7	2.3	4.0
		% within HAVE TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF SOMEONE SEXUALLY	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
	3-5 times	% within Gender	.7%	.5%	.6%
		% of Total	.3%	.3%	.6%
		Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within HAVE TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF SOMEONE SEXUALLY	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.0%	.1%
	10+ times	% of Total	.1%	.0%	.1%
		Count	300	390	690
		Expected Count	300.0	390.0	690.0
		% within HAVE TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF SOMEONE SEXUALLY	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
Total					

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.033 <sup>a</sup>	4	.402
Likelihood Ratio	4.384	4	.357
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.671	1	.196
N of Valid Cases	690		

a. 6 cells (60.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

**TRIED/FAILED TO STOP \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total	
			Male	Female		
TRIED/FAILED TO STOP	Never	Count	267	373	640	
		Expected Count	278.0	362.0	640.0	
		% within TRIED/FAILED TO STOP	41.7%	58.3%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	89.6%	96.1%	93.3%	
		% of Total	38.9%	54.4%	93.3%	
	Once	Count	10	3	13	
		Expected Count	5.6	7.4	13.0	
		% within TRIED/FAILED TO STOP	76.9%	23.1%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	3.4%	.8%	1.9%	
		% of Total	1.5%	.4%	1.9%	
	Twice	Count	9	6	15	
		Expected Count	6.5	8.5	15.0	
		% within TRIED/FAILED TO STOP	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	3.0%	1.5%	2.2%	
		% of Total	1.3%	.9%	2.2%	
	3-5 times	Count	6	6	12	
		Expected Count	5.2	6.8	12.0	
		% within TRIED/FAILED TO STOP	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	2.0%	1.5%	1.7%	
		% of Total	.9%	.9%	1.7%	
	6-9 times	Count	4	0	4	
		Expected Count	1.7	2.3	4.0	
		% within TRIED/FAILED TO STOP	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	1.3%	.0%	.6%	
		% of Total	.6%	.0%	.6%	
	10+ times	Count	2	0	2	
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0	
		% within TRIED/FAILED TO STOP	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	.7%	.0%	.3%	
		% of Total	.3%	.0%	.3%	
Total		Count	298	388	686	
		Expected Count	298.0	388.0	686.0	
		% within TRIED/FAILED TO STOP	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.400 <sup>a</sup>	5	.006
Likelihood Ratio	18.694	5	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.146	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	686		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .87.

**THOUGHT ABOUT SUICIDE \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
THOUGHT ABOUT SUICIDE	Never	Count	282	372	654
		Expected Count	284.3	369.7	654.0
		% within THOUGHT ABOUT SUICIDE	43.1%	56.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	94.0%	95.4%	94.8%
		% of Total	40.9%	53.9%	94.8%
	Once	Count	8	8	16
		Expected Count	7.0	9.0	16.0
		% within THOUGHT ABOUT SUICIDE	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.7%	2.1%	2.3%
		% of Total	1.2%	1.2%	2.3%
	Twice	Count	3	4	7
		Expected Count	3.0	4.0	7.0
		% within THOUGHT ABOUT SUICIDE	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%
		% of Total	.4%	.6%	1.0%
	3-5 times	Count	5	2	7
		Expected Count	3.0	4.0	7.0
		% within THOUGHT ABOUT SUICIDE	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.7%	.5%	1.0%
		% of Total	.7%	.3%	1.0%
	6-9 times	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within THOUGHT ABOUT SUICIDE	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.3%	.1%
		% of Total	.0%	.1%	.1%
	10+ times	Count	2	3	5
		Expected Count	2.2	2.8	5.0
		% within THOUGHT ABOUT SUICIDE	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.8%	.7%
		% of Total	.3%	.4%	.7%
Total		Count	300	390	690
		Expected Count	300.0	390.0	690.0
		% within THOUGHT ABOUT SUICIDE	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.331 <sup>a</sup>	5	.649
Likelihood Ratio	3.711	5	.592
Linear-by-Linear Association	.303	1	.582
N of Valid Cases	690		

a. 8 cells (66.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .43.

**TRIED TO COMMIT SUICIDE \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
TRIED TO COMMIT SUICIDE	Never	Count	297	387	684
		Expected Count	297.4	386.6	684.0
		% within TRIED TO COMMIT SUICIDE	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.0%	99.2%	99.1%
		% of Total	43.0%	56.1%	99.1%
	Once	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within TRIED TO COMMIT SUICIDE	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.3%	.3%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.3%
	Twice	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within TRIED TO COMMIT SUICIDE	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.3%	.3%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.3%
	3-5 times	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within TRIED TO COMMIT SUICIDE	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%	.3%	.3%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.3%
	Total	Count	300	390	690
		Expected Count	300.0	390.0	690.0
		% within TRIED TO COMMIT SUICIDE	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.105 <sup>a</sup>	3	.991
Likelihood Ratio	.104	3	.991
Linear-by-Linear Association	.090	1	.765
N of Valid Cases	690		

a. 6 cells (75.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .87.

**BEEN HURT/INJURED \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total	
			Male	Female		
BEEN HURT/INJURED	Never	Count	204	292	496	
		Expected Count	215.2	280.8	496.0	
		% within BEEN HURT/INJURED	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	68.2%	74.9%	72.0%	
		% of Total	29.6%	42.4%	72.0%	
	Once	Count	37	46	83	
		Expected Count	36.0	47.0	83.0	
		% within BEEN HURT/INJURED	44.6%	55.4%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	12.4%	11.8%	12.0%	
		% of Total	5.4%	6.7%	12.0%	
	Twice	Count	25	22	47	
		Expected Count	20.4	26.6	47.0	
		% within BEEN HURT/INJURED	53.2%	46.8%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	8.4%	5.6%	6.8%	
		% of Total	3.6%	3.2%	6.8%	
	3-5 times	Count	20	23	43	
		Expected Count	18.7	24.3	43.0	
		% within BEEN HURT/INJURED	46.5%	53.5%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	6.7%	5.9%	6.2%	
		% of Total	2.9%	3.3%	6.2%	
	6-9 times	Count	6	5	11	
		Expected Count	4.8	6.2	11.0	
		% within BEEN HURT/INJURED	54.5%	45.5%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	2.0%	1.3%	1.6%	
		% of Total	.9%	.7%	1.6%	
	10+ times	Count	7	2	9	
		Expected Count	3.9	5.1	9.0	
		% within BEEN HURT/INJURED	77.8%	22.2%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	2.3%	.5%	1.3%	
		% of Total	1.0%	.3%	1.3%	
Total		Count	299	390	689	
		Expected Count	299.0	390.0	689.0	
		% within BEEN HURT/INJURED	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%	

## Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.979 <sup>a</sup>	5	.157
Likelihood Ratio	8.054	5	.153
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.840	1	.016
N of Valid Cases	689		

a. 2 cells (16.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.91.

### A/D problems: mother \* Gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total	
		Male	Female		
A/D problems: mother	No	Count	286	655	
		Expected Count	284.9	655.0	
		% within A/D problems: mother	43.7%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	96.0%	95.6%	
		% of Total	41.8%	95.6%	
	Yes	Count	12	30	
		Expected Count	13.1	30.0	
		% within A/D problems: mother	40.0%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	4.0%	4.4%	
		% of Total	1.8%	4.4%	
Total		Count	298	685	
		Expected Count	298.0	685.0	
		% within A/D problems: mother	43.5%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	43.5%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.157 <sup>b</sup>	1	.692		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.043	1	.836		
Likelihood Ratio	.158	1	.691		
Fisher's Exact Test				.851	.421
Linear-by-Linear Association	.156	1	.692		
N of Valid Cases	685				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 13.05.

### A/D problems: father \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
A/D problems: father	No	Count	247	312
		Expected Count	243.2	315.8
		% within A/D problems: father	44.2%	55.8%
		% within Gender	82.9%	80.6%
		% of Total	36.1%	45.5%
	Yes	Count	51	75
		Expected Count	54.8	71.2
		% within A/D problems: father	40.5%	59.5%
		% within Gender	17.1%	19.4%
		% of Total	7.4%	10.9%
Total		Count	298	387
		Expected Count	298.0	387.0
		% within A/D problems: father	43.5%	56.5%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%
				100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.576 <sup>b</sup>	1	.448		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.435	1	.510		
Likelihood Ratio	.578	1	.447		
Fisher's Exact Test				.487	.255
Linear-by-Linear Association	.575	1	.448		
N of Valid Cases	685				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 54.81.

#### A/D problems: stepmother \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
A/D problems: stepmother	No	Count	296	386	682
		Expected Count	296.7	385.3	682.0
		% within A/D problems: stepmother	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.3%	99.7%	99.6%
		% of Total	43.2%	56.4%	99.6%
	Yes	Count	2	1	3
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0
		% within A/D problems: stepmother	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.3%	.4%
		% of Total	.3%	.1%	.4%
Total		Count	298	387	685
		Expected Count	298.0	387.0	685.0
		% within A/D problems: stepmother	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.658 <sup>b</sup>	1	.417		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.052	1	.820		
Likelihood Ratio	.655	1	.418		
Fisher's Exact Test				.583	.403
Linear-by-Linear Association	.657	1	.418		
N of Valid Cases	685				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.31.

### **A/D problems: stepfather \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
A/D problems: stepfather	No	Count	296	381	677
		Expected Count	294.5	382.5	677.0
		% within A/D problems: stepfather	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	99.3%	98.4%	98.8%
		% of Total	43.2%	55.6%	98.8%
	Yes	Count	2	6	8
		Expected Count	3.5	4.5	8.0
		% within A/D problems: stepfather	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	1.6%	1.2%
		% of Total	.3%	.9%	1.2%
Total		Count	298	387	685
		Expected Count	298.0	387.0	685.0
		% within A/D problems: stepfather	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.128 <sup>b</sup>	1	.288		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.495	1	.482		
Likelihood Ratio	1.197	1	.274		
Fisher's Exact Test				.477	.245
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.126	1	.289		
N of Valid Cases	685				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.48.

### **A/D problems: br/sister \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
A/D problems: No br/sister	Count	265	337	602	
		261.9	340.1	602.0	
		44.0%	56.0%	100.0%	
		88.9%	87.1%	87.9%	
		38.7%	49.2%	87.9%	
	Yes	33	50	83	
		36.1	46.9	83.0	
		39.8%	60.2%	100.0%	
		11.1%	12.9%	12.1%	
		4.8%	7.3%	12.1%	
Total	Count	298	387	685	
	Expected Count	298.0	387.0	685.0	
	% within A/D problems: br/sister	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.539 <sup>b</sup>	1	.463		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.379	1	.538		
Likelihood Ratio	.542	1	.461		
Fisher's Exact Test				.481	.270
Linear-by-Linear Association	.538	1	.463		
N of Valid Cases	685				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 36.11.

### A/D problems: mother's parents \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
A/D problems: mother's parents	No	Count	264	341	605
		Expected Count	263.2	341.8	605.0
		% within A/D problems: mother's parents	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	88.6%	88.1%	88.3%
		% of Total	38.5%	49.8%	88.3%
	Yes	Count	34	46	80
		Expected Count	34.8	45.2	80.0
		% within A/D problems: mother's parents	42.5%	57.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.4%	11.9%	11.7%
		% of Total	5.0%	6.7%	11.7%
Total		Count	298	387	685
		Expected Count	298.0	387.0	685.0
		% within A/D problems: mother's parents	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.037 <sup>b</sup>	1	.847		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.005	1	.942		
Likelihood Ratio	.037	1	.847		
Fisher's Exact Test				.905	.473
Linear-by-Linear Association	.037	1	.847		
N of Valid Cases	685				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 34.80.

### A/D problems: father's parents \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
A/D problems: father's parents	No	Count	260	329	589
		Expected Count	256.2	332.8	589.0
		% within A/D problems: father's parents	44.1%	55.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	87.2%	85.0%	86.0%
		% of Total	38.0%	48.0%	86.0%
	Yes	Count	38	58	96
		Expected Count	41.8	54.2	96.0
		% within A/D problems: father's parents	39.6%	60.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	12.8%	15.0%	14.0%
		% of Total	5.5%	8.5%	14.0%
Total		Count	298	387	685
		Expected Count	298.0	387.0	685.0
		% within A/D problems: father's parents	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.698 <sup>b</sup>	1	.403		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.525	1	.469		
Likelihood Ratio	.703	1	.402		
Fisher's Exact Test				.438	.235
Linear-by-Linear Association	.697	1	.404		
N of Valid Cases	685				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 41.76.

### A/D problems: aunts/uncles \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
A/D problems: No aunts/uncles	Count	220	261	481	481
		209.3	271.7	481.0	481.0
		45.7%	54.3%	100.0%	100.0%
		73.8%	67.4%	70.2%	70.2%
		32.1%	38.1%	70.2%	70.2%
	Yes	78	126	204	204
		88.7	115.3	204.0	204.0
		38.2%	61.8%	100.0%	100.0%
		26.2%	32.6%	29.8%	29.8%
		11.4%	18.4%	29.8%	29.8%
Total	Count	298	387	685	685
	Expected Count	298.0	387.0	685.0	685.0
	% within A/D problems: aunts/uncles	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.281 <sup>b</sup>	1	.070		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	2.983	1	.084		
Likelihood Ratio	3.303	1	.069		
Fisher's Exact Test				.077	.042
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.276	1	.070		
N of Valid Cases	685				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 88.75.

#### A/D problems: spouse \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
A/D problems: spouse	No	Count	298	381	679
		Expected Count	295.4	383.6	679.0
		% within A/D problems: spouse	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	98.4%	99.1%
		% of Total	43.5%	55.6%	99.1%
	Yes	Count	0	6	6
		Expected Count	2.6	3.4	6.0
		% within A/D problems: spouse	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	1.6%	.9%
		% of Total	.0%	.9%	.9%
Total		Count	298	387	685
		Expected Count	298.0	387.0	685.0
		% within A/D problems: spouse	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.661 <sup>b</sup>	1	.031		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	3.046	1	.081		
Likelihood Ratio	6.893	1	.009		
Fisher's Exact Test				.039	.032
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.654	1	.031		
N of Valid Cases	685				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.61.

### A/D problems: children \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
A/D problems: children	No	Count	298	385
		Expected Count	297.1	385.9
		% within A/D problems: children	43.6%	56.4%
		% within Gender	100.0%	99.5%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.2%
	Yes	Count	0	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1
		% within A/D problems: children	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.5%
		% of Total	.0%	.3%
Total		Count	298	387
		Expected Count	298.0	387.0
		% within A/D problems: children	43.5%	56.5%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%
				100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.545 <sup>b</sup>	1	.214		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.279	1	.597		
Likelihood Ratio	2.288	1	.130		
Fisher's Exact Test				.508	.319
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.542	1	.214		
N of Valid Cases	685				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .87.

#### **A/D problems: none \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
A/D problems: No	none	Count	147	207
		Expected Count	154.0	200.0
		% within A/D problems: none	41.5%	58.5%
		% within Gender	49.3%	53.5%
		% of Total	21.5%	30.2%
	Yes	Count	151	180
		Expected Count	144.0	187.0
		% within A/D problems: none	45.6%	54.4%
		% within Gender	50.7%	46.5%
		% of Total	22.0%	26.3%
Total	Count	298	387	685
	Expected Count	298.0	387.0	685.0
	% within A/D problems: none	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.166 <sup>b</sup>	1	.280		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.006	1	.316		
Likelihood Ratio	1.167	1	.280		
Fisher's Exact Test				.282	.158
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.165	1	.280		
N of Valid Cases	685				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 144.00.

## VOLUNTEERING \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
VOLUNTEERING	DON'T OR < 1 HOUR	Count	172	170	342
		Expected Count	149.5	192.5	342.0
		% within VOLUNTEERING	50.3%	49.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	62.5%	48.0%	54.4%
		% of Total	27.3%	27.0%	54.4%
	1-4 HOURS	Count	49	81	130
		Expected Count	56.8	73.2	130.0
		% within VOLUNTEERING	37.7%	62.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	17.8%	22.9%	20.7%
		% of Total	7.8%	12.9%	20.7%
	5-9 HOURS	Count	27	52	79
		Expected Count	34.5	44.5	79.0
		% within VOLUNTEERING	34.2%	65.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.8%	14.7%	12.6%
		% of Total	4.3%	8.3%	12.6%
	10-15 HOURS	Count	13	26	39
		Expected Count	17.1	21.9	39.0
		% within VOLUNTEERING	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.7%	7.3%	6.2%
		% of Total	2.1%	4.1%	6.2%
	16 OR MORE HOURS	Count	14	25	39
		Expected Count	17.1	21.9	39.0
		% within VOLUNTEERING	35.9%	64.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.1%	7.1%	6.2%
		% of Total	2.2%	4.0%	6.2%
Total		Count	275	354	629
		Expected Count	275.0	354.0	629.0
		% within VOLUNTEERING	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.527 <sup>a</sup>	4	.009
Likelihood Ratio	13.633	4	.009
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.848	1	.002
N of Valid Cases	629		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 17.05.

**PARTICIPATED-INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender	
			Male	Female
PARTICIPATED- INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	265	359
		Expected Count	271.5	352.5
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS	42.5%	57.5%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	% within Gender	88.9%	92.8%
		% of Total	38.7%	52.4%
		Count	28	24
LEADERSHIP POSITION	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Expected Count	22.6	29.4
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS	53.8%	46.2%
		% within Gender	9.4%	6.2%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	% of Total	4.1%	3.5%
		Count	5	4
		Expected Count	3.9	5.1
Total	LEADERSHIP POSITION	% within PARTICIPATED- INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS	55.6%	44.4%
		% within Gender	1.7%	1.0%
		% of Total	.7%	.6%
	Total	Count	298	387
		Expected Count	298.0	387.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS	43.5%	56.5%
	Total	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Total
PARTICIPATED- INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	624
		Expected Count	624.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS	100.0%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	% within Gender	91.1%
		% of Total	91.1%
		Count	52
LEADERSHIP POSITION	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Expected Count	52.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.6%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	% of Total	7.6%
		Count	9
		Expected Count	9.0
Total	LEADERSHIP POSITION	% within PARTICIPATED- INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.3%
		% of Total	1.3%
	Total	Count	685
		Expected Count	685.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS	100.0%
	Total	% within Gender	100.0%
		% of Total	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.067 <sup>a</sup>	2	.216
Likelihood Ratio	3.037	2	.219
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.863	1	.091
N of Valid Cases	685		

a. 1 cells (16.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.92.

**PARTICIPATED-INTRAMURAL OR CLUB SPORTS \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender	
			Male	Female
PARTICIPATED- INTRAMURAL OR CLUB SPORTS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	196	327
		Expected Count	227.5	295.5
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTRAMURAL OR CLUB SPORTS	37.5%	62.5%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	% within Gender	65.8%	84.5%
		% of Total	28.6%	47.7%
		Count	88	56
LEADERSHIP POSITION	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Expected Count	62.6	81.4
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTRAMURAL OR CLUB SPORTS	61.1%	38.9%
		% within Gender	29.5%	14.5%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	% of Total	12.8%	8.2%
		Count	14	4
		Expected Count	7.8	10.2
Total	LEADERSHIP POSITION	% within PARTICIPATED- INTRAMURAL OR CLUB SPORTS	77.8%	22.2%
		% within Gender	4.7%	1.0%
		% of Total	2.0%	.6%
	Total	Count	298	387
		Expected Count	298.0	387.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTRAMURAL OR CLUB SPORTS	43.5%	56.5%
	Total	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Total
PARTICIPATED- INTRAMURAL OR CLUB SPORTS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	523
		Expected Count	523.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTRAMURAL OR CLUB SPORTS	100.0%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	% within Gender	76.4%
		% of Total	76.4%
		Count	144
LEADERSHIP POSITION	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Expected Count	144.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTRAMURAL OR CLUB SPORTS	100.0%
		% within Gender	21.0%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	% of Total	21.0%
		Count	18
		Expected Count	18.0
Total	LEADERSHIP POSITION	% within PARTICIPATED- INTRAMURAL OR CLUB SPORTS	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.6%
		% of Total	2.6%
	Total	Count	685
		Expected Count	685.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTRAMURAL OR CLUB SPORTS	100.0%
	Total	% within Gender	100.0%
		% of Total	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	34.498 <sup>a</sup>	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	34.623	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	34.216	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	685		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.83.

**PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender	
			Male	Female
PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR	NOT INVOLVED	Count	250	320
		Expected Count	248.0	322.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR	43.9%	56.1%
	ATTENDED	% within Gender	83.9%	82.7%
		% of Total	36.5%	46.7%
		Count	17	31
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Expected Count	20.9	27.1
		% within PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR	35.4%	64.6%
		% within Gender	5.7%	8.0%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	% of Total	2.5%	4.5%
		Count	19	23
		Expected Count	18.3	23.7
Total	PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR	% within PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR	45.2%	54.8%
		% within Gender	6.4%	5.9%
		% of Total	2.8%	3.4%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	12	13
		Expected Count	10.9	14.1
		% within PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR	48.0%	52.0%
	NOT INVOLVED	% within Gender	4.0%	3.4%
		% of Total	1.8%	1.9%
		Count	298	387
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Expected Count	298.0	387.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR	43.5%	56.5%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	% of Total	43.5%	56.5%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

		Total
PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR	NOT INVOLVED	Count 570 Expected Count 570.0 % within PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR 100.0% % within Gender 83.2% % of Total 83.2%
	ATTENDED	Count 48 Expected Count 48.0 % within PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR 100.0% % within Gender 7.0% % of Total 7.0%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Count 42 Expected Count 42.0 % within PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR 100.0% % within Gender 6.1% % of Total 6.1%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count 25 Expected Count 25.0 % within PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR 100.0% % within Gender 3.6% % of Total 3.6%
Total		Count 685 Expected Count 685.0 % within PARTICIPATED-SOCIAL FRATERNITIES OR SOR 100.0% % within Gender 100.0% % of Total 100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.564 <sup>a</sup>	3	.668
Likelihood Ratio	1.587	3	.662
Linear-by-Linear Association	.010	1	.922
N of Valid Cases	685		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 10.88.

**PARTICIPATED-RELIGIOUS GROUPS \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender	
			Male	Female
PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	217	269
		Expected Count	211.4	274.6
		% within PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	44.7%	55.3%
	ATTENDED	% within Gender	72.8%	69.5%
		% of Total	31.7%	39.3%
		Count	62	73
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Expected Count	58.7	76.3
		% within PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	45.9%	54.1%
		% within Gender	20.8%	18.9%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	% of Total	9.1%	10.7%
		Count	14	36
		Expected Count	21.8	28.2
Total	PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	% within PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	28.0%	72.0%
		% within Gender	4.7%	9.3%
		% of Total	2.0%	5.3%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	5	9
		Expected Count	6.1	7.9
		% within PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	35.7%	64.3%
	NOT INVOLVED	% within Gender	1.7%	2.3%
		% of Total	.7%	1.3%
		Count	298	387
	ATTENDED	Expected Count	298.0	387.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	43.5%	56.5%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	% of Total	43.5%	56.5%
		Count	298	387
		Expected Count	298.0	387.0
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	% within PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	43.5%	56.5%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Total
PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	486
		Expected Count	486.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	100.0%
	ATTENDED	% within Gender	70.9%
		% of Total	70.9%
		Count	135
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Expected Count	135.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	19.7%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	% of Total	19.7%
		Count	50
		Expected Count	50.0
Total	PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	% within PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.3%
		% of Total	7.3%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	14
		Expected Count	14.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	100.0%
	Total	% within Gender	2.0%
		% of Total	2.0%
		Count	685
	PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	Expected Count	685.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- RELIGIOUS GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%
		% of Total	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.818 <sup>a</sup>	3	.121
Likelihood Ratio	6.054	3	.109
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.799	1	.094
N of Valid Cases	685		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.09.

**PARTICIPATED-INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender	
			Male	Female
PARTICIPATED- INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	276	359
		Expected Count	276.7	358.3
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	43.5%	56.5%
	ATTENDED	% within Gender	92.6%	93.0%
		% of Total	40.4%	52.5%
		Count	18	17
ACTIVE, NONLEADER	PARTICIPATED- INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	Expected Count	15.2	19.8
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	51.4%	48.6%
		% within Gender	6.0%	4.4%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	% of Total	2.6%	2.5%
		Count	3	7
		Expected Count	4.4	5.6
LEADERSHIP POSITION	PARTICIPATED- INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	% within PARTICIPATED- INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	30.0%	70.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	1.8%
		% of Total	.4%	1.0%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	1	3
		Expected Count	1.7	2.3
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	25.0%	75.0%
Total	PARTICIPATED- INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	% within Gender	.3%	.8%
		% of Total	.1%	.4%
		Count	298	386
	Total	Expected Count	298.0	386.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	43.6%	56.4%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Total
PARTICIPATED- INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	635
		Expected Count	635.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	92.8%
		% of Total	92.8%
	ATTENDED	Count	35
		Expected Count	35.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.1%
		% of Total	5.1%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Count	10
		Expected Count	10.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.5%
		% of Total	1.5%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	4
		Expected Count	4.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	.6%
		% of Total	.6%
Total		Count	684
		Expected Count	684.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- INTERNATIONAL AND LANGUAGE GPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%
		% of Total	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.192 <sup>a</sup>	3	.534
Likelihood Ratio	2.248	3	.523
Linear-by-Linear Association	.187	1	.666
N of Valid Cases	684		

a. 3 cells (37.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.74.

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

		Gender	
		Male	Female
PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	285
		Expected Count	281.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	44.2%
	ATTENDED	% within Gender	95.6%
		% of Total	41.7%
		Count	11
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Expected Count	13.5
		% within PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	35.5%
		% within Gender	3.7%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	% of Total	1.6%
		Count	2
		Expected Count	2.6
Total	PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	% within PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	33.3%
		% within Gender	.7%
		% of Total	.3%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	0
		Expected Count	.9
		% within PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	.0%
	Total	% within Gender	.0%
		% of Total	.0%
		Count	298
	TOTAL	Expected Count	298.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	43.6%
		% within Gender	100.0%
	TOTAL	% of Total	43.6%
		Count	386
		Expected Count	386.0
	TOTAL	% within PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	56.4%
		% within Gender	100.0%
		% of Total	56.4%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Total
PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	645
		Expected Count	645.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	94.3%
		% of Total	94.3%
	ATTENDED	Count	31
		Expected Count	31.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.5%
		% of Total	4.5%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Count	6
		Expected Count	6.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	.9%
		% of Total	.9%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	2
		Expected Count	2.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	.3%
		% of Total	.3%
Total		Count	684
		Expected Count	684.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-MINORITY AND ETHNIC GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%
		% of Total	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.724 <sup>a</sup>	3	.436
Likelihood Ratio	3.491	3	.322
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.355	1	.125
N of Valid Cases	684		

a. 4 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .87.

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

		Gender	
		Male	Female
PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	247
		Expected Count	253.1
		% within PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS	42.4%
	ATTENDED	% within Gender	83.2%
		% of Total	36.2%
		Count	29
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Expected Count	23.9
		% within PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS	52.7%
		% within Gender	9.8%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	% of Total	4.2%
		Count	12
		Expected Count	11.3
Total	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	% within PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS	46.2%
		% within Gender	4.0%
		% of Total	1.8%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	9
		Expected Count	8.7
		% within PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS	45.0%
	Total	% within Gender	3.0%
		% of Total	1.3%
		Count	297
	Total	Expected Count	297.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS	43.5%
		% within Gender	100.0%
	Total	% of Total	43.5%
		Count	386
		Expected Count	386.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS	56.5%
		% within Gender	100.0%
		% of Total	56.5%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Total
PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	582
		Expected Count	582.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	85.2%
		% of Total	85.2%
	ATTENDED	Count	55
		Expected Count	55.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.1%
		% of Total	8.1%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Count	26
		Expected Count	26.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.8%
		% of Total	3.8%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	20
		Expected Count	20.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.9%
		% of Total	2.9%
Total		Count	683
		Expected Count	683.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ACTION GPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%
		% of Total	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.264 <sup>a</sup>	3	.519
Likelihood Ratio	2.245	3	.523
Linear-by-Linear Association	.742	1	.389
N of Valid Cases	683		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.70.

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender	
			Male	Female
PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	199	279
		Expected Count	208.3	269.7
		% within PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS	41.6%	58.4%
	ATTENDED	% within Gender	66.8%	72.3%
		% of Total	29.1%	40.8%
		Count	61	66
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Expected Count	55.3	71.7
		% within PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS	48.0%	52.0%
		% within Gender	20.5%	17.1%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	% of Total	8.9%	9.6%
		Count	28	37
		Expected Count	28.3	36.7
Total	PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS	% within PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS	43.1%	56.9%
		% within Gender	9.4%	9.6%
		% of Total	4.1%	5.4%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	10	4
		Expected Count	6.1	7.9
		% within PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS	71.4%	28.6%
	Total	% within Gender	3.4%	1.0%
		% of Total	1.5%	.6%
		Count	298	386
	Total	Expected Count	298.0	386.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS	43.6%	56.4%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Total
PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS	NOT INVOLVED	Count	478
		Expected Count	478.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	69.9%
		% of Total	69.9%
	ATTENDED	Count	127
		Expected Count	127.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	18.6%
		% of Total	18.6%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Count	65
		Expected Count	65.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.5%
		% of Total	9.5%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	14
		Expected Count	14.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.0%
		% of Total	2.0%
Total		Count	684
		Expected Count	684.0
		% within PARTICIPATED-MUSIC, PERFORMING ARTS GROUPS	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%
		% of Total	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.184 <sup>a</sup>	3	.103
Likelihood Ratio	6.203	3	.102
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.975	1	.085
N of Valid Cases	684		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.10.

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

		Gender	
		Male	Female
PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	NOT INVOLVED	Count	265
		Expected Count	265.8
		% within PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	43.4%
	ATTENDED	% within Gender	88.9%
		% of Total	38.7%
		Count	18
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Expected Count	19.6
		% within PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	40.0%
		% within Gender	6.0%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	% of Total	2.6%
		Count	10
		Expected Count	8.7
Total	PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	% within PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	50.0%
		% within Gender	3.4%
		% of Total	1.5%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	5
		Expected Count	3.9
		% within PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	55.6%
	NOT INVOLVED	% within Gender	1.7%
		% of Total	.7%
		Count	298
	ATTENDED	Expected Count	298.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	43.6%
		% within Gender	100.0%
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	% of Total	43.6%
		Count	386
		Expected Count	386.0
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	% within PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	56.4%
		% within Gender	100.0%
		% of Total	56.4%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Total
PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	NOT INVOLVED	Count	610
		Expected Count	610.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	100.0%
	ATTENDED	% within Gender	89.2%
		% of Total	89.2%
		Count	45
	ACTIVE, NONLEADER	Expected Count	45.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.6%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	% of Total	6.6%
		Count	20
		Expected Count	20.0
Total	PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	% within PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.9%
		% of Total	2.9%
	LEADERSHIP POSITION	Count	9
		Expected Count	9.0
		% within PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	100.0%
	% within Gender	% of Total	1.3%
		Count	1.3%
		Expected Count	1.3%
	% of Total	% within PARTICIPATED- NEWSPAPER, RADIO, TV	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%
		% of Total	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.099 <sup>a</sup>	3	.777
Likelihood Ratio	1.093	3	.779
Linear-by-Linear Association	.383	1	.536
N of Valid Cases	684		

a. 1 cells (12.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.92.

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
HAPPENED - ETHNIC HARASSMENT	NO	Count	275	363	638
		Expected Count	278.5	359.5	638.0
		% within HAPPENED - ETHNIC HARASSMENT	43.1%	56.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	92.0%	94.0%	93.1%
		% of Total	40.1%	53.0%	93.1%
	YES	Count	24	23	47
		Expected Count	20.5	26.5	47.0
		% within HAPPENED - ETHNIC HARASSMENT	51.1%	48.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.0%	6.0%	6.9%
		% of Total	3.5%	3.4%	6.9%
Total		Count	299	386	685
		Expected Count	299.0	386.0	685.0
		% within HAPPENED - ETHNIC HARASSMENT	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.128 <sup>b</sup>	1	.288		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.827	1	.363		
Likelihood Ratio	1.118	1	.290		
Fisher's Exact Test				.291	.181
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.126	1	.289		
N of Valid Cases	685				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 20.52.

**HAPPENED - THREATS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
HAPPENED - THREATS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	NO	Count	222	359	581
		Expected Count	252.9	328.1	581.0
		% within HAPPENED - THREATS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	38.2%	61.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	74.2%	92.5%	84.6%
		% of Total	32.3%	52.3%	84.6%
	YES	Count	77	29	106
		Expected Count	46.1	59.9	106.0
		% within HAPPENED - THREATS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	72.6%	27.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	25.8%	7.5%	15.4%
		% of Total	11.2%	4.2%	15.4%
Total		Count	299	388	687
		Expected Count	299.0	388.0	687.0
		% within HAPPENED - THREATS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	43.236 <sup>b</sup>	1	.000		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	41.847	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	43.596	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	43.173	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	687				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 46.13.

**HAPPENED - ACTUAL PHYSICAL VIOLENCE \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
HAPPENED - ACTUAL PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	NO	Count	276	376	652
		Expected Count	282.7	369.3	652.0
		% within HAPPENED - ACTUAL PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	42.3%	57.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	92.9%	96.9%	95.2%
		% of Total	40.3%	54.9%	95.2%
	YES	Count	21	12	33
		Expected Count	14.3	18.7	33.0
		% within HAPPENED - ACTUAL PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.1%	3.1%	4.8%
		% of Total	3.1%	1.8%	4.8%
Total		Count	297	388	685
		Expected Count	297.0	388.0	685.0
		% within HAPPENED - ACTUAL PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.805 <sup>b</sup>	1	.016		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	4.970	1	.026		
Likelihood Ratio	5.759	1	.016		
Fisher's Exact Test				.019	.013
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.797	1	.016		
N of Valid Cases	685				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 14.31.

**HAPPENED -THEFT INVOLVING FORCE \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
HAPPENED -THEFT INVOLVING FORCE	NO	Count	287	378	665
		Expected Count	290.3	374.7	665.0
		% within HAPPENED -THEFT INVOLVING FORCE	43.2%	56.8%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	96.0%	97.9%	97.1%
		% of Total	41.9%	55.2%	97.1%
		Count	12	8	20
Total		Expected Count	8.7	11.3	20.0
		% within HAPPENED -THEFT INVOLVING FORCE	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.0%	2.1%	2.9%
		% of Total	1.8%	1.2%	2.9%
		Count	299	386	685
		Expected Count	299.0	386.0	685.0
		% within HAPPENED -THEFT INVOLVING FORCE	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.239 <sup>b</sup>	1	.135		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.607	1	.205		
Likelihood Ratio	2.218	1	.136		
Fisher's Exact Test				.170	.103
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.236	1	.135		
N of Valid Cases	685				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.73.

**HAPPENED -FORCED SEXUAL TOUCHING \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
HAPPENED -FORCED SEXUAL TOUCHING	NO	Count	287	353	640
		Expected Count	278.5	361.5	640.0
		% within HAPPENED -FORCED SEXUAL TOUCHING	44.8%	55.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	96.0%	91.0%	93.2%
		% of Total	41.8%	51.4%	93.2%
	YES	Count	12	35	47
		Expected Count	20.5	26.5	47.0
		% within HAPPENED -FORCED SEXUAL TOUCHING	25.5%	74.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.0%	9.0%	6.8%
		% of Total	1.7%	5.1%	6.8%
Total		Count	299	388	687
		Expected Count	299.0	388.0	687.0
		% within HAPPENED -FORCED SEXUAL TOUCHING	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.643 <sup>b</sup>	1	.010		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	5.881	1	.015		
Likelihood Ratio	7.010	1	.008		
Fisher's Exact Test				.010	.007
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.634	1	.010		
N of Valid Cases	687				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 20.46.

**HAPPENED - UNWANTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
HAPPENED - UNWANTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE	NO	Count	288	374	662
		Expected Count	288.4	373.6	662.0
		% within HAPPENED - UNWANTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	96.6%	96.9%	96.8%
		% of Total	42.1%	54.7%	96.8%
		Count	10	12	22
Total		Expected Count	9.6	12.4	22.0
		% within HAPPENED - UNWANTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE	45.5%	54.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.4%	3.1%	3.2%
		% of Total	1.5%	1.8%	3.2%
		Count	298	386	684
		Expected Count	298.0	386.0	684.0
		% within HAPPENED - UNWANTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.033 <sup>b</sup>	1	.856		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.033	1	.856		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.511
Linear-by-Linear Association	.033	1	.856		
N of Valid Cases	684				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.58.

## Crosstabs

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Case Processing Summary**

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
CONSUMED -ETHNIC HARASSMENT * Gender	289	41.2%	412	58.8%	701	100.0%
CONSUMED - THREATS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE * Gender	298	42.5%	403	57.5%	701	100.0%
CONSUMED-ACTUAL PHYSICAL VIOLENCE * Gender	282	40.2%	419	59.8%	701	100.0%
CONSUMED - THEFT INVOLVING FORCE * Gender	277	39.5%	424	60.5%	701	100.0%
CONSUMED - FORCED SEXUAL TOUCHING * Gender	280	39.9%	421	60.1%	701	100.0%
CONSUMED - UNWANTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE * Gender	278	39.7%	423	60.3%	701	100.0%
CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE * Gender	687	98.0%	14	2.0%	701	100.0%
CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY * Gender	686	97.9%	15	2.1%	701	100.0%
CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY * Gender	686	97.9%	15	2.1%	701	100.0%
CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE * Gender	685	97.7%	16	2.3%	701	100.0%
CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY * Gender	684	97.6%	17	2.4%	701	100.0%
CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE * Gender	687	98.0%	14	2.0%	701	100.0%
CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING LSD REGULARLY * Gender	685	97.7%	16	2.3%	701	100.0%
CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE * Gender	684	97.6%	17	2.4%	701	100.0%
CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY * Gender	682	97.3%	19	2.7%	701	100.0%
CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY * Gender	685	97.7%	16	2.3%	701	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Case Processing Summary**

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
CLOSE FRIENDS - TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY * Gender	683	97.4%	18	2.6%	701	100.0%
CLOSE FRIENDS -HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING * Gender	686	97.9%	15	2.1%	701	100.0%
CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING * Gender	687	98.0%	14	2.0%	701	100.0%
EFFECTS -BREAKS THE ICE * Gender	683	97.4%	18	2.6%	701	100.0%
EFFECTS - ENHANCES SOCIAL ACTIVITY * Gender	682	97.3%	19	2.7%	701	100.0%
EFFECTS - EASY TO DEAL WITH STRESS * Gender	681	97.1%	20	2.9%	701	100.0%
EFFECTS - FACILITATES A CONNECTION WITH PEERS * Gender	682	97.3%	19	2.7%	701	100.0%
EFFECTS - GIVES PEOPLE SOMETHING TO TALK ABOUT * Gender	683	97.4%	18	2.6%	701	100.0%
EFFECTS - FACILITATES MALE BONDING * Gender	679	96.9%	22	3.1%	701	100.0%
EFFECTS - FACILITATES FEMALE BONDING * Gender	683	97.4%	18	2.6%	701	100.0%
EFFECTS - ALLOWS PEOPLE TO HAVE MORE FUN * Gender	682	97.3%	19	2.7%	701	100.0%
EFFECTS - GIVES PEOPLE SOMETHING TO DO * Gender	683	97.4%	18	2.6%	701	100.0%
EFFECTS - MAKES FOOD TASTE BETTER * Gender	683	97.4%	18	2.6%	701	100.0%
EFFECTS - MAKES WOMEN SEXIER * Gender	680	97.0%	21	3.0%	701	100.0%
EFFECTS - MAKES MEN SEXIER * Gender	677	96.6%	24	3.4%	701	100.0%
EFFECTS - MAKES ME SEXIER * Gender	679	96.9%	22	3.1%	701	100.0%
EFFECTS - FACILITATES SEXUAL OPPORTUNITIES * Gender	683	97.4%	18	2.6%	701	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Case Processing Summary**

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - MALE STUDENTS * Gender	684	97.6%	17	2.4%	701	100.0%
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FEMALE STUDENTS * Gender	684	97.6%	17	2.4%	701	100.0%
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FACULTY * Gender	677	96.6%	24	3.4%	701	100.0%
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - ALUMNI * Gender	680	97.0%	21	3.0%	701	100.0%
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - ATHLETES * Gender	679	96.9%	22	3.1%	701	100.0%
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FRATERNITIES * Gender	679	96.9%	22	3.1%	701	100.0%
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - SORORITIES * Gender	679	96.9%	22	3.1%	701	100.0%
CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT: PROMOTE ALCOHOL USE? * Gender	685	97.7%	16	2.3%	701	100.0%
CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT: PROMOTE OTHER DRUG USE? * Gender	682	97.3%	19	2.7%	701	100.0%
CAMPUS ENVIRONEMNT:DO YOU FEEL SAFE ON CAMPUS? * Gender	688	98.1%	13	1.9%	701	100.0%
COMPARED TO OTHER CAMPUSES:ALCOHOL USE IS * Gender	682	97.3%	19	2.7%	701	100.0%
DO YOU LIVE IN ALCOHOL FREE RESIDENCE HALL * Gender	512	73.0%	189	27.0%	701	100.0%
WOULD YOU LIVE IN ALCOHOL FREE RESIDENCE HALL * Gender	432	61.6%	269	38.4%	701	100.0%
STUDENTS CARE : ALCOHOL -DRUG USE * Gender	680	97.0%	21	3.0%	701	100.0%
STUDENTS CARE : CAMPUS VANDALISM * Gender	677	96.6%	24	3.4%	701	100.0%
STUDENTS CARE : SEXUAL ASSAULT * Gender	678	96.7%	23	3.3%	701	100.0%
STUDENTS CARE : ASSUALTS-NON SEXUAL * Gender	677	96.6%	24	3.4%	701	100.0%
STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - GENDER * Gender	678	96.7%	23	3.3%	701	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Case Processing Summary**

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - SEXUAL ORIENTATION * Gender	676	96.4%	25	3.6%	701	100.0%
STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RACE OR ETHNICITY * Gender	677	96.6%	24	3.4%	701	100.0%
STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RELIGION * Gender	677	96.6%	24	3.4%	701	100.0%
TO WHAT EXTENT : ALCOHOL USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS * Gender	688	98.1%	13	1.9%	701	100.0%
TO WHAT EXTENT : DRUG USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS * Gender	679	96.9%	22	3.1%	701	100.0%
RISK -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE * Gender	677	96.6%	24	3.4%	701	100.0%
RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY * Gender	674	96.1%	27	3.9%	701	100.0%
RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY * Gender	674	96.1%	27	3.9%	701	100.0%
RISK -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE * Gender	675	96.3%	26	3.7%	701	100.0%
RISK -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY * Gender	676	96.4%	25	3.6%	701	100.0%
RISK -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE * Gender	673	96.0%	28	4.0%	701	100.0%
RISK -TAKING LSD REGULARLY * Gender	671	95.7%	30	4.3%	701	100.0%
RISK -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE * Gender	672	95.9%	29	4.1%	701	100.0%
RISK -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY * Gender	674	96.1%	27	3.9%	701	100.0%
RISK -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY * Gender	676	96.4%	25	3.6%	701	100.0%
RISK -TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY * Gender	675	96.3%	26	3.7%	701	100.0%
RISK - HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING * Gender	673	96.0%	28	4.0%	701	100.0%
RISK -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING * Gender	676	96.4%	25	3.6%	701	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Case Processing Summary**

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
RISK -CONSUME ALCOHOL PRIOR TO SEX * Gender	676	96.4%	25	3.6%	701	100.0%
RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN UNPROTECTED SEX * Gender	676	96.4%	25	3.6%	701	100.0%
RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN MULTILE PARTNER, UNPROTECTED SEX * Gender	677	96.6%	24	3.4%	701	100.0%
DID YOU HAVE SEX WITHIN THE LAST YEAR * Gender	686	97.9%	15	2.1%	701	100.0%
DID YOU DRINK ALCOHOL? * Gender	523	74.6%	178	25.4%	701	100.0%
DID YOU USE OTHER DRUGS? * Gender	523	74.6%	178	25.4%	701	100.0%

**CONSUMED -ETHNIC HARASSMENT \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CONSUMED -ETHNIC HARASSMENT	NO	Count	128	152	280
		Expected Count	132.7	147.3	280.0
		% within CONSUMED -ETHNIC HARASSMENT	45.7%	54.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	93.4%	100.0%	96.9%
		% of Total	44.3%	52.6%	96.9%
	YES	Count	9	0	9
		Expected Count	4.3	4.7	9.0
		% within CONSUMED -ETHNIC HARASSMENT	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.6%	.0%	3.1%
		% of Total	3.1%	.0%	3.1%
	Total	Count	137	152	289
		Expected Count	137.0	152.0	289.0
		% within CONSUMED -ETHNIC HARASSMENT	47.4%	52.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	47.4%	52.6%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.306 <sup>b</sup>	1	.001		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	8.244	1	.004		
Likelihood Ratio	13.757	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.001	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.271	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	289				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.27.

**CONSUMED - THREATS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CONSUMED - THREATS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	NO	Count	98	138	236
		Expected Count	118.8	117.2	236.0
		% within CONSUMED - THREATS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	41.5%	58.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	65.3%	93.2%	79.2%
		% of Total	32.9%	46.3%	79.2%
	YES	Count	52	10	62
		Expected Count	31.2	30.8	62.0
		% within CONSUMED - THREATS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	83.9%	16.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	34.7%	6.8%	20.8%
		% of Total	17.4%	3.4%	20.8%
Total		Count	150	148	298
		Expected Count	150.0	148.0	298.0
		% within CONSUMED - THREATS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	50.3%	49.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	50.3%	49.7%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	35.219 <sup>b</sup>	1	.000		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	33.546	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	37.966	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	35.101	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	298				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 30.79.

## CONSUMED-ACTUAL PHYSICAL VIOLENCE \* Gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CONSUMED-ACTUAL PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	NO	Count	120	140	260
		Expected Count	127.2	132.8	260.0
		% within			
		CONSUMED-ACTUAL PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	46.2%	53.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	87.0%	97.2%	92.2%
	YES	% of Total	42.6%	49.6%	92.2%
		Count	18	4	22
		Expected Count	10.8	11.2	22.0
		% within			
		CONSUMED-ACTUAL PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%
	Total	% within Gender	13.0%	2.8%	7.8%
		% of Total	6.4%	1.4%	7.8%
		Count	138	144	282
		Expected Count	138.0	144.0	282.0
		% within			
		CONSUMED-ACTUAL PHYSICAL VIOLENCE	48.9%	51.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	48.9%	51.1%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.325 <sup>b</sup>	1	.001		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	8.947	1	.003		
Likelihood Ratio	11.049	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test				.001	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.288	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	282				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 10.77.

**CONSUMED - THEFT INVOLVING FORCE \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total	
			Male	Female		
CONSUMED - THEFT INVOLVING FORCE	NO	Count	126	143	269	
		Expected Count	129.2	139.8	269.0	
		% within CONSUMED - THEFT INVOLVING FORCE	46.8%	53.2%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	94.7%	99.3%	97.1%	
		% of Total	45.5%	51.6%	97.1%	
	YES	Count	7	1	8	
		Expected Count	3.8	4.2	8.0	
		% within CONSUMED - THEFT INVOLVING FORCE	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	5.3%	.7%	2.9%	
		% of Total	2.5%	.4%	2.9%	
Total			133	144	277	
			133.0	144.0	277.0	
			48.0%	52.0%	100.0%	
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
			48.0%	52.0%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.146 <sup>b</sup>	1	.023		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	3.646	1	.056		
Likelihood Ratio	5.700	1	.017		
Fisher's Exact Test				.030	.026
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.127	1	.024		
N of Valid Cases	277				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.84.

**CONSUMED - FORCED SEXUAL TOUCHING \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total	
			Male	Female		
CONSUMED - FORCED SEXUAL TOUCHING	NO	Count	125	125	250	
		Expected Count	117.9	132.1	250.0	
		% within CONSUMED - FORCED SEXUAL TOUCHING	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	94.7%	84.5%	89.3%	
		% of Total	44.6%	44.6%	89.3%	
	YES	Count	7	23	30	
		Expected Count	14.1	15.9	30.0	
		% within CONSUMED - FORCED SEXUAL TOUCHING	23.3%	76.7%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	5.3%	15.5%	10.7%	
		% of Total	2.5%	8.2%	10.7%	
Total		Count	132	148	280	
		Expected Count	132.0	148.0	280.0	
		% within CONSUMED - FORCED SEXUAL TOUCHING	47.1%	52.9%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	47.1%	52.9%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.644 <sup>b</sup>	1	.006		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	6.611	1	.010		
Likelihood Ratio	8.078	1	.004		
Fisher's Exact Test				.006	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.617	1	.006		
N of Valid Cases	280				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 14.14.

**CONSUMED - UNWANTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CONSUMED - UNWANTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE	NO	Count	125	135	260
		Expected Count	124.4	135.6	260.0
		% within CONSUMED - UNWANTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE	48.1%	51.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	94.0%	93.1%	93.5%
		% of Total	45.0%	48.6%	93.5%
	YES	Count	8	10	18
		Expected Count	8.6	9.4	18.0
		% within CONSUMED - UNWANTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE	44.4%	55.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.0%	6.9%	6.5%
		% of Total	2.9%	3.6%	6.5%
Total		Count	133	145	278
		Expected Count	133.0	145.0	278.0
		% within CONSUMED - UNWANTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE	47.8%	52.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	47.8%	52.2%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.089 <sup>b</sup>	1	.765		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.003	1	.957		
Likelihood Ratio	.089	1	.765		
Fisher's Exact Test				.812	.480
Linear-by-Linear Association	.089	1	.766		
N of Valid Cases	278				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.61.

**CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	195	210	405
		Expected Count	176.3	228.7	405.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE	48.1%	51.9%	100.0%
	DISAPPROVE	% within Gender	65.2%	54.1%	59.0%
		% of Total	28.4%	30.6%	59.0%
		Count	53	83	136
	STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Expected Count	59.2	76.8	136.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE	39.0%	61.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	17.7%	21.4%	19.8%
		% of Total	7.7%	12.1%	19.8%
Total	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	51	95	146
		Expected Count	63.5	82.5	146.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE	34.9%	65.1%	100.0%
	DISAPPROVE	% within Gender	17.1%	24.5%	21.3%
		% of Total	7.4%	13.8%	21.3%
		Count	299	388	687
Total	STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Expected Count	299.0	388.0	687.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.056 <sup>a</sup>	2	.011
Likelihood Ratio	9.134	2	.010
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.765	1	.003
N of Valid Cases	687		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 59.19.

**CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	139	147	286
		Expected Count	124.7	161.3	286.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY	48.6%	51.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	46.5%	38.0%	41.7%
		% of Total	20.3%	21.4%	41.7%
	DISAPPROVE	Count	76	96	172
		Expected Count	75.0	97.0	172.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY	44.2%	55.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	25.4%	24.8%	25.1%
		% of Total	11.1%	14.0%	25.1%
	STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	84	144	228
		Expected Count	99.4	128.6	228.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY	36.8%	63.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	28.1%	37.2%	33.2%
		% of Total	12.2%	21.0%	33.2%
Total		Count	299	387	686
		Expected Count	299.0	387.0	686.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.168 <sup>a</sup>	2	.028
Likelihood Ratio	7.212	2	.027
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.046	1	.008
N of Valid Cases	686		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 74.97.

**CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	78	58	136
		Expected Count	59.1	76.9	136.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY	57.4%	42.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	26.2%	14.9%	19.8%
		% of Total	11.4%	8.5%	19.8%
	DISAPPROVE	Count	73	97	170
		Expected Count	73.8	96.2	170.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	24.5%	25.0%	24.8%
		% of Total	10.6%	14.1%	24.8%
	STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	147	233	380
		Expected Count	165.1	214.9	380.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY	38.7%	61.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	49.3%	60.1%	55.4%
		% of Total	21.4%	34.0%	55.4%
Total		Count	298	388	686
		Expected Count	298.0	388.0	686.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.230 <sup>a</sup>	2	.001
Likelihood Ratio	14.143	2	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.959	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	686		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 59.08.

**CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

		Count	Gender		Total	
			Male	Female		
CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	27	21	48	
		Expected Count	20.9	27.1	48.0	
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE	56.3%	43.8%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	9.1%	5.4%	7.0%	
		% of Total	3.9%	3.1%	7.0%	
	DISAPPROVE	Count	64	80	144	
		Expected Count	62.6	81.4	144.0	
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE	44.4%	55.6%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	21.5%	20.7%	21.0%	
		% of Total	9.3%	11.7%	21.0%	
	STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	207	286	493	
		Expected Count	214.5	278.5	493.0	
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE	42.0%	58.0%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	69.5%	73.9%	72.0%	
		% of Total	30.2%	41.8%	72.0%	
Total		Count	298	387	685	
		Expected Count	298.0	387.0	685.0	
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.686 <sup>a</sup>	2	.158
Likelihood Ratio	3.651	2	.161
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.979	1	.084
N of Valid Cases	685		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 20.88.

**CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	4	0	4
		Expected Count	1.7	2.3	4.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.4%	.0%	.6%
		% of Total	.6%	.0%	.6%
	DISAPPROVE	Count	36	24	60
		Expected Count	26.0	34.0	60.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	12.2%	6.2%	8.8%
		% of Total	5.3%	3.5%	8.8%
	STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	256	364	620
		Expected Count	268.3	351.7	620.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	86.5%	93.8%	90.6%
		% of Total	37.4%	53.2%	90.6%
Total		Count	296	388	684
		Expected Count	296.0	388.0	684.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.075 <sup>a</sup>	2	.001
Likelihood Ratio	14.459	2	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.477	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	684		

a. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.73.

**CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	34	25	59
		Expected Count	25.7	33.3	59.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE	57.6%	42.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.4%	6.4%	8.6%
		% of Total	4.9%	3.6%	8.6%
	DISAPPROVE	Count	60	56	116
		Expected Count	50.5	65.5	116.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE	51.7%	48.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	20.1%	14.4%	16.9%
		% of Total	8.7%	8.2%	16.9%
	STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	205	307	512
		Expected Count	222.8	289.2	512.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	68.6%	79.1%	74.5%
		% of Total	29.8%	44.7%	74.5%
	Total		299	388	687
			299.0	388.0	687.0
			43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
			43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.477 <sup>a</sup>	2	.005
Likelihood Ratio	10.411	2	.005
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.207	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	687		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 25.68.

**CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING LSD REGULARLY \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING LSD REGULARLY	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	8	1	9
		Expected Count	3.9	5.1	9.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING LSD REGULARLY	88.9%	11.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.7%	.3%	1.3%
		% of Total	1.2%	.1%	1.3%
	DISAPPROVE	Count	41	28	69
		Expected Count	29.9	39.1	69.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING LSD REGULARLY	59.4%	40.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	13.8%	7.2%	10.1%
		% of Total	6.0%	4.1%	10.1%
	STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	248	359	607
		Expected Count	263.2	343.8	607.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING LSD REGULARLY	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	83.5%	92.5%	88.6%
		% of Total	36.2%	52.4%	88.6%
Total		Count	297	388	685
		Expected Count	297.0	388.0	685.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING LSD REGULARLY	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.392 <sup>a</sup>	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	16.950	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	16.085	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	685		

a. 1 cells (16.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.90.

**CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	37	25	62
		Expected Count	26.9	35.1	62.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE	59.7%	40.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	12.5%	6.5%	9.1%
		% of Total	5.4%	3.7%	9.1%
	DISAPPROVE	Count	53	70	123
		Expected Count	53.4	69.6	123.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE	43.1%	56.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	17.8%	18.1%	18.0%
		% of Total	7.7%	10.2%	18.0%
	STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	207	292	499
		Expected Count	216.7	282.3	499.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE	41.5%	58.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	69.7%	75.5%	73.0%
		% of Total	30.3%	42.7%	73.0%
Total		Count	297	387	684
		Expected Count	297.0	387.0	684.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.438 <sup>a</sup>	2	.024
Likelihood Ratio	7.368	2	.025
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.626	1	.018
N of Valid Cases	684		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 26.92.

**CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	9	0	9
		Expected Count	3.9	5.1	9.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.0%	.0%	1.3%
		% of Total	1.3%	.0%	1.3%
	DISAPPROVE	Count	45	37	82
		Expected Count	35.7	46.3	82.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY	54.9%	45.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	15.2%	9.6%	12.0%
		% of Total	6.6%	5.4%	12.0%
	STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	243	348	591
		Expected Count	257.4	333.6	591.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	81.8%	90.4%	86.7%
		% of Total	35.6%	51.0%	86.7%
Total		Count	297	385	682
		Expected Count	297.0	385.0	682.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	17.370 <sup>a</sup>	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	20.626	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	14.872	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	682		

a. 1 cells (16.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.92.

**CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	161	126	287
		Expected Count	125.3	161.7	287.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	56.1%	43.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	53.8%	32.6%	41.9%
		% of Total	23.5%	18.4%	41.9%
	DISAPPROVE	Count	94	157	251
		Expected Count	109.6	141.4	251.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	31.4%	40.7%	36.6%
		% of Total	13.7%	22.9%	36.6%
	STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	44	103	147
		Expected Count	64.2	82.8	147.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	29.9%	70.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	14.7%	26.7%	21.5%
		% of Total	6.4%	15.0%	21.5%
Total		Count	299	386	685
		Expected Count	299.0	386.0	685.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	33.248 <sup>a</sup>	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	33.543	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	31.282	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	685		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 64.16.

**CLOSE FRIENDS - TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY \***  
**Gender**

**Crosstab**

		Count	Gender		Total	
			Male	Female		
CLOSE FRIENDS - TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	57	17	74	
		Expected Count	32.3	41.7	74.0	
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS - TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	77.0%	23.0%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	19.1%	4.4%	10.8%	
		% of Total	8.3%	2.5%	10.8%	
	DISAPPROVE	Count	109	107	216	
		Expected Count	94.2	121.8	216.0	
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS - TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	50.5%	49.5%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	36.6%	27.8%	31.6%	
		% of Total	16.0%	15.7%	31.6%	
	STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	132	261	393	
		Expected Count	171.5	221.5	393.0	
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS - TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	33.6%	66.4%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	44.3%	67.8%	57.5%	
		% of Total	19.3%	38.2%	57.5%	
Total		Count	298	385	683	
		Expected Count	298.0	385.0	683.0	
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS - TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	53.774 <sup>a</sup>	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	54.866	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	52.593	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	683		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 32.29.

**CLOSE FRIENDS -HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CLOSE FRIENDS -HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	198	203	401
		Expected Count	174.8	226.2	401.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING	49.4%	50.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	66.2%	52.5%	58.5%
		% of Total	28.9%	29.6%	58.5%
	DISAPPROVE	Count	48	84	132
		Expected Count	57.5	74.5	132.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	16.1%	21.7%	19.2%
		% of Total	7.0%	12.2%	19.2%
	STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	53	100	153
		Expected Count	66.7	86.3	153.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING	34.6%	65.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	17.7%	25.8%	22.3%
		% of Total	7.7%	14.6%	22.3%
Total		Count	299	387	686
		Expected Count	299.0	387.0	686.0
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.248 <sup>a</sup>	2	.001
Likelihood Ratio	13.360	2	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.913	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	686		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 57.53.

**CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

		Count	Gender		Total	
			Male	Female		
CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING	DONT DISAPPROVE	Count	27	3	30	
		Expected Count	13.1	16.9	30.0	
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING	90.0%	10.0%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	9.0%	.8%	4.4%	
		% of Total	3.9%	.4%	4.4%	
	DISAPPROVE	Count	94	81	175	
		Expected Count	76.2	98.8	175.0	
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING	53.7%	46.3%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	31.4%	20.9%	25.5%	
		% of Total	13.7%	11.8%	25.5%	
	STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	Count	178	304	482	
		Expected Count	209.8	272.2	482.0	
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING	36.9%	63.1%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	59.5%	78.4%	70.2%	
		% of Total	25.9%	44.3%	70.2%	
Total		Count	299	388	687	
		Expected Count	299.0	388.0	687.0	
		% within CLOSE FRIENDS -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%	

## Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	42.283 <sup>a</sup>	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	44.812	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	39.570	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	687		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 13.06.

## EFFECTS -BREAKS THE ICE \* Gender

### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS -BREAKS THE ICE	NO	Count	42	74	116
		Expected Count	50.3	65.7	116.0
		% within EFFECTS -BREAKS THE ICE	36.2%	63.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	14.2%	19.1%	17.0%
		% of Total	6.1%	10.8%	17.0%
	YES	Count	254	313	567
		Expected Count	245.7	321.3	567.0
		% within EFFECTS -BREAKS THE ICE	44.8%	55.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	85.8%	80.9%	83.0%
		% of Total	37.2%	45.8%	83.0%
Total		Count	296	387	683
		Expected Count	296.0	387.0	683.0
		% within EFFECTS -BREAKS THE ICE	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%

### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.894 <sup>b</sup>	1	.089		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	2.555	1	.110		
Likelihood Ratio	2.933	1	.087		
Fisher's Exact Test				.100	.054
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.890	1	.089		
N of Valid Cases	683				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 50.27.

## EFFECTS - ENHANCES SOCIAL ACTIVITY \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - ENHANCES SOCIAL ACTIVITY	NO	Count	46	75	121
		Expected Count	52.3	68.7	121.0
		% within EFFECTS - ENHANCES SOCIAL ACTIVITY	38.0%	62.0%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	15.6%	19.4%	17.7%
		% of Total	6.7%	11.0%	17.7%
		Count	249	312	561
Total		Expected Count	242.7	318.3	561.0
		% within EFFECTS - ENHANCES SOCIAL ACTIVITY	44.4%	55.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	84.4%	80.6%	82.3%
		% of Total	36.5%	45.7%	82.3%
		Count	295	387	682
		Expected Count	295.0	387.0	682.0
		% within EFFECTS - ENHANCES SOCIAL ACTIVITY	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.645 <sup>b</sup>	1	.200		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.395	1	.237		
Likelihood Ratio	1.660	1	.198		
Fisher's Exact Test				.225	.118
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.642	1	.200		
N of Valid Cases	682				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 52.34.

## EFFECTS - EASY TO DEAL WITH STRESS \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - EASY TO DEAL WITH STRESS	NO	Count	154	223	377
		Expected Count	163.3	213.7	377.0
		% within EFFECTS - EASY TO DEAL WITH STRESS	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	52.2%	57.8%	55.4%
		% of Total	22.6%	32.7%	55.4%
		Count	141	163	304
Total		Expected Count	131.7	172.3	304.0
		% within EFFECTS - EASY TO DEAL WITH STRESS	46.4%	53.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	47.8%	42.2%	44.6%
		% of Total	20.7%	23.9%	44.6%
		Count	295	386	681
		Expected Count	295.0	386.0	681.0
		% within EFFECTS - EASY TO DEAL WITH STRESS	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.098 <sup>b</sup>	1	.147		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.879	1	.170		
Likelihood Ratio	2.097	1	.148		
Fisher's Exact Test				.162	.085
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.095	1	.148		
N of Valid Cases	681				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 131.69.

## EFFECTS - FACILITATES A CONNECTION WITH PEERS \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - FACILITATES A CONNECTION WITH PEERS	NO	Count	79	135	214
		Expected Count	92.6	121.4	214.0
		% within EFFECTS - FACILITATES A CONNECTION WITH PEERS	36.9%	63.1%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	26.8%	34.9%	31.4%
		% of Total	11.6%	19.8%	31.4%
		Count	216	252	468
Total		Expected Count	202.4	265.6	468.0
		% within EFFECTS - FACILITATES A CONNECTION WITH PEERS	46.2%	53.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	73.2%	65.1%	68.6%
		% of Total	31.7%	37.0%	68.6%
		Count	295	387	682
		Expected Count	295.0	387.0	682.0
		% within EFFECTS - FACILITATES A CONNECTION WITH PEERS	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.106 <sup>b</sup>	1	.024		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	4.736	1	.030		
Likelihood Ratio	5.150	1	.023		
Fisher's Exact Test				.025	.015
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.098	1	.024		
N of Valid Cases	682				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 92.57.

#### EFFECTS - GIVES PEOPLE SOMETHING TO TALK ABOUT \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - GIVES PEOPLE SOMETHING TO TALK ABOUT	NO	Count	69	103	172
		Expected Count	74.5	97.5	172.0
		% within EFFECTS - GIVES PEOPLE SOMETHING TO TALK ABOUT	40.1%	59.9%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	23.3%	26.6%	25.2%
		% of Total	10.1%	15.1%	25.2%
		Count	227	284	511
Total		Expected Count	221.5	289.5	511.0
		% within EFFECTS - GIVES PEOPLE SOMETHING TO TALK ABOUT	44.4%	55.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	76.7%	73.4%	74.8%
		% of Total	33.2%	41.6%	74.8%
		Count	296	387	683
		Expected Count	296.0	387.0	683.0
		% within EFFECTS - GIVES PEOPLE SOMETHING TO TALK ABOUT	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.972 <sup>b</sup>	1	.324		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.804	1	.370		
Likelihood Ratio	.976	1	.323		
Fisher's Exact Test				.330	.185
Linear-by-Linear Association	.970	1	.325		
N of Valid Cases	683				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 74.54.

**EFFECTS - FACILITATES MALE BONDING \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - FACILITATES MALE BONDING	NO	Count	92	163	255
		Expected Count	110.4	144.6	255.0
		% within EFFECTS - FACILITATES MALE BONDING	36.1%	63.9%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	31.3%	42.3%	37.6%
		% of Total	13.5%	24.0%	37.6%
		Count	202	222	424
Total		Expected Count	183.6	240.4	424.0
		% within EFFECTS - FACILITATES MALE BONDING	47.6%	52.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	68.7%	57.7%	62.4%
		% of Total	29.7%	32.7%	62.4%
		Count	294	385	679
		Expected Count	294.0	385.0	679.0
		% within EFFECTS - FACILITATES MALE BONDING	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.672 <sup>b</sup>	1	.003		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	8.207	1	.004		
Likelihood Ratio	8.743	1	.003		
Fisher's Exact Test				.004	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.659	1	.003		
N of Valid Cases	679				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 110.41.

**EFFECTS - FACILITATES FEMALE BONDING \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - FACILITATES FEMALE BONDING	NO	Count	110	187	297
		Expected Count	128.7	168.3	297.0
		% within EFFECTS - FACILITATES FEMALE BONDING	37.0%	63.0%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	37.2%	48.3%	43.5%
		% of Total	16.1%	27.4%	43.5%
		Count	186	200	386
Total		Expected Count	167.3	218.7	386.0
		% within EFFECTS - FACILITATES FEMALE BONDING	48.2%	51.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	62.8%	51.7%	56.5%
		% of Total	27.2%	29.3%	56.5%
		Count	296	387	683
		Expected Count	296.0	387.0	683.0
		% within EFFECTS - FACILITATES FEMALE BONDING	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.497 <sup>b</sup>	1	.004		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	8.049	1	.005		
Likelihood Ratio	8.540	1	.003		
Fisher's Exact Test				.004	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.485	1	.004		
N of Valid Cases	683				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 128.71.

**EFFECTS - ALLOWS PEOPLE TO HAVE MORE FUN \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - ALLOWS PEOPLE TO HAVE MORE FUN	NO	Count	82	120	202
		Expected Count	87.4	114.6	202.0
		% within EFFECTS - ALLOWS PEOPLE TO HAVE MORE FUN	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	27.8%	31.0%	29.6%
		% of Total	12.0%	17.6%	29.6%
		Count	213	267	480
Total		Expected Count	207.6	272.4	480.0
		% within EFFECTS - ALLOWS PEOPLE TO HAVE MORE FUN	44.4%	55.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	72.2%	69.0%	70.4%
		% of Total	31.2%	39.1%	70.4%
		Count	295	387	682
		Expected Count	295.0	387.0	682.0
		% within EFFECTS - ALLOWS PEOPLE TO HAVE MORE FUN	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.828 <sup>b</sup>	1	.363		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.681	1	.409		
Likelihood Ratio	.831	1	.362		
Fisher's Exact Test				.397	.205
Linear-by-Linear Association	.827	1	.363		
N of Valid Cases	682				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 87.38.

**EFFECTS - GIVES PEOPLE SOMETHING TO DO \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - GIVES PEOPLE SOMETHING TO DO	NO	Count	52	77	129
		Expected Count	55.9	73.1	129.0
		% within EFFECTS - GIVES PEOPLE SOMETHING TO DO	40.3%	59.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	17.6%	19.9%	18.9%
		% of Total	7.6%	11.3%	18.9%
	YES	Count	244	310	554
		Expected Count	240.1	313.9	554.0
		% within EFFECTS - GIVES PEOPLE SOMETHING TO DO	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	82.4%	80.1%	81.1%
		% of Total	35.7%	45.4%	81.1%
Total		Count	296	387	683
		Expected Count	296.0	387.0	683.0
		% within EFFECTS - GIVES PEOPLE SOMETHING TO DO	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.594 <sup>b</sup>	1	.441		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.452	1	.502		
Likelihood Ratio	.597	1	.440		
Fisher's Exact Test				.490	.251
Linear-by-Linear Association	.593	1	.441		
N of Valid Cases	683				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 55.91.

#### EFFECTS - MAKES FOOD TASTE BETTER \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - MAKES FOOD TASTE BETTER	NO	Count	231	314	545
		Expected Count	236.2	308.8	545.0
		% within EFFECTS - MAKES FOOD TASTE BETTER	42.4%	57.6%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	78.0%	81.1%	79.8%
		% of Total	33.8%	46.0%	79.8%
		Count	65	73	138
Total		Expected Count	59.8	78.2	138.0
		% within EFFECTS - MAKES FOOD TASTE BETTER	47.1%	52.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	22.0%	18.9%	20.2%
		% of Total	9.5%	10.7%	20.2%
		Count	296	387	683
		Expected Count	296.0	387.0	683.0
		% within EFFECTS - MAKES FOOD TASTE BETTER	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.997 <sup>b</sup>	1	.318		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.815	1	.367		
Likelihood Ratio	.993	1	.319		
Fisher's Exact Test				.337	.183
Linear-by-Linear Association	.996	1	.318		
N of Valid Cases	683				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 59.81.

### EFFECTS - MAKES WOMEN SEXIER \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - MAKES WOMEN SEXIER	NO	Count	141	315	456
		Expected Count	197.8	258.2	456.0
		% within EFFECTS - MAKES WOMEN SEXIER	30.9%	69.1%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	47.8%	81.8%	67.1%
		% of Total	20.7%	46.3%	67.1%
		Count	154	70	224
Total		Expected Count	97.2	126.8	224.0
		% within EFFECTS - MAKES WOMEN SEXIER	68.8%	31.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	52.2%	18.2%	32.9%
		% of Total	22.6%	10.3%	32.9%
		Count	295	385	680
		Expected Count	295.0	385.0	680.0
		% within EFFECTS - MAKES WOMEN SEXIER	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	87.516 <sup>b</sup>	1	.000		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	85.983	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	88.444	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	87.387	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	680				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 97.18.

**EFFECTS - MAKES MEN SEXIER \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - MAKES MEN SEXIER	NO	Count	235	295	530
		Expected Count	230.9	299.1	530.0
		% within EFFECTS - MAKES MEN SEXIER	44.3%	55.7%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	79.7%	77.2%	78.3%
		% of Total	34.7%	43.6%	78.3%
		Count	60	87	147
Total	NO	Expected Count	64.1	82.9	147.0
		% within EFFECTS - MAKES MEN SEXIER	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	20.3%	22.8%	21.7%
	YES	% of Total	8.9%	12.9%	21.7%
		Count	295	382	677
		Expected Count	295.0	382.0	677.0
	Total	% within EFFECTS - MAKES MEN SEXIER	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.581 <sup>b</sup>	1	.446		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.447	1	.504		
Likelihood Ratio	.583	1	.445		
Fisher's Exact Test				.454	.252
Linear-by-Linear Association	.580	1	.446		
N of Valid Cases	677				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 64.05.

**EFFECTS - MAKES ME SEXIER \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - MAKES ME SEXIER	NO	Count	212	320	532
		Expected Count	231.1	300.9	532.0
		% within EFFECTS - MAKES ME SEXIER	39.8%	60.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	71.9%	83.3%	78.4%
		% of Total	31.2%	47.1%	78.4%
	YES	Count	83	64	147
		Expected Count	63.9	83.1	147.0
		% within EFFECTS - MAKES ME SEXIER	56.5%	43.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	28.1%	16.7%	21.6%
		% of Total	12.2%	9.4%	21.6%
Total		Count	295	384	679
		Expected Count	295.0	384.0	679.0
		% within EFFECTS - MAKES ME SEXIER	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.937 <sup>b</sup>	1	.000		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	12.270	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	12.841	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.918	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	679				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 63.87.

## EFFECTS - FACILITATES SEXUAL OPPORTUNITIES \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
EFFECTS - FACILITATES SEXUAL OPPORTUNITIES	NO	Count	105	199	304
		Expected Count	131.7	172.3	304.0
		% within EFFECTS - FACILITATES SEXUAL OPPORTUNITIES	34.5%	65.5%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	35.5%	51.4%	44.5%
		% of Total	15.4%	29.1%	44.5%
		Count	191	188	379
Total		Expected Count	164.3	214.7	379.0
		% within EFFECTS - FACILITATES SEXUAL OPPORTUNITIES	50.4%	49.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	64.5%	48.6%	55.5%
		% of Total	28.0%	27.5%	55.5%
		Count	296	387	683
		Expected Count	296.0	387.0	683.0
		% within EFFECTS - FACILITATES SEXUAL OPPORTUNITIES	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	17.272 <sup>b</sup>	1	.000		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	16.632	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	17.411	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	17.246	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	683				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 131.75.

**DRINKING IS CENTRAL - MALE STUDENTS \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - MALE STUDENTS	NO	Count	20	19	39
		Expected Count	17.0	22.0	39.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - MALE STUDENTS	51.3%	48.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.7%	4.9%	5.7%
		% of Total	2.9%	2.8%	5.7%
	YES	Count	278	367	645
		Expected Count	281.0	364.0	645.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - MALE STUDENTS	43.1%	56.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	93.3%	95.1%	94.3%
		% of Total	40.6%	53.7%	94.3%
Total		Count	298	386	684
		Expected Count	298.0	386.0	684.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - MALE STUDENTS	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.001 <sup>b</sup>	1	.317		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.696	1	.404		
Likelihood Ratio	.992	1	.319		
Fisher's Exact Test				.324	.202
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.000	1	.317		
N of Valid Cases	684				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 16.99.

**DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FEMALE STUDENTS \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FEMALE STUDENTS	NO	Count	36	42	78
		Expected Count	34.0	44.0	78.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FEMALE STUDENTS	46.2%	53.8%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	12.1%	10.9%	11.4%
		% of Total	5.3%	6.1%	11.4%
		Count	262	344	606
Total		Expected Count	264.0	342.0	606.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FEMALE STUDENTS	43.2%	56.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	87.9%	89.1%	88.6%
		% of Total	38.3%	50.3%	88.6%
		Count	298	386	684
		Expected Count	298.0	386.0	684.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FEMALE STUDENTS	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.240 <sup>b</sup>	1	.625		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.136	1	.713		
Likelihood Ratio	.239	1	.625		
Fisher's Exact Test				.630	.355
Linear-by-Linear Association	.239	1	.625		
N of Valid Cases	684				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 33.98.

**DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FACULTY \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FACULTY	NO	Count	243	332	575
		Expected Count	250.6	324.4	575.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FACULTY	42.3%	57.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	82.4%	86.9%	84.9%
		% of Total	35.9%	49.0%	84.9%
	YES	Count	52	50	102
		Expected Count	44.4	57.6	102.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FACULTY	51.0%	49.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	17.6%	13.1%	15.1%
		% of Total	7.7%	7.4%	15.1%
Total		Count	295	382	677
		Expected Count	295.0	382.0	677.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FACULTY	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.679 <sup>b</sup>	1	.102		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	2.336	1	.126		
Likelihood Ratio	2.659	1	.103		
Fisher's Exact Test				.105	.064
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.675	1	.102		
N of Valid Cases	677				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 44.45.

**DRINKING IS CENTRAL - ALUMNI \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - ALUMNI	NO	Count	190	260	450
		Expected Count	196.5	253.5	450.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - ALUMNI	42.2%	57.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	64.0%	67.9%	66.2%
		% of Total	27.9%	38.2%	66.2%
	YES	Count	107	123	230
		Expected Count	100.5	129.5	230.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - ALUMNI	46.5%	53.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	36.0%	32.1%	33.8%
		% of Total	15.7%	18.1%	33.8%
Total		Count	297	383	680
		Expected Count	297.0	383.0	680.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - ALUMNI	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.144 <sup>b</sup>	1	.285		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.976	1	.323		
Likelihood Ratio	1.142	1	.285		
Fisher's Exact Test				.289	.162
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.142	1	.285		
N of Valid Cases	680				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 100.46.

**DRINKING IS CENTRAL -ATHLETES \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
DRINKING IS CENTRAL -ATHLETES	NO	Count	92	126	218
		Expected Count	95.4	122.6	218.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL -ATHLETES	42.2%	57.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	31.0%	33.0%	32.1%
		% of Total	13.5%	18.6%	32.1%
	YES	Count	205	256	461
		Expected Count	201.6	259.4	461.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL -ATHLETES	44.5%	55.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	69.0%	67.0%	67.9%
		% of Total	30.2%	37.7%	67.9%
Total		Count	297	382	679
		Expected Count	297.0	382.0	679.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL -ATHLETES	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.309 <sup>b</sup>	1	.578		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.224	1	.636		
Likelihood Ratio	.309	1	.578		
Fisher's Exact Test				.619	.318
Linear-by-Linear Association	.309	1	.579		
N of Valid Cases	679				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 95.35.

**DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FRATERNITIES \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FRATERNITIES	NO	Count	8	6	14
		Expected Count	6.1	7.9	14.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FRATERNITIES	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	2.7%	1.6%	2.1%
		% of Total	1.2%	.9%	2.1%
		Count	289	376	665
Total		Expected Count	290.9	374.1	665.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FRATERNITIES	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	97.3%	98.4%	97.9%
		% of Total	42.6%	55.4%	97.9%
		Count	297	382	679
		Expected Count	297.0	382.0	679.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - FRATERNITIES	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.043 <sup>b</sup>	1	.307		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.561	1	.454		
Likelihood Ratio	1.033	1	.310		
Fisher's Exact Test				.416	.226
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.042	1	.307		
N of Valid Cases	679				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.12.

**DRINKING IS CENTRAL - SORORITIES \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
DRINKING IS CENTRAL - SORORITIES	NO	Count	22	22	44
		Expected Count	19.1	24.9	44.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - SORORITIES	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.5%	5.7%	6.5%
		% of Total	3.2%	3.2%	6.5%
	YES	Count	273	362	635
		Expected Count	275.9	359.1	635.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - SORORITIES	43.0%	57.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	92.5%	94.3%	93.5%
		% of Total	40.2%	53.3%	93.5%
Total		Count	295	384	679
		Expected Count	295.0	384.0	679.0
		% within DRINKING IS CENTRAL - SORORITIES	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.822 <sup>b</sup>	1	.364		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.562	1	.453		
Likelihood Ratio	.816	1	.366		
Fisher's Exact Test				.432	.226
Linear-by-Linear Association	.821	1	.365		
N of Valid Cases	679				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 19.12.

**CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT:PROMOTE ALCOHOL USE? \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT: PROMOTE ALCOHOL USE?	NO	Count	62	119	181
		Expected Count	79.3	101.7	181.0
		% within CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT: PROMOTE ALCOHOL USE?	34.3%	65.7%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	20.7%	30.9%	26.4%
		% of Total	9.1%	17.4%	26.4%
		Count	238	266	504
Total		Expected Count	220.7	283.3	504.0
		% within CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT: PROMOTE ALCOHOL USE?	47.2%	52.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	79.3%	69.1%	73.6%
		% of Total	34.7%	38.8%	73.6%
		Count	300	385	685
		Expected Count	300.0	385.0	685.0
		% within CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT: PROMOTE ALCOHOL USE?	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.098 <sup>b</sup>	1	.003		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	8.579	1	.003		
Likelihood Ratio	9.241	1	.002		
Fisher's Exact Test				.003	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.085	1	.003		
N of Valid Cases	685				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 79.27.

**CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT:PROMOTE OTHER DRUG USE? \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT: PROMOTE OTHER DRUG USE?	NO	Count	226	298	524
		Expected Count	229.0	295.0	524.0
		% within CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT: PROMOTE OTHER DRUG USE?	43.1%	56.9%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	75.8%	77.6%	76.8%
		% of Total	33.1%	43.7%	76.8%
		Count	72	86	158
Total		Expected Count	69.0	89.0	158.0
		% within CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT: PROMOTE OTHER DRUG USE?	45.6%	54.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	24.2%	22.4%	23.2%
		% of Total	10.6%	12.6%	23.2%
		Count	298	384	682
		Expected Count	298.0	384.0	682.0
		% within CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT: PROMOTE OTHER DRUG USE?	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.294 <sup>b</sup>	1	.588		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.203	1	.652		
Likelihood Ratio	.293	1	.588		
Fisher's Exact Test				.647	.326
Linear-by-Linear Association	.293	1	.588		
N of Valid Cases	682				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 69.04.

**CAMPUS ENVIRONEMNT:DO YOU FEEL SAFE ON CAMPUS? \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
CAMPUS ENVIRONEMNT:DO YOU FEEL SAFE ON CAMPUS?	NO	Count	10	41	51
		Expected Count	22.2	28.8	51.0
		% within CAMPUS ENVIRONEMNT:DO YOU FEEL SAFE ON CAMPUS?	19.6%	80.4%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	3.3%	10.6%	7.4%
		% of Total	1.5%	6.0%	7.4%
		Count	290	347	637
Total		Expected Count	277.8	359.2	637.0
		% within CAMPUS ENVIRONEMNT:DO YOU FEEL SAFE ON CAMPUS?	45.5%	54.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	96.7%	89.4%	92.6%
		% of Total	42.2%	50.4%	92.6%
		Count	300	388	688
		Expected Count	300.0	388.0	688.0
		% within CAMPUS ENVIRONEMNT:DO YOU FEEL SAFE ON CAMPUS?	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.899 <sup>b</sup>	1	.000		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	11.866	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	14.040	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.880	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	688				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 22.24.

**COMPARED TO OTHER CAMPUSES:ALCOHOL USE IS \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
COMPARED TO OTHER CAMPUSES:ALCOHOL USE IS	GREATER THAN OTHER CAMPUSES	Count	43	46	89
		Expected Count	38.6	50.4	89.0
		% within COMPARED TO OTHER CAMPUSES:ALCOHOL USE IS	48.3%	51.7%	100.0%
	LESS THAN OTHER CAMPUSES	% within Gender	14.5%	11.9%	13.0%
		% of Total	6.3%	6.7%	13.0%
		Count	37	46	83
Total	ABOUT THE SAME AS OTHER CAMPUSES	Expected Count	36.0	47.0	83.0
		% within COMPARED TO OTHER CAMPUSES:ALCOHOL USE IS	44.6%	55.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	12.5%	11.9%	12.2%
	Total	% of Total	5.4%	6.7%	12.2%
		Count	216	294	510
		Expected Count	221.3	288.7	510.0
Total	Total	% within COMPARED TO OTHER CAMPUSES:ALCOHOL USE IS	42.4%	57.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	73.0%	76.2%	74.8%
		% of Total	31.7%	43.1%	74.8%
	Total	Count	296	386	682
		Expected Count	296.0	386.0	682.0
		% within COMPARED TO OTHER CAMPUSES:ALCOHOL USE IS	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
Total	Total	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.150 <sup>a</sup>	2	.563
Likelihood Ratio	1.144	2	.564
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.133	1	.287
N of Valid Cases	682		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 36.02.

**DO YOU LIVE IN ALCOHOL FREE RESIDENCE HALL \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
DO YOU LIVE IN ALCOHOL FREE RESIDENCE HALL	NO	Count	136	165	301
		Expected Count	132.9	168.1	301.0
		% within DO YOU LIVE IN ALCOHOL FREE RESIDENCE HALL	45.2%	54.8%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	60.2%	57.7%	58.8%
		% of Total	26.6%	32.2%	58.8%
		Count	90	121	211
Total		Expected Count	93.1	117.9	211.0
		% within DO YOU LIVE IN ALCOHOL FREE RESIDENCE HALL	42.7%	57.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	39.8%	42.3%	41.2%
		% of Total	17.6%	23.6%	41.2%
		Count	226	286	512
		Expected Count	226.0	286.0	512.0
		% within DO YOU LIVE IN ALCOHOL FREE RESIDENCE HALL	44.1%	55.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	44.1%	55.9%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.322 <sup>b</sup>	1	.571		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.227	1	.634		
Likelihood Ratio	.322	1	.570		
Fisher's Exact Test				.588	.317
Linear-by-Linear Association	.321	1	.571		
N of Valid Cases	512				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 93.14.

**WOULD YOU LIVE IN ALCOHOL FREE RESIDENCE HALL \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
WOULD YOU LIVE IN ALCOHOL FREE RESIDENCE HALL	NO	Count	155	189	344
		Expected Count	148.1	195.9	344.0
		% within WOULD YOU LIVE IN ALCOHOL FREE RESIDENCE HALL	45.1%	54.9%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	83.3%	76.8%	79.6%
		% of Total	35.9%	43.8%	79.6%
		Count	31	57	88
Total		Expected Count	37.9	50.1	88.0
		% within WOULD YOU LIVE IN ALCOHOL FREE RESIDENCE HALL	35.2%	64.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	16.7%	23.2%	20.4%
		% of Total	7.2%	13.2%	20.4%
		Count	186	246	432
		Expected Count	186.0	246.0	432.0
		% within WOULD YOU LIVE IN ALCOHOL FREE RESIDENCE HALL	43.1%	56.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.1%	56.9%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.762 <sup>b</sup>	1	.097		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	2.376	1	.123		
Likelihood Ratio	2.803	1	.094		
Fisher's Exact Test				.117	.061
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.756	1	.097		
N of Valid Cases	432				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 37.89.

**STUDENTS CARE : ALCOHOL -DRUG USE \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total	
			Male	Female		
STUDENTS CARE : ALCOHOL -DRUG USE	NOT AT ALL	Count	86	67	153	
		Expected Count	66.8	86.2	153.0	
		% within STUDENTS CARE : ALCOHOL -DRUG USE	56.2%	43.8%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	29.0%	17.5%	22.5%	
		% of Total	12.6%	9.9%	22.5%	
	SLIGHTLY	Count	129	158	287	
		Expected Count	125.4	161.6	287.0	
		% within STUDENTS CARE : ALCOHOL -DRUG USE	44.9%	55.1%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	43.4%	41.3%	42.2%	
		% of Total	19.0%	23.2%	42.2%	
	SOMEWHAT	Count	69	150	219	
		Expected Count	95.7	123.3	219.0	
		% within STUDENTS CARE : ALCOHOL -DRUG USE	31.5%	68.5%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	23.2%	39.2%	32.2%	
		% of Total	10.1%	22.1%	32.2%	
	VERY MUCH	Count	13	8	21	
		Expected Count	9.2	11.8	21.0	
		% within STUDENTS CARE : ALCOHOL -DRUG USE	61.9%	38.1%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	4.4%	2.1%	3.1%	
		% of Total	1.9%	1.2%	3.1%	
Total		Count	297	383	680	
		Expected Count	297.0	383.0	680.0	
		% within STUDENTS CARE : ALCOHOL -DRUG USE	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	25.978 <sup>a</sup>	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	26.280	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	13.476	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	680		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.17.

**STUDENTS CARE : CAMPUS VANDALISM \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
STUDENTS CARE : CAMPUS VANDALISM	NOT AT ALL	Count	58	65	123
		Expected Count	53.8	69.2	123.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : CAMPUS VANDALISM	47.2%	52.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	19.6%	17.1%	18.2%
		% of Total	8.6%	9.6%	18.2%
		SLIGHTLY	104	143	247
		Count	108.0	139.0	247.0
		Expected Count	42.1%	57.9%	100.0%
		% within STUDENTS CARE : CAMPUS VANDALISM	35.1%	37.5%	36.5%
		% within Gender	15.4%	21.1%	36.5%
		SOMEWHAT	92	131	223
		Count	97.5	125.5	223.0
		Expected Count	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%
		% within STUDENTS CARE : CAMPUS VANDALISM	31.1%	34.4%	32.9%
		% within Gender	13.6%	19.4%	32.9%
		VERY MUCH	42	42	84
		Count	36.7	47.3	84.0
		Expected Count	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
Total		% within STUDENTS CARE : CAMPUS VANDALISM	14.2%	11.0%	12.4%
		% within Gender	6.2%	6.2%	12.4%
		Count	296	381	677
		Expected Count	296.0	381.0	677.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : CAMPUS VANDALISM	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.748 <sup>a</sup>	3	.432
Likelihood Ratio	2.738	3	.434
Linear-by-Linear Association	.005	1	.945
N of Valid Cases	677		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 36.73.

**STUDENTS CARE : SEXUAL ASSAULT \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
STUDENTS CARE : SEXUAL ASSAULT	NOT AT ALL	Count	11	6	17
		Expected Count	7.4	9.6	17.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : SEXUAL ASSAULT	64.7%	35.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.7%	1.6%	2.5%
		% of Total	1.6%	.9%	2.5%
		Count	34	53	87
	SLIGHTLY	Expected Count	38.0	49.0	87.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : SEXUAL ASSAULT	39.1%	60.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.5%	13.9%	12.8%
		% of Total	5.0%	7.8%	12.8%
		Count	84	100	184
		Expected Count	80.3	103.7	184.0
	SOMEWHAT	% within STUDENTS CARE : SEXUAL ASSAULT	45.7%	54.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	28.4%	26.2%	27.1%
		% of Total	12.4%	14.7%	27.1%
		Count	167	223	390
		Expected Count	170.3	219.7	390.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : SEXUAL ASSAULT	42.8%	57.2%	100.0%
	VERY MUCH	% within Gender	56.4%	58.4%	57.5%
		% of Total	24.6%	32.9%	57.5%
		Count	296	382	678
		Expected Count	296.0	382.0	678.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : SEXUAL ASSAULT	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Total	% of Total	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.212 <sup>a</sup>	3	.240
Likelihood Ratio	4.200	3	.241
Linear-by-Linear Association	.384	1	.535
N of Valid Cases	678		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.42.

**STUDENTS CARE : ASSUALTS-NON SEXUAL \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
STUDENTS CARE : ASSUALTS-NON SEXUAL	NOT AT ALL	Count	24	18	42
		Expected Count	18.3	23.7	42.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : ASSUALTS-NON SEXUAL	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.1%	4.7%	6.2%
		% of Total	3.5%	2.7%	6.2%
		Count	57	77	134
	SLIGHTLY	Expected Count	58.4	75.6	134.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : ASSUALTS-NON SEXUAL	42.5%	57.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	19.3%	20.2%	19.8%
		% of Total	8.4%	11.4%	19.8%
		Count	124	167	291
		Expected Count	126.8	164.2	291.0
	SOMEWHAT	% within STUDENTS CARE : ASSUALTS-NON SEXUAL	42.6%	57.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	42.0%	43.7%	43.0%
		% of Total	18.3%	24.7%	43.0%
		Count	90	120	210
		Expected Count	91.5	118.5	210.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : ASSUALTS-NON SEXUAL	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
	VERY MUCH	% within Gender	30.5%	31.4%	31.0%
		% of Total	13.3%	17.7%	31.0%
		Count	295	382	677
		Expected Count	295.0	382.0	677.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : ASSUALTS-NON SEXUAL	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Total	% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.357 <sup>a</sup>	3	.340
Likelihood Ratio	3.323	3	.344
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.052	1	.305
N of Valid Cases	677		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 18.30.

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - GENDER	NOT AT ALL	Count	35	33	68
		Expected Count	29.7	38.3	68.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - GENDER	51.5%	48.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.8%	8.6%	10.0%
		% of Total	5.2%	4.9%	10.0%
	SLIGHTLY	Count	73	124	197
		Expected Count	86.0	111.0	197.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - GENDER	37.1%	62.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	24.7%	32.5%	29.1%
		% of Total	10.8%	18.3%	29.1%
	SOMEWHAT	Count	114	137	251
		Expected Count	109.6	141.4	251.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - GENDER	45.4%	54.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	38.5%	35.9%	37.0%
		% of Total	16.8%	20.2%	37.0%
	VERY MUCH	Count	74	88	162
		Expected Count	70.7	91.3	162.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - GENDER	45.7%	54.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	25.0%	23.0%	23.9%
		% of Total	10.9%	13.0%	23.9%
Total		Count	296	382	678
		Expected Count	296.0	382.0	678.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - GENDER	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.763 <sup>a</sup>	3	.124
Likelihood Ratio	5.797	3	.122
Linear-by-Linear Association	.221	1	.638
N of Valid Cases	678		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 29.69.

**STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - SEXUAL ORIENTATION \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - SEXUAL ORIENTATION	NOT AT ALL	Count	31	28	59
		Expected Count	25.7	33.3	59.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - SEXUAL ORIENTATION	52.5%	47.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.5%	7.3%	8.7%
		% of Total	4.6%	4.1%	8.7%
	SLIGHTLY	Count	80	105	185
		Expected Count	80.5	104.5	185.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - SEXUAL ORIENTATION	43.2%	56.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	27.2%	27.5%	27.4%
		% of Total	11.8%	15.5%	27.4%
	SOMEWHAT	Count	119	158	277
		Expected Count	120.5	156.5	277.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - SEXUAL ORIENTATION	43.0%	57.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	40.5%	41.4%	41.0%
		% of Total	17.6%	23.4%	41.0%
	VERY MUCH	Count	64	91	155
		Expected Count	67.4	87.6	155.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - SEXUAL ORIENTATION	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	21.8%	23.8%	22.9%
		% of Total	9.5%	13.5%	22.9%
Total		Count	294	382	676
		Expected Count	294.0	382.0	676.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - SEXUAL ORIENTATION	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.309 <sup>a</sup>	3	.511
Likelihood Ratio	2.290	3	.514
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.389	1	.239
N of Valid Cases	676		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 25.66.

**STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RACE OR ETHNICITY \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total	
			Male	Female		
STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RACE OR ETHNICITY	NOT AT ALL	Count	25	26	51	
		Expected Count	22.2	28.8	51.0	
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RACE OR ETHNICITY	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	8.5%	6.8%	7.5%	
	SLIGHTLY	% of Total	3.7%	3.8%	7.5%	
		Count	64	95	159	
		Expected Count	69.3	89.7	159.0	
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RACE OR ETHNICITY	40.3%	59.7%	100.0%	
	SOMEWHAT	% within Gender	21.7%	24.9%	23.5%	
		% of Total	9.5%	14.0%	23.5%	
		Count	103	150	253	
		Expected Count	110.2	142.8	253.0	
	VERY MUCH	% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RACE OR ETHNICITY	40.7%	59.3%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	34.9%	39.3%	37.4%	
		% of Total	15.2%	22.2%	37.4%	
		Count	103	111	214	
	Total	Expected Count	93.2	120.8	214.0	
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RACE OR ETHNICITY	48.1%	51.9%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	34.9%	29.1%	31.6%	
		% of Total	15.2%	16.4%	31.6%	
Total			295	382	677	
			295.0	382.0	677.0	
			43.6%	56.4%	100.0%	
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
			43.6%	56.4%	100.0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.979 <sup>a</sup>	3	.264
Likelihood Ratio	3.973	3	.264
Linear-by-Linear Association	.636	1	.425
N of Valid Cases	677		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 22.22.

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RELIGION	NOT AT ALL	Count	57	56	113
		Expected Count	49.4	63.6	113.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RELIGION	50.4%	49.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	19.3%	14.7%	16.7%
		% of Total	8.4%	8.3%	16.7%
	SLIGHTLY	Count	85	125	210
		Expected Count	91.8	118.2	210.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RELIGION	40.5%	59.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	28.7%	32.8%	31.0%
		% of Total	12.6%	18.5%	31.0%
	SOMEWHAT	Count	96	130	226
		Expected Count	98.8	127.2	226.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RELIGION	42.5%	57.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	32.4%	34.1%	33.4%
		% of Total	14.2%	19.2%	33.4%
	VERY MUCH	Count	58	70	128
		Expected Count	56.0	72.0	128.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RELIGION	45.3%	54.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	19.6%	18.4%	18.9%
		% of Total	8.6%	10.3%	18.9%
Total		Count	296	381	677
		Expected Count	296.0	381.0	677.0
		% within STUDENTS CARE : HARASSMENT - RELIGION	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.247 <sup>a</sup>	3	.355
Likelihood Ratio	3.236	3	.357
Linear-by-Linear Association	.251	1	.617
N of Valid Cases	677		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 49.41.

**TO WHAT EXTENT : ALCOHOL USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
TO WHAT EXTENT : ALCOHOL USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS	INCREASED	Count	79	91	170
		Expected Count	74.1	95.9	170.0
		% within TO WHAT EXTENT : ALCOHOL USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS	46.5%	53.5%	100.0%
	ABOUT THE SAME	% within Gender	26.3%	23.5%	24.7%
		% of Total	11.5%	13.2%	24.7%
		Count	114	134	248
	DECREASED	Expected Count	108.1	139.9	248.0
		% within TO WHAT EXTENT : ALCOHOL USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS	46.0%	54.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	38.0%	34.5%	36.0%
	NOT USED	% of Total	16.6%	19.5%	36.0%
		Count	75	104	179
		Expected Count	78.1	100.9	179.0
Total	INCREASED	% within TO WHAT EXTENT : ALCOHOL USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS	41.9%	58.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	25.0%	26.8%	26.0%
		% of Total	10.9%	15.1%	26.0%
	ABOUT THE SAME	Count	32	59	91
		Expected Count	39.7	51.3	91.0
		% within TO WHAT EXTENT : ALCOHOL USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS	35.2%	64.8%	100.0%
	DECREASED	% within Gender	10.7%	15.2%	13.2%
		% of Total	4.7%	8.6%	13.2%
		Count	300	388	688
	NOT USED	Expected Count	300.0	388.0	688.0
		% within TO WHAT EXTENT : ALCOHOL USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.979 <sup>a</sup>	3	.264
Likelihood Ratio	4.028	3	.258
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.336	1	.068
N of Valid Cases	688		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 39.68.

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
TO WHAT EXTENT :DRUG USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS	INCREASED	Count	25	12	37
		Expected Count	16.1	20.9	37.0
		% within TO WHAT EXTENT :DRUG USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS	67.6%	32.4%	100.0%
	ABOUT THE SAME	% within Gender	8.4%	3.1%	5.4%
		% of Total	3.7%	1.8%	5.4%
		Count	50	39	89
	DECREASED	Expected Count	38.8	50.2	89.0
		% within TO WHAT EXTENT :DRUG USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS	56.2%	43.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	16.9%	10.2%	13.1%
	NOT USED	% of Total	7.4%	5.7%	13.1%
		Count	56	59	115
		Expected Count	50.1	64.9	115.0
Total	INCREASED	% within TO WHAT EXTENT :DRUG USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS	48.7%	51.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	18.9%	15.4%	16.9%
		% of Total	8.2%	8.7%	16.9%
	ABOUT THE SAME	Count	165	273	438
		Expected Count	190.9	247.1	438.0
		% within TO WHAT EXTENT :DRUG USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS	37.7%	62.3%	100.0%
	DECREASED	% within Gender	55.7%	71.3%	64.5%
		% of Total	24.3%	40.2%	64.5%
		Count	296	383	679
	NOT USED	Expected Count	296.0	383.0	679.0
		% within TO WHAT EXTENT :DRUG USE CHANGED-LAST 12 MONTHS	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	21.847 <sup>a</sup>	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	21.837	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	21.701	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	679		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 16.13.

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE	NO RISK	Count	155	145	300
		Expected Count	131.2	168.8	300.0
		% within RISK -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE	51.7%	48.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	52.4%	38.1%	44.3%
		% of Total	22.9%	21.4%	44.3%
		SLIGHT RISK	94	130	224
MODERATE RISK		Count	94	130	224
		Expected Count	97.9	126.1	224.0
		% within RISK -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE	42.0%	58.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	31.8%	34.1%	33.1%
		% of Total	13.9%	19.2%	33.1%
		GREAT RISK	14	51	65
CANNOT SAY		Count	28.4	36.6	65.0
		Expected Count	21.5%	78.5%	100.0%
		% within RISK -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE	4.7%	13.4%	9.6%
		% within Gender	2.1%	7.5%	9.6%
		% of Total	22	34	56
		Count	24.5	31.5	56.0
Total		Expected Count	39.3%	60.7%	100.0%
		% within RISK -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE	7.4%	8.9%	8.3%
		% within Gender	3.2%	5.0%	8.3%
		% of Total	11	21	32
		Count	14.0	18.0	32.0
		Expected Count	34.4%	65.6%	100.0%
		% within RISK -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE	3.7%	5.5%	4.7%
		% within Gender	1.6%	3.1%	4.7%
		% of Total	296	381	677
		Count	296.0	381.0	677.0
		Expected Count	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within RISK -TRYING MARIJUANA ONCE OR TWICE	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	22.561 <sup>a</sup>	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	23.592	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.621	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	677		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 13.99.

**RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY	NO RISK	Count	94	53	147
		Expected Count	64.6	82.4	147.0
		% within RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY	63.9%	36.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	31.8%	14.0%	21.8%
		% of Total	13.9%	7.9%	21.8%
		SLIGHT RISK	83	132	215
MODERATE RISK		Count	94.4	120.6	215.0
		Expected Count	38.6%	61.4%	100.0%
		% within RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY	28.0%	34.9%	31.9%
		% within Gender	12.3%	19.6%	31.9%
		% of Total	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%
		MODERATE RISK	78	111	189
GREAT RISK		Count	83.0	106.0	189.0
		Expected Count	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%
		% within RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY	26.4%	29.4%	28.0%
		% within Gender	11.6%	16.5%	28.0%
		% of Total	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%
		GREAT RISK	31	64	95
CANNOT SAY		Count	41.7	53.3	95.0
		Expected Count	32.6%	67.4%	100.0%
		% within RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY	10.5%	16.9%	14.1%
		% within Gender	4.6%	9.5%	14.1%
		% of Total	35.7%	64.3%	100.0%
		CANNOT SAY	10	18	28
Total		Count	12.3	15.7	28.0
		Expected Count	3.4%	4.8%	4.2%
		% within RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY	1.5%	2.7%	4.2%
		% within Gender	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% of Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		Total	296	378	674
		Expected Count	296.0	378.0	674.0
		% within RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA OCCASIONALLY	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
		Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	32.620 <sup>a</sup>	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	32.669	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	19.488	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	674		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 12.30.

**RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY	NO RISK	Count	46	18	64
		Expected Count	28.0	36.0	64.0
		% within RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY	71.9%	28.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	15.6%	4.7%	9.5%
		% of Total	6.8%	2.7%	9.5%
		SLIGHT RISK	56	56	112
MODERATE RISK	GREAT RISK	Count	49.0	63.0	112.0
		Expected Count	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY	19.0%	14.8%	16.6%
		% within Gender	25.1%	26.6%	26.0%
		% of Total	11.0%	15.0%	26.0%
		Count	101	101	175
CANNOT SAY	CANNOT SAY	Expected Count	76.6	98.4	175.0
		% within RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY	42.3%	57.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	36.3%	48.5%	43.2%
		% of Total	15.9%	27.3%	43.2%
		Count	184	184	291
		Expected Count	127.4	163.6	291.0
Total	Total	% within RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY	36.8%	63.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	36.3%	48.5%	43.2%
		% of Total	15.9%	27.3%	43.2%
		Count	20	20	32
		Expected Count	14.0	18.0	32.0
		% within RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.1%	5.3%	4.7%
		% of Total	1.8%	3.0%	4.7%
		Count	379	379	674
		Expected Count	295.0	379.0	674.0
		% within RISK -SMOKING MARIJUANA REGULARLY	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	28.768 <sup>a</sup>	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	29.001	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	23.970	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	674		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 14.01.

**RISK -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
RISK -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE	NO RISK	Count	24	14
		Expected Count	16.6	21.4
		% within RISK -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE	63.2%	36.8%
		% within Gender	8.1%	3.7%
		% of Total	3.6%	2.1%
				5.6%
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	69	78
		Expected Count	64.2	82.8
		% within RISK -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE	46.9%	53.1%
		% within Gender	23.4%	20.5%
		% of Total	10.2%	11.6%
				21.8%
	MODERATE RISK	Count	82	100
		Expected Count	79.5	102.5
		% within RISK -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE	45.1%	54.9%
		% within Gender	27.8%	26.3%
		% of Total	12.1%	14.8%
				27.0%
	GREAT RISK	Count	108	165
		Expected Count	119.3	153.7
		% within RISK -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE	39.6%	60.4%
		% within Gender	36.6%	43.4%
		% of Total	16.0%	24.4%
				40.4%
	CANNOT SAY	Count	12	23
		Expected Count	15.3	19.7
		% within RISK -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE	34.3%	65.7%
		% within Gender	4.1%	6.1%
		% of Total	1.8%	3.4%
				5.2%
Total		Count	295	380
		Expected Count	295.0	380.0
		% within RISK -TRYING COCAINE ONCE OR TWICE	43.7%	56.3%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%
				100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.772 <sup>a</sup>	4	.044
Likelihood Ratio	9.771	4	.044
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.239	1	.004
N of Valid Cases	675		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 15.30.

**RISK -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY	NO RISK	Count	3	1	4
		Expected Count	1.7	2.3	4.0
		% within RISK -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	.3%	.6%
		% of Total	.4%	.1%	.6%
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	6	2	8
		Expected Count	3.5	4.5	8.0
		% within RISK -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.0%	.5%	1.2%
		% of Total	.9%	.3%	1.2%
	MODERATE RISK	Count	31	19	50
		Expected Count	21.8	28.2	50.0
		% within RISK -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY	62.0%	38.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.5%	5.0%	7.4%
		% of Total	4.6%	2.8%	7.4%
	GREAT RISK	Count	245	339	584
		Expected Count	254.9	329.1	584.0
		% within RISK -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY	42.0%	58.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	83.1%	89.0%	86.4%
		% of Total	36.2%	50.1%	86.4%
	CANNOT SAY	Count	10	20	30
		Expected Count	13.1	16.9	30.0
		% within RISK -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.4%	5.2%	4.4%
		% of Total	1.5%	3.0%	4.4%
Total		Count	295	381	676
		Expected Count	295.0	381.0	676.0
		% within RISK -TAKING COCAINE REGULARLY	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.623 <sup>a</sup>	4	.009
Likelihood Ratio	13.671	4	.008
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.445	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	676		

a. 4 cells (40.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.75.

**RISK -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

		Count	Gender		Total	
			Male	Female		
RISK -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE	NO RISK	Count	14	6	20	
		Expected Count	8.7	11.3	20.0	
		% within RISK -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE	70.0%	30.0%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	4.8%	1.6%	3.0%	
		% of Total	2.1%	.9%	3.0%	
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	62	55	117	
		Expected Count	51.1	65.9	117.0	
		% within RISK -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE	53.0%	47.0%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	21.1%	14.5%	17.4%	
		% of Total	9.2%	8.2%	17.4%	
	MODERATE RISK	Count	78	84	162	
		Expected Count	70.8	91.2	162.0	
		% within RISK -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE	48.1%	51.9%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	26.5%	22.2%	24.1%	
		% of Total	11.6%	12.5%	24.1%	
	GREAT RISK	Count	121	196	317	
		Expected Count	138.5	178.5	317.0	
		% within RISK -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE	38.2%	61.8%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	41.2%	51.7%	47.1%	
		% of Total	18.0%	29.1%	47.1%	
	CANNOT SAY	Count	19	38	57	
		Expected Count	24.9	32.1	57.0	
		% within RISK -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	6.5%	10.0%	8.5%	
		% of Total	2.8%	5.6%	8.5%	
Total		Count	294	379	673	
		Expected Count	294.0	379.0	673.0	
		% within RISK -TRYING LSD ONCE OR TWICE	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	17.462 <sup>a</sup>	4	.002
Likelihood Ratio	17.538	4	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	16.547	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	673		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.74.

**RISK -TAKING LSD REGULARLY \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -TAKING LSD REGULARLY	NO RISK	Count	4	1	5
		Expected Count	2.2	2.8	5.0
		% within RISK -TAKING LSD REGULARLY	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.4%	.3%	.7%
		% of Total	.6%	.1%	.7%
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	8	4	12
		Expected Count	5.2	6.8	12.0
		% within RISK -TAKING LSD REGULARLY	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.7%	1.1%	1.8%
		% of Total	1.2%	.6%	1.8%
	MODERATE RISK	Count	26	20	46
		Expected Count	20.1	25.9	46.0
		% within RISK -TAKING LSD REGULARLY	56.5%	43.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.9%	5.3%	6.9%
		% of Total	3.9%	3.0%	6.9%
	GREAT RISK	Count	240	320	560
		Expected Count	244.5	315.5	560.0
		% within RISK -TAKING LSD REGULARLY	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	81.9%	84.7%	83.5%
		% of Total	35.8%	47.7%	33.5%
	CANNOT SAY	Count	15	33	48
		Expected Count	21.0	27.0	48.0
		% within RISK -TAKING LSD REGULARLY	31.3%	68.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.1%	8.7%	7.2%
		% of Total	2.2%	4.9%	7.2%
Total		Count	293	378	671
		Expected Count	293.0	378.0	671.0
		% within RISK -TAKING LSD REGULARLY	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.512 <sup>a</sup>	4	.021
Likelihood Ratio	11.661	4	.020
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.452	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	671		

a. 2 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.18.

**RISK -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total	
			Male	Female		
RISK -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE	NO RISK	Count	20	10	30	
		Expected Count	13.0	17.0	30.0	
		% within RISK -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	6.8%	2.6%	4.5%	
		% of Total	3.0%	1.5%	4.5%	
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	63	78	141	
		Expected Count	61.3	79.7	141.0	
		% within RISK -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE	44.7%	55.3%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	21.6%	20.5%	21.0%	
		% of Total	9.4%	11.6%	21.0%	
	MODERATE RISK	Count	73	75	148	
		Expected Count	64.3	83.7	148.0	
		% within RISK -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE	49.3%	50.7%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	25.0%	19.7%	22.0%	
		% of Total	10.9%	11.2%	22.0%	
	GREAT RISK	Count	116	180	296	
		Expected Count	128.6	167.4	296.0	
		% within RISK -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE	39.2%	60.8%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	39.7%	47.4%	44.0%	
		% of Total	17.3%	26.8%	44.0%	
	CANNOT SAY	Count	20	37	57	
		Expected Count	24.8	32.2	57.0	
		% within RISK -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE	35.1%	64.9%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	6.8%	9.7%	8.5%	
		% of Total	3.0%	5.5%	8.5%	
Total		Count	292	380	672	
		Expected Count	292.0	380.0	672.0	
		% within RISK -TRYING AMPHETAMINES ONCE OR TWICE	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.556 <sup>a</sup>	4	.014
Likelihood Ratio	12.563	4	.014
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.071	1	.004
N of Valid Cases	672		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 13.04.

**RISK -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total	
			Male	Female		
RISK -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY	NO RISK	Count	5	1	6	
		Expected Count	2.6	3.4	6.0	
		% within RISK -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	1.7%	.3%	.9%	
		% of Total	.7%	.1%	.9%	
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	7	8	15	
		Expected Count	6.6	8.4	15.0	
		% within RISK -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY	46.7%	53.3%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	2.4%	2.1%	2.2%	
		% of Total	1.0%	1.2%	2.2%	
	MODERATE RISK	Count	35	29	64	
		Expected Count	28.1	35.9	64.0	
		% within RISK -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY	54.7%	45.3%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	11.8%	7.7%	9.5%	
		% of Total	5.2%	4.3%	9.5%	
	GREAT RISK	Count	229	303	532	
		Expected Count	233.6	298.4	532.0	
		% within RISK -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY	43.0%	57.0%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	77.4%	80.2%	78.9%	
		% of Total	34.0%	45.0%	78.9%	
	CANNOT SAY	Count	20	37	57	
		Expected Count	25.0	32.0	57.0	
		% within RISK -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY	35.1%	64.9%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	6.8%	9.8%	8.5%	
		% of Total	3.0%	5.5%	8.5%	
Total		Count	296	378	674	
		Expected Count	296.0	378.0	674.0	
		% within RISK -TAKING AMPHETAMINES REGULARLY	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%	

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.813 <sup>a</sup>	4	.066
Likelihood Ratio	9.015	4	.061
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.927	1	.008
N of Valid Cases	674		

a. 2 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.64.

**RISK -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	NO RISK	Count	91	30	121
		Expected Count	52.8	68.2	121.0
		% within RISK -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	75.2%	24.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	30.8%	7.9%	17.9%
	SLIGHT RISK	% of Total	13.5%	4.4%	17.9%
		Count	99	136	235
		Expected Count	102.6	132.4	235.0
		% within RISK -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	42.1%	57.9%	100.0%
	MODERATE RISK	% within Gender	33.6%	35.7%	34.8%
		% of Total	14.6%	20.1%	34.8%
		Count	70	123	193
		Expected Count	84.2	108.8	193.0
	GREAT RISK	% within RISK -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	36.3%	63.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	23.7%	32.3%	28.6%
		% of Total	10.4%	18.2%	28.6%
		Count	30	78	108
	CANNOT SAY	Expected Count	47.1	60.9	108.0
		% within RISK -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	27.8%	72.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.2%	20.5%	16.0%
		% of Total	4.4%	11.5%	16.0%
Total	NO RISK	Count	5	14	19
		Expected Count	8.3	10.7	19.0
		% within RISK -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	26.3%	73.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.7%	3.7%	2.8%
		% of Total	.7%	2.1%	2.8%
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	295	381	676
	SLIGHT RISK	Expected Count	295.0	381.0	676.0
	SLIGHT RISK	% within RISK -TAKING ONE OR TWO DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
	SLIGHT RISK	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	SLIGHT RISK	% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	66.870 <sup>a</sup>	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	68.368	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	51.083	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	676		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.29.

**RISK -TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	NO RISK	Count	10	2	12
		Expected Count	5.2	6.8	12.0
		% within RISK -TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.4%	.5%	1.8%
		% of Total	1.5%	.3%	1.8%
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	39	15	54
		Expected Count	23.5	30.5	54.0
		% within RISK -TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	72.2%	27.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	13.3%	3.9%	8.0%
		% of Total	5.8%	2.2%	8.0%
	MODERATE RISK	Count	98	93	191
		Expected Count	83.2	107.8	191.0
		% within RISK -TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	51.3%	48.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	33.3%	24.4%	28.3%
		% of Total	14.5%	13.8%	28.3%
	GREAT RISK	Count	143	262	405
		Expected Count	176.4	228.6	405.0
		% within RISK -TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	35.3%	64.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	48.6%	68.8%	60.0%
		% of Total	21.2%	38.8%	60.0%
	CANNOT SAY	Count	4	9	13
		Expected Count	5.7	7.3	13.0
		% within RISK -TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	30.8%	69.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.4%	2.4%	1.9%
		% of Total	.6%	1.3%	1.9%
Total		Count	294	381	675
		Expected Count	294.0	381.0	675.0
		% within RISK -TAKING FOUR OR FIVE DRINKS NEARLY EVERY DAY	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	42.512 <sup>a</sup>	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	43.218	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	41.367	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	675		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.23.

**RISK - HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK - HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING	NO RISK	Count	33	14	47
		Expected Count	20.5	26.5	47.0
		% within RISK - HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING	70.2%	29.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.2%	3.7%	7.0%
		% of Total	4.9%	2.1%	7.0%
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	75	74	149
		Expected Count	65.1	83.9	149.0
		% within RISK - HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING	50.3%	49.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	25.5%	19.5%	22.1%
		% of Total	11.1%	11.0%	22.1%
	MODERATE RISK	Count	85	113	198
		Expected Count	86.5	111.5	198.0
		% within RISK - HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	28.9%	29.8%	29.4%
		% of Total	12.6%	16.8%	29.4%
	GREAT RISK	Count	92	166	258
		Expected Count	112.7	145.3	258.0
		% within RISK - HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING	35.7%	64.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	31.3%	43.8%	38.3%
		% of Total	13.7%	24.7%	38.3%
	CANNOT SAY	Count	9	12	21
		Expected Count	9.2	11.8	21.0
		% within RISK - HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.1%	3.2%	3.1%
		% of Total	1.3%	1.8%	3.1%
Total		Count	294	379	673
		Expected Count	294.0	379.0	673.0
		% within RISK - HAVING 5 OR MORE DRINKS IN ONE SITTING	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	22.931 <sup>a</sup>	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	23.076	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	18.813	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	673		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.17.

**RISK -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING	NO RISK	Count	4	2	6
		Expected Count	2.6	3.4	6.0
		% within RISK -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
	SLIGHT RISK	% within Gender	1.4%	.5%	.9%
		% of Total	.6%	.3%	.9%
		Count	25	23	48
MODERATE RISK	SLIGHT RISK	Expected Count	20.9	27.1	48.0
		% within RISK -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING	52.1%	47.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.5%	6.0%	7.1%
	MODERATE RISK	% of Total	3.7%	3.4%	7.1%
		Count	85	90	175
		Expected Count	76.4	98.6	175.0
GREAT RISK	MODERATE RISK	% within RISK -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING	48.6%	51.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	28.8%	23.6%	25.9%
		% of Total	12.6%	13.3%	25.9%
	GREAT RISK	Count	164	231	395
		Expected Count	172.4	222.6	395.0
		% within RISK -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING	41.5%	58.5%	100.0%
CANNOT SAY	GREAT RISK	% within Gender	55.6%	60.6%	58.4%
		% of Total	24.3%	34.2%	58.4%
		Count	17	35	52
	CANNOT SAY	Expected Count	22.7	29.3	52.0
		% within RISK -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING	32.7%	67.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.8%	9.2%	7.7%
Total	CANNOT SAY	% of Total	2.5%	5.2%	7.7%
		Count	295	381	676
		Expected Count	295.0	381.0	676.0
	Total	% within RISK -TAKING STEROIDS FOR BODY BUILDING	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.672 <sup>a</sup>	4	.104
Likelihood Ratio	7.717	4	.103
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.354	1	.007
N of Valid Cases	676		

a. 2 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.62.

**RISK -CONSUME ALCOHOL PRIOR TO SEX \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -CONSUME ALCOHOL PRIOR TO SEX	NO RISK	Count	37	9	46
		Expected Count	20.1	25.9	46.0
		% within RISK			
		-CONSUME ALCOHOL PRIOR TO SEX	80.4%	19.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	12.5%	2.4%	6.8%
		% of Total	5.5%	1.3%	6.8%
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	73	79	152
		Expected Count	66.3	85.7	152.0
		% within RISK			
		-CONSUME ALCOHOL PRIOR TO SEX	48.0%	52.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	24.7%	20.7%	22.5%
		% of Total	10.8%	11.7%	22.5%
	MODERATE RISK	Count	90	118	208
		Expected Count	90.8	117.2	208.0
		% within RISK			
		-CONSUME ALCOHOL PRIOR TO SEX	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	30.5%	31.0%	30.8%
		% of Total	13.3%	17.5%	30.8%
	GREAT RISK	Count	80	155	235
		Expected Count	102.6	132.4	235.0
		% within RISK			
		-CONSUME ALCOHOL PRIOR TO SEX	34.0%	66.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	27.1%	40.7%	34.8%
		% of Total	11.8%	22.9%	34.8%
	CANNOT SAY	Count	15	20	35
		Expected Count	15.3	19.7	35.0
		% within RISK			
		-CONSUME ALCOHOL PRIOR TO SEX	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.1%	5.2%	5.2%
		% of Total	2.2%	3.0%	5.2%
Total		Count	295	381	676
		Expected Count	295.0	381.0	676.0
		% within RISK			
		-CONSUME ALCOHOL PRIOR TO SEX	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	35.331 <sup>a</sup>	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	36.416	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	23.291	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	676		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 15.27.

**RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN UNPROTECTED SEX \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN UNPROTECTED SEX	NO RISK	Count	15	8	23
		Expected Count	10.1	12.9	23.0
		% within RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN UNPROTECTED SEX	65.2%	34.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.1%	2.1%	3.4%
		% of Total	2.2%	1.2%	3.4%
		Count	95	67	162
	SLIGHT RISK	Expected Count	70.9	91.1	162.0
		% within RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN UNPROTECTED SEX	58.6%	41.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	32.1%	17.6%	24.0%
		% of Total	14.1%	9.9%	24.0%
		Count	83	116	199
		Expected Count	87.1	111.9	199.0
	MODERATE RISK	% within RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN UNPROTECTED SEX	41.7%	58.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	28.0%	30.5%	29.4%
		% of Total	12.3%	17.2%	29.4%
		Count	94	174	268
		Expected Count	117.3	150.7	268.0
		% within RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN UNPROTECTED SEX	35.1%	64.9%	100.0%
	GREAT RISK	% within Gender	31.8%	45.8%	39.6%
		% of Total	13.9%	25.7%	39.6%
		Count	9	15	24
		Expected Count	10.5	13.5	24.0
		% within RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN UNPROTECTED SEX	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.0%	3.9%	3.6%
	CANNOT SAY	% of Total	1.3%	2.2%	3.6%
		Count	296	380	676
		Expected Count	296.0	380.0	676.0
		% within RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN UNPROTECTED SEX	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
Total					

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	27.815 <sup>a</sup>	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	27.826	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	24.546	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	676		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 10.07.

**RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN MULTILE PARTNER,UNPROTECTED SEX \***  
**Gender**

**Crosstab**

		Count	Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN MULTILE PARTNER,UNPROTECTED SEX	NO RISK	Count	2	1	3
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0
		% within RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN MULTILE PARTNER,UNPROTECTED SEX	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.3%	.4%
		% of Total	.3%	.1%	.4%
	SLIGHT RISK	Count	3	3	6
		Expected Count	2.6	3.4	6.0
		% within RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN MULTILE PARTNER,UNPROTECTED SEX	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	.8%	.9%
		% of Total	.4%	.4%	.9%
	MODERATE RISK	Count	22	8	30
		Expected Count	13.1	16.9	30.0
		% within RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN MULTILE PARTNER,UNPROTECTED SEX	73.3%	26.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.4%	2.1%	4.4%
		% of Total	3.2%	1.2%	4.4%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN MULTILE PARTNER, UNPROTECTED SEX	GREAT RISK	Count	262	360	622
		Expected Count	272.0	350.0	622.0
		% within RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN MULTILE PARTNER, UNPROTECTED SEX	42.1%	57.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	88.5%	94.5%	91.9%
		% of Total	38.7%	53.2%	91.9%
		CANNOT SAY	7	9	16
Total		Count	7.0	9.0	16.0
		Expected Count	43.8%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN MULTILE PARTNER, UNPROTECTED SEX	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%
		% within Gender	1.0%	1.3%	2.4%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		Count	296	381	677
		Expected Count	296.0	381.0	677.0
		% within RISK -REGULARLY ENGAGE IN MULTILE PARTNER, UNPROTECTED SEX	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		Count	296	381	677
		Expected Count	296.0	381.0	677.0

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.075 <sup>a</sup>	4	.017
Likelihood Ratio	12.189	4	.016
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.833	1	.016
N of Valid Cases	677		

a. 4 cells (40.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.31.

**DID YOU HAVE SEX WITHIN THE LAST YEAR \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
DID YOU HAVE SEX WITHIN THE LAST YEAR	NO	Count	70	116	186
		Expected Count	81.1	104.9	186.0
		% within DID YOU HAVE SEX WITHIN THE LAST YEAR	37.6%	62.4%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	23.4%	30.0%	27.1%
		% of Total	10.2%	16.9%	27.1%
		Count	229	271	500
Total	NO	Expected Count	217.9	282.1	500.0
		% within DID YOU HAVE SEX WITHIN THE LAST YEAR	45.8%	54.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	76.6%	70.0%	72.9%
	YES	% of Total	33.4%	39.5%	72.9%
		Count	299	387	686
		Expected Count	299.0	387.0	686.0
Total	YES	% within DID YOU HAVE SEX WITHIN THE LAST YEAR	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.676 <sup>b</sup>	1	.055		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	3.352	1	.067		
Likelihood Ratio	3.708	1	.054		
Fisher's Exact Test				.057	.033
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.671	1	.055		
N of Valid Cases	686				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 81.07.

**DID YOU DRINK ALCOHOL? \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
DID YOU DRINK ALCOHOL?	NO	Count	158	198	356
		Expected Count	164.0	192.0	356.0
		% within DID YOU DRINK ALCOHOL?	44.4%	55.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	65.6%	70.2%	68.1%
		% of Total	30.2%	37.9%	68.1%
	YES	Count	83	84	167
		Expected Count	77.0	90.0	167.0
		% within DID YOU DRINK ALCOHOL?	49.7%	50.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	34.4%	29.8%	31.9%
		% of Total	15.9%	16.1%	31.9%
Total	Count	241	282	523	
	Expected Count	241.0	282.0	523.0	
	% within DID YOU DRINK ALCOHOL?	46.1%	53.9%	100.0%	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	46.1%	53.9%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.294 <sup>b</sup>	1	.255		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.089	1	.297		
Likelihood Ratio	1.292	1	.256		
Fisher's Exact Test				.261	.148
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.292	1	.256		
N of Valid Cases	523				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 76.95.

## DID YOU USE OTHER DRUGS? \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
DID YOU USE OTHER DRUGS?	NO	Count	217	271	488
		Expected Count	224.9	263.1	488.0
		% within DID YOU USE OTHER DRUGS?	44.5%	55.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	90.0%	96.1%	93.3%
		% of Total	41.5%	51.8%	93.3%
	YES	Count	24	11	35
		Expected Count	16.1	18.9	35.0
		% within DID YOU USE OTHER DRUGS?	68.6%	31.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.0%	3.9%	6.7%
		% of Total	4.6%	2.1%	6.7%
Total		Count	241	282	523
		Expected Count	241.0	282.0	523.0
		% within DID YOU USE OTHER DRUGS?	46.1%	53.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	46.1%	53.9%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.637 <sup>b</sup>	1	.006		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	6.697	1	.010		
Likelihood Ratio	7.716	1	.005		
Fisher's Exact Test				.008	.005
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.622	1	.006		
N of Valid Cases	523				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 16.13.

## Crosstabs

#### Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
PAST 30 DAYS:REFUSED AN OFFER OF ALCOHOL * Gender	678	96.7%	23	3.3%	701	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS:BRAGGED ABOUT ALCOHOL USE * Gender	677	96.6%	24	3.4%	701	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Case Processing Summary**

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
PAST 30 DAYS:HEARD SOMEONE BRAG ABOUT ALCOHOL USE * Gender	678	96.7%	23	3.3%	701	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS:CARRIED A WEAPON * Gender	677	96.6%	24	3.4%	701	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS:EXPERIENCED PEER PRESSURE,ALCOHOL * Gender	675	96.3%	26	3.7%	701	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS:HELD A DRINK-DECOY * Gender	676	96.4%	25	3.6%	701	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS:THOUGHT A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK * Gender	676	96.4%	25	3.6%	701	100.0%
PAST 30 DAYS:TOLD A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK * Gender	678	96.7%	23	3.3%	701	100.0%
I FEEL VALUED AS A PERSON * Gender	678	96.7%	23	3.3%	701	100.0%
I FEEL THAT FACULTY CARE ABOUT ME * Gender	678	96.7%	23	3.3%	701	100.0%
I HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO CONTRIBUTE * Gender	676	96.4%	25	3.6%	701	100.0%
MY CAMPUS ENCOURAGES ME TO HELP OTHERS * Gender	678	96.7%	23	3.3%	701	100.0%
I ABIDE BY THE UNIVERSITY POLICY CONCERNING ALCOHOL * Gender	677	96.6%	24	3.4%	701	100.0%
OTHERS : INTERRUPTS STUDYING * Gender	675	96.3%	26	3.7%	701	100.0%
OTHERS : MAKES YOU FEEL UNSAFE * Gender	675	96.3%	26	3.7%	701	100.0%
OTHERS : MESSES UP YOUR SPACE * Gender	670	95.6%	31	4.4%	701	100.0%
OTHERS : AFFECTS YOUR INVOLVEMENTS IN ORGANIZED GROUPS * Gender	673	96.0%	28	4.0%	701	100.0%
OTHERS : PREVENTS YOU FROM ENJOYING EVENTS * Gender	672	95.9%	29	4.1%	701	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Case Processing Summary**

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
OTHERS : INTERFERES IN OTHER WAYS * Gender	673	96.0%	28	4.0%	701	100.0%
OTHERS : DOESNT INTERFERE WITH MY LIFE * Gender	667	95.1%	34	4.9%	701	100.0%

**PAST 30 DAYS:REFUSED AN OFFER OF ALCOHOL \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS:REFUSED AN OFFER OF ALCOHOL	0 TIMES	Count	84	109	193
		Expected Count	84.0	109.0	193.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:REFUSED AN OFFER OF ALCOHOL	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	28.5%	28.5%	28.5%
		% of Total	12.4%	16.1%	28.5%
		Count	48	66	114
	ONE TIME	Expected Count	49.6	64.4	114.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:REFUSED AN OFFER OF ALCOHOL	42.1%	57.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	16.3%	17.2%	16.8%
		% of Total	7.1%	9.7%	16.8%
		Count	64	90	154
		Expected Count	67.0	87.0	154.0
	TWO TIMES	% within PAST 30 DAYS:REFUSED AN OFFER OF ALCOHOL	41.6%	58.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	21.7%	23.5%	22.7%
		% of Total	9.4%	13.3%	22.7%
		Count	65	85	150
		Expected Count	65.3	84.7	150.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:REFUSED AN OFFER OF ALCOHOL	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
	3-5 TIMES	% within Gender	22.0%	22.2%	22.1%
		% of Total	9.6%	12.5%	22.1%
		Count	19	17	36
		Expected Count	15.7	20.3	36.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:REFUSED AN OFFER OF ALCOHOL	52.8%	47.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.4%	4.4%	5.3%
	6-9 TIMES	% of Total	2.8%	2.5%	5.3%
		Count	15	16	31
		Expected Count	13.5	17.5	31.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:REFUSED AN OFFER OF ALCOHOL	48.4%	51.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.1%	4.2%	4.6%
		% of Total	2.2%	2.4%	4.6%
Total		Count	295	383	678
		Expected Count	295.0	383.0	678.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:REFUSED AN OFFER OF ALCOHOL	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.890 <sup>a</sup>	5	.864
Likelihood Ratio	1.876	5	.866
Linear-by-Linear Association	.450	1	.502
N of Valid Cases	678		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 13.49.

**PAST 30 DAYS:BRAGGED ABOUT ALCOHOL USE \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS:BRAGGED ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	0 TIMES	Count	204	311	515
		Expected Count	223.6	291.4	515.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:BRAGGED ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	39.6%	60.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	69.4%	81.2%	76.1%
		% of Total	30.1%	45.9%	76.1%
		Count	23	29	52
	ONE TIME	Expected Count	22.6	29.4	52.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:BRAGGED ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	44.2%	55.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.8%	7.6%	7.7%
		% of Total	3.4%	4.3%	7.7%
		Count	29	17	46
		Expected Count	20.0	26.0	46.0
	TWO TIMES	% within PAST 30 DAYS:BRAGGED ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	63.0%	37.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	9.9%	4.4%	6.8%
		% of Total	4.3%	2.5%	6.8%
		Count	24	17	41
		Expected Count	17.8	23.2	41.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:BRAGGED ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	58.5%	41.5%	100.0%
	3-5 TIMES	% within Gender	8.2%	4.4%	6.1%
		% of Total	3.5%	2.5%	6.1%
		Count	7	6	13
		Expected Count	5.6	7.4	13.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:BRAGGED ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	53.8%	46.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.4%	1.6%	1.9%
	6-9 TIMES	% of Total	1.0%	.9%	1.9%
		Count	7	3	10
		Expected Count	4.3	5.7	10.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:BRAGGED ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	70.0%	30.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.4%	.8%	1.5%
		% of Total	1.0%	.4%	1.5%
Total		Count	294	383	677
		Expected Count	294.0	383.0	677.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:BRAGGED ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	17.529 <sup>a</sup>	5	.004
Likelihood Ratio	17.444	5	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	14.659	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	677		

a. 1 cells (8.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.34.

**PAST 30 DAYS:HEARD SOMEONE BRAG ABOUT ALCOHOL USE \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS:HEARD SOMEONE BRAG ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	0 TIMES	Count	46	66	112
		Expected Count	48.7	63.3	112.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:HEARD SOMEONE BRAG ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	41.1%	58.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	15.6%	17.2%	16.5%
		% of Total	6.8%	9.7%	16.5%
		Count	13	31	44
	ONE TIME	Expected Count	19.1	24.9	44.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:HEARD SOMEONE BRAG ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	29.5%	70.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.4%	8.1%	6.5%
		% of Total	1.9%	4.6%	6.5%
		Count	48	55	103
		Expected Count	44.8	58.2	103.0
	TWO TIMES	% within PAST 30 DAYS:HEARD SOMEONE BRAG ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	46.6%	53.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	16.3%	14.4%	15.2%
		% of Total	7.1%	8.1%	15.2%
		Count	82	130	212
		Expected Count	92.2	119.8	212.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:HEARD SOMEONE BRAG ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	38.7%	61.3%	100.0%
	3-5 TIMES	% within Gender	27.8%	33.9%	31.3%
		% of Total	12.1%	19.2%	31.3%

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS:HEARD SOMEONE BRAG ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	6-9 TIMES	Count	38	40	78
		Expected Count	33.9	44.1	78.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:HEARD SOMEONE BRAG ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	48.7%	51.3%	100.0%
	10 OR MORE TIMES	% within Gender	12.9%	10.4%	11.5%
		% of Total	5.6%	5.9%	11.5%
		Count	68	61	129
Total		Expected Count	56.1	72.9	129.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:HEARD SOMEONE BRAG ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	52.7%	47.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	23.1%	15.9%	19.0%
		% of Total	10.0%	9.0%	19.0%
		Count	295	383	678
		Expected Count	295.0	383.0	678.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:HEARD SOMEONE BRAG ABOUT ALCOHOL USE	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.481 <sup>a</sup>	5	.043
Likelihood Ratio	11.591	5	.041
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.523	1	.033
N of Valid Cases	678		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 19.14.

#### PAST 30 DAYS:CARRIED A WEAPON \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS:CARRIED A WEAPON	0 TIMES	Count	278	377	655
		Expected Count	284.4	370.6	655.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:CARRIED A WEAPON	42.4%	57.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	94.6%	98.4%	96.8%
		% of Total	41.1%	55.7%	96.8%
		Count	2	0	2
	ONE TIME	Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:CARRIED A WEAPON	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.0%	.3%
		% of Total	.3%	.0%	.3%
		Count	2	0	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
	TWO TIMES	% within PAST 30 DAYS:CARRIED A WEAPON	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.0%	.3%
		% of Total	.3%	.0%	.3%
		Count	4	1	5
		Expected Count	2.2	2.8	5.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:CARRIED A WEAPON	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
	3-5 TIMES	% within Gender	1.4%	.3%	.7%
		% of Total	.6%	.1%	.7%
		Count	2	2	4
		Expected Count	1.7	2.3	4.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:CARRIED A WEAPON	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.7%	.5%	.6%
	6-9 TIMES	% of Total	.3%	.3%	.6%
		Count	6	3	9
		Expected Count	3.9	5.1	9.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:CARRIED A WEAPON	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.0%	.8%	1.3%
		% of Total	.9%	.4%	1.3%
	10 OR MORE TIMES	Count	294	383	677
		Expected Count	294.0	383.0	677.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:CARRIED A WEAPON	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		Total			

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.240 <sup>a</sup>	5	.069
Likelihood Ratio	11.779	5	.038
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.058	1	.025
N of Valid Cases	677		

a. 9 cells (75.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .87.

**PAST 30 DAYS:EXPERIENCED PEER PRESSURE,ALCOHOL \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS:EXPERIENCED PEER PRESSURE,ALCOHOL	0 TIMES	Count	144	211	355
		Expected Count	154.6	200.4	355.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:EXPERIENCED PEER PRESSURE,ALCOHOL	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	49.0%	55.4%	52.6%
		% of Total	21.3%	31.3%	52.6%
	ONE TIME	Count	33	59	92
		Expected Count	40.1	51.9	92.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:EXPERIENCED PEER PRESSURE,ALCOHOL	35.9%	64.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	11.2%	15.5%	13.6%
		% of Total	4.9%	8.7%	13.6%
	TWO TIMES	Count	36	49	85
		Expected Count	37.0	48.0	85.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:EXPERIENCED PEER PRESSURE,ALCOHOL	42.4%	57.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	12.2%	12.9%	12.6%
		% of Total	5.3%	7.3%	12.6%
	3-5 TIMES	Count	46	44	90
		Expected Count	39.2	50.8	90.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:EXPERIENCED PEER PRESSURE,ALCOHOL	51.1%	48.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	15.6%	11.5%	13.3%
		% of Total	6.8%	6.5%	13.3%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS:EXPERIENCED PEER PRESSURE,ALCOHOL	6-9 TIMES	Count	14	8	22
		Expected Count	9.6	12.4	22.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:EXPERIENCED PEER PRESSURE,ALCOHOL	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%
	10 OR MORE TIMES	% within Gender	4.8%	2.1%	3.3%
		% of Total	2.1%	1.2%	3.3%
		Count	21	10	31
Total		Expected Count	13.5	17.5	31.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:EXPERIENCED PEER PRESSURE,ALCOHOL	67.7%	32.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.1%	2.6%	4.6%
		% of Total	3.1%	1.5%	4.6%
		Count	294	381	675
		Expected Count	294.0	381.0	675.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:EXPERIENCED PEER PRESSURE,ALCOHOL	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.628 <sup>a</sup>	5	.005
Likelihood Ratio	16.613	5	.005
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.122	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	675		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.58.

**PAST 30 DAYS:HELD A DRINK-DECOY \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS:HELD A DRINK-DECOY	0 TIMES	Count	253	319	572
		Expected Count	248.8	323.2	572.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:HELD A DRINK-DECOY	44.2%	55.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	86.1%	83.5%	84.6%
		% of Total	37.4%	47.2%	84.6%
		Count	23	34	57
	ONE TIME	Expected Count	24.8	32.2	57.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:HELD A DRINK-DECOY	40.4%	59.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	7.8%	8.9%	8.4%
		% of Total	3.4%	5.0%	8.4%
		Count	8	14	22
		Expected Count	9.6	12.4	22.0
	TWO TIMES	% within PAST 30 DAYS:HELD A DRINK-DECOY	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.7%	3.7%	3.3%
		% of Total	1.2%	2.1%	3.3%
		Count	10	10	20
		Expected Count	8.7	11.3	20.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:HELD A DRINK-DECOY	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
	3-5 TIMES	% within Gender	3.4%	2.6%	3.0%
		% of Total	1.5%	1.5%	3.0%
		Count	0	3	3
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:HELD A DRINK-DECOY	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.8%	.4%
	6-9 TIMES	% of Total	.0%	.4%	.4%
		Count	0	2	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:HELD A DRINK-DECOY	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.5%	.3%
		% of Total	.0%	.3%	.3%
	10 OR MORE TIMES	Count	0	2	2
		Expected Count	.9	1.1	2.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:HELD A DRINK-DECOY	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	.0%	.5%	.3%
		% of Total	.0%	.3%	.3%
		Count	294	382	676
Total		Expected Count	294.0	382.0	676.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:HELD A DRINK-DECOY	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.004 <sup>a</sup>	5	.415
Likelihood Ratio	6.869	5	.231
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.220	1	.269
N of Valid Cases	676		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .87.

**PAST 30 DAYS:THOUGHT A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS:THOUGHT A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	0 TIMES	Count	205	281	486
		Expected Count	210.6	275.4	486.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:THOUGHT A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	42.2%	57.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	70.0%	73.4%	71.9%
		% of Total	30.3%	41.6%	71.9%
		Count	24	44	68
	ONE TIME	Expected Count	29.5	38.5	68.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:THOUGHT A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	35.3%	64.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.2%	11.5%	10.1%
		% of Total	3.6%	6.5%	10.1%
	TWO TIMES	Count	30	27	57
		Expected Count	24.7	32.3	57.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:THOUGHT A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	52.6%	47.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	10.2%	7.0%	8.4%
		% of Total	4.4%	4.0%	8.4%
		Count	24	23	47
3-5 TIMES	3-5 TIMES	Expected Count	20.4	26.6	47.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:THOUGHT A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	51.1%	48.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.2%	6.0%	7.0%
		% of Total	3.6%	3.4%	7.0%

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS:THOUGHT A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	6-9 TIMES	Count	5	5	10
		Expected Count	4.3	5.7	10.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:THOUGHT A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.7%	1.3%	1.5%
		% of Total	.7%	.7%	1.5%
		Count	5	3	8
Total	10 OR MORE TIMES	Expected Count	3.5	4.5	8.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:THOUGHT A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	62.5%	37.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.7%	.8%	1.2%
		% of Total	.7%	.4%	1.2%
		Count	293	383	676
		Expected Count	293.0	383.0	676.0
Total	Total	% within PAST 30 DAYS:THOUGHT A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		Count	293	383	676
		Expected Count	293.0	383.0	676.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:THOUGHT A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.581 <sup>a</sup>	5	.254
Likelihood Ratio	6.571	5	.255
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.360	1	.067
N of Valid Cases	676		

a. 3 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.47.

### PAST 30 DAYS:TOLD A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK \* Gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS:TOLD A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	0 TIMES	Count	257	312	569
		Expected Count	247.6	321.4	569.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:TOLD A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	45.2%	54.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	87.1%	81.5%	83.9%
		% of Total	37.9%	46.0%	83.9%
		Count	257	312	569

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
PAST 30 DAYS:TOLD A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	ONE TIME	Count	14	40	54
		Expected Count	23.5	30.5	54.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:TOLD A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	25.9%	74.1%	100.0%
	TWO TIMES	% within Gender	4.7%	10.4%	8.0%
		% of Total	2.1%	5.9%	8.0%
		Count	16	14	30
	3-5 TIMES	Expected Count	13.1	16.9	30.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:TOLD A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	53.3%	46.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.4%	3.7%	4.4%
	6-9 TIMES	% of Total	2.4%	2.1%	4.4%
		Count	4	13	17
		Expected Count	7.4	9.6	17.0
	10 OR MORE TIMES	% within PAST 30 DAYS:TOLD A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	23.5%	76.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.4%	3.4%	2.5%
		% of Total	.6%	1.9%	2.5%
	Total	Count	2	2	4
		Expected Count	1.7	2.3	4.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:TOLD A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
	Total	% within Gender	.7%	.5%	.6%
		% of Total	.3%	.3%	.6%
		Count	295	383	678
	Total	Expected Count	295.0	383.0	678.0
		% within PAST 30 DAYS:TOLD A PARTNER NOT ATTRACTIVE,DRUNK	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.505 <sup>a</sup>	5	.042
Likelihood Ratio	12.074	5	.034
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.236	1	.266
N of Valid Cases	678		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.74.

**I FEEL VALUED AS A PERSON \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
I FEEL VALUED AS A PERSON	STRONGLY AGREE	Count	46	47	93
		Expected Count	40.3	52.7	93.0
		% within I FEEL VALUED AS A PERSON	49.5%	50.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	15.6%	12.2%	13.7%
		% of Total	6.8%	6.9%	13.7%
		Count	117	156	273
	AGREE	Expected Count	118.4	154.6	273.0
		% within I FEEL VALUED AS A PERSON	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	39.8%	40.6%	40.3%
		% of Total	17.3%	23.0%	40.3%
		Count	88	120	208
		Expected Count	90.2	117.8	208.0
	NEUTRAL	% within I FEEL VALUED AS A PERSON	42.3%	57.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	29.9%	31.3%	30.7%
		% of Total	13.0%	17.7%	30.7%
		Count	17	36	53
		Expected Count	23.0	30.0	53.0
		% within I FEEL VALUED AS A PERSON	32.1%	67.9%	100.0%
	DISAGREE	% within Gender	5.8%	9.4%	7.8%
		% of Total	2.5%	5.3%	7.8%
		Count	17	10	27
		Expected Count	11.7	15.3	27.0
		% within I FEEL VALUED AS A PERSON	63.0%	37.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.8%	2.6%	4.0%
	STRONGLY DISAGREE	% of Total	2.5%	1.5%	4.0%
		Count	9	15	24
		Expected Count	10.4	13.6	24.0
		% within I FEEL VALUED AS A PERSON	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	3.1%	3.9%	3.5%
		% of Total	1.3%	2.2%	3.5%
Total		Count	294	384	678
		Expected Count	294.0	384.0	678.0
		% within I FEEL VALUED AS A PERSON	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.840 <sup>a</sup>	5	.116
Likelihood Ratio	8.879	5	.114
Linear-by-Linear Association	.412	1	.521
N of Valid Cases	678		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 10.41.

**I FEEL THAT FACULTY CARE ABOUT ME \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
I FEEL THAT FACULTY CARE ABOUT ME	STRONGLY AGREE	Count	58	55 113
		Expected Count	49.0	64.0 113.0
		% within I FEEL THAT FACULTY CARE ABOUT ME	51.3%	48.7% 100.0%
		% within Gender	19.7%	14.3% 16.7%
		% of Total	8.6%	8.1% 16.7%
	AGREE	Count	130	178 308
		Expected Count	133.6	174.4 308.0
		% within I FEEL THAT FACULTY CARE ABOUT ME	42.2%	57.8% 100.0%
		% within Gender	44.2%	46.4% 45.4%
		% of Total	19.2%	26.3% 45.4%
	NEUTRAL	Count	69	106 175
		Expected Count	75.9	99.1 175.0
		% within I FEEL THAT FACULTY CARE ABOUT ME	39.4%	60.6% 100.0%
		% within Gender	23.5%	27.6% 25.8%
		% of Total	10.2%	15.6% 25.8%
	DISAGREE	Count	22	32 54
		Expected Count	23.4	30.6 54.0
		% within I FEEL THAT FACULTY CARE ABOUT ME	40.7%	59.3% 100.0%
		% within Gender	7.5%	8.3% 8.0%
		% of Total	3.2%	4.7% 8.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
I FEEL THAT FACULTY CARE ABOUT ME	STRONGLY DISAGREE	Count	12	9
		Expected Count	9.1	11.9
		% within I FEEL THAT FACULTY CARE ABOUT ME	57.1%	42.9%
		% within Gender	4.1%	2.3%
		% of Total	1.8%	1.3%
	DONT KNOW	Count	3	4
		Expected Count	3.0	4.0
		% within I FEEL THAT FACULTY CARE ABOUT ME	42.9%	57.1%
		% within Gender	1.0%	1.0%
		% of Total	.4%	.6%
Total		Count	294	384
		Expected Count	294.0	384.0
		% within I FEEL THAT FACULTY CARE ABOUT ME	43.4%	56.6%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.4%	56.6%
				100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.964 <sup>a</sup>	5	.310
Likelihood Ratio	5.927	5	.313
Linear-by-Linear Association	.598	1	.439
N of Valid Cases	678		

a. 2 cells (16.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.04.

**I HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO CONTRIBUTE \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
I HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO CONTRIBUTE	STRONGLY AGREE	Count	44	51	95
		Expected Count	41.2	53.8	95.0
		% within I HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO CONTRIBUTE	46.3%	53.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	15.0%	13.3%	14.1%
		% of Total	6.5%	7.5%	14.1%
		Count	122	174	296
	AGREE	Expected Count	128.3	167.7	296.0
		% within I HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO CONTRIBUTE	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	41.6%	45.4%	43.8%
		% of Total	18.0%	25.7%	43.8%
		Count	87	120	207
		Expected Count	89.7	117.3	207.0
	NEUTRAL	% within I HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO CONTRIBUTE	42.0%	58.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	29.7%	31.3%	30.6%
		% of Total	12.9%	17.8%	30.6%
		Count	24	25	49
		Expected Count	21.2	27.8	49.0
		% within I HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO CONTRIBUTE	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%
	DISAGREE	% within Gender	8.2%	6.5%	7.2%
		% of Total	3.6%	3.7%	7.2%
		Count	13	3	16
		Expected Count	6.9	9.1	16.0
		% within I HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO CONTRIBUTE	81.3%	18.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	4.4%	.8%	2.4%
	STRONGLY DISAGREE	% of Total	1.9%	.4%	2.4%
		Count	3	10	13
		Expected Count	5.6	7.4	13.0
		% within I HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO CONTRIBUTE	23.1%	76.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	1.0%	2.6%	1.9%
		% of Total	.4%	1.5%	1.9%
Total		Count	293	383	676
		Expected Count	293.0	383.0	676.0
		% within I HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO CONTRIBUTE	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.203 <sup>a</sup>	5	.022
Likelihood Ratio	13.700	5	.018
Linear-by-Linear Association	.335	1	.563
N of Valid Cases	676		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.63.

**MY CAMPUS ENCOURAGES ME TO HELP OTHERS \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
MY CAMPUS ENCOURAGES ME TO HELP OTHERS	STRONGLY AGREE	Count	26	29	55
		Expected Count	23.8	31.2	55.0
		% within MY CAMPUS ENCOURAGES ME TO HELP OTHERS	47.3%	52.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	8.8%	7.6%	8.1%
		% of Total	3.8%	4.3%	8.1%
		Count	112	165	277
	AGREE	Expected Count	120.1	156.9	277.0
		% within MY CAMPUS ENCOURAGES ME TO HELP OTHERS	40.4%	59.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	38.1%	43.0%	40.9%
		% of Total	16.5%	24.3%	40.9%
		Count	101	132	233
		Expected Count	101.0	132.0	233.0
	NEUTRAL	% within MY CAMPUS ENCOURAGES ME TO HELP OTHERS	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	34.4%	34.4%	34.4%
		% of Total	14.9%	19.5%	34.4%
		Count	33	41	74
		Expected Count	32.1	41.9	74.0
		% within MY CAMPUS ENCOURAGES ME TO HELP OTHERS	44.6%	55.4%	100.0%
	DISAGREE	% within Gender	11.2%	10.7%	10.9%
		% of Total	4.9%	6.0%	10.9%
		Count	15	6	21
		Expected Count	9.1	11.9	21.0
		% within MY CAMPUS ENCOURAGES ME TO HELP OTHERS	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.1%	1.6%	3.1%
	STRONGLY DISAGREE	% of Total	2.2%	.9%	3.1%
		Count	7	11	18
		Expected Count	7.8	10.2	18.0
		% within MY CAMPUS ENCOURAGES ME TO HELP OTHERS	38.9%	61.1%	100.0%
		% within Gender	2.4%	2.9%	2.7%
		% of Total	1.0%	1.6%	2.7%
Total		Count	294	384	678
		Expected Count	294.0	384.0	678.0
		% within MY CAMPUS ENCOURAGES ME TO HELP OTHERS	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.238 <sup>a</sup>	5	.144
Likelihood Ratio	8.270	5	.142
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.081	1	.299
N of Valid Cases	678		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.81.

**I ABIDE BY THE UNIVERSITY POLICY CONCERNING ALCOHOL \* Gender**

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
I ABIDE BY THE UNIVERSITY POLICY CONCERNING ALCOHOL	STRONGLY AGREE	Count	61	105	166
		Expected Count	72.1	93.9	166.0
		% within I ABIDE BY THE UNIVERSITY POLICY CONCERNING ALCOHOL	36.7%	63.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	20.7%	27.4%	24.5%
		% of Total	9.0%	15.5%	24.5%
	AGREE	Count	63	86	149
		Expected Count	64.7	84.3	149.0
		% within I ABIDE BY THE UNIVERSITY POLICY CONCERNING ALCOHOL	42.3%	57.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	21.4%	22.5%	22.0%
		% of Total	9.3%	12.7%	22.0%
	NEUTRAL	Count	56	91	147
		Expected Count	63.8	83.2	147.0
		% within I ABIDE BY THE UNIVERSITY POLICY CONCERNING ALCOHOL	38.1%	61.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	19.0%	23.8%	21.7%
		% of Total	8.3%	13.4%	21.7%
	DISAGREE	Count	58	60	118
		Expected Count	51.2	66.8	118.0
		% within I ABIDE BY THE UNIVERSITY POLICY CONCERNING ALCOHOL	49.2%	50.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	19.7%	15.7%	17.4%
		% of Total	8.6%	8.9%	17.4%

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
I ABIDE BY THE UNIVERSITY POLICY CONCERNING ALCOHOL	STRONGLY DISAGREE	Count	38	10	48
		Expected Count	20.8	27.2	48.0
		% within I ABIDE BY THE UNIVERSITY POLICY CONCERNING ALCOHOL	79.2%	20.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	12.9%	2.6%	7.1%
		% of Total	5.6%	1.5%	7.1%
	DONT KNOW	Count	18	31	49
		Expected Count	21.3	27.7	49.0
		% within I ABIDE BY THE UNIVERSITY POLICY CONCERNING ALCOHOL	36.7%	63.3%	100.0%
		% within Gender	6.1%	8.1%	7.2%
		% of Total	2.7%	4.6%	7.2%
Total		Count	294	383	677
		Expected Count	294.0	383.0	677.0
		% within I ABIDE BY THE UNIVERSITY POLICY CONCERNING ALCOHOL	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	32.219 <sup>a</sup>	5	.000
Likelihood Ratio	32.987	5	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.002	1	.005
N of Valid Cases	677		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 20.84.

**OTHERS : INTERRPUTS STUDYING \* Gender**

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
OTHERS : INTERRUPTS STUDYING	NO	Count	190	219	409
		Expected Count	178.1	230.9	409.0
		% within OTHERS : INTERRUPTS STUDYING	46.5%	53.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	64.6%	57.5%	60.6%
	YES	% of Total	28.1%	32.4%	60.6%
		Count	104	162	266
		Expected Count	115.9	150.1	266.0
		% within OTHERS : INTERRUPTS STUDYING	39.1%	60.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	35.4%	42.5%	39.4%
		% of Total	15.4%	24.0%	39.4%
Total		Count	294	381	675
		Expected Count	294.0	381.0	675.0
		% within OTHERS : INTERRUPTS STUDYING	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.548 <sup>b</sup>	1	.060		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	3.256	1	.071		
Likelihood Ratio	3.562	1	.059		
Fisher's Exact Test				.068	.035
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.543	1	.060		
N of Valid Cases	675				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 115.86.

#### OTHERS : MAKES YOU FEEL UNSAFE \* Gender

### Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

#### Crosstab

			Gender		Total	
			Male	Female		
OTHERS : MAKES YOU FEEL UNSAFE	NO	Count	259	278	537	
		Expected Count	233.9	303.1	537.0	
		% within OTHERS : MAKES YOU FEEL UNSAFE	48.2%	51.8%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	88.1%	73.0%	79.6%	
		% of Total	38.4%	41.2%	79.6%	
	YES	Count	35	103	138	
		Expected Count	60.1	77.9	138.0	
		% within OTHERS : MAKES YOU FEEL UNSAFE	25.4%	74.6%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	11.9%	27.0%	20.4%	
		% of Total	5.2%	15.3%	20.4%	
Total		Count	294	381	675	
		Expected Count	294.0	381.0	675.0	
		% within OTHERS : MAKES YOU FEEL UNSAFE	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%	
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%	

#### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	23.354 <sup>b</sup>	1	.000		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	22.433	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	24.443	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	23.320	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	675				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 60.11.

#### OTHERS : MESSES UP YOUR SPACE \* Gender

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
OTHERS : MESSES UP YOUR SPACE	NO	Count	169	245	414
		Expected Count	180.4	233.6	414.0
		% within OTHERS : MESSES UP YOUR SPACE	40.8%	59.2%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	57.9%	64.8%	61.8%
		% of Total	25.2%	36.6%	61.8%
		Count	123	133	256
Total		Expected Count	111.6	144.4	256.0
		% within OTHERS : MESSES UP YOUR SPACE	48.0%	52.0%	100.0%
		% within Gender	42.1%	35.2%	38.2%
		% of Total	18.4%	19.9%	38.2%
		Count	292	378	670
		Expected Count	292.0	378.0	670.0
		% within OTHERS : MESSES UP YOUR SPACE	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.359 <sup>b</sup>	1	.067		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	3.071	1	.080		
Likelihood Ratio	3.353	1	.067		
Fisher's Exact Test				.078	.040
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.354	1	.067		
N of Valid Cases	670				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 111.57.

**OTHERS : AFFECTS YOUR INVOLVEMENTS IN ORGANIZED GROUPS \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
OTHERS : AFFECTS YOUR INVOLVEMENTS IN ORGANIZED GROUPS	NO	Count	277	358	635
		Expected Count	276.5	358.5	635.0
		% within OTHERS : AFFECTS YOUR INVOLVEMENTS IN ORGANIZED GROUPS	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	94.5%	94.2%	94.4%
		% of Total	41.2%	53.2%	94.4%
		Count	16	22	38
Total		Expected Count	16.5	21.5	38.0
		% within OTHERS : AFFECTS YOUR INVOLVEMENTS IN ORGANIZED GROUPS	42.1%	57.9%	100.0%
		% within Gender	5.5%	5.8%	5.6%
		% of Total	2.4%	3.3%	5.6%
		Count	293	380	673
		Expected Count	293.0	380.0	673.0
		% within OTHERS : AFFECTS YOUR INVOLVEMENTS IN ORGANIZED GROUPS	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.034 <sup>b</sup>	1	.855		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.000	1	.988		
Likelihood Ratio	.034	1	.854		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.497
Linear-by-Linear Association	.034	1	.855		
N of Valid Cases	673				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 16.54.

**OTHERS : PREVENTS YOU FROM ENJOYING EVENTS \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
OTHERS : PREVENTS YOU FROM ENJOYING EVENTS	NO	Count	256	322	578
		Expected Count	252.0	326.0	578.0
		% within OTHERS : PREVENTS YOU FROM ENJOYING EVENTS	44.3%	55.7%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	87.4%	85.0%	86.0%
		% of Total	38.1%	47.9%	86.0%
		Count	37	57	94
Total		Expected Count	41.0	53.0	94.0
		% within OTHERS : PREVENTS YOU FROM ENJOYING EVENTS	39.4%	60.6%	100.0%
		% within Gender	12.6%	15.0%	14.0%
		% of Total	5.5%	8.5%	14.0%
		Count	293	379	672
		Expected Count	293.0	379.0	672.0
		% within OTHERS : PREVENTS YOU FROM ENJOYING EVENTS	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.799 <sup>b</sup>	1	.371		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.611	1	.434		
Likelihood Ratio	.805	1	.370		
Fisher's Exact Test				.433	.218
Linear-by-Linear Association	.798	1	.372		
N of Valid Cases	672				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 40.99.

**OTHERS : INTERFERES IN OTHER WAYS \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
OTHERS : INTERFERES IN OTHER WAYS	NO	Count	200	242	442
		Expected Count	192.4	249.6	442.0
		% within OTHERS : INTERFERES IN OTHER WAYS	45.2%	54.8%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	68.3%	63.7%	65.7%
		% of Total	29.7%	36.0%	65.7%
		Count	93	138	231
Total		Expected Count	100.6	130.4	231.0
		% within OTHERS : INTERFERES IN OTHER WAYS	40.3%	59.7%	100.0%
		% within Gender	31.7%	36.3%	34.3%
		% of Total	13.8%	20.5%	34.3%
		Count	293	380	673
		Expected Count	293.0	380.0	673.0
		% within OTHERS : INTERFERES IN OTHER WAYS	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.536 <sup>b</sup>	1	.215		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	1.340	1	.247		
Likelihood Ratio	1.541	1	.214		
Fisher's Exact Test				.221	.123
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.534	1	.216		
N of Valid Cases	673				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 100.57.

**OTHERS : DOESNT INTERFERE WITH MY LIFE \* Gender**

Crosstab analysis of categorical questions by gender

**Crosstab**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
OTHERS : DOESNT INTERFERE WITH MY LIFE	NO	Count	155	202	357
		Expected Count	156.3	200.7	357.0
		% within OTHERS : DOESNT INTERFERE WITH MY LIFE	43.4%	56.6%	100.0%
	YES	% within Gender	53.1%	53.9%	53.5%
		% of Total	23.2%	30.3%	53.5%
		Count	137	173	310
Total		Expected Count	135.7	174.3	310.0
		% within OTHERS : DOESNT INTERFERE WITH MY LIFE	44.2%	55.8%	100.0%
		% within Gender	46.9%	46.1%	46.5%
		% of Total	20.5%	25.9%	46.5%
		Count	292	375	667
		Expected Count	292.0	375.0	667.0
		% within OTHERS : DOESNT INTERFERE WITH MY LIFE	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	43.8%	56.2%	100.0%

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.041 <sup>b</sup>	1	.840		
Continuity Correction <sup>a</sup>	.015	1	.902		
Likelihood Ratio	.041	1	.840	.876	.451
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	.041	1	.840		
N of Valid Cases	667				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 135.71.

## Frequencies

### Statistics

	Age	Avg # drinks/week
N	696	695
Valid	5	6
Missing		
Mean	21.29	9.21

>Warning # 2003. Command name: title  
>The title given exceeds 60 characters in length. The first 60 characters  
>will be used.

## Frequencies

### Statistics

	Age	Avg # drinks/week
N	306	305
Valid	0	1
Missing		
Mean	21.50	13.02

## Frequency Table

### Age

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid				
18	30	9.8	9.8	9.8
19	53	17.3	17.3	27.1
20	53	17.3	17.3	44.4
21	60	19.6	19.6	64.1
22	59	19.3	19.3	83.3
23	18	5.9	5.9	89.2
24	8	2.6	2.6	91.8
25	5	1.6	1.6	93.5
26	1	.3	.3	93.8
27	6	2.0	2.0	95.8
29	1	.3	.3	96.1
30	1	.3	.3	96.4
31	1	.3	.3	96.7
32	2	.7	.7	97.4
34	1	.3	.3	97.7
36	1	.3	.3	98.0
39	2	.7	.7	98.7
41	1	.3	.3	99.0
48	1	.3	.3	99.3
49	1	.3	.3	99.7
56	1	.3	.3	100.0
Total	306	100.0	100.0	

Frequency counts and means for continuous variables, men only

**Avg # drinks/week**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	60	19.6	19.7	19.7
	1	11	3.6	3.6	23.3
	2	16	5.2	5.2	28.5
	3	12	3.9	3.9	32.5
	4	8	2.6	2.6	35.1
	5	20	6.5	6.6	41.6
	6	14	4.6	4.6	46.2
	7	7	2.3	2.3	48.5
	8	10	3.3	3.3	51.8
	9	2	.7	.7	52.5
	10	17	5.6	5.6	58.0
	11	2	.7	.7	58.7
	12	10	3.3	3.3	62.0
	13	1	.3	.3	62.3
	15	17	5.6	5.6	67.9
	16	2	.7	.7	68.5
	17	2	.7	.7	69.2
	18	3	1.0	1.0	70.2
	20	19	6.2	6.2	76.4
	21	2	.7	.7	77.0
	24	10	3.3	3.3	80.3
	25	15	4.9	4.9	85.2
	26	1	.3	.3	85.6
	30	13	4.2	4.3	89.8
	35	6	2.0	2.0	91.8
	36	1	.3	.3	92.1
	40	6	2.0	2.0	94.1
	42	1	.3	.3	94.4
	45	6	2.0	2.0	96.4
	46	1	.3	.3	96.7
	50	6	2.0	2.0	98.7
	55	1	.3	.3	99.0
	56	1	.3	.3	99.3
	60	2	.7	.7	100.0
	Total	305	99.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1	.3		
	Total	306	100.0		

>Warning # 2003. Command name: title

>The title given exceeds 60 characters in length. The first 60 characters  
>will be used.

## Frequencies

### Statistics

	Age	Avg # drinks/week
N	Valid	390
	Missing	0
Mean		21.12
		6.24

## Frequency Table

### Age

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	17	1	.3	.3
	18	58	14.9	14.9
	19	82	21.0	21.0
	20	66	16.9	16.9
	21	74	19.0	19.0
	22	53	13.6	13.6
	23	20	5.1	5.1
	24	9	2.3	2.3
	25	2	.5	.5
	26	6	1.5	1.5
	27	3	.8	.8
	28	1	.3	.3
	29	3	.8	.8
	30	1	.3	.3
	32	1	.3	.3
	36	1	.3	.3
	37	1	.3	.3
	38	2	.5	.5
	40	1	.3	.3
	42	1	.3	.3
	48	1	.3	.3
	49	1	.3	.3
	53	1	.3	.3
	56	1	.3	.3
Total	390	100.0	100.0	100.0

Frequency counts and means for continuous variables, women on

**Avg # drinks/week**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 0	106	27.2	27.2	27.2
1	35	9.0	9.0	36.2
2	25	6.4	6.4	42.6
3	30	7.7	7.7	50.3
4	17	4.4	4.4	54.6
5	26	6.7	6.7	61.3
6	14	3.6	3.6	64.9
7	10	2.6	2.6	67.4
8	16	4.1	4.1	71.5
9	3	.8	.8	72.3
10	27	6.9	6.9	79.2
11	1	.3	.3	79.5
12	10	2.6	2.6	82.1
13	5	1.3	1.3	83.3
14	4	1.0	1.0	84.4
15	23	5.9	5.9	90.3
16	4	1.0	1.0	91.3
17	1	.3	.3	91.5
18	3	.8	.8	92.3
20	11	2.8	2.8	95.1
21	1	.3	.3	95.4
22	2	.5	.5	95.9
25	8	2.1	2.1	97.9
28	1	.3	.3	98.2
30	4	1.0	1.0	99.2
35	1	.3	.3	99.5
36	1	.3	.3	99.7
45	1	.3	.3	100.0
Total	390	100.0	100.0	

## Crosstabs

**Case Processing Summary**

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
5+ drinks in last 2 wks * AGE	695	99.1%	6	.9%	701	100.0%

Frequency counts and means for continuous variables, women on

**5+ drinks in last 2 wks \* AGE Crosstabulation**

		AGE			
		LO THRU 18	19 THRU 20	21 THRU 22	23 THRU 24
5+ drinks in last 2 wks	None	Count	37	97	68
		% within AGE	41.6%	38.2%	27.6%
	Once	Count	8	24	34
		% within AGE	9.0%	9.4%	13.8%
	Twice	Count	12	34	40
		% within AGE	13.5%	13.4%	16.3%
	3-5 times	Count	22	62	72
		% within AGE	24.7%	24.4%	29.3%
	6-9 times	Count	9	29	27
		% within AGE	10.1%	11.4%	11.0%
	10+ times	Count	1	8	5
		% within AGE	1.1%	3.1%	2.0%
Total		Count	89	254	246
		% within AGE	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency counts and means for continuous variables, women on

### 5+ drinks in last 2 wks \* AGE Crosstabulation

			AGE			Total
			25 THRU 30	31 THRU 40	41 THRU HI	
5+ drinks in last 2 wks	None	Count	13	12	9	264
		% within AGE	43.3%	92.3%	100.0%	38.0%
	Once	Count	10	1	0	84
		% within AGE	33.3%	7.7%	.0%	12.1%
	Twice	Count	2	0	0	91
		% within AGE	6.7%	.0%	.0%	13.1%
	3-5 times	Count	4	0	0	170
		% within AGE	13.3%	.0%	.0%	24.5%
Total	6-9 times	Count	0	0	0	70
		% within AGE	.0%	.0%	.0%	10.1%
	10+ times	Count	1	0	0	16
		% within AGE	3.3%	.0%	.0%	2.3%
		Count	30	13	9	695
		% within AGE	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Crosstabs

### Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
5+ drinks in last 2 wks * gpa	694	99.0%	7	1.0%	701	100.0%

### 5+ drinks in last 2 wks \* gpa Crosstabulation

			gpa				Total
			D	C	B	A	
5+ drinks in last 2 wks	None	Count	4	45	116	98	263
		% within gpa	57.1%	32.8%	34.3%	46.2%	37.9%
	Once	Count	2	13	39	30	84
		% within gpa	28.6%	9.5%	11.5%	14.2%	12.1%
	Twice	Count	0	18	45	28	91
		% within gpa	.0%	13.1%	13.3%	13.2%	13.1%
	3-5 times	Count	0	40	89	41	170
		% within gpa	.0%	29.2%	26.3%	19.3%	24.5%
Total	6-9 times	Count	1	18	41	10	70
		% within gpa	14.3%	13.1%	12.1%	4.7%	10.1%
	10+ times	Count	0	3	8	5	16
		% within gpa	.0%	2.2%	2.4%	2.4%	2.3%
		Count	7	137	338	212	694
		% within gpa	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Crosstabs

Frequency counts and means for continuous variables, women on

### Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
5+ drinks in last 2 wks * Ethnic origin	694	99.0%	7	1.0%	701	100.0%

### 5+ drinks in last 2 wks \* Ethnic origin Crosstabulation

			Ethnic origin			
			Amer Ind/AK native	Hispanic	Asian/Pac Isl	White (non-Hisp)
5+ drinks in last 2 wks	None	Count	2	8	5	226
		% within Ethnic origin	66.7%	47.1%	35.7%	36.2%
	Once	Count	0	0	2	78
		% within Ethnic origin	.0%	.0%	14.3%	12.5%
	Twice	Count	0	3	1	83
		% within Ethnic origin	.0%	17.6%	7.1%	13.3%
	3-5 times	Count	1	4	4	157
		% within Ethnic origin	33.3%	23.5%	28.6%	25.1%
	6-9 times	Count	0	2	1	66
		% within Ethnic origin	.0%	11.8%	7.1%	10.6%
	10+ times	Count	0	0	1	15
		% within Ethnic origin	.0%	.0%	7.1%	2.4%
Total		Count	3	17	14	625
		% within Ethnic origin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency counts and means for continuous variables, women on

**5+ drinks in last 2 wks \* Ethnic origin Crosstabulation**

		Ethnic origin		Total
		Black (non-Hisp)	Other	
5+ drinks in last 2 wks	None	Count	16	263
		% within Ethnic origin	76.2%	37.9%
	Once	Count	2	84
		% within Ethnic origin	9.5%	12.1%
	Twice	Count	0	91
		% within Ethnic origin	.0%	13.1%
	3-5 times	Count	3	170
		% within Ethnic origin	14.3%	24.5%
Total	6-9 times	Count	0	70
		% within Ethnic origin	.0%	10.1%
Total	10+ times	Count	0	16
		% within Ethnic origin	.0%	2.3%
		Count	21	694
		% within Ethnic origin	100.0%	100.0%